

# LIPSCHITZ REGULARITY RESULTS FOR A CLASS OF OBSTACLE PROBLEMS WITH NEARLY LINEAR GROWTH

GIACOMO BERTAZZONI, SAMUELE RICCÒ

**ABSTRACT.** This paper deals with the Lipschitz continuity of solutions to variational obstacle problems with nearly linear growth. The main tool used here is a new higher differentiability result which reveals to be crucial because it allows us to perform the linearization procedure to transform the constrained problem in an unconstrained one and it permits us to deduce the equivalence between our minimization problem and its corresponding variational formulation. Our results hold true for a large class of example for which the Lavrentiev phenomenon does not occur, not necessarily for lagrangians dependent on the modulus of the gradient. We assume the same Sobolev regularity both for the gradient of the obstacle and for the coefficients.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the Lipschitz continuity of the solutions to variational obstacle problems of the form

$$\min \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f(x, Dw) : w \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega) \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

in the case of nearly linear growth condition, where  $\Omega$ ,  $f$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)$  will be specified below; the aim of our work is to complement the results contained in the paper [13] where authors assume that the integrand  $f(x, Dw)$  satisfies  $(p, q)$ -growth conditions and, as a function of the  $x$ -variable, belongs to a suitable Sobolev class; they then prove the Lipschitz continuity of the solutions to variational obstacle problems under the above-mentioned conditions.

A model functional that can be considered the following

$$w \mapsto \int_{\Omega} |Dw| \log(1 + |Dw|) + a(x)(1 + |Dw|^2)^{q/2} dx$$

with  $q > 1$  and  $a(\cdot)$  a Lipschitz or bounded Sobolev coefficient.

There are few results in literature dealing with the case of nearly linear growth condition, see [36], [56] in the case of equations of functionals, see [35], [18] in the case of obstacle problems, and more in general [2], [6], [21], [40], [41], [45], [47], [55] in the case with the subquadratic growth.

The key tool we use here is a new higher differentiability result for solutions to obstacle problems with nearly linear growth obtained in the paper [39] in the spirit of the recent contributions [26], [27], [37], [38]; this new result allows us to adapt here the linearization

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 49N60, 35J85; Secondary: 35B65, 35B45, 49J40.

*Key words and phrases.* Regularity of solutions, obstacle problems, a priori estimates, nearly linear growth.

technique already successfully employed in [4] is order to transform the constrained problem in an unconstrained one. Higher differentiability and higher integrability of second order derivatives has been recently widely investigate both in the context of unconstrained and constrained problems, see for instance [9], [10], [14], [42], [43], [57], [58], [59].

The main feature of the paper is that we consider the same Sobolev dependence either for the gradient of the obstacle and for the partial map  $x \mapsto D_\xi f(x, \xi)$ , which turns to be a quite natural assumption. The idea of replacing the Lipschitz dependence on the coefficients in the non autonomous case with a Sobolev one has been intensively used in the last years, see for instance the survey [53] together with the references therein for a general overview. To better clarify the main technicalities, we will anyway state and prove first the case with a Lipschitz dependence.

The relationship between the ellipticity and the growth exponent we impose, namely (1.9), is the one considered for the first time in the series of papers [22], [23], [24], [25] and it is sharp (in view of the well known counterexamples, see for instance [49]) also to obtain the Lipschitz continuity of solutions to elliptic equations and systems and minimizers of related functionals with  $p, q$ -growth; therefore our results can be framed into the research concerning regularity results under non standard growth conditions, that, after the pioneering papers by Marcellini [50]–[52] has attracted growing attention, see among the others [3], [5], [15], [16], [19], [46], [53], [54], [60].

More in details, here  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , the function  $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ , called *obstacle*, belongs to the Sobolev space  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  and the class  $\mathcal{K}_\psi(\Omega)$  is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{K}_\psi(\Omega) := \{w \in u_0 + W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) : w \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $u_0 \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  is a fixed boundary value.

To avoid trivialities, in what follows we shall assume that  $\mathcal{K}_\psi$  is not empty. We also assume that a solution to (1.1) is such that  $f(x, Du) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ . As it has been shown in [28], in case of non-standard growth condition (at least in the autonomous case), this turns to be the right class of competitors.

*Remark 1.1.* Let us notice that, by replacing  $u_0$  by  $\tilde{u}_0 = \max\{u_0, \psi\}$ , we may assume that the boundary value function  $u_0$  satisfies  $u_0 \geq \psi$  in  $\Omega$ . Indeed  $\tilde{u}_0 = (\psi - u_0)^+ + u_0$  and since

$$0 \leq (\psi - u_0)^+ \leq (u - u_0)^+ \in W_0^{1,\mu}(\Omega),$$

the function  $(\psi - u_0)^+$ , and hence  $u - \tilde{u}_0$ , belongs to  $W_0^{1,\mu}(\Omega)$ . Moreover assumptions  $f(x, Du) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$  and  $f(x, Du_0) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$  imply  $f(x, D\tilde{u}_0) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ . Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f(x, D\tilde{u}_0) dx &= \int_{\Omega \cap \{u_0 \geq \psi\}} f(x, Du_0) dx + \int_{\Omega \cap \{u_0 < \psi\}} f(x, D\psi) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} [f(x, Du_0) + f(x, D\psi)] dx < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $f(x, \xi) \geq 0$ , by virtue of the left inequality in (1.5).

As we are dealing with non standard growth conditions, the Lavrentiev phenomenon may occur (for more details see for instance [61], [29], [30], [7], [8]). On the other hand in the paper [17] a wide discussion about obstacle problems and a related suitable notion of relaxation has been introduced, and this setting can be extended to the case of nearly linear growth in view of Proposition 1.1 of [18]. Therefore we will assume to be in a situation where the Lavrentiev phenomenon does not appear. In the sequel we will denote with  $\mathcal{L}(u, \tilde{\Omega})$  the gap functional over the set  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , in the spirit of [1], [29], [48].

As we already remarked, in order to better clarify the details of the techniques employed, we first state and prove the result with Lipschitz dependence on both the obstacle and the partial map  $x \mapsto D_\xi f(x, \xi)$  and we concentrate in a second step on the Sobolev dependence. More precisely, we will deal with variational integral

$$\mathcal{F}(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, Du) dx, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a Carathéodory function which is convex and of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  with respect to the second variable. We consider the exponents  $q > 1$  and  $\mu < 2$  such that the following bound holds

$$1 \leq \frac{q}{2-\mu} < 1 + \frac{1}{n}. \quad (1.4)$$

We suppose that there exist two positive constants  $\nu, L$  and a function  $\bar{F} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such as  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\bar{F}(t)}{t} = +\infty$  such that

$$\nu \bar{F}(|\xi|) + \nu (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{2-\mu}{2}} \leq f(x, \xi) \leq L (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\nu (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} |\lambda|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, \xi) \lambda_i \lambda_j \leq L (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |\lambda|^2, \quad (1.6)$$

$$|f_{x\xi}(x, \xi)| \leq L (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}, \quad (1.7)$$

for all  $\lambda, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_i$ ,  $\xi = \xi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Our main result with these hypothesis reads as follows

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $u \in \mathcal{K}_\psi(\Omega)$  be a solution to the obstacle problem (1.1) such that  $\mathcal{L}(u, B_R) = 0$  for all  $B_R \Subset \Omega$ , under the assumptions (1.5)-(1.7) and (1.4). If  $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ , then  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  and the following estimate*

$$\|Du\|_{L^\infty(B_\rho)} \leq C \left( \int_{B_R} (1 + f(x, Du)) dx \right)^\beta \quad (1.8)$$

holds for every  $0 < \rho < R$  and with positive constants  $C$  and  $\beta$  depending on  $n, q, \mu, \nu, L, R, \rho$  and the local bounds for  $\|D\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$ .

In the second part of the paper, we consider instead the exponents  $q$  and  $\mu$ , where again  $\mu < 2$  and  $q > 1$ , bounded by

$$1 \leq \frac{q}{2-\mu} < 1 + \frac{r-n}{rn} = 1 + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r} \quad (1.9)$$

where  $r > n$ , so  $\frac{1}{r} < \frac{1}{n}$ . Moreover, we suppose that there exist two positive constants  $\nu, L$ , a function  $\bar{F} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such as  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\bar{F}(t)}{t} = +\infty$  and a function  $h : \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such as  $h(x) \in L^r_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ , such that (1.5) and (1.6) keep being valid and (1.7) is modified in

$$|f_{x\xi}(x, \xi)| \leq h(x) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \quad (1.10)$$

for all  $\lambda, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_i$ ,  $\xi = \xi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

Our main result with these modified hypothesis reads as follows

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $u \in \mathcal{K}_\psi(\Omega)$  be a solution to the obstacle problem (1.1) such that  $\mathcal{L}(u, B_R) = 0$  for all  $B_R \subseteq \Omega$ , under the assumptions (1.5), (1.6), (1.10) and (1.9). If  $\psi \in W^{2,r}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ , then  $u \in W^{1,\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  and the following estimate*

$$\|Du\|_{L^\infty(B_\rho)} \leq C \left( \int_{B_R} (1 + f(x, Du)) dx \right)^\beta \quad (1.11)$$

holds for every  $0 < \rho < R$  and with positive constants  $C$  and  $\beta$  depending on  $n, q, \mu, \nu, L, R, \rho$ , on the local bounds for  $\|D\psi\|_{W^{1,r}}$  and  $\|h\|_{L^r}$ .

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the notations and some preliminary results that will be needed in the sequel. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2 and Section 4 is dedicated to the proof of the main result, Theorem 1.3. Finally in Section 5 we provide some comments about the approximation and the conclusion.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Throughout the paper we will denote by  $B_\rho$  and  $B_R$  balls of radii respectively  $\rho$  and  $R$  (with  $\rho < R$ ) compactly contained in  $\Omega$  and with the same center, let us say  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Moreover in the sequel constants will be denoted by  $C$ , regardless their actual value. Only the relevant dependencies will be highlighted.

First of all we state the following lemma which has important application in the so called hole-filling method. Its proof can be found for example in [44, Lemma 6.1].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $h : [\rho_0, R_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-negative bounded function and  $0 < \vartheta < 1$ ,  $A, B \geq 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ . Assume that*

$$h(s) \leq \vartheta h(t) + \frac{A}{(t-s)^\beta} + B,$$

for all  $\rho_0 \leq s < t \leq R_0$ . Then

$$h(r) \leq \frac{cA}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^\beta} + cB,$$

where  $c = c(\vartheta, \beta) > 0$ .

We now present the higher differentiability result we need in the sequel. The proof can be found in [39].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $u \in \mathcal{K}_\psi(\Omega)$  be a solution to the obstacle problem (1.1), such that  $\mathcal{L}(u, B_R) = 0$  for all  $B_R \Subset \Omega$ , under the assumptions (1.5), (1.6), (1.10) and (1.9). Then*

$$D\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r}(\Omega) \Rightarrow V_{2-\mu}(Du) := (1 + |Du|^2)^{-\mu/4} Du \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

This result allows us to obtain the validity of the variational inequality for our minimization problem. This is a relevant point, already in the autonomous case, see for instance [11], [12], [28].

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $u \in \mathcal{K}_\psi(\Omega)$  be a solution to the obstacle problem (1.1), under the assumptions (1.5), (1.6), (1.10) and (1.9). Then, if  $D\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r}(\Omega)$ , then the following variational inequality holds*

$$\int_{\Omega} D_{\xi} f(x, Du) \cdot D(\varphi - u) dx \geq 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\Omega)$ ,  $\varphi \geq \psi$ .

The proof of this result can be achieved arguing as in [13], observing that, by virtue of Theorem 2.2 and (1.9), we have the right higher integrability to pass to the limit in the variational inequality, namely the fact that

$$V_{2-\mu}(Du) \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega) \Rightarrow Du \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\Omega),$$

by virtue of (1.9), which yields

$$\frac{q}{2-\mu} < 1 + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{n}{n-2}.$$

For more details see [39].

### 3. A PRIORI ESTIMATE: THE LIPSCHITZ CASE

**3.1. The linearization procedure.** The linearization procedure is a process which goes back to [31] and later was refined in [20], see also [32], [33], [34]. We will follow the lines of [4].

We consider a smooth function  $h_{\varepsilon} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $h'_{\varepsilon}(s) \leq 0$  for all  $s \in (0, \infty)$  and

$$h_{\varepsilon}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } s \leq \varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{for } s \geq 2\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

Consider the function

$$\varphi = u + t \cdot \eta \cdot h_{\varepsilon}(u - \psi)$$

with  $\eta \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\eta \geq 0$  and  $0 < t \ll 1$  as test function, in the variational inequality (2.1). We have

$$\int_{\Omega} D_{\xi} f(x, Du) \cdot D(\eta h_{\varepsilon}(u - \psi)) dx \geq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in C_0^1(\Omega).$$

Since

$$\eta \mapsto L(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} D_{\xi} f(x, Du) \cdot D(\eta h_{\varepsilon}(u - \psi)) dx$$

is a bounded positive linear functional, by the Riesz representation theorem there exists a nonnegative measure  $\lambda_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} D_{\xi} f(x, Du) \cdot D(\eta h_{\varepsilon}(u - \psi)) dx = \int_{\Omega} \eta d\lambda_{\varepsilon} \quad \forall \eta \in C_0^1(\Omega).$$

It is not difficult to prove that the measure  $\lambda_\varepsilon$  is independent to  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore we can write

$$\int_{\Omega} D_{\xi} f(x, Du) \cdot D(\eta h_{\varepsilon}(u - \psi)) dx = \int_{\Omega} \eta d\lambda \quad \forall \eta \in C_0^1(\Omega).$$

By Theorem 2.2 we have that

$$V_{2-\mu}(Du) := (1 + |Du|^2)^{-\frac{\mu}{4}} Du \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad (3.1)$$

Now, in order to identify the measure  $\lambda$ , we may pass to the limit as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$

$$\int_{\Omega} -\operatorname{div}(D_{\xi} f(x, Du)) \chi_{[u=\psi]} \eta dx = \int_{\Omega} \eta d\lambda \quad \forall \eta \in C_0^1(\Omega). \quad (3.2)$$

By introducing

$$g := -\operatorname{div}(D_{\xi} f(x, Du)) \chi_{[u=\psi]}; \quad (3.3)$$

and combining our results we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} D_{\xi} f(x, Du) \cdot D\eta dx = \int_{\Omega} g\eta dx \quad \forall \eta \in C_0^1(\Omega). \quad (3.4)$$

We are left to obtain an  $L^\infty$  estimate for  $g$ : since  $Du = D\psi$  a.e. on the contact set, by (1.6) and (1.7) and the assumption  $D\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g| &= |\operatorname{div}(D_{\xi} f(x, Du)) \chi_{[u=\psi]}| = |\operatorname{div}(D_{\xi} f(x, D\psi))| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n |f_{\xi_k x_k}(x, D\psi)| + \sum_{k,i=1}^n |f_{\xi_k \xi_i}(x, D\psi) \psi_{x_k x_i}| \\ &\leq L(1 + |D\psi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} + L(1 + |D\psi|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |D^2\psi| \end{aligned}$$

that is  $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**3.2. A priori estimate and conclusion.** Our starting point is now (3.4). We make use of the supplementary assumption  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ , which is needed in order to let (3.4) to be satisfied; this assumption will be removed by means of the approximation procedure. By this further requirement and Theorem 2.2, the “second variation” system holds

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} D_{x_i} \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) D_{x_i} \varphi \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} g D_{x_s} \varphi dx, \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $s = 1, \dots, n$  and for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . We fix  $0 < \rho < R$  with  $B_R$  compactly contained in  $\Omega$  and we choose  $\eta \in C_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $\eta \equiv 1$  on  $B_\rho$ ,  $\eta \equiv 0$  outside  $B_R$  and  $|D\eta| \leq \frac{C}{(R-\rho)}$ . We test (3.5) with  $\varphi = \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s}$ , for some  $\gamma \geq 0$  so that

$$D_{x_i} \varphi = 2\eta\eta_{x_i}(1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s} + 2\eta^2\gamma(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} + \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s x_i}$$

Inserting in (3.5) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} 2\eta \eta_{x_i} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} u_{x_s} dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} u_{x_s x_i} dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} 2\eta^2 \gamma (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) 2\eta \eta_{x_i} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} u_{x_s} dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} u_{x_s x_i} dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) 2\eta^2 \gamma (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} dx \\
&- \int_{\Omega} g 2\eta \eta_{x_s} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} u_{x_s} dx \\
&- \int_{\Omega} g 2\eta^2 \gamma (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| D_{x_s}(|Du|) u_{x_s} dx \\
&- \int_{\Omega} g \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} u_{x_s x_s} dx \\
&=: I_{1,s} + I_{2,s} + I_{3,s} + I_{4,s} + I_{5,s} + I_{6,s} + I_{7,s} + I_{8,s} + I_{9,s}.
\end{aligned}$$

We sum in the previous equation all terms with respect to  $s$  from 1 to  $n$ , and we denote by  $I_1 - I_9$  the corresponding integrals.

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the Young inequality and (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} 2\eta (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} \eta_{x_i} u_{x_s} dx \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} 2\eta (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{s=1}^n \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) \eta_{x_i} \eta_{x_j} u_{x_s}^2 \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_s x_i} u_{x_s x_j} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} 2\eta (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \\
&\quad \times \left| \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) \eta_{x_i} \eta_{x_j} u_{x_s}^2 \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_s x_i} u_{x_s x_j} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \left| \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) \eta_{x_i} \eta_{x_j} u_{x_s}^2 \right| dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_s x_i} u_{x_s x_j} dx \\
&\leq C L \int_{\Omega} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2} + \gamma} \left| \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n \eta_{x_i} \eta_{x_j} u_{x_s}^2 \right| dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_s x_i} u_{x_s x_j} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2} + \gamma} \left| \sum_{s=1}^n u_{x_s}^2 \right| dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_s x_i} u_{x_s x_j} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{q}{2} + \gamma} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} u_{x_i x_s} dx
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (1.6) and the fact that  $D_{x_j}(|Du|)|Du| = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{x_j x_k} u_{x_k}$ , we can estimate the term  $I_3$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} [2\eta^2 \gamma (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} D_{x_i}(|Du|)|Du|] u_{x_s} dx \\
&\geq 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |Du|^{2\gamma-1} \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_j x_s} u_{x_s} dx \\
&= 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |Du|^{2\gamma-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) D_{x_i}(|Du|) \left( \sum_{s=1}^n u_{x_j x_s} u_{x_s} \right) dx \\
&= 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |Du|^{2\gamma} \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) D_{x_i}(|Du|) D_{x_j}(|Du|) dx \\
&\geq 2\gamma \nu \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |Du|^{2\gamma} |D(|Du|)|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} dx \\
&\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$



We can estimate the fourth term by the Cauchy-Schwarz and the Young inequalities, together with (1.7), as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_4| &= \left| 2 \int_{\Omega} \eta(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \sum_{i,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) \eta_{x_i} u_{x_s} dx \right| \\
&\stackrel{(1.7)}{\leq} 2L \int_{\Omega} \eta(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q-1}{2}} \sum_{i,s=1}^n |\eta_{x_i} u_{x_s}| dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} \eta |D\eta| |Du| (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q-1}{2}} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} (\eta^2 + |D\eta|^2) (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q}{2}} dx
\end{aligned}$$

We can estimate the fifth term observing that

$$\frac{q-1}{2} = \frac{2q-2}{4} = \frac{2q-2-\mu+\mu}{4} = -\frac{\mu}{4} + \frac{2q-2+\mu}{4} = -\frac{\mu}{4} + \frac{q}{2} - \frac{2-\mu}{4} \quad (3.6)$$

and by the Cauchy-Schwarz and the Young inequalities, together with (1.7), as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_5| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \sum_{i,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) u_{x_s x_i} dx \right| \\
&\stackrel{(1.7)}{\leq} L \int_{\Omega} \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q-1}{2}} \left| \sum_{i,s=1}^n u_{x_s x_i} \right| dx \\
&= L \int_{\Omega} \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q-1}{2}} |D^2 u| dx \\
&= L \int_{\Omega} \left[ \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} |D^2 u|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\
&\leq \frac{L}{4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} |D^2 u|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (3.6),  $|D(|Du|)| \leq |D^2 u|$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz and the Young inequalities and (1.7) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_6| &= \left| 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} dx \right| \\
&= \left| 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| \sum_{i,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} dx \right| \\
&\leq 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{i,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} \right| dx \\
&\leq 2\gamma L \int_{\Omega} \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{q-1}{2}} \left| \sum_{i,s=1}^n D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} \right| dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2\gamma L \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{q-1}{2}} |D(|Du|)| |Du| dx \\
&\leq 2\gamma L \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q-1}{2}} |D^2 u| dx \\
&\stackrel{(3.6)}{=} L \int_{\Omega} \left[ \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} |D^2 u|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ 4\gamma^2 \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\
&\leq \frac{L}{4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D^2 u|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} dx + C\gamma^2 \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $q, \mu, L$  but it is independent of  $\gamma$ .

Let us now deal with the terms containing the function  $g$ . We use the bound

$$\|g\|_{L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

established in Section 3.1; even in this case the constant  $C$  is independent of  $\gamma$ .

We first have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_7| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} 2\eta (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma \sum_{s=1}^n g \eta_{x_s} u_{x_s} dx \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} 2\eta (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} |D\eta| |Du| dx \\
&\leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}} dx \\
&\leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q}{2}} dx \\
&\leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx
\end{aligned}$$

because we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
q &\geq 2 - \mu \\
2q &\geq q + 2 - \mu \\
2q - 2 + \mu &\geq q
\end{aligned}$$

so we have the inequality

$$q - \frac{2 - \mu}{2} = \frac{2q - 2 + \mu}{2} \geq \frac{q}{2} \quad (3.7)$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_8| &\leq 2\gamma \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - 1} |D(|Du|)| |Du|^2 dx \\
&\leq 2\gamma \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - 1} |D^2 u| |Du|^2 dx
\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$|I_9| \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma |D^2 u| dx$$

so we can estimate them together and, going on as we did in  $I_6$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_8| + |I_9| &\leq 2\gamma \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |D^2u| |Du|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma |D^2u| dx \\
&\leq 2(\gamma + 1) \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma |D^2u| dx \\
&\leq \frac{L}{4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D^2u|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-\frac{\mu}{2}} dx \\
&\quad + C \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_R)}^2 (1 + \gamma^2) \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma+q-\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up and using (1.6) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}+\gamma} |D^2u|^2 dx \leq C (1 + \gamma^2) \int_{\Omega} (\eta^2 + |D\eta|^2) (1 + |Du|^2)^{q-\frac{2-\mu}{2}+\gamma} dx \quad (3.8)$$

where the constant  $C$  depends on  $\nu, L, n, q, \mu$  and on the local bounds on function  $g$  but is independent of  $\gamma$ . By Sobolev embedding theorem, recalling that  $\mu \leq 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2^*} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma+\frac{2-\mu}{2})\frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\
&= \left( \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2^*} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\frac{\gamma}{2}+\frac{2-\mu}{4})2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left| D \left[ \eta (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+\frac{2-\mu}{4}} \right] \right|^2 dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma+\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \\
&\quad + C (1 + \gamma^2) \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 \left[ (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+\frac{2-\mu}{4}-1} |Du| |D^2u| \right]^2 dx \\
&= C \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma+\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \\
&\quad + C (1 + \gamma^2) \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma+\frac{2-\mu}{2}-2} |Du|^2 |D^2u|^2 dx \\
&= C \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma+\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \\
&\quad + C (1 + \gamma^2) \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-\frac{\mu}{2}-1} |Du|^2 |D^2u|^2 dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma+\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \\
&\quad + C (1 + \gamma^2) \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-\frac{\mu}{2}} |D^2u|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$2^* = \begin{cases} \frac{2n}{n-2} & \text{if } n \geq 3 \\ \text{any finite exponent} & \text{if } n = 2 \end{cases}$$

and we can observe that  $2^* > 2$ . Thanks to the left hand side of (1.4), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{q}{2-\mu} \\ 2-\mu &\leq q \\ \frac{2-\mu}{2} &\leq q - \frac{2-\mu}{2} \end{aligned}$$

which allow us to say that

$$\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2} \leq q - \frac{2-\mu}{2} + \gamma,$$

so we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2^*} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{q - \frac{2-\mu}{2} + \gamma} dx \\ &\quad + C(1 + \gamma^2) \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} |D^2 u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (3.8), we finally get

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2^*} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C(1 + \gamma^2) \int_{\Omega} (\eta^2 + |D\eta|^2) (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx$$

from which we deduce

$$\left( \int_{B_{\rho}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C \frac{(1 + \gamma^2)}{(R - \rho)^2} \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx$$

for any  $0 < \rho < R$ .

We introduce now the quantity  $\sigma$  such that

$$q - \frac{2-\mu}{2} = \frac{2-\mu}{2} + \sigma; \tag{3.9}$$

we observe that  $\sigma > 0$  due to left hand side of assumption (1.4). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{B_{\rho}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} &\leq C \frac{(1 + \gamma^2)}{(R - \rho)^2} \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \\ &= C \frac{(1 + \gamma^2)}{(R - \rho)^2} \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2} + \sigma} dx \end{aligned}$$

which allows us to say that

$$\left( \int_{B_\rho} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C \frac{(1 + \gamma^2)}{(R - \rho)^2} \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_R)}^\sigma \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \quad (3.10)$$

At this point we inductively define the exponents

$$\gamma_1 := 0, \quad \gamma_{k+1} := \left[ \left( \gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2} \right) \frac{2^*}{2} - \frac{2-\mu}{2} \right], \quad \alpha_k := \gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}, \quad (3.11)$$

for every integer  $k \geq 1$ . It follows that

$$\alpha_{k+1} = \left( \gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2} \right) \frac{2^*}{2} = \chi \alpha_k \quad \text{with } \chi := \frac{2^*}{2}$$

By induction we can prove that

$$\gamma_k := \frac{2-\mu}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{2^*}{2} \right)^{k-1} - 1 \right]$$

Now we consider  $0 < \rho_0 < R_0$  and set

$$R_k = \rho_0 + \frac{(R_0 - \rho_0)}{2^k} \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

so that  $R_{k+1} \leq R_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and

$$R_k - R_{k+1} = \frac{(R_0 - \rho_0)}{2^{k+1}}.$$

We rewrite (3.10) with  $\rho = R_{k+1}$  and  $R = R_k$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{B_{R_{k+1}}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ & \leq C \frac{(1 + \gamma_k^2)}{(R_k - R_{k+1})^2} \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_k})}^\sigma \int_{B_{R_k}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

from which we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{B_{R_{k+1}}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ & \leq C \frac{4^{k+1} (1 + \gamma_k^2)}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^2} \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_k})}^\sigma \int_{B_{R_k}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$A_k := \left( \int_{B_{R_k}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2})} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}}}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k+1} &:= \left( \int_{B_{R_{k+1}}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma_{k+1} + \frac{2-\mu}{2})} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1} + \frac{2-\mu}{2}}} \\ &= \left( \int_{B_{R_{k+1}}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{(\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

In this way, (3.12) becomes

$$A_{k+1} \leq \left[ C \frac{4^{k+1} (1 + \gamma_k^2)}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^2} \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_k})}^\sigma \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}}} A_k$$

which can be also rewritten, in view of (3.11), as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k+1} &\leq \left[ C \frac{4^{k+1} (1 + \gamma_k^2)}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^2} \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_k})}^\sigma \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} A_k \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^k \left[ C \frac{4^{i+1} (1 + \gamma_i^2)}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^2} \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_i})}^\sigma \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} A_1. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate now the term in the right hand side multiplying  $A_1$  to show it is finite. First of all, once more inductively by (3.11), we have

$$\alpha_{k+1} = \frac{2-\mu}{2} \left( \frac{2^*}{2} \right)^k$$

so we can say that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2-\mu} \left( \frac{2}{2^*} \right)^{i-1} = \frac{2}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{2^*} \right)^{i-1}$$

We have for  $n \geq 3$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \prod_{i=1}^k \left[ \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_i})}^\sigma \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \exp \left( \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \left[ \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}^\sigma \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \frac{\sigma \log \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}}{\alpha_i} \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}^{\sigma \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \right)} \\ &= \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}^{\sigma \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \right)} \\ &= \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}^{\sigma \frac{2}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{2^*} \right)^{i-1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}^{(q-2+\mu)\frac{2}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \left(\frac{2}{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\right)^{i-1}} \\
&= \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}^{(q-2+\mu)\frac{2}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^{i-1}} \\
&= \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}^{(q-2+\mu)\frac{2}{2-\mu} \frac{1}{1-\frac{n-2}{n}}} \\
&= \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}^{\frac{q-2+\mu}{2-\mu} n}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let us define

$$M_k = \prod_{i=1}^k [C 4^{i+1} (1 + \gamma_i^2)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} = \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\log(C (1 + \gamma_i^2) 4^{i+1})}{\alpha_i} \right)$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\log(C (1 + \gamma_i^2) 4^{i+1})}{\alpha_i} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\log(C) + (i+1) \log(4) + \log(1 + \gamma_i^2)}{\alpha_i} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{\log(C) + (i+1) \log(4) + \log(1 + \gamma_i^2)}{\alpha_i} \\
&= \frac{2}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{\log(C) + (i+1) \log(4) + \log(1 + \gamma_i^2)}{\chi^{i-1}} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{\log(C) + (i+1) \log(4) + 2 \log(\gamma_i)}{\chi^{i-1}} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{\log(C) + (i+1) \log(4) + 2 \log(\chi) (i-1)}{\chi^{i-1}} \\
&= \frac{2}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{Ai + B}{\chi^{i-1}} \\
&= \frac{2}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^\infty (Ai + B) \left( \frac{2}{2^*} \right)^{i-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\chi = \frac{2^*}{2} \geq 1$  and  $A, B$  are constants, we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} M_k \leq \exp \left( \frac{2}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^\infty (Ai + B) \left( \frac{2}{2^*} \right)^{i-1} \right) = M < \infty.$$

Thanks to that and the fact that  $R_0 > R_1$ , together with the fact that  $A_1 < \infty$ , letting  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , we can write that

$$\|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{\rho_0})} \leq \frac{M}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^{\frac{2n}{2-\mu}}} \|1 + |Du|^2\|^{\frac{q-2+\mu}{2-\mu}n} \left( \int_{B_{R_0}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}$$

Assumption (1.4) implies:

$$\frac{q-2+\mu}{2-\mu} n = \left( \frac{q}{2-\mu} - 1 \right) n < 1,$$

so we can use the Young's inequality with exponents  $\frac{2-\mu}{(q-2+\mu)n}$  and  $\frac{2-\mu}{(2-\mu)-(q-2+\mu)n}$ , to get:

$$\|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{\rho_0})} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})} + \left( \frac{M}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^{\frac{2n}{2-\mu}}} \right)^\theta \left( \int_{B_{R_0}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2\theta}{2-\mu}}$$

for an exponent  $\theta = \theta(n, r, q, \mu) > 0$ . Since previous estimate holds true for  $\rho < \rho_0 < R_0 < R$  by Lemma 2.1 we get the desired a priori estimate

$$\|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_\rho)} \leq \left( \frac{M}{(R - \rho)^{\frac{2n}{2-\mu}}} \right)^\theta \left( \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2\theta}{2-\mu}}$$

The case  $n = 2$  can be treated in an analogous way, only we need to observe that in this case we would have

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \left[ \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_i})}^\sigma \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} \leq \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}^{\frac{\sigma 2}{2-\mu} \frac{2^*}{2^*-2}}$$

and passing to the limit in the exponent of the term in the right hand side as  $2^* \rightarrow \infty$  we would obtain

$$\frac{2\sigma}{2-\mu} < 1 \Leftrightarrow q < \frac{3}{2}(2-\mu),$$

which is exactly (1.4) in the case  $n = 2$ . The rest of the proof follows similarly.

#### 4. A PRIORI ESTIMATE: THE SOBOLEV CASE

By proceeding along the same lines as in Section 3.1 to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} D_{\xi} f(x, Du) \cdot D\eta \, dx = \int_{\Omega} g\eta \, dx \quad \forall \eta \in C_0^1(\Omega). \quad (4.1)$$

We are left to obtain an  $L^r$  estimate for  $g$ : since  $Du = D\psi$  a.e. on the contact set, by (1.6) and (1.10) and the assumption  $D\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g| &= |\operatorname{div}(D_{\xi} f(x, Du)) \chi_{[u=\psi]}| = |\operatorname{div}(D_{\xi} f(x, D\psi))| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n |f_{\xi_k x_k}(x, D\psi)| + \sum_{k,i=1}^n |f_{\xi_k \xi_i}(x, D\psi) \psi_{x_k x_i}| \\ &\leq h(x) (1 + |D\psi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} + L(1 + |D\psi|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |D^2\psi| \end{aligned}$$

that is  $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^r(\Omega)$ .



By requiring the same additional a priori assumptions as in Section 3.2, also in this case we can assume that the “second variation” system holds

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} D_{x_i} \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) D_{x_i} \varphi \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} g D_{x_s} \varphi dx, \quad (4.2)$$

for all  $s = 1, \dots, n$  and for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . We fix  $0 < \rho < R$  with  $B_R$  compactly contained in  $\Omega$  and we choose  $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $\eta \equiv 1$  on  $B_\rho$ ,  $\eta \equiv 0$  outside  $B_R$  and  $|D\eta| \leq \frac{C}{(R-\rho)}$ . We test (3.5) with  $\varphi = \eta^2(1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s}$ , for some  $\gamma \geq 0$  so that

$$D_{x_i} \varphi = 2\eta \eta_{x_i} (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s} + 2\eta^2 \gamma (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| D_{x_i} (|Du|) u_{x_s} + \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s x_i}$$

Inserting in (4.2) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} 2\eta \eta_{x_i} (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s x_i} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{\xi_i \xi_j}(x, Du) u_{x_j x_s} 2\eta^2 \gamma (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| D_{x_i} (|Du|) u_{x_s} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) 2\eta \eta_{x_i} (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s x_i} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) 2\eta^2 \gamma (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| D_{x_i} (|Du|) u_{x_s} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} g 2\eta \eta_{x_s} (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} g 2\eta^2 \gamma (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| D_{x_s} (|Du|) u_{x_s} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} g \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^\gamma u_{x_s x_s} dx \\ &=: I_{1,s} + I_{2,s} + I_{3,s} + I_{4,s} + I_{5,s} + I_{6,s} + I_{7,s} + I_{8,s} + I_{9,s}. \end{aligned}$$

We sum in the previous equation all terms with respect to  $s$  from 1 to  $n$ , and we denote by  $I_1 - I_9$  the corresponding integrals.

By the fact that  $D_{x_j} (|Du|) |Du| = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{x_j x_k} u_{x_k}$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the Young inequality and (1.6), we can estimate the terms  $I_1$  and  $I_3$  as in Section 3.2.

We can estimate the fourth term by the Cauchy-Schwarz and the Young inequalities, together with (1.10), as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_4| &= \left| 2 \int_{\Omega} \eta (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \sum_{i,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) \eta_{x_i} u_{x_s} dx \right| \\
&\stackrel{(1.10)}{\leq} 2 \int_{\Omega} |h(x)| \eta (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q-1}{2}} \sum_{i,s=1}^n |\eta_{x_i} u_{x_s}| dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |h(x)| \eta |D\eta| |Du| (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q-1}{2}} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |h(x)| (\eta^2 + |D\eta|^2) (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

We can estimate the fifth term by (3.6) and by the Cauchy-Schwarz and the Young inequalities, together with (1.10), as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_5| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \sum_{i,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) u_{x_s x_i} dx \right| \\
&\stackrel{(1.10)}{\leq} \int_{\Omega} |h(x)| \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q-1}{2}} \left| \sum_{i,s=1}^n u_{x_s x_i} \right| dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |h(x)| \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q-1}{2}} |D^2 u| dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} |D^2 u|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ h^2(x) \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} |D^2 u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} h^2(x) \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (3.6),  $|D(|Du|)| \leq |D^2 u|$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz and the Young inequalities and (1.10) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_6| &= \left| 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} dx \right| \\
&= \left| 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |Du| \sum_{i,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} dx \right| \\
&\leq 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{i,s=1}^n f_{\xi_i x_s}(x, Du) D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} \right| dx \\
&\leq 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |h(x)| (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{q-1}{2}} \left| \sum_{i,s=1}^n D_{x_i}(|Du|) u_{x_s} \right| dx \\
&\leq 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |h(x)| (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{q-1}{2}} |D(|Du|)| |Du| dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |h(x)| (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q-1}{2}} |D^2u| dx \\
&\stackrel{(3.6)}{=} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} |D^2u|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ 4\gamma^2 \eta^2 h^2(x) (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D^2u|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} dx + C\gamma^2 \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 h^2(x) (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $q, \mu$  but it is independent of  $\gamma$ .

Let us now deal with the terms containing the function  $g$ . We use the bound

$$\|g\|_{L^r_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

established thanks to the new assumption on the gradient of the obstacle; also in this case the constant  $C$  is independent of  $\gamma$ .

By (3.7), we first have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_7| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} 2\eta (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} \sum_{s=1}^n g \eta_{x_s} u_{x_s} dx \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} 2\eta (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} |g| |D\eta| |Du| dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |g| |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |g| |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + \frac{q}{2}} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |g| |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx
\end{aligned}$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_8| &\leq 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} |g| \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |D(|Du|)| |Du|^2 dx \\
&\leq 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} |g| \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |D^2u| |Du|^2 dx
\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$|I_9| \leq \int_{\Omega} |g| \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} |D^2u| dx$$

so we can estimate them together and, going on as we did in  $I_6$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_8| + |I_9| &\leq 2\gamma \int_{\Omega} |g| \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma-1} |D^2u| |Du|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |g| \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} |D^2u| dx \\
&\leq 2(1 + \gamma) \int_{\Omega} |g| \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma} |D^2u| dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D^2u|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ C (1 + \gamma^2) \int_{\Omega} |g|^2 \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma + q - \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx$$

Summing up and using (1.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{-\frac{\mu}{2} + \gamma} |D^2 u|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \Theta (1 + \gamma^2) \left[ \int_{\Omega} (\eta^{2m} + |D\eta|^{2m}) (1 + |Du|^2)^{(q - \frac{2-\mu}{2} + \gamma)m} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where the constant  $C$  depends on  $\nu, L, q, \mu$  but is independent of  $\gamma$  and where we set

$$\Theta = 1 + \|g\|_{L^r(\Omega)}^2 + \|h\|_{L^r(\Omega)}^2$$

and

$$m = \frac{r}{r-2} \quad (4.4)$$

By Sobolev embedding theorem, by the left hand side of (1.9), recalling that  $\mu \leq 2$  and proceeding as we did in Section 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2^*} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} & \leq C \int_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{q - \frac{2-\mu}{2} + \gamma} dx \\ & \quad + C (1 + \gamma^2) \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma - \frac{\mu}{2}} |D^2 u|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

where we set  $2^*$  the same way we did before, a part from the case  $n = 2$  for which we assume  $2^* > 2m$ .

Thanks to (4.3), we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2^*} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ & \leq C \Theta (1 + \gamma^2) \left[ \int_{\Omega} (\eta^{2m} + |D\eta|^{2m}) (1 + |Du|^2)^{(q - \frac{2-\mu}{2} + \gamma)m} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce

$$\left( \int_{B_\rho} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C \frac{\Theta (1 + \gamma^2)}{(R - \rho)^2} \left[ \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(q - \frac{2-\mu}{2} + \gamma)m} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

for any  $0 < \rho < R$ .

At this point we introduce the quantity  $\sigma$  defined as

$$\sigma = q - \frac{2 - \mu}{2} - \frac{2 - \mu}{2m}$$

where we observe that  $\sigma > 0$  due to left hand side inequality of assumption (1.9) and the fact that  $m > 1$ . That allow us to say that

$$q - \frac{2 - \mu}{2} = \sigma + \frac{2 - \mu}{2m}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{B_\rho} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} &\leq C \frac{\Theta(1 + \gamma^2)}{(R - \rho)^2} \left[ \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(q - \frac{2-\mu}{2} + \gamma)m} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \\ &= C \frac{\Theta(1 + \gamma^2)}{(R - \rho)^2} \left[ \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\sigma + \frac{2-\mu}{2m} + \gamma)m} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \end{aligned}$$

which allow us to say that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{B_\rho} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ &\leq C \frac{\Theta(1 + \gamma^2)}{(R - \rho)^2} \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_R)}^{\sigma} \left[ \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\gamma m + \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

We now inductively define the exponents

$$\gamma_1 := 0, \quad \gamma_{k+1} := \frac{1}{m} \left[ \left( \gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2} \right) \frac{2^*}{2} - \frac{2-\mu}{2} \right], \quad \alpha_k := m \gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2},$$

for every integer  $k \geq 1$ . It follows that

$$\alpha_{k+1} = \left( \gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2} \right) \frac{2^*}{2} = \chi \alpha_k + \tau$$

where we have set

$$\chi := \frac{2^*}{2m} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau := \frac{2^* \alpha_1}{r} = \frac{2^* (2-\mu)}{2r}$$

By induction we can prove that

$$\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_1 \chi^k + \tau \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi^i = \frac{2-\mu}{2} \chi^k + \tau \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi^i \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\gamma_{k+1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{m} (\chi^k - 1) + \frac{\tau}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi^i = \frac{2-\mu}{2m} (\chi^k - 1) + \frac{\tau}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi^i \quad (4.7)$$

For a later use, we record the elementary estimate

$$\gamma_{k+1} \leq \frac{2\alpha_1}{\chi - 1} \chi^{k+1} = \frac{2-\mu}{\chi - 1} \chi^{k+1} \quad (4.8)$$

Now we consider  $0 < \rho_0 < R_0$  and set

$$R_k = \rho_0 + \frac{(R_0 - \rho_0)}{2^k} \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

so that  $R_{k+1} \leq R_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and

$$R_k - R_{k+1} = \frac{(R_0 - \rho_0)}{2^{k+1}}.$$

We rewrite (4.5) with  $\rho = R_{k+1}$  and  $R = R_k$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{B_{R_{k+1}}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ & \leq C \frac{\Theta(1 + \gamma_k^2)}{(R_k - R_{k+1})^2} \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_k})}^\sigma \left[ \int_{B_{R_k}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{m\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

from which we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{B_{R_{k+1}}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ & \leq C \frac{4^{k+1} \Theta(1 + \gamma_k^2)}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^2} \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_k})}^\sigma \left[ \int_{B_{R_k}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{m\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \end{aligned}$$

and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{R_{k+1}}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}} dx \\ & \leq \left[ C \frac{4^{k+1} \Theta(1 + \gamma_k^2)}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^2} \right]^{\frac{2^*}{2}} \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_k})}^{\frac{2^* \sigma}{2}} \left[ \int_{B_{R_k}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{m\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \right]^\chi \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define:

$$A_k := \left( \int_{B_{R_k}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\alpha_k} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}}$$

where  $\alpha_k = m\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}$  and  $\alpha_{k+1} = (\gamma_k + \frac{2-\mu}{2}) \frac{2^*}{2}$ . So we can rewrite (4.8) as:

$$A_{k+1} \leq \left[ C \frac{4^{k+1} \Theta(1 + \gamma_k^2)}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^2} \right]^{\frac{2^*}{2\alpha_{k+1}}} \left( \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_k})}^{\frac{2^* \sigma}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{k+1}}} A_k^{\frac{\alpha_k \chi}{\alpha_{k+1}}}$$

Iterating this inequality we obtain:

$$A_{k+1} \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \left[ C \frac{4^{i+1} \Theta(1 + \gamma_i^2)}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^2} \right]^{\frac{2^* \chi^{k-i}}{2\alpha_{k+1}}} \left( \|(1 + |Du|^2)\|_{L^\infty(B_R)}^{\frac{2^* \sigma}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{k+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi^i} A_1^{\frac{\chi^k \alpha_1}{\alpha_{k+1}}} \quad (4.11)$$

We can notice that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{k+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi^i = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi^i}{\alpha_1 \chi^k + \tau \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi^i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\chi^k - 1}{\alpha_1(\chi - 1)\chi^k + \tau(\chi^k - 1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_1(\chi - 1) + \tau} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{(\chi - 1)\alpha_1}
\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\chi^k \alpha_1}{\alpha_{k+1}} = \frac{\alpha_1(\chi - 1)}{\alpha_1(\chi - 1) + \tau} = \delta \quad (4.12)$$

We define:

$$M_k := \prod_{i=1}^k [C 4^{i+1} (1 + \gamma_i^2)]^{\frac{2^* \chi^{k-i}}{2\alpha_{k+1}}} = \exp \left[ \frac{2^*}{2\alpha_{k+1}} \sum_{i=1}^k \chi^{k-i} \log(C 4^{i+1} (1 + \gamma_i^2)) \right]$$

and we have, thanks to (4.6):

$$\begin{aligned}
M_k &= \exp \left[ \frac{2^*}{2\alpha_{k+1}} \sum_{i=1}^k \chi^{k-i} \log(C) + \chi^{k-i} (i+1) \log(4) + \chi^{k-i} \log(1 + \gamma_i^2) \right] \\
&= \exp \left[ \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\log(C) (\chi - 1)}{[\alpha_1 (\chi - 1) + \tau] \chi^i - \tau \chi^{i-k}} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(i+1) \log(4) (\chi - 1)}{[\alpha_1 (\chi - 1) + \tau] \chi^i - \tau \chi^{i-k}} \right] \\
&\times \exp \left[ \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\log(1 + \gamma_i^2) (\chi - 1)}{[\alpha_1 (\chi - 1) + \tau] \chi^i - \tau \chi^{i-k}} \right] \\
&= \exp(L_{1k}) + \exp(L_{2k}) + \exp(L_{3k})
\end{aligned}$$

Now we show that those three quantities are bounded by some constants  $C_i, i = 1, \dots, 6$  depending only on the data  $n, r, \mu, q$ .

Let us start with the estimate of  $L_1$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\log(C) (\chi - 1)}{[\alpha_1 (\chi - 1) + \tau] \chi^i - \tau \chi^{i-k}} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\log(C)}{\chi^i \left[ \frac{2-\mu}{2} (\chi - 1) + \tau - \frac{\tau}{\chi^k} \right]} \\
&\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\log(C)}{\chi^i (\chi - 1)^{\frac{2-\mu}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{2^* \log(C)}{(2-\mu)(\chi - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\chi} \right)^i \\
&\leq C_1 < +\infty
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $L_2$  we can say that:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(i+1) \log(4) (\chi - 1)}{[\alpha_1 (\chi - 1) + \tau] \chi^i - \tau \chi^{i-k}} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(i+1) \log(C)}{\chi^i \left[ \frac{2-\mu}{2} (\chi - 1) + \tau - \frac{\tau}{\chi^k} \right]} \\
&\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(i+1) \log(C)}{\chi^i (\chi - 1)^{\frac{2-\mu}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{2^* \log(C)}{(2-\mu)(\chi - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i+1}{\chi^i} \\
&= \frac{2^* \log(C)}{(2-\mu)(\chi - 1)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \left( \frac{1}{\chi} \right)^i + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\chi} \right)^i \right] \\
&\leq C_2 < +\infty
\end{aligned}$$

And for  $L_3$ , thanks to (4.7), we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\log(1 + \gamma_i^2) (\chi - 1)}{[\alpha_1 (\chi - 1) + \tau] \chi^i - \tau \chi^{i-k}} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\chi - 1) \log(1 + \gamma_i^2)}{\chi^i \left[ \frac{2-\mu}{2} (\chi - 1) + \tau - \frac{\tau}{\chi^k} \right]} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{(\chi - 1) \log(1 + \gamma_i^2)}{\chi^i \left[ \frac{2-\mu}{2} (\chi - 1) + \tau - \frac{\tau}{\chi^k} \right]} \\
&\leq \frac{2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log(1 + \gamma_i^2)}{\chi^i} \\
&\leq \frac{2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log \left( \gamma_i^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_i^2} \right) \right)}{\chi^i} \\
&= \frac{2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\gamma_i^2) + \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_i^2} \right)}{\chi^i} \\
&= \frac{2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\gamma_i^2)}{\chi^i} + \frac{2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_i^2} \right)}{\chi^i} \\
&= L_4 + L_5
\end{aligned}$$



where we can say that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_4 &= \frac{2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\gamma_i^2)}{\chi^i} \\
&= \frac{2 \cdot 2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\gamma_i)}{\chi^i} \\
&= \frac{2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log\left(\left(\frac{2-\mu}{2} + \frac{\tau}{\chi-1}\right) \frac{\chi^{i-1}-1}{m}\right)}{\chi^i} \\
&= C_3 + \frac{2 \cdot 2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\chi^{i-1}-1)}{\chi^i} \\
&\leq C_3 + \frac{2 \cdot 2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{(i-1) \log(\chi)}{\chi^i} \\
&\leq C_3 + \frac{2 \cdot 2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{i-1}{\chi^i} \\
&\leq C_3 + C_4 < +\infty \\
\\
L_5 &= \frac{2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_i^2}\right)}{\chi^i} \\
&\leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_i}\right)}{\chi^i} \\
&\leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\chi^i \gamma_i} \\
&= \frac{2 \cdot 2^*}{2-\mu} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\chi^i \left(\frac{2-\mu}{2} + \frac{\tau}{\chi-1}\right) \frac{\chi^{i-1}-1}{m}} \\
&= C_5 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\chi^i (\chi^{i-1}-1)} \\
&\leq C_5 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\chi^i (\chi-1)} \\
&= C_5 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\chi^i} \\
&\leq C_6 < +\infty
\end{aligned}$$

So we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} M_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \exp(L_1) + \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \exp(L_2) + \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \exp(L_3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \exp(C_1) + \exp(C_2) + \exp(C_3 + C_4 + C_6) \\
&\leq M < +\infty
\end{aligned}$$

Last but not least we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
X &:= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{2^* \chi^{k-i}}{2\alpha_{k+1}} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\chi^{k-i}}{\frac{2-\mu}{2} \chi^k + \tau \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi^i} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\chi^{k-i}}{\frac{2-\mu}{2} \chi^k + \tau \frac{\chi^k - 1}{\chi - 1}} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^* (\chi - 1)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\frac{2-\mu}{2} (\chi - 1) \chi^i + \tau \chi^i - \tau \chi^{i-k}} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^* (\chi - 1)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\chi^i \left[ \frac{2-\mu}{2} (\chi - 1) + \tau - \frac{\tau}{\chi^k} \right]} \\
&\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2^* (\chi - 1)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\chi^i (\chi - 1)^{\frac{2-\mu}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{2^*}{2 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\chi^i} < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (4.12) and letting  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , noticing that  $R_0 > R_1$ , we can we can rewrite (4.11) as follows

$$\|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{\rho_0})} \leq \frac{M \Theta^X}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^{2X}} \|1 + |Du|^2\|^{\frac{2^* \sigma}{2\alpha_1(\chi-1)+2\tau}} \left( \int_{B_{R_0}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2\delta}{2-\mu}}$$

Now we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
E &:= \frac{2^* \sigma}{2\alpha_1(\chi - 1) + 2\tau} \\
&= \frac{\frac{2^* \sigma}{2}}{\frac{2-\mu}{2} (\chi - 1) + \frac{2^* \alpha_1}{r}} \\
&= \frac{\frac{2^*}{2} \left[ q - (2 - \mu) \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2m} \right) \right]}{\frac{2-\mu}{2} \left( \frac{2^*}{2m} - 1 \right) + \frac{2^* (2-\mu)}{2r}} \\
&= \frac{2^* \left[ q - (2 - \mu) \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2m} \right) \right]}{(2 - \mu) \left[ \frac{2^*}{2m} - 1 + \frac{2^*}{r} \right]}
\end{aligned}$$

and once more we have to prove that  $E < 1$ . Now, if  $n \geq 3$  then we are done if and only if we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^* \left[ q - (2 - \mu) \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2m} \right) \right] &< (2 - \mu) \left[ \frac{2^*}{2m} - 1 + \frac{2^*}{r} \right] \\ 2^* \left[ q - (2 - \mu) \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2m} \right) \right] &< 2^* (2 - \mu) \left[ \frac{1}{2m} - \frac{1}{2^*} + \frac{1}{r} \right] \\ q &< (2 - \mu) \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2m} + \frac{1}{2m} - \frac{1}{2^*} + \frac{1}{r} \right] \end{aligned}$$

but using the equality

$$\frac{1}{2m} - \frac{1}{2^*} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r} \quad (4.13)$$

we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2m} + \frac{1}{2m} - \frac{1}{2^*} + \frac{1}{r} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{2^*} + \frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{2^*} + \frac{1}{r} \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^*} + \frac{2}{n} \right] - \frac{1}{r} \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n-2}{2n} + \frac{2}{n} \right] - \frac{1}{r} \\ &= \frac{n + n - 2 + 4}{2n} - \frac{1}{r} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

and the thesis is proved. On the other hand, if  $n = 2$ , then passing to the limit as  $2^* \rightarrow \infty$  in the expression of  $E$  we deduce

$$\frac{\sigma}{(2 - \mu) \left( \frac{1}{2m} + \frac{1}{r} \right)} < 1 \Leftrightarrow q < (2 - \mu) \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{r} \right] \stackrel{(4.4)}{=} (2 - \mu) \left[ \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{r} \right]$$

which is nothing but (1.9) with the choice  $n = 2$ . Thus we can use the Young's inequality with exponents  $\frac{2\alpha_1(\chi-1)+2\tau}{2^*\sigma}$  and  $\frac{2\alpha_1(\chi-1)+2\tau}{[2\alpha_1(\chi-1)+2\tau]-2^*\sigma}$ , to get:

$$\|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{\rho_0})} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})} + \left( \frac{M\Theta^X}{(R_0 - \rho_0)^{2X}} \right)^\vartheta \left( \int_{B_{R_0}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2\delta\vartheta}{2-\mu}}$$

for an exponent  $\vartheta = \vartheta(n, r, q, \mu) > 0$ . Since previous estimate holds true for  $\rho < \rho_0 < R_0 < R$ , once more by Lemma 2.1 we finally get

$$\|1 + |Du|^2\|_{L^\infty(B_\rho)} \leq \left( \frac{M\Theta^X}{(R - \rho)^{2X}} \right)^\vartheta \left( \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{2-\mu}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2\delta\vartheta}{2-\mu}}$$

## 5. CONCLUSION

The Lipschitz regularity results usually are carried out over three steps: approximation, a priori estimates and passage to the limit. We note that our a priori estimates do not require any additional assumption on the structure of the Lagrangian  $f$ . This means that we can choose different methods in order to carry out our main results.

As long as we are in the situation where the Lavrentiev phenomenon does not occur, we can approximate from above like it has been done in [39], to which we refer for the details (the only difference is obviously in the a priori estimate, but all the rest can be carried out in the same way). We remark that, differently from [25], we have enough regularity to pass to the limit without involving the relaxed functional.

In this respect, the presence of the function  $\bar{F}$  with superlinear growth and the strict convexity of the functional reveal to be crucial in order to perform the passage to the limit.

## COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval:** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by the authors.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. ACERBI, G. BOUCHITTÉ, I. FONSECA: *Relaxation of convex functionals: the gap problem*, Ann. IHP Anal. Non Lin., **20**, (2003), 359–390.
- [2] E. ACERBI, N. FUSCO: *Regularity for minimizers of nonquadratic functionals: the case  $1 < p < 2$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **140**, (1989), no. 1, 115–135.
- [3] P. BELLA, M. SCHÄFFNER: *On the regularity of minimizers for scalar integral functionals with  $(p, q)$ -growth*, Analysis & PDE, (2019), to appear. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.12279v1>
- [4] C. BENASSI, M. CASELLI: *Lipschitz continuity results for obstacle problems*, Rendiconti Lincei, Matematica e Applicazioni, **31** (1), (2020), 191–210.
- [5] V. BÖGELEIN, F. DUZAAR, P. MARCELLINI: *Parabolic systems with  $p, q$ -growth: A variational approach*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **210**, (2013), no. 1, 219–267.
- [6] D. BREIT, B. DE MARIA, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Regularity for non-autonomous functionals with almost linear growth*, Manuscripta Math., **136** (1-2), (2011), 83–114.
- [7] G. BUTTAZZO, M. BELLONI: *A survey of old and recent results about the gap phenomenon in the Calculus of variations*, in: R. LUCCHETTI, J. REVALSKI (EDS.): *Recent Developments in Well-posed Variational Problems*, Mathematical Applications, Vol. 331, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (1995), 1–27.
- [8] G. BUTTAZZO, V.J. MIZEL: *Interpretation of the Lavrentiev Phenomenon by relaxation*, J. Functional Anal., **110** (2), (1992), 434–460.
- [9] C. CAPONE: *An higher integrability result for the second derivatives of the solutions to a class of elliptic PDE's*, Manuscripta Math., DOI: 10.1007/s00229-020-01186-2, (2020).
- [10] C. CAPONE, T. RADICE: *A regularity result for a class of elliptic equations with lower order terms*, J. Elliptic Parabolic Eq., (2020), to appear. DOI: 10.1007/s41808-020-00082-w
- [11] M. CAROZZA, J. KRISTENSEN, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Regularity of minimizers of autonomous convex variational integrals*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci., (5) **XIII**, (2014), 1065–1089.
- [12] M. CAROZZA, J. KRISTENSEN, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *On the validity of the Euler Lagrange system*, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal., **14** (1), (2018), 51–62.
- [13] M. CASELLI, M. ELEUTERI, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Regularity results for a class of obstacle problems with  $p, q$ -growth conditions*, preprint arXiv:1907.08527, (2019).

- [14] M. CASELLI, A. GENTILE, R. GIOVA: *Regularity results for solutions to obstacle problems with Sobolev coefficients*, J. Diff. Equ., **269** (10), (2020), 8308–8330.
- [15] M. COLOMBO, G. MINGIONE: *Regularity for double phase variational problems*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **215** (2), (2015), 443–496.
- [16] G. CUPINI, F. GIANNETTI, R. GIOVA, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Regularity results for vectorial minimizers of a class of degenerate convex integrals*, J. Diff. Equ., **265**, (2018), no. 9, 4375–4416.
- [17] C. DE FILIPPIS: *Regularity results for a class of nonautonomous obstacle problem with  $(p, q)$ -growth*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., to appear. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.123450>
- [18] C. DE FILIPPIS, G. MINGIONE: *On the regularity of minima of nonautonomous functionals*, J. Geom. Anal., **30**, (2020), 1584–1626.
- [19] C. DE FILIPPIS, G. PALATUCCI: *Hölder regularity for nonlocal double phase equations*, J. Diff. Equ., **267** (1), (2018), 547–586.
- [20] F. DUZAAR: *Variational inequalities for harmonic maps*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **374**, (1987), 39–60.
- [21] F. DUZAAR, J.F. GROTOWSKI, M. KRONZ: *Regularity of almost minimizers of quasi-convex variational integrals with subquadratic growth*, Annali Mat. Pura Appl., **184**, (2005), 421–448.
- [22] M. ELEUTERI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO: *Lipschitz continuity for energy integrals with variable exponents*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., **27**, (2016), no. 1, 61–87.
- [23] M. ELEUTERI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO: *Lipschitz estimates for systems with ellipticity conditions at infinity*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **195** (4), (2016), no. 5, 1575–1603.
- [24] M. ELEUTERI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO: *Local Lipschitz continuity of minimizers with mild assumptions on the  $x$ -dependence*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, **12**, (2019), no. 2, 251–265.
- [25] M. ELEUTERI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO: *Regularity for scalar integrals without structure conditions*, Adv. Calc. Var., (**13**) (2) (2020), 279–300.
- [26] M. ELEUTERI, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Higher differentiability for solutions to a class of obstacle problems*, Calc. Var., **57**, (2018), no. 5, 115.
- [27] M. ELEUTERI, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Regularity results for a class of non-differentiable obstacle problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, **194**, (2020), 111434.
- [28] M. ELEUTERI, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *On the validity of variational inequalities for obstacle problems with non-standard growth*, submitted.
- [29] L. ESPOSITO, F. LEONETTI, G. MINGIONE: *Sharp regularity for functionals with  $(p, q)$  growth*, J. Differential Equations, **204**, (2004), 5–55.
- [30] A. ESPOSITO, F. LEONETTI, P.V. PETRICCA: *Absence of Lavrentiev gap for non-autonomous functionals with  $(p, q)$ -growth*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal., **8** (1), (2019), 73–78.
- [31] M. FUCHS: *Variational inequalities for vector valued functions with non convex obstacles*, Analysis, **5**, (1985), 223–238.
- [32] M. FUCHS:  *$p$ -harmonic obstacle problems. Part I: Partial regularity theory*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **156**, (1990), 127–158.
- [33] M. FUCHS: *Topics in the Calculus of Variations*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Vieweg, (1994).
- [34] M. FUCHS, L. GONGBAO: *Variational inequalities for energy functionals with nonstandard growth conditions*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **3**, (1998), 41–64.
- [35] M. FUCHS, G. MINGIONE: *Full  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity for free and constrained local minimizers of elliptic variational integrals with nearly linear growth*, manuscripta math., **102**, (2000), 227–250.
- [36] M. FUCHS, G. SEREGIN: *A regularity theory for variational integrals with  $L \ln L$ -Growth*, Calc. Var., **6**, (1998), 171–187.
- [37] C. GAVIOLI: *Higher differentiability for a class of obstacle problems with nonstandard growth conditions*, Forum Mathematicum, **31**, (6), (2019), 1501–1516.
- [38] C. GAVIOLI: *A priori estimates for solutions to a class of obstacle problems under  $p, q$ -growth conditions.*, Journal of Elliptic and Parabolic Equations, **5**, (2019), no. 2, 325–437.
- [39] C. GAVIOLI: *Higher differentiability for a class of obstacle problems with nearly linear growth conditions*, submitted.
- [40] A. GENTILE: *Regularity for minimizers of a class of non-autonomous functionals with sub-quadratic growth*, Adv. Calc. Var., (2020), to appear. <https://doi.org/10.1515/acv-2019-0092>

- [41] A. GENTILE: *Higher differentiability results for solutions to a class of non-autonomous obstacle problems with sub-quadratic growth conditions*, preprint arXiv:2007.04064
- [42] R. GIOVA: *Higher differentiability for  $n$ -harmonic systems with Sobolev coefficients*, J. Diff. Equ., **259** (11), (2015), 5667–5687.
- [43] R. GIOVA, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Regularity results for a priori bounded minimizers of non-autonomous functionals with discontinuous coefficients*, Adv. Calc. Var., **12** (1), (2019), 85–110.
- [44] E. GIUSTI: *Direct methods in the calculus of variations*, World scientific publishing Co., (2003).
- [45] L. GRECO, T. IWANIEC, C. SBORDONE: *Variational integrals of nearly linear growth*, Differential and Integral Equations, **10** (4), (1997), 687–716.
- [46] P. HARIULEHTO, P. HÄSTÖ: *Double phase image restoration*, preprint arXiv:1906.09837, (2019).
- [47] C. LEONE, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI, A. VERDE: *Lipschitz regularity for some asymptotically subquadratic problems*, Nonlinear Anal., **67**, (2007), 1532–1539.
- [48] P. MARCELLINI: *On the definition and the lower semicontinuity of certain quasiconvex integrals*, Ann. I. Poincaré section C, tome 3, (1986), no. 5, 391–409.
- [49] P. MARCELLINI: *Un esempio di soluzione discontinua d'un problema variazionale nel caso scalare*, Preprint 11, Istituto Matematico “U. Dini”, Università di Firenze, (1987).
- [50] P. MARCELLINI: *Regularity of minimizers of integrals of the calculus of variations with nonstandard growth conditions*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **105**, (1989), no. 3, 267–284.
- [51] P. MARCELLINI: *Regularity and existence of solutions of elliptic equations with  $p, q$ -growth conditions*, J. Differential Equations, **90**, (1991), no. 1, 1–30.
- [52] P. MARCELLINI: *Regularity for elliptic equations with general growth conditions*, J. Differential Equations, **105**, (1993), no. 2, 296–333.
- [53] P. MARCELLINI: *A variational approach to parabolic equations under general and  $p, q$ -growth conditions*, Nonlinear Anal., **194**, (2019), 111456.
- [54] P. MARCELLINI: *Regularity under general and  $p, q$ -growth conditions*, Discrete Cont. Din. Systems, S Series, **13**, (2020), 2009–2031.
- [55] P. MARCELLINI, G. PAPI: *Nonlinear elliptic systems with general growth*, J. Diff. Equ., **221**, (2006), 412–443.
- [56] A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Existence and regularity results for a class of equations with logarithmic growth*, Nonlinear Analysis, **125**, (2015), 290–309.
- [57] A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Higher differentiability of minimizers of variational integrals with Sobolev coefficients*, Adv. Calc. Var., **7** (1), (2014), 59–89.
- [58] A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Higher differentiability of solutions of elliptic systems with Sobolev coefficients: the case  $p = n = 2$* , Pot. Anal., **41** (3), (2014), 715–735.
- [59] A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI: *Regularity results for non-autonomous variational integrals with discontinuous coefficients*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., **26** (4), (2015), 475–496.
- [60] M.A. RAGUSA, A. TACHIKAWA: *Regularity for minimizers for functionals of double phase with variable exponents*, Advances in Nonlinear Analysis, **9** (1), (2019), 710–728.
- [61] V.V. ZHIKOV: *On Lavrentiev phenomenon*, Russian J. Math. Phys., **3**, (1995), 249–269.

Email address: Corresponding author: 242679@studenti.unimore.it (Samuele Riccò)

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE FISICHE, INFORMATICHE E MATEMATICHE, VIA CAMPI 213/B, 41125 MODENA, ITALY