

# SHARP CONDITIONS FOR THE BBM FORMULA AND ASYMPTOTICS OF HEAT CONTENT-TYPE ENERGIES

LUCA GENNAIOLI AND GIORGIO STEFANI

ABSTRACT. Given  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we provide sufficient and necessary conditions on the non-negative measurable kernels  $(\rho_t)_{t \in (0,1)}$  ensuring convergence of the associated Bourgain–Brezis–Mironescu (BBM) energies  $(\mathcal{F}_{t,p})_{t \in (0,1)}$  to a variant of the  $p$ -Dirichlet energy on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  both in the pointwise and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense. We also devise sufficient conditions on  $(\rho_t)_{t \in (0,1)}$  yielding local compactness in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of sequences with bounded BBM energy. Moreover, we give sufficient conditions on  $(\rho_t)_{t \in (0,1)}$  implying pointwise and  $\Gamma$ -convergence and compactness of  $(\mathcal{F}_{t,p})_{t \in (0,1)}$  when the limit  $p$ -energy is of non-local type. Finally, we apply our results to provide asymptotic formulas in the pointwise and  $\Gamma$ -sense for heat content-type energies both in the local and non-local settings.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Framework.** We let  $I = (0, 1)$  and we fix a family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of non-negative functions. Given  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $t \in I$ , we consider the non-local functionals  $\mathcal{F}_{t,p}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,p}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_t(x - y) \, dx \, dy \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

The asymptotic behavior of the family  $(\mathcal{F}_{t,p})_{t \in I}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  was first investigated by Bourgain, Brezis and Mironescu in their seminal paper [19]. Their work has inspired a vast literature on non-local-to-local convergence results (or *BBM formulas*, after [19]).

---

*Date:* February 20, 2025.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E35. Secondary 26A33, 26D10, 35K08.

*Key words and phrases.* Non-local functionals, BBM formula, Sobolev and  $BV$  functions, Gamma-convergence, compactness, heat kernel, relative heat content, Hilbert spaces.

*Acknowledgements.* The first-named author is supported by UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) under the Horizon Europe funding guarantee (grant agreement No. EP/Z000297/1). The second-named author is member of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM), Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA), and has received funding from INdAM under the INdAM–GNAMPA Project 2025 *Metodi variazionali per problemi dipendenti da operatori frazionari isotropi e anisotropi* (grant agreement No. CUP\_E5324001950001), and from the European Union – NextGenerationEU and the University of Padua under the 2023 STARS@UNIPD Starting Grant Project *New Directions in Fractional Calculus – NewFrac* (grant agreement No. CUP\_C95F21009990001). The present research started while the authors were doctoral student and postdoctoral researcher, respectively, at the Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati (SISSA) in Trieste, Italy. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the institution for providing excellent working conditions and a stimulating atmosphere. The authors would also like to thank Luca Rizzi for his insightful questions regarding the fractional heat content from which the present work originated.

While a comprehensive overview of the research is beyond our scopes, we focus on the results most aligned with the spirit of [19]. In particular, we refer to [11, 29, 50, 51, 57, 58] for foundational contributions and to [47, 48] for extensions to general open sets. For  $\Gamma$ -convergence results, see [9, 14, 27, 32, 43, 56]. For energies derived from gradient-type integro-differential operators, we refer to [13, 23, 24, 26, 52, 53, 60]. For the extension to non-Euclidean frameworks, such as magnetic Sobolev spaces, Riemannian manifolds, Carnot groups, and metric-measure spaces, see [12, 33, 37–42, 44, 45, 55]. For other strictly related results, we also refer to the monographs [6, 49].

**1.2. Sharp conditions.** A common trait of the works mentioned above is that they only concern *sufficient* conditions for BBM formulas to hold. Namely, in the specific case of the functionals in (1.1), under a certain set of conditions on the family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$ , one can find an infinitesimal sequence  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and a non-negative Radon measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  on the  $(N-1)$ -dimensional sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , depending on  $(\rho_{t_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  only, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u) = \mathcal{D}_p^\mu(u) \quad (1.2)$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where

$$\mathcal{D}_p^\mu(u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\mu(\sigma). \quad (1.3)$$

Here and in the following, we let

$$\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N) = \begin{cases} W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) & \text{for } p > 1, \\ BV(\mathbb{R}^N) & \text{for } p = 1, \end{cases}$$

and we let  $Du$  be the distributional gradient of  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  (if  $p = 1$ , then  $Du$  may be a finite Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ). Moreover, for every  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we let

$$\|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\sigma \cdot Du(x)|^p dx & \text{for } p > 1, \\ |\sigma \cdot Du|(\mathbb{R}^N) & \text{for } p = 1. \end{cases}$$

In the recent paper [30], the authors devise a set of conditions on the family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  that are both *sufficient* and *necessary* for the validity of (1.2) in the case  $p = 2$  by means of Fourier transform techniques. Precisely, they recast the functionals  $(\mathcal{F}_{t,2})_{t \in I}$  in (1.1) into double integrals of the form

$$v \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(\xi)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1 - \cos(z \cdot \xi)}{|z|^2} \rho_t(z) dz d\xi$$

where  $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is such that  $|\cdot| |v| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Unfortunately, for  $p \neq 2$ , the Fourier approach is not viable anymore, but in [30, Sec. 5.3] the authors conjecture that similar conditions are sufficient and necessary for the validity of (1.2) for every  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

For *radially symmetric* families  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$ , necessary conditions are outlined in [35] for  $p = 2$ , while sufficient and necessary conditions are achieved in [34] for every  $p > 1$ , confirming the conjecture made in [30] in this particular case.

To the best of our knowledge, the conjecture in [30] is currently open for arbitrary families  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  and  $p \neq 2$ . Our first main result, stated in Theorem 1.1 below, affirmatively answers the conjecture posed in [30]. Even more, we prove that the conditions devised

in [30] are sufficient and necessary for the convergence of the functionals in (1.1) not only in the *pointwise* sense, but also in the  $\Gamma$ -convergence sense (for a complete description of  $\Gamma$ -convergence, we refer to the monographs [20, 28]).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . The following are equivalent.*

(A) *There exists an infinitesimal sequence  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  such that*

$$\sup_{R>0} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} R^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\rho_{t_k}(z)}{R^p + |z|^p} dz < \infty \quad (1.4)$$

*and  $\nu_k = \rho_{t_k} \mathcal{L}^N \xrightarrow{*} \alpha \delta_0$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $\alpha \geq 0$ .*

(B) *There exist an infinitesimal sequence  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  such that:*

- (i) *if  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u) \leq \mathcal{D}_p^\mu(u)$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k) \geq \mathcal{D}_p^\mu(u)$ .*

As in [47, 57], we can refine property (ii) in Theorem 1.1 by additionally requiring that the family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  has *maximal rank*; that is, by assuming that there exist  $\tau \in I$  and a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_N \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$\inf_{\delta>0} \inf_{i=1, \dots, N} \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_\delta \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(v_i)} \rho_t(z) dz > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_\tau(v_i) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \cdot v_i \geq (1 - \tau)|x|\}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Assume that (A) or (B) holds and that  $(\rho_{t_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  has maximal rank. If  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k) < \infty$ , then  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

When the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 holds—that is, when the finiteness of the  $\liminf$  of the functionals along an  $L^p$  convergent sequence implies that the limit function belongs to some subspace  $\mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  (e.g.,  $\mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ )—we say that the functionals  $(\mathcal{F}_{t, p})_{t \in I}$  are *coercive* on  $\mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  (see Definition 2.5 below for a more precise statement).

Any radially symmetric family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  has maximal rank, but non-radially symmetric families with maximal rank are known (examples can be found in [57]). We do not know if the maximal rank condition is also necessary for the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 to hold.

To prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we mix the approaches of [30, 47, 57] in a new fashion.

As in the proof of [30, Th. 1.2], the sufficiency part consists in showing that (1.4) yields the pointwise and  $\Gamma$ -convergence of  $(\mathcal{F}_{t, p})_{t \in I}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  (up to subsequences) to

$$\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\mu(\sigma) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} d\nu(z) \quad (1.6)$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are two non-negative Radon measures depending on  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  only (see Theorem 3.2 for the precise statement). Loosely speaking, the measure  $\mu$  is given by (up to subsequences)

$$\mu(E) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_E \left( \int_0^\delta \rho_t(\sigma r) r^{N-1} dr \right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma) \quad (1.7)$$

for every Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , while the measure  $\nu$  is (up to subsequences) the weak\* limit of the family  $(\rho_t \mathcal{L}^N)_{t \in I}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . Consequently, due to (1.6), in order to achieve (1.2), the measure  $\nu$  must be supported on  $\{0\}$ ; that is,  $\nu = \alpha \delta_0$  for some  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Additionally, thanks to (1.7), as in [47, 57] the maximal rank assumption (1.5) guarantees that the limit  $p$ -Dirichlet energy (1.3) bounds the  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  seminorm, yielding Theorem 1.2. To prove the convergence to  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}$ , we revise the line of [30] replacing Fourier transform techniques with some plain arguments invoking basic properties of  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  functions.

The proof of the necessary part differs from the one of [30] and combines three ingredients. We first show that the validity of (1.2) for some  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  implies (1.4) by testing (1.2) on suitably chosen compactly supported Lipschitz functions. This, in turn, implies that  $(\mathcal{F}_{t,p})_{t \in I}$  converges to  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  for some  $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as above. We hence conclude the proof by showing that, if  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, 0}(u) = \mathcal{G}_p^{\tilde{\mu}, \nu}(u)$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $\nu = \alpha \delta_0$  for some  $\alpha \geq 0$  via a scaling argument.

**1.3. Compactness.** A further research line concerns compactness properties of the functionals (1.1). As well-known, for  $N \geq 2$ , the radial symmetry of the family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  yields compactness of the functionals (1.1), see [19, Th. 4], [58, Ths. 1.2 and 1.3] and [6, Th. 4.2]. If  $N = 1$ , then additional conditions must be imposed due to counterexamples [19, 58].

To the best of our knowledge, no compactness result is available for non-radially symmetric families. Our second main result, inspired by [14, Th. 3.5], partially fills this gap and yields quite flexible *sufficient* conditions on the possibly non-radially symmetric family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  which ensure compactness properties for the functionals in (1.1). Precisely, given  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we assume that

$$\rho_t(x) = \frac{|x|^p K_t(x)}{\phi_{K, \beta, p}(t)}, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t \in I, \quad (1.8)$$

where  $(K_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$  is given by

$$K_t(x) = \beta(t)^N K(\beta(t)x), \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t \in I,$$

for some non-negative function  $K \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$  and a Borel function  $\beta: I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ . Moreover, in (1.8), we have set

$$\phi_{K, \beta, p}(t) = \frac{m_{K, p}(\beta(t))}{\beta(t)^p} \quad \text{for all } t \in I,$$

where  $m_{K, p}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is defined as

$$m_{K, p}(R) = \int_{B_R} |x|^p K(x) dx \quad \text{for all } R > 0.$$

With the above notation in force, our compactness result can be stated as follows. Here and below, we say that a subset  $\mathcal{X} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is *locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$*  if, for each compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$  is a precompact subset of  $L^p(E)$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *With the above notation in force, assume that*

$$|\cdot|^p K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(t) = \infty.$$

*If  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\|u_k\|_{L^p} + \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k)) < \infty,$$

then  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any of its  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limits is in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

The proof of [Theorem 1.3](#) generalizes the strategy in [\[14\]](#) to any  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . The core idea is to show that, for each  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $t > 0$ , then there is  $v_t \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_t - u\|_{L^p} &\leq C_{K,p} \| |\cdot|^p K \|_{L^1} \mathcal{F}_{t,p}^K(u) \beta(t)^{-p}, \\ \|\nabla v_t\|_{L^p} &\leq C_{K,p} \| |\cdot|^p K \|_{L^1} \mathcal{F}_{t,p}^K(u), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{K,p} > 0$  depends on  $K$  and  $p$  only (see [Proposition 4.5](#) for the precise statement).

**1.4. Non-local limit energies.** The convergence of  $(\mathcal{F}_{t,p})_{t \in I}$  to the functional  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}$  in [\(1.6\)](#) as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  can be seen as a non-local-to-non-local convergence result. Naturally, one may ask whether the stability of the non-local nature of the functionals also occurs for functions with lower regularity. A similar behavior was, in fact, observed in [\[2, Th. 1.1\(iii\)\]](#) for characteristic functions of bounded sets with finite (local or non-local) perimeter.

Our next main result aims to provide a deeper understanding of this non-local stability, thereby generalizing [\[2\]](#). Here and below, given  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and a measurable function  $\kappa: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , we consider the non-local Sobolev space

$$W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_{W^{\kappa,p}} < \infty\},$$

where the non-local seminorm is defined by letting

$$[u]_{W^{\kappa,p}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^p \kappa(x - y) \, dx \, dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

We refer, e.g., to [\[16, 34\]](#) for more details on the space  $W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Here we just observe that the *fractional Sobolev–Slobodeckij space*  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , with  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , corresponds to the choice  $\kappa(z) = |z|^{-N-sp}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Assume that there exist  $C > 0$  and a measurable function  $\kappa: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that*

$$\frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} \leq C \kappa(z) \quad \text{for all } t \in I \text{ and a.e. } z \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} = \kappa(z) \quad \text{for a.e. } z \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.10)$$

Then, the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{F}_{t,p}(u) = [u]_{W^{\kappa,p}}^p, \quad \text{for } u \in W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

holds in the pointwise sense and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology, and the functionals  $(\mathcal{F}_{t,p})_{t \in I}$  are coercive on  $W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Although we do not pursue this direction here, it is worth noticing that assumptions [\(1.9\)](#) and [\(1.10\)](#) are prototypical and can be adapted in various ways, as different types of upper and lower controls may be considered (see, for instance, [\[2\]](#)).

As a natural counterpart to [Theorem 1.3](#) in this framework, we complement [Theorem 1.4](#) with the following compactness result.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Assume that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$\rho_t(z) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon \delta^N} \quad \text{for a.e. } z \in B_\delta \text{ and every } t \in (0, \delta). \quad (1.11)$$

*If  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\|u_k\|_{L^p} + \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k)) < \infty,$$

*then  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any of its  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limits is in  $W^{\kappa, p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $\kappa: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is given by*

$$\kappa(z) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} \quad \text{for a.e. } z \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

As above, we point out that (1.11) is prototypical, and similar alternative conditions may also be considered. Loosely speaking, assumption (1.11) requires that  $z \mapsto \rho_t(z) |z|^N$  blows up as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  close to  $z = 0$  in a sort of quantitative sense.

Theorem 1.4 relies on an application of the Dominated Convergence Theorem and Fatou's Lemma, exploiting the limits (1.9) and (1.10). Theorem 1.5, instead, is a consequence of the Fréchet–Kolmogorov Compactness Theorem, since the bound (1.11) allows to quantitatively control the  $L^p$  distance between functions and their smoothed versions.

**1.5. Asymptotics of heat-type energies.** We apply our results to study the asymptotic behavior of energies induced by heat-type kernels.

Our first main result in this direction concerns the classical *heat semigroup*. Here and below, for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we let the *heat semigroup*  $(H_t)_{t > 0}$  be defined as

$$H_t u = h_t * u \quad \text{for all } u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } t > 0,$$

where

$$h_t(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t > 0,$$

is the *heat kernel*.

**Theorem 1.6.** *If  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , then the limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_t(|u - u(x)|^p)(x) dx = \frac{2\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p/2)} \|Du\|_{L^p}^p, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (1.12)$$

*holds in the pointwise and  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology, and the functionals in the right-hand side are coercive on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Moreover, if  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} t_k^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_{t_k}(|u_k - u_k(x)|^p)(x) dx < \infty,$$

*then  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any of its  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limits is in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

The pointwise limit in Theorem 1.6 and its link with the BBM formula are already known, see [38, Th. B] and the related discussion for example. However, we were not able to trace the  $\Gamma$ -convergence and compactness parts of Theorem 1.6 in the literature.

It is worth mentioning that formula (1.12) plays a central role in the study of small time asymptotics for the heat semigroup. For  $p = 1$  and  $u = \chi_E \in BV(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the limit in (1.12) rewrites as

$$\int_E H_t \chi_E \, dx = |E| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} P(E) \cdot \sqrt{t} + o(\sqrt{t}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (1.13)$$

where the quantity in the left-hand side of (1.13) is the so-called (*relative*) *heat content* of the set  $E$ . The study of the short-time behavior of energies induced by the heat semigroup originated from De Giorgi's seminal work on finite perimeter sets [31], and later expanded across various settings, including not only Euclidean spaces [10, 46, 54, 59, 61], but also Riemannian manifolds [7], Carnot groups [38], sub-Riemannian manifolds [3, 25], and RCD metric-measure spaces [21].

Our second main result is the fractional counterpart of Theorem 1.6. Here and below, for  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$ , we let the *fractional heat semigroup*  $(H_t^s)_{t>0}$  be defined as

$$H_t^s u = h_t^s * u \quad \text{for all } u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } t > 0,$$

where  $(h_t^s)_{t>0}$  is the *fractional heat kernel*. Unfortunately, the fractional heat kernel does not have an explicit expression, apart from the case  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , in which it is known that

$$h_t^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} \frac{t}{(t^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t > 0$$

(see [62] for instance), where  $\Gamma$  denotes Euler's Gamma function as customary.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Given  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$ , let  $\psi_{s,p}: I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined as*

$$\psi_s(t) = \begin{cases} t^{\frac{p}{2s}} & \text{if } 2s > p, \\ t |\log t| & \text{if } 2s = p, \\ t & \text{if } 2s < p, \end{cases}$$

for all  $t \in I$ . The limits

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{H_t^s(|u - u(x)|^p)(x)}{\psi_{s,p}(t)} \, dx = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{p}{2s}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{p}{2}\right)} \frac{2\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p/2)} \|Du\|_{L^p}^p & \text{in } \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ if } 2s \geq p, \\ \frac{s 4^s}{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2} + s\right)}{\Gamma(1 - s)} [u]_{W^{2s, \frac{p}{2s}}}^p & \text{in } W^{2s, \frac{p}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ if } 2s < p, \end{cases}$$

hold in the pointwise and  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology, and all the functionals in the left-hand sides are coercive on the respective spaces. Moreover, if  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{H_{t_k}^s(|u_k - u_k(x)|^p)(x)}{\psi_s(t_k)} \, dx < \infty,$$

then  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any of its  $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limits is in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if  $2s \geq p$  and in  $W^{2s, \frac{p}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if  $2s < p$ .



For  $p = 1$  and only for characteristic functions of sets, the pointwise limits in [Theorem 1.7](#) were obtained in [2, Th. 4.1] under the additional assumption that the sets under consideration are bounded, while the  $\Gamma$ -limits were established in the recent work [43]. The strategies of proof in [2, 43] are both different from our approach. We refer to [Remarks 6.3](#) and [6.4](#) below for further comments on the relation between our work and [2, 43].

Actually, we can prove a more general version of [Theorem 1.7](#), generalizing [2, Th. 1.1]. For the precise statement, which is more involved, we refer to [Theorem 6.5](#) below.

**1.6. Other approaches in Hilbert spaces.** In the Hilbertian case  $p = 2$ , [Theorems 1.6](#) and [1.7](#) can be achieved in alternative and more general ways.

We can prove the following result, which might be already partially known to experts. Here and below,  $\mathcal{H}$  is an Hilbert space and  $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a *strongly continuous semigroup of symmetric operators* on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $L$  is the (*infinitesimal*) *generator* of  $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(L) \subset \mathcal{H}$ , and  $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto \mathbb{H}_t(u) = (H_t u, u)_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the *semigroup content* of  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $L$  be as above. The following hold:*

- (i) *if  $u \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ , then  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u) - \mathbb{H}_t(u)}{t} \leq (-Lu, u)_{\mathcal{H}}$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$  and  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$  are such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{D}(L)$  and  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$ , then*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u_k) - \mathbb{H}_{t_k}(u_k)}{t_k} \geq (-Lu, u)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

*As a consequence, the functionals  $u \mapsto \frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u) - \mathbb{H}_t(u)}{t}$  converge to  $u \mapsto (-Lu, u)_{\mathcal{H}}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  pointwise and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the strong topology in  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

The proof of [Theorem 1.6](#) exploits some elementary arguments involving the spectral representation of the non-negative operator  $-L$ . We observe that, in the case  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $L = -(-\Delta)^s$  with  $s \in (0, 1]$ , [Theorem 1.8](#) covers the case  $p = 2$  in [Theorems 1.6](#) and [1.7](#). Actually, if  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and the semigroup of operators  $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is given by

$$(H_t u, v)_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\lambda(\xi)t} \hat{u}(\xi) \cdot \overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} d\xi$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a measurable function (in the aforementioned cases,  $\lambda(\xi) = (2\pi|\xi|)^{2s}$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $s \in (0, 1]$ ), then a different and simpler approach via Fourier transform is also possible, see [Section 7.2](#) for more details.

**1.7. Organization of the paper.** The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we provide the main notation and the basic results used throughout the paper. In [Section 3](#), we deal with the sharp conditions for the BBM formula in [Theorem 1.1](#). In [Section 4](#), we specialize the BBM formula to the family of kernels (1.8) and we prove the compactness criterion stated in [Theorem 1.3](#). In [Section 5](#), we treat non-local-to-non-local results, proving [Theorems 1.4](#) and [1.5](#). In [Section 6](#), we apply our theorems to the study of energies induced by heat-type kernels, both in the local and in the non-local setting, proving [Theorems 1.6](#) and [1.7](#). Finally, in [Section 7](#), we detail the proof of [Theorem 1.8](#) and the alternative proof of heat content asymptotics in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  via Fourier transform.



## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. General notation.** We let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| = 1\}$  be the  $(N-1)$ -dimensional unitary sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

We let  $\mathcal{L}^N$  be the  $N$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and we let  $\mathcal{H}^s$  be the  $s$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $s \in [0, N]$ . All sets and functions are assumed to be Lebesgue measurable. We use the shorthand  $|E| = \mathcal{L}^N(E)$  for every Lebesgue measurable  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Given a non-empty set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , we let  $C(X)$  and  $\text{Lip}(X)$  be the spaces of continuous and Lipschitz continuous functions on  $X$ , respectively. As customary, we let  $C_c(X)$  and  $\text{Lip}_c(X)$  be their subsets of compactly supported functions, respectively. If  $X$  is open, then we also let  $C^\infty(X)$  and  $C_c^\infty(X)$  be the spaces of smooth functions and of smooth functions with compact support on  $X$ , respectively.

**2.2. Radon measures.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a non-empty set. We let  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(X)$  be the spaces of finite and locally finite non-negative Radon measures on  $X$ , respectively.

By Riesz' Representation Theorem,  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(X)$  can be identified as the dual of  $C_c(X)$ , endowed with local uniform convergence. Thus, we say that  $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(X)$  converges to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(X)$  in the (local) *weak\** sense, and we write  $\mu_k \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(X)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f \, d\mu_k = \int_X f \, d\mu \quad \text{for every } f \in C_c(X). \quad (2.1)$$

We recall that, if  $\mu_k \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(X)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then (2.1) actually holds for every bounded Borel function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with compact support such that the set of its discontinuity points is  $\mu$ -negligible. Consequently, if  $X$  is compact and  $\mu_k \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(X)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then (2.1) holds for every  $f \in C(X)$ . See [8] for a more detailed discussion.

For future convenience, we recall the following result, which corresponds to [57, Lem. 6].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and let  $\Theta_\mu \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be defined as*

$$\Theta_\mu(v) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |v \cdot \sigma| \, d\mu(\sigma), \quad \text{for every } v \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.2)$$

*Then,  $\min_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \Theta_\mu > 0$  if and only if  $\text{span}(\text{supp } \mu) = \mathbb{R}^N$ .*

**2.3. Sobolev and BV spaces.** For  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we let

$$\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N) = \begin{cases} W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) & \text{for } p > 1 \\ BV(\mathbb{R}^N) & \text{for } p = 1. \end{cases}$$

As customary,  $Du$  denotes the distributional gradient of  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . In particular, if  $p = 1$ , then  $Du$  may be a finite Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We endow  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = (\|u\|_{L^p}^p + \|Du\|_{L^p}^p)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where, as customary, we have set

$$\|Du\|_{L^p}^p = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |Du(x)|^p \, dx & \text{for } p > 1, \\ |Du|(\mathbb{R}^N) & \text{for } p = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

We recall the following simple result, whose proof is omitted.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . The following hold:*

- (i)  $\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p} \leq \|z \cdot Du\|_{L^p}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ;
- (ii)  $|\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p} - \|z \cdot Du\|_{L^p}| \leq \frac{|z|^2}{2} \|D^2 u\|_{L^p}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

**2.4. Non-local Sobolev spaces.** Given  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and a non-negative measurable function  $\kappa: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , we let

$$W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_{W^{\kappa,p}} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$[u]_{W^{\kappa,p}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p \kappa(z) dz \right)^{1/p}$$

for  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . We note that  $W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{\kappa,p}} = (\|u\|_{L^p}^p + [u]_{W^{\kappa,p}}^p)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for } u \in W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

is a Banach space. We refer to [16, 34] for a more detailed presentation. Here we only mention that the *fractional Sobolev–Slobodeckij space*  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , with  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , corresponds to the choice  $\kappa(z) = |z|^{-N-sp}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ .

**2.5. Convergence of functionals on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and let  $\mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Given  $F_k, G: L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we adopt the following terminology. For a complete description of  $\Gamma$ -convergence, we refer to the monographs [20, 28].

**Definition 2.3** (Pointwise convergence). We say that  $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $G$  on  $\mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the *pointwise sense* if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k(u) = G(u)$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**Definition 2.4** ( $\Gamma$ -convergence). We say that  $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $G$  on  $\mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology if the following two properties hold:

- ( $\Gamma$ -lim inf) if  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k(u_k) \geq G(u)$ ;
- ( $\Gamma$ -lim sup) if  $u \in \mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then there exists  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k(u_k) \leq G(u)$ .

**Definition 2.5** (Coerciveness). We say that  $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is *coercive* on  $\mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if, whenever  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  for some  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k(u_k) < \infty$ , then  $u \in \mathcal{X}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**2.6. Family of kernels.** Throughout the paper, we let  $I = (0, 1)$ . We let  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a family of non-negative kernels,  $\rho_t \geq 0$  for every  $t \in I$ . The following results generalizes [30, Lem. 5.2]. We briefly detail its proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $J \subset I$  be such that  $0 \in \bar{J}$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\sup_{R>0} \left[ R^p \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{R^p + |z|^p} dz \right] < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\sup_{R>0} \left[ \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_R} \rho_t(z) dz + \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} R^p \int_{B_R^c} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} dz \right] < \infty$ ;

(iii) there exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_{R_0}} \rho_t(z) dz + \sup_{R > R_0} \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} R^p \int_{B_R^c} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} dz < \infty;$$

(iv)  $\sup_{R > 0} \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_R} \rho_t(z) dz < \infty$  and

$$\limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_R^c} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} dz = 0 \quad \text{for all } R > 0;$$

(v)  $\limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 \wedge |z|^{-p}) \rho_t(z) dz < \infty$  and

$$\limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_R^c} (1 \wedge |z|^{-p}) \rho_t(z) dz = 0 \quad \text{for all } R > 0.$$

*Proof.* The equivalence (i)  $\iff$  (ii) can be proved *verbatim* as in [30, Lem. 5.2]. It is clear that (ii)  $\implies$  (iii), while the fact that

$$\int_{B_R} \rho_t(z) dz = \left( \int_{B_{R_0}} + \int_{B_R \setminus B_{R_0}} \right) \rho_t(z) dz \leq \int_{B_{R_0}} \rho_t(z) dz + R^p \int_{B_R} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} dz$$

for every  $R > R_0$  yields that (iii)  $\implies$  (ii). The implication (ii)  $\implies$  (iv) is obvious. Conversely, given  $R > 0$ , by the first part of (iv) we can find  $M > R$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_M^c} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} dz \leq \frac{1}{R^p},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_R^c} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} dz &\leq \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_M^c} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} dz + \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_M \setminus B_R} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} dz \\ &\leq \frac{1}{R^p} + \frac{1}{R^p} \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_M \setminus B_R} \rho_t(z) dz \leq \frac{C+1}{R^p}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = \sup_{r > 0} \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r} \rho_t(z) dz < \infty$ , proving that (iv)  $\implies$  (ii). The equivalence

(v)  $\iff$  (iii) follows via elementary arguments, so we omit its proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** The equivalence (i)  $\iff$  (ii) in Lemma 2.6 is proved in [30, Lem. 5.2] for  $p = 2$ . Property (v) in Lemma 2.6 is exploited in [34] for radially symmetric families  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  and  $p > 1$ , see [34, Sec. 9.2] for more details.

Following [57, Sec. 1.3] and [47, Sec. 1], we introduce the following terminology. From now on, given  $\tau \in I$  and  $v \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , we set  $\mathcal{C}_\tau(v) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \cdot v \geq (1 - \tau)|x|\}$ .

**Definition 2.8** (Maximal rank). Let  $J \subset I$  be such that  $0 \in \bar{J}$ . We say that the family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in J} \subset L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  has *maximal rank* if there exist  $\tau \in I$  and a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_N \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$\inf_{\delta > 0} \inf_{i=1, \dots, N} \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_\delta \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(v_i)} \rho_t(z) dz > 0.$$

The (first part of the) following result was implicitly proved across the proof of [30, Prop. 3.2]. For similar results, we refer to [57] and [47, Lem. 2.1].

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $J \subset I$  be such that  $0 \in \bar{J}$ . If*

$$\sup_{R>0} \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_R} \rho_t(z) \, dz < \infty,$$

*then there exist a countable set  $J_0 \subset J$ , two infinitesimal sequences  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset J$  and  $(\delta_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset J \setminus J_0$ , and two measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that:*

- (i) *letting  $\nu_k = \rho_{t_k} \mathcal{L}^N$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , it holds that  $\nu_k \xrightarrow{*} \nu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ;*
- (ii) *letting  $A_l = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \delta_l < |x| < \frac{1}{\delta_l}\}$  for every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , it holds that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_l} f \, d\nu_k = \int_{A_l} f \, d\nu, \quad \text{for every } l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\});$$

- (iii) *letting  $\mu_t^\delta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  be given by*

$$\mu_t^\delta(E) = \int_E \left( \int_0^\delta \rho_t(\sigma r) r^{N-1} \, dr \right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma) \quad (2.4)$$

*for every  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ -measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and  $t, \delta \in I$ , it holds that:*

- (a) *letting  $\mu_k^l = \mu_{t_k}^{\delta_l}$  for every  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $(\mu^l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  such that  $\mu_k^l \xrightarrow{*} \mu^l$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ;*
- (b) *the sequence  $(\mu^l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  is such that  $\mu^l \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*In addition, if  $(\rho_t)_{t \in J}$  has maximal rank, then  $\text{span}(\text{supp } \mu) = \mathbb{R}^N$ .*

In the proof of [Lemma 2.9](#), we will need the following simple result, which can be inferred as in the proof of [\[47, Lem. 2.1\]](#). We thus omit its proof.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $\tau \in I$  and let  $v_1, \dots, v_N \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_\tau(v_i) \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(v_j) = \{0\}$  for every  $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ , with  $i \neq j$ . Then, there exists  $c_0 > 0$  such that, for each  $v \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , there exists  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  such that  $|v \cdot \sigma| \geq c_0$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\tau(v_{i_0}) \cap \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ .*

*Proof of [Lemma 2.9](#).* Let us set

$$M = \sup_{R>0} \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_R} \rho_t(z) \, dz < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

We split the proof in three steps.

*Step 1.* Let  $\nu_t = \rho_t \mathcal{L}^N$  for all  $t \in J$  and let  $(R_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$  be a strictly increasing sequence. By [\(2.5\)](#), we can find a strictly decreasing sequence  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset J$  such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  and, moreover,

$$\nu_{t_k}(B_{R_k}) = \int_{B_{R_k}} \rho_{t_k}(z) \, dz \leq M + 1 \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.6)$$

By known results (e.g., see [\[8, Th. 1.59\]](#)), we can find  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that, up to passing to a non-reabeled subsequence,  $\nu_{t_k} \xrightarrow{*} \nu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\nu(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq M + 1$ .

*Step 2.* Let us set  $A_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \delta < |x| < \frac{1}{\delta}\}$  for all  $\delta \in J$ . By definition, the family  $(\partial A_\delta)_{\delta \in J}$  consists of pairwise disjoint compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  which are precisely the sets of discontinuity points of the functions  $(\chi_{A_\delta})_{\delta \in J}$ . We can thus apply [\[8, Prop. 1.62\(b\)\]](#) (also see the discussion in [\[8, Ex. 1.63\]](#)) and find a countable set  $J_0 \subset J$  such that  $\nu(\partial A_\delta) = 0$

for all  $\delta \in J \setminus J_0$ , where  $\nu$  is the measure obtained in Step 1. Since  $\nu_{t_k} \xrightarrow{*} \nu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by Step 1 and  $\bigcup_{\delta \in J} A_\delta = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  by construction, we hence infer that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_\delta} f \, d\nu_k = \int_{A_\delta} f \, d\nu \quad \text{for every } \delta \in J \setminus J_0 \text{ and } f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}).$$

*Step 3.* Now pick any strictly decreasing sequence  $(\delta_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\delta_l \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$  and consider the measures  $(\mu_{t_k}^{\delta_l})_{l, k \in \mathbb{N}}$  as defined in (iii), where  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is as in Step 1. Owing to (2.4) and to (2.6), we get that

$$\mu_{t_k}^{\delta_l}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \left( \int_0^1 \rho_{t_k}(\sigma r) r^{N-1} \, dr \right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma) = \int_{B_1} \rho_{t_k}(z) \, dz \leq M + 1$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large and each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can find a subsequence  $(t'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mu^l \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  such that  $\mu_{t'_k}^l \xrightarrow{*} \mu^l$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mu^l(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \leq M + 1$ . By a routine diagonal argument, we can find subsequence  $(t''_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(t'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\mu_{t''_k}^l \xrightarrow{*} \mu^l$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, we can find a subsequence  $(\delta_{l_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  such that  $\mu_{t''_k}^{\delta_{l_j}} \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mu(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \leq M + 1$ .

Combining Steps 1, 2 and 3 above, we infer the validity of (i), (ii) and (iii), concluding the proof of the first part of the statement of Lemma 2.9.

*Step 4.* Let us now additionally assume that  $(\rho_t)_{t \in J}$  has maximal rank. Therefore, let  $\tau \in I$  and the basis  $v_1, \dots, v_N \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  be as in Definition 2.8, and let us set

$$\hat{c} = \inf_{\delta > 0} \inf_{i=1, \dots, N} \limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_\delta \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(v_i)} \rho_t(z) \, dz > 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Let  $(\mu_{t'_k}^{\delta_l})_{k, l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  be as in Step 3. Owing to (2.7) and a routine diagonal argument, we can find a subsequence  $(t''_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(t'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$c = \inf_{\delta > 0} \inf_{i=1, \dots, N} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\delta \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(v_i)} \rho_{t''_k}(z) \, dz.$$

Now let  $c_0 > 0$  be the constant given by Lemma 2.10 and fix  $v \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . By Lemma 2.10, we can find  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  such that  $|v \cdot \sigma| \geq c_0$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\tau(v_{i_0}) \cap \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . Thus, recalling the notation introduced in Lemma 2.1, for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we can estimate

$$\Theta_{\mu_{t''_k}^{\delta_l}}(v) \geq c_0 \mu_{t''_k}^{\delta_l}(\mathcal{C}_\tau(v_{i_0}) \cap \mathbb{S}^{N-1}) = c_0 \int_{B_{\delta_l} \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(v_{i_0})} \rho_{t''_k}(z) \, dz \geq c_0 \hat{c}$$

Owing to Step 3, first letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and then letting  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\Theta_\mu(v) \geq c_0 \hat{c}$ . Since  $v \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  was arbitrary, we get  $\min_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \Theta \geq c_0 \hat{c}$  and we conclude by Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**2.7. The functionals  $\mathcal{F}_{t,p}$ .** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . We define  $\mathcal{F}_{t,p} : L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by letting

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,p}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} \rho_t(z) \, dz \quad (2.8)$$

for  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $t \in I$ . The following result improves and generalizes [30, Prop. 4.1].

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $J \subset I$  be such that  $0 \in \bar{J}$ . If there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{F}_{t,p}(u) \leq C \|Du\|_{L^p}^p \quad (2.9)$$

*for every  $u \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then any of the properties in [Lemma 2.6](#) holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $R > 0$  and define  $u_R \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$  by letting

$$u_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in B_{R/4}, \\ 2 - \frac{4}{R}|x| & \text{for } x \in B_{R/2} \setminus B_{R/4}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in B_{R/2}^c. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\text{supp } u_R \subset B_{R/2}$ , we have that

$$\|u_R(\cdot + z) - u_R\|_{L^p}^p = 2\|u_R\|_{L^p}^p \geq 2|B_{R/4}|$$

for every  $z \in B_R^c$ , from which we deduce that

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,p}(u_R) \geq \int_{B_R^c} \frac{\|u_R(\cdot + z) - u_R\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} \rho_t(z) \, dz \geq 2|B_{R/4}| \int_{B_R^c} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} \, dz. \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, we can estimate

$$\|Du_R\|_{L^p}^p = \int_{B_{R/2} \setminus B_{R/4}} |Du_R(x)|^p \, dx \leq \left(\frac{4}{R}\right)^p |B_{R/2}|. \quad (2.11)$$

By combining (2.9) with (2.10) and (2.11), we infer that

$$\limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_R^c} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} \, dz \leq C \left(\frac{4}{R}\right)^p \frac{|B_{R/2}|}{2|B_{R/4}|} = C 2^{N-1+2p} R^{-p}, \quad (2.12)$$

for  $R > 0$ . In view of (iii) in [Lemma 2.6](#), to conclude we hence just need to prove that

$$\limsup_{t \in J, t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_1} \rho_t(z) \, dz < \infty. \quad (2.13)$$

To this aim, we define  $v \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$  by letting

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} e^{|x|^2} & \text{for } x \in B_2, \\ e^4(3 - |x|) & \text{for } x \in B_3 \setminus B_2, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in B_3^c. \end{cases}$$

Since  $v$  is radially symmetric, a change of variable yields

$$\|v(\cdot + z) - v\|_{L^p}^p = \|v(\cdot + |z|e_1) - v\|_{L^p}^p$$

for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Therefore, letting  $D = \{x \in B_1 : x_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\} \subset B_1$ , we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(\cdot + z) - v\|_{L^p}^p &\geq \int_D |e^{|x+|z|e_1|^2} - e^{|x|^2}|^p \, dx = \int_D e^{p|x|^2} |e^{|z|^2+2|z|x_1} - 1|^p \, dx \\ &\geq \int_D (e^{|z|} - 1)^p \, dx \geq |D| |z|^p \end{aligned}$$

for every  $z \in B_1$ , from which we deduce that

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,p}(v) \geq \int_{B_1} \frac{\|v(\cdot + z) - v\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} \rho_t(z) \, dz \geq |D| \int_{B_1} \rho_t(z) \, dz. \quad (2.14)$$



By combining (2.14) with (2.9), we infer (2.13) and thus conclude the proof.  $\square$

**2.8. The functional  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}$ .** Given two measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we define  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by letting

$$\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}(u) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\mu(\sigma) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} d\nu(z) & \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

Here, as in (2.3), for every  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have set

$$\|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\sigma \cdot Du(x)|^p dx & \text{for } p > 1, \\ |\sigma \cdot Du|(\mathbb{R}^N) & \text{for } p = 1. \end{cases}$$

We observe that, for each fixed  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , the energy  $u \mapsto \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}$  is lower semicontinuous on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with respect to the  $L^p$  convergence of functions.

We collect the basic properties of  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}$  in the following result, whose proof is omitted.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . The functional  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}$  satisfies the bound*

$$\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}(u) \leq \|Du\|_{L^p}^p (\mu(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) + \nu(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})) \quad (2.16)$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Moreover, if  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}(u_k) \geq \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}(u). \quad (2.17)$$

The following results builds upon the ideas contained in the proof of [30, Th. 1.1].

**Lemma 2.13.** *If  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$  are such that  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}(u) = \mathcal{G}_p^{\lambda, \alpha \delta_0}(u)$  for every  $u \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $\nu = \beta \delta_0$  for some  $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* We let  $u \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and we define  $u_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{1-\frac{N}{p}} u(\cdot/\varepsilon)$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We note that  $u_\varepsilon \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Moreover, we have that

$$\|\sigma \cdot Du_\varepsilon\|_{L^p}^p = \left\| \varepsilon^{-\frac{N}{p}} \sigma \cdot Du(\cdot/\varepsilon) \right\|_{L^p}^p = \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p$$

for every  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and, similarly,

$$\|u_\varepsilon(\cdot + z) - u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p}^p = \varepsilon^N \left\| \varepsilon^{1-\frac{N}{p}} \left( u\left(\cdot + \frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) - u \right) \right\|_{L^p}^p = \varepsilon^p \left\| u\left(\cdot + \frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) - u \right\|_{L^p}^p$$

for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Thus, the equality  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu,\nu}(u_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{G}_p^{\lambda, \alpha \delta_0}(u_\varepsilon)$  equivalently rewrites as

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\mu(\sigma) + \varepsilon^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\left\| u\left(\cdot + \frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) - u \right\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} d\nu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\lambda(\sigma) \quad (2.18)$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We claim that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\left\| u\left(\cdot + \frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) - u \right\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} d\nu(z) = 0. \quad (2.19)$$

Indeed, by Lemma 2.2(i), we can estimate

$$\varepsilon^p \frac{\|u(\cdot + \frac{z}{\varepsilon}) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} \leq \|Du\|_{L^p}^p \quad (2.20)$$

for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Moreover, we have that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon^p \frac{\|u(\cdot + \frac{z}{\varepsilon}) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon^p \frac{2^p \|u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ . Owing to (2.20) and (2.21), the claimed (2.19) follows by the Dominated Convergence Theorem applied with respect to  $\nu$ . Therefore, passing to the limit in (2.18) as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  and exploiting (2.19), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\mu(\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\lambda(\sigma)$$

whenever  $u \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . By our initial assumption, this means that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} d\nu(z) = 0$$

for every  $u \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . In particular, if  $u \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is such that  $\text{supp } u \subset B_{\varepsilon/2}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then

$$0 \geq \int_{B_\varepsilon} \frac{\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} d\nu(z) = 2\|u\|_{L^p}^p \int_{B_\varepsilon} \frac{d\nu(z)}{|z|^p},$$

from which we deduce that  $\nu(B_\varepsilon^c) = 0$  whenever  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus  $\nu(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) = 0$ , from which we get that  $\nu = \beta \delta_0$  for some  $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ , concluding the proof.  $\square$

### 3. SHARP CONDITIONS FOR THE BBM FORMULA

Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and let  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be such that  $\rho_t \geq 0$  for every  $t \in I$ . The following result improves and generalizes [30, Th. 1.1 and Cor. 1.3].

**Theorem 3.1** (BBM formula). *The following statements are equivalent.*

(A) *There exists an infinitesimal sequence  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  such that*

$$\sup_{R>0} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} R^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\rho_{t_k}(z)}{R^p + |z|^p} dz < \infty$$

*and  $\nu_k = \rho_{t_k} \mathcal{L}^N \xrightarrow{*} \alpha \delta_0$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $\alpha \geq 0$ .*

(B) *There exists an infinitesimal sequence  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_k, p})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, 0}$  on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  pointwise and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology.*

*In addition, if (A) or (B) holds and  $(\rho_{t_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  has maximal rank, then the family  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_k, p})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is coercive on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need the following preliminary result, which improves and generalizes [30, Th. 1.2].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $J \subset I$  be such that  $0 \in \bar{J}$ . If any of the properties in Lemma 2.6 hold, then there exist an infinitesimal sequence  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset J$  and two measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that the following hold:*

- (i)  $\rho_{t_k} \mathcal{L}^N \xrightarrow{*} \nu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (ii) if  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u) \leq \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}(u)$ ;
- (iii) if  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k) \geq \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}(u)$ .

As a consequence,  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_k, p})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}$  on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  pointwise and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology. In addition, if  $(\rho_t)_{t \in J}$  has maximal rank, then the family  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_k, p})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is coercive on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

*Proof.* For every measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $t \in I$ , we set

$$\mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u; A) = \int_A \frac{\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} \rho_t(z) dz.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u; \mathbb{R}^N) = \mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u)$  for every  $t \in I$  and  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Owing to Lemma 2.6, there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_R} \rho_t(z) dz + \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} R^p \int_{B_R} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} dz \leq M \quad (3.1)$$

for every  $R > 0$ . By (3.1), we can apply Lemma 2.9 and find a countable set  $J_0 \subset J$ , two infinitesimal sequences  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset J$  and  $(\delta_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset J \setminus J_0$ , and two measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfying the statements (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.9. In particular, this immediately yields (i). We shall prove (ii) and (iii) separately. In what follows, we let  $(\mu_k^l)_{k, l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $(\mu^l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  be given in statement (iii) of Lemma 2.9.

*Proof of (ii).* We begin by observing that

$$\mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u; \mathbb{R}^N) = \mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u; B_\delta) + \mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u; A_\delta) + \mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u; B_{1/\delta}^c) \quad (3.2)$$

for every  $t, \delta \in I$ , where  $A_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \delta < |x| < \frac{1}{\delta}\}$  as Lemma 2.9. We now deal with each piece on the right-hand side of (3.2) separately. By Lemma 2.2(i), we can estimate

$$\mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u; B_{\delta_l}) \leq \int_{B_{\delta_l}} \left\| \frac{z}{|z|} \cdot Du \right\|_{L^p}^p d\nu_k(z) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\mu_k^l(\sigma)$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  (recall the definition in (2.4) in Lemma 2.9). Since  $\sigma \mapsto \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p \in C(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , by Lemma 2.9(iii) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u; B_{\delta_l}) &\leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\mu_k^l(\sigma) \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\mu^l(\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p d\mu(\sigma). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, since

$$z \mapsto f_u(z) = \frac{\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) \quad (3.4)$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , by [Lemma 2.9\(ii\)](#) and by the Monotone Convergence Theorem, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u; A_l) &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_l} f_u \, d\nu_k = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_l} f_u \, d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} f_u \, d\nu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} \, d\nu(z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $A_l = A_{\delta_l}$  for every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  as in [Lemma 2.9\(ii\)](#). Finally, by exploiting [\(3.1\)](#), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u; B_{1/\delta_l}^c) &\leq 2^p \|u\|_{L^p}^p \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{1/\delta_l}^c} \frac{\rho_{t_k}(z)}{|z|^p} \, dz \\ &\leq 2^p \|u\|_{L^p}^p M \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \delta_l^p = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . By combining [\(3.3\)](#), [\(3.5\)](#) and [\(3.6\)](#) with [\(3.2\)](#), we get [\(ii\)](#).

*Proof of (iii).* Let  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $(\eta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a sequence of mollifiers and set  $u_k^j = u_k * \eta_j$  and  $u^j = u * \eta_j$  for every  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . We observe that  $u_k^j, u^j \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $D^2 u_k^j, D^2 u^j \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for every  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, by Young's inequality, we have that

$$u_k^j \rightarrow u^j, \quad Du_k^j \rightarrow Du^j \quad \text{and} \quad D^2 u_k^j \rightarrow D^2 u^j \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.7)$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . In addition, by Minkowski's inequality,

$$\|u_k^j(\cdot + z) - u_k^j\|_{L^p} \leq \|u_k(\cdot + z) - u_k\|_{L^p},$$

for every  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , from which we get that  $\mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u_k^j; A) \leq \mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u_k; A)$  for every measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and every  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently, we have that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k^j)$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . We now claim that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k^j) = \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}(u^j) \quad (3.8)$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . To prove [\(3.8\)](#), we argue as in the proof of [\(ii\)](#) by again relying on [\(3.2\)](#). Indeed, as in [\(3.6\)](#), observing that  $\|u_k^j\|_{L^p} \leq \|u_k\|_{L^p}$  for every  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k^j; B_{1/\delta_l}^c) = 2^p \|u^j\|_{L^p}^p M \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \delta_l^p = 0$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, as in [\(3.5\)](#), since  $f_{u_k^j} \rightarrow f_{u^j}$  locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  owing to [\(3.7\)](#) (for the notation, recall [\(3.4\)](#)), we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k^j; A_l) &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_l} f_{u_k^j} \, d\nu_k = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_l} f_{u^j} \, d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} f_{u^j} \, d\nu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u^j(\cdot + z) - u^j\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} \, d\nu(z) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . In addition, as in (3.3), since the functions  $\sigma \mapsto \|\sigma \cdot Du_k^j\|_{L^p}^p$  converge uniformly on  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  to  $\sigma \mapsto \|\sigma \cdot Du^j\|_{L^p}^p$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  owing to (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\delta_l}} \left\| \frac{z}{|z|} \cdot Du_k^j \right\|_{L^p}^p d\nu_k(z) &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du_k^j\|_{L^p}^p d\mu_k^l(\sigma) \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du^j\|_{L^p}^p d\mu^l(\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du^j\|_{L^p}^p d\mu(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  (recall the definition in (2.4) in Lemma 2.9). Therefore, in view of (3.2), the claim in (3.8) follows if we prove that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k^j; B_{\delta_l}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du^j\|_{L^p}^p d\mu(\sigma);$$

that is, equivalently, we just have to show that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k^j; B_{\delta_l}) - \int_{B_{\delta_l}} \left\| \frac{z}{|z|} \cdot Du_k^j \right\|_{L^p}^p d\nu_k(z) \right| = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . To this aim, we start by noticing that, by Lemma 2.2(ii),

$$\left| \frac{\|u_k^j(\cdot + z) - u_k^j\|_{L^p}}{|z|} - \left\| \frac{z}{|z|} \cdot Du_k^j \right\|_{L^p} \right| \leq \frac{|z|}{2} \|D^2 u_k^j\|_{L^p}$$

for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Hence, since  $|a^p - b^p| \leq p \max\{a, b\}^{p-1} |a - b|$ , for all  $a, b \geq 0$ , and

$$\max \left\{ \frac{\|u_k^j(\cdot + z) - u_k^j\|_{L^p}}{|z|}, \left\| \frac{z}{|z|} \cdot Du_k^j \right\|_{L^p} \right\} \leq \|Du_k^j\|_{L^p}$$

for every  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$  by Lemma 2.2(i), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\|u_k^j(\cdot + z) - u_k^j\|_{L^p}^p}{|z|^p} - \left\| \frac{z}{|z|} \cdot Du_k^j \right\|_{L^p}^p \right| &\leq p \|Du_k^j\|_{L^p}^{p-1} \left| \frac{\|u_k^j(\cdot + z) - u_k^j\|_{L^p}}{|z|} - \left\| \frac{z}{|z|} \cdot Du_k^j \right\|_{L^p} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{p|z|}{2} \|Du_k^j\|_{L^p}^{p-1} \|D^2 u_k^j\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Consequently, we get that

$$\left| \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k^j; B_{\delta_l}) - \int_{B_{\delta_l}} \left\| \frac{z}{|z|} \cdot Du_k^j \right\|_{L^p}^p d\nu_k(z) \right| \leq \frac{p}{2} \|Du_k^j\|_{L^p}^{p-1} \|D^2 u_k^j\|_{L^p} \delta_l \nu_k(B_{\delta_l})$$

for every  $k, j, l \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which, owing to (3.1) and (3.7), the claimed (3.9) follows. We thus completed the proof of (3.8) and so, by the lower semicontinuity of  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}$  with respect to the  $L^p$  convergence of functions in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  (recall (2.17)),

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k) \geq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}(u^j) = \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}(u),$$

concluding the proof of (iii).

With (ii) and (iii) in force, the convergence of  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_k, p})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}$  on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the pointwise and  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology follows by Definition 2.4. We are thus left to prove that, if  $(\rho_t)_{t \in J}$  has maximal rank, then  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_k, p})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is coercive. Indeed, let  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $C = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k) \in [0, \infty)$ . Let us define  $u_k^j = u_k * \eta_j$  and  $u^j = u * \eta_j$  for every  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$  as in the proof of (iii). We observe that  $u_k^j, u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $\mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u_k^j) \leq \mathcal{F}_{t, p}(u_k)$

for every  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and that  $u_k^j \rightarrow u^j$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, thanks to (iii), we get that

$$C \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k^j) \geq \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}(u^j)$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . We now note that

$$\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}(u^j) \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du^j\|_{L^p}^p d\mu(\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\sigma \cdot Du^j(x)|^p d\mu(\sigma) dx.$$

By Jensen's inequality, and recalling the notation introduced in (2.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\sigma \cdot Du^j(x)|^p d\mu(\sigma) &\geq \mu(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})^{1-p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\sigma \cdot Du^j(x)| d\mu(\sigma) \right)^p \\ &= \mu(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})^{1-p} \Theta_\mu(Du^j(x))^p, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Theta_\mu(Du^j)\|_{L^p} < \infty$ . Now, since  $(\rho_t)_{t \in J}$  has maximal rank, by Lemma 2.9 we get that  $\text{span}(\text{supp } \mu) = \mathbb{R}^N$  and thus, by Lemma 2.1, we infer that

$$\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \Theta_\mu(\sigma) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma) \in (0, \infty).$$

As a consequence, we hence deduce that

$$\infty > \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Theta_\mu(Du^j)\|_{L^p} \geq \alpha \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|Du^j\|_{L^p},$$

proving that  $(u^j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Since  $u^j \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we get that  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , concluding the proof.  $\square$

We can now prove Theorem 3.1.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* We prove the equivalence between (A) and (B) by showing the two implications separately.

*Proof of (A)  $\implies$  (B).* If (A) holds, then by Theorem 3.2 we find two measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_k, p})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \nu}$  on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  pointwise and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology. Actually, Theorem 3.2(i) yields that  $\nu = \alpha \delta_0$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ , so that  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, \alpha \delta_0} = \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, 0}$  on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , proving (B).

*Proof of (B)  $\implies$  (A).* If (B) holds, then (2.16) yields that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u) = \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, 0}(u) \leq \mu(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \|Du\|_{L^p}^p$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . We can thus apply Lemma 2.11 and then Lemma 2.6 to get the first part of (A). This, in turn, allows us to apply Theorem 3.2 and find two measures  $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $\rho_{t_k} \mathcal{L}^N \xrightarrow{*} \nu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and, moreover,  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_k, p})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\lambda, \nu}$  on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  pointwise and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology. Because of (B), this means that  $\mathcal{G}_p^{\lambda, \nu} = \mathcal{G}_p^{\mu, 0}$  on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and thus, by Lemma 2.13, we conclude that  $\nu = \alpha \delta_0$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ , proving the second part of (A).

To conclude the proof, it is enough to observe that, if (A) or (B) holds and  $(\rho_{t_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is of maximal rank, then Theorem 3.2 yields that  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_k, p})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is coercive on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .  $\square$



## 4. SPECIAL FAMILIES OF KERNELS: CONVERGENCE AND COMPACTNESS

In this section we specialize our analysis to a particular class of families of kernels.

**4.1. Special kernels.** We let  $K \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$  be a non-negative function and we set

$$m_{K,p}(R) = \int_{B_R} |x|^p K(x) \, dx \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $R > 0$  and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . We let  $\beta: I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a Borel function and we set

$$\phi_{K,\beta,p}(t) = \frac{m_{K,p}(\beta(t))}{\beta(t)^p} \quad (4.2)$$

for all  $t > 0$ . Finally, we let  $(K_t)_{t \in I} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be given by

$$K_t(x) = \beta(t)^N K(\beta(t)x)$$

for each  $t > 0$  and a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Given  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we consider the following special family of kernels  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , depending on  $p$ ,  $K$  and  $\beta$ , given by

$$\rho_t(x) = \frac{|x|^p K_t(x)}{\phi_{K,\beta,p}(t)} \quad (4.3)$$

for every  $t > 0$  and a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . We observe that, for the family (4.3), the functional  $\mathcal{F}_{t,p}$  in (2.8) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,p}^{K,\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{\phi_{K,\beta,p}(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^p K_t(x - y) \, dx \, dy \quad (4.4)$$

for each  $t > 0$ . Unless required for better clarity, we will omit the dependence on  $K$  and  $\beta$  in the quantities of interest to keep the notation short.

**4.2. Convergence to local energies.** We now apply Theorem 3.1 to the special family of kernels given by (4.3). To this aim, we state the following result, which rephrases Lemma 2.9 for the special family in (4.3). A similar result has been discussed in [57, Ex. 2].

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $K \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\beta: I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be as above, and let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . The measures  $(\mu_t^\delta)_{t,\delta>0}$  in (2.4) in Lemma 2.9 corresponding to the family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  in (4.3) are given by*

$$\mu_t^\delta(E) = \int_E \left( \int_0^{\beta(t)\delta} r^{N+p-1} K(\sigma r) \, dr \right) \frac{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma)}{m_{K,p}(\beta(t))}$$

for every  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ -measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . Moreover, if

$$|\cdot|^p K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(t) = \infty, \quad (4.5)$$

then the following hold:

(i)  $\mu_t^\delta \xrightarrow{*} \theta_{K,p} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  for  $\delta > 0$ , where  $\theta_{K,p}: \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is given by

$$\theta_{K,p}(\sigma) = \frac{\int_0^\infty r^{N+p-1} K(\sigma r) \, dr}{\| |\cdot|^p K \|_{L^1}} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\text{-a.e. } \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}; \quad (4.6)$$

- (ii) the measures  $(\nu_t)_{t \in I}$ , defined as  $\nu_t = \rho_t \mathcal{L}^N$  for every  $t \in I$ , where the family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  is as in (4.3), satisfy  $\nu_t \xrightarrow{*} \delta_0$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ ;
- (iii) if  $K$  is radially symmetric, then the family  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  in (4.3) has maximal rank and  $\theta_{K,p}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{N\omega_N}$  for every  $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ -a.e.  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p \theta_{K,p}(\sigma) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma) = \frac{2}{N} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+p}{2}\right)} \|Du\|_{L^p}^p \quad (4.7)$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

*Proof.* Let us first observe that, by (4.5), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} m_{K,p}(\beta(t)) = \|\cdot\|^p K\|_{L^1}. \quad (4.8)$$

We can now briefly prove each statement separately.

*Proof of (i).* Given  $\delta > 0$  and  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ , we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \varphi(\sigma) d\mu_t^\delta(\sigma) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{m_{K,p}(\beta(t))} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \varphi(\sigma) \int_0^{\beta(t)\delta} r^{N+p-1} K(\sigma r) dr d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma) \\ &= \frac{1}{\|\cdot\|^p K\|_{L^1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \varphi(\sigma) \int_0^\infty r^{N+p-1} K(\sigma r) dr d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \varphi(\sigma) \theta_{K,p}(\sigma) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

by (4.5), the Dominated Convergence Theorem, (4.8) and Fubini's Theorem.

*Proof of (ii).* In view of (4.8), we infer that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \nu_t(\mathbb{R}^N) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|\rho_t\|_{L^1} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|\cdot\|^p K\|_{L^1}}{m_{K,p}(\beta(t))} = 1.$$

Moreover, if  $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then by changing variables we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi d\nu_t = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{m_{K,p}(\beta(t))} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\beta(t)}\right) |x|^p K(x) dx = \varphi(0) \quad (4.9)$$

by (4.8) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem, owing to (4.5).

*Proof of (iii).* Formula (4.7) is well known, see [38, 57] for instance. Thus, we just focus on the maximal rank property. We shall prove that Definition 2.8 is satisfied for any  $\tau \in I$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_N \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Indeed, by radial symmetry, we have

$$\int_{B_\delta \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(e_i)} \rho_t(z) dz = \int_{B_\delta \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(e_1)} \rho_t(z) dz$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Arguing as in (4.9), we can compute

$$\int_{B_\delta \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(e_1)} \rho_t(z) dz = \frac{1}{m_{K,p}(\beta(t))} \int_{B_{\delta\beta(t)} \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(e_1)} |x|^p K(x) dx.$$

Therefore, by combining the above equalities, we get that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_\delta \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(e_i)} \rho_t(z) dz = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{m_{K,p}(\beta(t))} \int_{B_{\delta\beta(t)} \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(e_1)} |x|^p K(x) dx = c_{K,p}$$

by (4.8) and the Monotone Convergence Theorem, where

$$c_{K,p} = \frac{1}{\|\cdot\|^p K\|_{L^1}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_\tau(e_1)} |x|^p K(x) dx \in (0, 1)$$

and the validity of Definition 2.8 readily follows, concluding the proof.  $\square$

We are now ready to apply Theorem 3.1 to the special family in (4.3). We remark that Theorem 4.2 below was already implicitly given in [57], although the main results of [57] are stated on bounded open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with Lipschitz boundary.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $K \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\beta: I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be as above, and let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . If (4.5) holds, then the limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(t)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^p K_t(x - y) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \|\sigma \cdot Du\|_{L^p}^p \theta_{K,p}(\sigma) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma),$$

where  $\theta_{K,p}: \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is as in (4.6), holds for  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  pointwise and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology, and the functionals in the right-hand side are coercive. If, in addition,  $K$  is radially symmetric, then the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(t)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^p K_t(x - y) dx dy = \frac{2}{N} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+p}{2}\right) \|\cdot\|^p K\|_{L^1}} \|Du\|_{L^p}^p$$

holds for  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  pointwise and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology, and the functionals in the right-hand side are coercive.

*Proof.* The statement directly follows by combining Theorem 3.2 with the properties collected in Proposition 4.1. We omit the simple computations.  $\square$

**4.3. Compactness.** We now complete the asymptotic analysis of the energies (4.4) relative to the special family (4.3) achieved in Theorem 4.2 with a compactness result, see Theorem 4.4 below. For its statement, we need to introduce the following terminology.

**Definition 4.3** (Local precompactness). Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . A set  $\mathcal{X} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if  $\mathcal{X}$  is precompact in  $L^p(E)$  for every compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

We are now ready to state our compactness result. We refer to [19, Th. 4], [58, Ths. 1.2 and 1.3] and [6, Th. 4.2] for similar results in this direction.

**Theorem 4.4** (Compactness). *Let  $K \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\beta: I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be as above. Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and assume that (4.5) holds. If  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\|u_k\|_{L^p} + \mathcal{F}_{t_k,p}(u_k)) < \infty,$$

then  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any of its  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limits is in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

For the proof of Theorem 4.4, we need the following preliminary result, which generalizes (the proof of) [14, Th. 3.5] to every  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $K \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\beta: I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be as above. Assume that  $p \in [1, \infty)$  is such that  $|\cdot|^p K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . If  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $t > 0$ , then there exists  $v_t \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that*

$$\|v_t - u\|_{L^p} \leq C_{K,p} \|\cdot\|^p K\|_{L^1} \mathcal{F}_{t,p}^K(u) \beta(t)^{-p}$$

and

$$\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^p} \leq C_{K,p} \|\cdot\|^p K\|_{L^1} \mathcal{F}_{t,p}^K(u),$$

where  $C_{K,p} > 0$  depends on  $K$  and  $p$  only.

In the proof of [Proposition 4.5](#) we need the following estimate, which revisits the one in [\[14, Lem. 3.4\]](#) for every  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . We omit its proof, since it follows by a simple application of Tonelli's Theorem.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . If  $G \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a non-negative function, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p (G * G)(z) \, dz \leq 2^p \|G\|_{L^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p G(z) \, dz$$

for every  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

*Proof of Proposition 4.5.* Since  $|\cdot|^p K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have  $K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$  and so  $G = \min\{K, 1\}$  satisfies  $G \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence  $G * G$  is a non-negative continuous function which is strictly positive on a non-empty open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Thus, we can find a non-negative function  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\varphi \leq G * G \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \varphi| \leq G * G. \quad (4.10)$$

We hence set

$$G_t(x) = \beta(t)^N G(\beta(t)x), \quad \varphi_t = \frac{\beta(t)^N \varphi(\beta(t)x)}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}}$$

for every  $t > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . We note that  $\|G_t\|_{L^1} = \|G\|_{L^1} \leq \|K\|_{L^1}$ ,  $\|\varphi_t\|_{L^1} = 1$  and, moreover, owing to (4.10),

$$\varphi_t \leq \frac{G_t * G_t}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \varphi_t| \leq \frac{G_t * G_t}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} \beta(t) \quad (4.11)$$

for every  $t > 0$ . Finally, given  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we set  $v_t = u * \varphi_t$  for every  $t > 0$  and we note that  $v_t \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for every  $t > 0$ . Owing to Jensen's inequality, (4.11), [Lemma 4.6](#) and the definitions in (4.1) and (4.2), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_t - u\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p \varphi_t(z) \, dz \leq \frac{1}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p (G_t * G_t)(z) \, dz \\ &\leq \frac{2^p \|G_t\|_{L^1}}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p G_t(z) \, dz \leq \frac{2^p \|K\|_{L^1}}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p K_t(z) \, dz \\ &= \frac{2^p \|K\|_{L^1}}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} \mathcal{F}_{p,t}^K(u) \phi_{K,p}(t) \leq \frac{2^p \|K\|_{L^1}}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} \|\cdot\|^p K\|_{L^1} \mathcal{F}_{p,t}^K(u) \beta(t)^{-p} \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v_t\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \|\nabla \varphi_t\|_{L^1}^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p |\nabla \varphi_t(z)| \, dz \\ &\leq \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^1}^{p-1} \frac{\beta(t)^p}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p (G_t * G_t)(z) \, dz \\ &\leq \frac{\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^1}^{p-1}}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}^p} \frac{2^p \|K\|_{L^1}}{\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} \|\cdot\|^p K\|_{L^1} \mathcal{F}_{p,t}^K(u) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $t > 0$ , yielding the conclusion.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.4.* For convenience, we let

$$M = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \|u_k\|_{L^p} + \mathcal{F}_{t_k, p}(u_k) \right) < \infty.$$

By Proposition 4.5 we can find  $v_k = v_{t_k} \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $\|v_k - u_k\|_{L^p} \leq C\beta(t_k)^{-p}$  and  $\|\nabla v_k\|_{L^p} \leq C$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $C > 0$  depends on  $K$ ,  $p$  and  $M$  only. In particular, the sequence  $(v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and thus we can find a subsequence  $(v_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $v_{k_j} \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\beta(t_k) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we also get that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . A similar argument proves that any  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limit of  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .  $\square$

For future convenience, we complete Theorem 4.4 by recalling the following result, which is a consequence of [58, Ths. 1.2 and 1.3] (we also refer to the discussion in [58, Sec. 2]).

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . If  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  are such that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0^+$  and*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \|u_k\|_{L^p} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\|u_k(\cdot + z) - u_k\|_{L^p}^p}{|\log \lambda_k| (\lambda_k + |z|)^{N+p}} dz \right) < \infty,$$

*then  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any of its  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limits is in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

*Proof.* The results follows from [58, Ths. 1.2 and 1.3]. Indeed, it is enough to consider the non-negative radial kernels  $(\rho_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined as

$$\rho_k(z) = \frac{c_k |z|^p \chi_{B_1}(z)}{|\log \lambda_k| (\lambda_k + |z|)^{N+p}},$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$c_k = \int_{B_1} \frac{|z|^p}{|\log \lambda_k| (\lambda_k + |z|)^{N+p}} dz$$

is a renormalization constant such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_k(z) dz = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since

$$0 < \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} c_k \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} c_k < \infty,$$

the kernels  $(\rho_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfy the properties in [58, Eq. (2)], and also the additional property in [58, Eq. (8)] for  $N = 1$ . We omit the plain details.  $\square$

## 5. NON-LOCAL LIMIT ENERGIES: CONVERGENCE AND COMPACTNESS

In this section we collect some results concerning the convergence and the compactness properties of the functionals  $\mathcal{F}_{t, p}$  in some natural cases in which the family of kernels does not satisfy the conditions in statement (A) of Theorem 3.1.

**5.1. Convergence.** The following result generalizes [2, Th. 1.1(iii)] to the functional setting and to any integrability exponent  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . This result can be seen as the non-local counterpart of the implication (A)  $\implies$  (B) in Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Assume that there exist  $C > 0$  and a measurable function  $\kappa: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that*

$$\frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} \leq C\kappa(z) \quad (5.1)$$

for all  $t \in I$  and a.e.  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} = \kappa(z) \quad (5.2)$$

for a.e.  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then, the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{F}_{t,p}(u) = [u]_{W^{\kappa,p}}^p, \quad \text{for } u \in W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

holds in the pointwise sense and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology, and the functionals  $(\mathcal{F}_{t,p})_{t \in I}$  are coercive on  $W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

*Proof.* If  $u \in W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $z \mapsto \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p \kappa(z) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and thus, by (5.1), we can apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem to get that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{F}_{t,p}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p \kappa(z) \, dz = [u]_{W^{\kappa,p}}^p.$$

Moreover, if  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  and  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then by (5.2) we can apply Fatou's Lemma to get

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k,p}(u_k) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k(\cdot + z) - u_k\|_{L^p}^p \frac{\rho_{t_k}(z)}{|z|^p} \, dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p \kappa(z) \, dz = [u]_{W^{\kappa,p}}^p. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k,p}(u_k) < \infty$ , then  $u \in W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**5.2. Compactness.** The following result can be seen as a non-local counterpart of the compactness result achieved in Theorem 4.4.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Assume that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$\rho_t(z) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon \delta^N} \quad (5.3)$$

for a.e.  $z \in B_\delta$  and every  $t \in (0, \delta)$ . If  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\|u_k\|_{L^p} + \mathcal{F}_{t_k,p}(u_k)) < \infty,$$

then  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any of its  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limits is in  $W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $\kappa: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is given by

$$\kappa(z) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p}$$

for a.e.  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

For the proof of Theorem 5.2 we need the following simple estimate exploiting (5.3).



**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . If (5.3) holds, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, letting  $\eta_\delta = \chi_{B_\delta}/|B_\delta|$ ,*

$$\|\eta_\delta * u - u\|_{L^p}^p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|B_1|} \mathcal{F}_{t,p}(u)$$

for every  $t \in (0, \delta)$  and  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$  be as in (5.3). By Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\|\eta_\delta * u - u\|_{L^p}^p \leq \frac{1}{|B_\delta|} \int_{B_\delta} \|u(\cdot - z) - u\|_{L^p}^p dz = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \int_{B_\delta} \frac{\|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p}{\delta^N} dz.$$

In virtue of (5.3), we thus infer that

$$\|\eta_\delta * u - u\|_{L^p}^p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|B_1|} \int_{B_\delta} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p \frac{\rho_t(z)}{|z|^p} dz = \frac{\varepsilon}{|B_1|} \mathcal{F}_{t,p}(u),$$

concluding the proof.  $\square$

We can now detail the proof of Theorem 5.2

*Proof of Theorem 5.2.* By assumption, the sequence  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Thus, letting  $\eta_\delta = \chi_{B_\delta}/|B_\delta| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $\delta > 0$ , by [22, Cor. 4.28] the sequence  $(\eta_\delta * u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for each  $\delta > 0$ . As a consequence, the sequence  $(\eta_\delta * u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is totally bounded in  $L^p(E)$  for every compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . By Lemma 5.3, also the sequence  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is totally bounded in  $L^p(E)$  for every compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , which implies that the sequence  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Finally, if  $u$  is an  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limit of  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then, up to subsequences, by Fatou's Lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{t_k,p}(u_k) &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{t_k,p}(u_k) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \|u_k(\cdot + z) - u_k\|_{L^p}^p \frac{\rho_{t_k}(z)}{|z|^p} \right) dz \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot + z) - u\|_{L^p}^p \kappa(z) dz = [u]_{W^{\kappa,p}}^p, \end{aligned}$$

proving that  $u \in W^{\kappa,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and concluding the proof.  $\square$

## 6. APPLICATION TO HEAT KERNELS

In this section we apply the results of Sections 4 and 5 to families  $(\rho_t)_{t \in I}$  induced by heat-type kernels, both in the integer and in the fractional case.

**6.1. Heat kernel.** We begin with the standard case. We let  $(h_t)_{t>0}: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be the *heat kernel*, which is given by

$$h_t(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}}, \quad (6.1)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > 0$ . Given  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , we define the *heat semigroup*

$$(H_t)_{t>0}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

by letting

$$H_t u = h_t * u \quad (6.2)$$

for all  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $t > 0$ . Note that (6.2) makes sense by Young's inequality, since  $h_t \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $t > 0$  due to (6.1).

The following result is somehow known, at least for the part concerning the pointwise limit. We refer to [38, Th. B] for a detailed discussion.

**Theorem 6.1.** *If  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , the the limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{H}_t(|u - u(x)|^p)(x) dx = \frac{2\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p/2)} \|Du\|_{L^p}^p, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

*holds in the pointwise sense and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology, and the functionals in the right-hand side are coercive on  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Moreover, if  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} t_k^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{H}_{t_k}(|u_k - u_k(x)|^p)(x) dx < \infty,$$

*then  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any of its  $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limits is in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

*Proof.* Letting  $K(x) = \mathbf{h}_1(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\beta(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  for all  $t > 0$ , we get that  $K_t(x) = \mathbf{h}_t(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > 0$ . By radial symmetry, we can compute

$$\|\cdot\|^p K\|_{L^1} = \frac{2^{p-1}N}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+p}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right)}.$$

Since  $\|\cdot\|^p K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for every  $p \in [1, \infty)$  due to (6.1), the conclusion hence follows from Theorems 4.2 and 4.4. We omit the simple computations.  $\square$

**6.2. Fractional heat kernel.** We now deal with the fractional counterpart of Theorem 6.1. Given  $s \in (0, 1)$ , we let  $(\mathbf{h}_t^s)_{t>0}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be the *fractional heat kernel*. For  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , it is known that

$$\mathbf{h}_t^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} \frac{t}{(t^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} \quad (6.3)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > 0$ . For  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $s \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , the heat kernel does not have an explicit formula. Anyway, it is a smooth, positive, radially symmetric probability function obeying the scaling law

$$\mathbf{h}_t^s(x) = t^{-\frac{N}{2s}} \mathbf{h}_1^s\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2s}}x\right) \quad (6.4)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > 0$ . Moreover, by [17, Th. 2.1], we have that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{N+2s} \mathbf{h}_1^s(x) = \zeta_{N,s},$$

with

$$\zeta_{N,s} = \frac{s 4^s}{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2} + s\right)}{\Gamma(1-s)}. \quad (6.5)$$

Therefore, thanks to (6.4), we also get that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathbf{h}_t^s(x)}{t} = \frac{\zeta_{N,s}}{|x|^{N+2s}} \quad (6.6)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $\zeta_{N,s}$  is as in (6.5), and that there exists  $C_{N,s} > 0$  such that

$$\frac{C_{N,s}^{-1} t}{\left(t^{\frac{1}{s}} + |x|^2\right)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} \leq \mathbf{h}_t^s(x) \leq \frac{C_{N,s} t}{\left(t^{\frac{1}{s}} + |x|^2\right)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} \quad (6.7)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > 0$ . The fractional heat kernel enjoys the following relation with the integer heat kernel (6.1). Actually, such relation is a particular case of the general approach sketched in [18, Sec. 1.1.4]. For each  $s \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a family of probability densities  $(\eta_t^s)_{t>0}$  on  $(0, \infty)$  such that

$$h_t^s(x) = \int_0^\infty h_\tau(x) \eta_t^s(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t > 0. \quad (6.8)$$

As proved in [1, Sec. 2], the family  $(\eta_t^s)_{t>0}$  satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty \tau^\alpha \eta_1^s(\tau) d\tau = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\alpha}{s})}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \quad (6.9)$$

for all  $\alpha \in (-\infty, s)$ .

As above, given  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , we define the *fractional heat semigroup*

$$(H_t^s)_{t>0}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

by letting

$$H_t^s u = h_t^s * u \quad (6.10)$$

for all  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $t > 0$ . We observe that (6.10) makes sense again by Young's inequality, since  $h_t^s \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$ , owing to the bounds in (6.7).

The following result is the fractional counterpart of Theorem 6.1. In the special case  $p = 1$ , this result was proved (in a weaker form) in [43].

**Theorem 6.2.** *Given  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$ , let  $\psi_{s,p}: I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined as*

$$\psi_s(t) = \begin{cases} t^{\frac{p}{2s}} & \text{if } 2s > p, \\ t |\log t| & \text{if } 2s = p, \\ t & \text{if } 2s < p, \end{cases}$$

for all  $t \in I$ . The limits

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{H_t^s(|u - u(x)|^p)(x)}{\psi_{s,p}(t)} dx = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{p}{2s})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{p}{2})} \frac{2\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p/2)} \|Du\|_{L^p}^p & \text{in } \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ if } 2s \geq p, \\ \frac{s 4^s}{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2} + s)}{\Gamma(1 - s)} [u]_{W^{2s, \frac{p}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p & \text{in } W^{2s, \frac{p}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ if } 2s < p, \end{cases}$$

hold in the pointwise and  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology, and all the functionals in the left-hand sides are coercive on the respective spaces. Moreover, if  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{H_{t_k}^s(|u_k - u_k(x)|^p)(x)}{\psi_s(t_k)} dx < \infty,$$

then  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any of its  $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limits is in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if  $2s \geq p$  and in  $W^{2s, \frac{p}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if  $2s < p$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $K^s(x) = h_1^s(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\beta_s(t) = t^{-\frac{s}{2}}$  for all  $t > 0$ , we get that  $K_t^s(x) = h_t^s(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > 0$ . We distinguish three cases.

*Case  $2s > p$ .* We have that  $|\cdot|^p K^s \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , so the conclusion follows by [Theorem 4.2](#). We just need to observe that, by radial symmetry, we can compute

$$\| |\cdot|^p K \|_{L^1} = \frac{2^{p-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+p}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{p}{2s}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{p}{2}\right)}$$

exactly as in [[2](#), Lem. 4.1], thanks to the relation ([6.8](#)) and the formula ([6.9](#)). We omit the simple computations. For the compactness part, we can invoke [Theorem 4.4](#).

*Case  $2s = p$ .* We have that  $|\cdot|^{2s} K^s \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . However, we can compute

$$m_s(R) = m_{K^s, 2s}(R) = N\omega_N \int_0^R r^{N+2s-1} h_1^s(re_1) dr \quad \text{for all } R > 0.$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dR} \int_0^R r^{N+2s-1} h_1^s(re_1) dr = R^{N+2s-1} h_1^s(Re_1) \sim \frac{c_{N,s}}{R}$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $c_{N,s} > 0$  is as in ([6.5](#)), we must have that

$$m_s(R) \sim N\omega_N c_{N,s} \log R$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . We hence deduce that

$$\phi_s(t) = \phi_{K^s, 2s}(t) = t m_s\left(t^{-\frac{s}{2}}\right) \sim N\omega_N c_{N,s} \frac{s}{2} t |\log t|$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . The conclusion hence follows by observing that the family  $(\rho_t)_{t>0}$  given by

$$\rho_t^s(x) = \frac{|x|^p h_t^s(x)}{t |\log t|},$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > 0$ , satisfies the properties in [Theorem 3.1\(A\)](#) (with no need of passing to a subsequence). For the compactness part, we can invoke [Proposition 4.7](#).

*Case  $2s < p$ .* For the validity of the limit we can rely on [Theorem 5.1](#), thanks to the limit in ([6.6](#)) and the bounds in ([6.7](#)), while the compactness part follows from [Theorem 5.2](#). We omit the simple details.  $\square$

**Remark 6.3** (On the constants in [Theorem 6.2](#) for  $p = 1$ ). We observe that, for  $p = 1$ , the constants in [Theorem 6.2](#) were computed in [[43](#)] with a completely different method, based on the approach of [[9](#)]. We observe that our method is more direct and flexible, yielding the values of the constants for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

**Remark 6.4** (On the proof of [[43](#), Th. 2.1] for  $s \neq \frac{1}{2}$ ). The proof of [[43](#), Th. 2.1] in the case  $s \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , which is detailed in [[43](#), Sec. 2.1], seems incorrect. Indeed, although the statement and the proof of [[43](#), Lem. 2.4] are correct, this result cannot be used to ensure the validity of the assumptions in [[43](#), Lem. 2.2], as the family  $(\chi_{E_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  *a priori* depends on the chosen sequence  $(t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , being  $E_i = E_{t_i}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**6.3. General heat-type kernels.** We conclude this section by generalizing [[2](#), Th. 1.1], see [Theorem 6.5](#) below. To state our result, we need to introduce some notation.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set of indices and consider a family of non-negative continuous functions  $(h_t^\alpha)_{t>0}: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . Assume that  $h_t^\alpha$  is radially symmetric with  $\|h_t^\alpha\|_{L^1} = 1$  for each  $t > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, assume that there is  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$h_t^\alpha(x) = t^{-\beta N} h_1^\alpha(t^{-\beta} x) \tag{6.11}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . Given  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we let

$$\mathcal{A}_p = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathcal{A} : |\cdot|^p h_1^\alpha \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}$$

Moreover, given  $\zeta > 0$  and  $C \geq 1$ , we let  $\mathcal{B}_p^{\zeta, C} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the subset of indices  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{N+p} h_1^\alpha(x) = \zeta \quad (6.12)$$

and

$$\frac{C^{-1}}{(1 + |x|)^{N+p}} \leq h_1^\alpha(x) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |x|)^{N+p}} \quad (6.13)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Finally, we let  $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the subset of indices  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  such that there exist  $\bar{t}_\alpha, c_\alpha > 0$ , a Borel function  $\psi_\alpha: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and a measurable function  $\kappa_\alpha: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\frac{h_t^\alpha(x)}{\psi_\alpha(t)} \leq c_\alpha \kappa_\alpha(x) \quad (6.14)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t \in (0, \bar{t}_\alpha)$  and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h_t^\alpha(x)}{\psi_\alpha(t)} = \kappa_\alpha(x) \quad (6.15)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . We also let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_p \subset \mathcal{C}_p$  be the subset of indices  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_p$  such that there exist  $\hat{t}_\alpha, \hat{c}_\alpha > 0$  and a measurable function  $\hat{\kappa}_\alpha: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

$$\hat{\kappa}_\alpha \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\kappa}_\alpha \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R) \quad (6.16)$$

for all  $R > 0$ , and

$$\frac{h_t^\alpha(x)}{\psi_\alpha(t)} \geq \hat{c}_\alpha \hat{\kappa}_\alpha(x) \quad (6.17)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t \in (0, \hat{t}_\alpha)$ . As above, for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , we define

$$(H_t^\alpha)_{t>0}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

by letting

$$H_t^\alpha u = h_t^\alpha * u \quad (6.18)$$

for all  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $t > 0$ . We observe that (6.18) makes sense by Young's inequality, since  $h_t^\alpha \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  by assumption.

We are now ready to state our result, improving and generalizing [2, Th. 1.1].

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and let  $(H_t^\alpha)_{t>0, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  be as above. Let  $\varsigma_\alpha: I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined as*

$$\varsigma_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} t^{\beta p} & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_p, \\ t^{\beta p} |\log t| & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{B}_p^{\zeta, C}, \\ \psi_\alpha(t) & \text{if } \alpha \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_p, \end{cases}$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , with  $\zeta > 0$  and  $C \geq 1$ . The limits

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{H_t^\alpha(|u - u(x)|^p)(x)}{\varsigma_\alpha(t)} dx = \begin{cases} \frac{c_{N,p}}{N \|\cdot\|^p h_1^\alpha\|_{L^1}} \|Du\|_{L^p}^p & \text{in } \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ if } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_p, \\ \zeta \beta \omega_N c_{N,p} \|Du\|_{L^p}^p & \text{in } \mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ if } \alpha \in \mathcal{B}_p^{\zeta,C}, \\ [u]_{W^{\kappa_\alpha,p}}^p & \text{in } W^{\kappa_\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ if } \alpha \in \mathcal{C}_p, \end{cases}$$

where

$$c_{N,p} = \frac{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+p}{2}\right)},$$

hold in the pointwise sense and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the  $L^p$  topology, and all the functionals in the right-hand sides are coercive on the respective spaces. Moreover, if  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$  and  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varsigma_\alpha(t_k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_{t_k}^\alpha(|u_k - u_k(x)|^p)(x) dx < \infty,$$

then  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally precompact in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any of its  $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  limits is in  $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_p \cup \mathcal{B}_p^{\zeta,C}$  and in  $W^{\kappa_\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_p$ .

*Proof.* The proof is quite similar to that of [Theorem 6.2](#), so we simply sketch it. As before, letting  $K(x) = h_1^\alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\beta_\alpha = t^{-\beta}$  for all  $t > 0$ , we get that  $K_t^\alpha(x) = h_t^\alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > 0$ . The case  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_p$  directly follows from [Theorem 4.2](#) and [Theorem 4.4](#). For the case  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_p^{\zeta,C}$ , we observe that, owing to [\(6.12\)](#),

$$\phi_{K^\alpha,p}(t) \sim N \omega_N \zeta \beta t^{\beta p} |\log t| \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Therefore, it is enough to check that the family  $(\rho_t)_{t>0}$  given by

$$\rho_t(x) = \frac{|x|^p h_t^\alpha(x)}{t^{\beta p} |\log t|}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t > 0,$$

satisfies the properties in [Theorem 3.1\(A\)](#) (with no need of passing to a subsequence). For the compactness part, thanks to [\(6.13\)](#), it is enough to apply [Proposition 4.7](#). Finally, owing to [\(6.14\)](#) and [\(6.15\)](#), the case  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_p$  follows from [Theorem 5.1](#), while, owing also to [\(6.16\)](#) and [\(6.17\)](#), the compactness in the case  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_p$  follows from [\[16, Th. 2.11\]](#).  $\square$

**Remark 6.6** (Comparison with [\[2, Th. 1.1\]](#)). We observe that [\[2, Th. 1.1\]](#) deals with the case  $p = 1$  only, and only in the case of bounded sets of finite perimeter. In addition, [\[2, Th. 1.1\(ii\)\]](#) is weaker than [Theorem 6.5](#), as [\[2, Th. 1.1\(ii\)\]](#) provides an upper estimate on the lim sup and only under the stronger assumption that

$$h_1^\alpha(x) = \frac{c}{(1 + |x|^n)^m}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (6.19)$$

for some  $c, n, m > 0$  such that  $mn = N + 1$ . Our result instead relies on [\(6.12\)](#) and [\(6.13\)](#) only, which, in turn, in the case [\(6.19\)](#), naturally imposes that  $mn = N + p$ . We further remark that our renormalization of  $(h_t^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, t>0}$  differs from the one adopted in [\[2\]](#), as we



require that  $\|h_1^\alpha\|_{L^1} = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . However, due to the scaling assumption (6.11), corresponding to [2, Eq. (1.1)], the two approaches are completely equivalent.

## 7. HEAT CONTENT IN HILBERT SPACES

In this section we briefly provide a different point of view on the sufficient condition achieved in Theorem 3.1 in the Hilbertian framework.

**7.1. Heat content in Hilbert spaces.** We recall some standard notation (for a more detailed account, see, e.g., [36]). We let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space with scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}}$  and we let  $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a *strongly continuous semigroup of symmetric operators* on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 7.1** (Semigroup content). The *semigroup content* of  $u \in \mathcal{H}$  is the map  $[0, \infty) \ni t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_t(u) \in [0, \infty)$  defined as

$$\mathbb{H}_t(u) = (H_t u, u)_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . In particular,  $0 \leq \mathbb{H}_t(u) \leq \mathbb{H}_0(u) = (u, u)_{\mathcal{H}}$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ .

We let  $L$  be the *generator* of the semigroup  $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , which is given by

$$Lu = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{H_t u - u}{t} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}, \quad (7.1)$$

with domain  $\mathcal{D}(L) = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : Lu \text{ exists as a strong limit}\}$ . We recall that  $L$  is a non-positive definite self-adjoint operator, see [36, Lem. 1.3.1].

The following result can be seen as a general Hilbertian analogue of the implication (A)  $\implies$  (B) in Theorem 3.1. Here the notions of convergence in  $\mathcal{D}(L) \subset \mathcal{H}$  in the pointwise and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the topology in  $\mathcal{H}$  are the natural analogues of Definitions 2.3 and 2.4. We omit the plain statements.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $L$  be as above. The following hold:*

- (i) *if  $u \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ , then  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u) - \mathbb{H}_t(u)}{t} \leq (-Lu, u)_{\mathcal{H}}$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$  and  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$  are such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{D}(L)$  and  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$ , then*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u_k) - \mathbb{H}_{t_k}(u_k)}{t_k} \geq (-Lu, u)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

*As a consequence, the functionals  $u \mapsto \frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u) - \mathbb{H}_t(u)}{t}$  converge to  $u \mapsto (-Lu, u)_{\mathcal{H}}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  pointwise and in the  $\Gamma$ -sense with respect to the strong topology in  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(E_\lambda)_{\lambda \geq 0}$  be the spectral representation of  $-L$ , so that

$$(-Lu, v)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^\infty \lambda \, d(E_\lambda u, v)_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{D}(L)$  and  $v \in \mathcal{H}$ . By [36, Lem. 1.3.2], we can write

$$(H_t u, v)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} \, d(E_\lambda u, v)_{\mathcal{H}},$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ , and thus

$$\mathbb{H}_0(u) - \mathbb{H}_t(u) = (u - H_t u, u)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t\lambda}) \, d(E_\lambda u, u)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $1 - s \leq e^{-s}$  for all  $s \geq 0$ , we can hence estimate

$$\mathbb{H}_0(u) - \mathbb{H}_t(u) \leq t \int_0^\infty \lambda \, d(E_\lambda u, u) = t(-Lu, u)_\mathcal{H}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $u \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ , yielding (i).

Now let  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$  be as in (ii). We define the measures  $\mu_k, \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}((0, \infty))$  by letting  $\mu_k = (E \cdot u_k, u_k)_\mathcal{H}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu = (E \cdot u, u)_\mathcal{H}$ . Since  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we infer that  $\mu_k \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}((0, \infty))$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, given  $R > 0$ , we can estimate

$$\frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u_k) - \mathbb{H}_{t_k}(u_k)}{t_k} \geq \int_0^R \frac{1 - e^{-t_k \lambda}}{t_k \lambda} \lambda \, d\mu_k(\lambda) \geq \frac{1 - e^{-t_k R}}{t_k R} \int_0^R \lambda \, d\mu_k(\lambda)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Tonelli's Theorem and Fatou's Lemma, we have that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R \lambda \, d\mu_k(\lambda) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R \mu_k((t, R)) \, dt \geq \int_0^R \mu((t, R)) \, dt = \int_0^R \lambda \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all  $R > 0$ . As a consequence, we get that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u_k) - \mathbb{H}_{t_k}(u_k)}{t_k} \geq \int_0^R \lambda \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all  $R > 0$ . Letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, we conclude that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u_k) - \mathbb{H}_{t_k}(u_k)}{t_k} \geq \int_0^\infty \lambda \, d\mu(\lambda) = (-Lu, u)_\mathcal{H},$$

proving (ii). The rest of the statement follows by choosing  $u_k = u$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**7.2. A quicker approach via Fourier transform.** We now provide an alternative quicker proof of Theorem 7.2 for  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  via the Fourier transform.

We let

$$\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) = \hat{u}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} u(x) \, dx,$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , be the *Fourier transform* of  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . As customary, we extend the Fourier transform to a unitary transformation on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  keeping the same notation.

Given a measurable function  $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , the family

$$(\mathbf{H}_t)_{t \geq 0}: L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

defined as

$$(\mathbf{H}_t u, v)_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\lambda(\xi)t} \hat{u}(\xi) \cdot \overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} \, d\xi \quad (7.2)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , yield a strongly continuous semigroup of symmetric operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . As in Definition 7.1, we can thus define the semigroup content corresponding to (7.2) as

$$\mathbb{H}_t(u) = (\mathbf{H}_t u, u)_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\lambda(\xi)t} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Moreover, as in (7.1), the generator of (7.2) is given by

$$(-Lu, v)_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) \cdot \overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} \, d\xi, \quad v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(L) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \lambda |\hat{u}| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$ . As usual, we can interpret  $\lambda$  as the *Fourier symbol* of the (non-negative) operator  $-L$ .

*Alternative proof of Theorem 7.2.* Since we have

$$\mathbb{H}_0(u) - \mathbb{H}_t(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - e^{-\lambda(\xi)t}) |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , by the Dominated Convergence Theorem we infer that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u) - \mathbb{H}_t(u)}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(\xi) |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = (-Lu, u)_{L^2}$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ . Now let  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$  be such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $u \in \mathcal{D}(L)$  and  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . As a consequence, also  $\hat{u}_k \rightarrow \hat{u}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and thus, owing to Plancherel's Theorem, up to passing to a subsequence,  $\hat{u}_k(\xi) \rightarrow \hat{u}(\xi)$  for a.e.  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, by Fatou's Lemma, we get that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_0(u_k) - \mathbb{H}_{t_k}(u_k)}{t_k} = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda(\xi)t_k}}{t_k} |\hat{u}_k(\xi)|^2 d\xi \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(\xi) |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

readily yielding the conclusion.  $\square$

**Remark 7.3** (Application to heat kernels). The choice  $\lambda(\xi) = 4\pi^2|\xi|^2$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$  yields that  $\mathbb{H}_t u = \mathbf{h}_t * u$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as in (6.2), and  $L = \Delta$  is the Laplacian operator. Similarly, given  $s \in (0, 1)$ , the choice  $\lambda(\xi) = (2\pi|\xi|)^{2s}$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , yields that  $\mathbb{H}_t^s u = \mathbf{h}_t^s * u$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as in (6.10), and  $L = -(-\Delta)^s$  is the *fractional Laplacian operator*, see [62] for instance.

**Remark 7.4** (Characteristic functions). In the setting of Section 7.2, Theorem 7.2 can be applied to characteristic functions of sets with finite volume. In particular, in the case of heat kernels as in Remark 7.3, this point of view allows to recover the results of [43] for  $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . For finer results in this direction, we also refer to [15].

**Remark 7.5** (Non-negativity assumption). It is worth observing that the non-negativity assumption  $-L \geq 0$  in Section 7 (or, analogously, the fact that  $\lambda \geq 0$  in (7.2) in Section 7.2) plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 7.2. We do not know if such non-negativity assumption can be dropped or, at least, relaxed. Similar considerations can be made concerning the non-negativity assumption  $\rho_t \geq 0$  for  $t \in I$  in the case of the functionals  $(\mathcal{F}_{t,p})_{t \in I}$  in (2.8) for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . We refer to [4, 5] for related discussions. The authors thank Giovanni Alberti for several observations about these aspects.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Acuña Valverde, *Trace asymptotics for fractional Schrödinger operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), no. 2, 514–559, DOI [10.1016/j.jfa.2013.10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2013.10.021). MR3132721
- [2] ———, *Heat content estimates over sets of finite perimeter*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **441** (2016), no. 1, 104–120, DOI [10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.03.087](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.03.087). MR3488049
- [3] A. Agrachev, L. Rizzi, and T. Rossi, *Relative heat content asymptotics for sub-Riemannian manifolds*, Anal. PDE **17** (2024), no. 9, 2997–3037, DOI [10.2140/apde.2024.17.2997](https://doi.org/10.2140/apde.2024.17.2997). MR4818197
- [4] G. Alberti and G. Bellettini, *A non-local anisotropic model for phase transitions: asymptotic behaviour of rescaled energies*, European J. Appl. Math. **9** (1998), no. 3, 261–284, DOI [10.1017/S0956792598003453](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956792598003453). MR1634336

- [5] ———, *A nonlocal anisotropic model for phase transitions. I. The optimal profile problem*, Math. Ann. **310** (1998), no. 3, 527–560, DOI [10.1007/s002080050159](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002080050159). MR1612250
- [6] R. Alicandro, N. Ansini, A. Braides, A. Piatnitski, and A. Tribuzio, *A variational theory of convolution-type functionals*, SpringerBriefs on PDEs and Data Science, Springer, Singapore, [2023] ©2023. MR4633516
- [7] P. Alonso Ruiz and F. Baudoin, *Yet another heat semigroup characterization of BV functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **32** (2023), no. 3, 577–606. MR4639939
- [8] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, and D. Pallara, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. MR1857292
- [9] L. Ambrosio, G. De Philippis, and L. Martinazzi, *Gamma-convergence of nonlocal perimeter functionals*, Manuscripta Math. **134** (2011), no. 3-4, 377–403, DOI [10.1007/s00229-010-0399-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00229-010-0399-4). MR2765717
- [10] L. Angiuli, U. Massari, and M. Miranda Jr., *Geometric properties of the heat content*, Manuscripta Math. **140** (2013), no. 3-4, 497–529, DOI [10.1007/s00229-012-0550-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00229-012-0550-5). MR3019137
- [11] A. Arroyo-Rabasa and P. Bonicatto, *A Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu representation for functions with bounded deformation*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **62** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 33, 22, DOI [10.1007/s00526-022-02350-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-022-02350-0). MR4507709
- [12] D. Barbieri, *Approximations of Sobolev norms in Carnot groups*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **13** (2011), no. 5, 765–794, DOI [10.1142/S0219199711004439](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199711004439). MR2847228
- [13] J. C. Bellido, J. Cueto, and C. Mora-Corral,  *$\Gamma$ -convergence of polyconvex functionals involving  $s$ -fractional gradients to their local counterparts*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **60** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 7, 29, DOI [10.1007/s00526-020-01868-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-020-01868-5). MR4179861
- [14] J. Berendsen and V. Pagliari, *On the asymptotic behaviour of nonlocal perimeters*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **25** (2019), Paper No. 48, 27, DOI [10.1051/cocv/2018038](https://doi.org/10.1051/cocv/2018038). MR4011022
- [15] T. Beretti and L. Gennaioli, *Fourier transform of BV functions and applications* (2024), arXiv preprint, DOI [10.48550/arXiv.2407.13347](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.13347).
- [16] K. Bessas and G. Stefani, *Non-local BV functions and a denoising model with  $L^1$  fidelity*, Adv. Calc. Var. **18** (2025), no. 1, 189–217, DOI [10.1515/acv-2023-0082](https://doi.org/10.1515/acv-2023-0082).
- [17] R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Gettoor, *Some theorems on stable processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 263–273, DOI [10.2307/1993291](https://doi.org/10.2307/1993291). MR0119247
- [18] K. Bogdan, T. Byczkowski, T. Kulczycki, M. Ryznar, R. Song, and Z. Vondraček, *Potential analysis of stable processes and its extensions* (P. Graczyk and A. Stos, eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1980, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. MR2569321
- [19] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, and P. Mironescu, *Another look at Sobolev spaces*, Optimal control and partial differential equations, IOS, Amsterdam, 2001, pp. 439–455. MR3586796
- [20] A. Braides,  *$\Gamma$ -convergence for beginners*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 22, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. MR1968440
- [21] C. Brena, E. Pasqualetto, and A. Pinamonti, *Sobolev and BV functions on RCD spaces via the short-time behaviour of the heat kernel*, Commun. Contemp. Math. (2024), DOI [10.1142/S0219199725500026](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199725500026). Preprint version available at [arXiv:2212.03910](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.03910).
- [22] H. Brezis, *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2011. MR2759829
- [23] H. Brezis and P. Mironescu, *Non-local approximations of the gradient*, Confluentes Math. **15** (2023), 27–44. MR4736065
- [24] E. Bruè, M. Calzi, G. E. Comi, and G. Stefani, *A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: asymptotics II*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **360** (2022), 589–626, DOI [10.5802/crmath.300](https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.300). MR4449863
- [25] Y. Colin de Verdière, L. Hillairet, and E. Trélat, *Small-time asymptotics of hypoelliptic heat kernels near the diagonal, nilpotentization and related results*, Ann. H. Lebesgue **4** (2021), 897–971, DOI [10.5802/ahl.93](https://doi.org/10.5802/ahl.93). MR4315774
- [26] G. E. Comi and G. Stefani, *A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: asymptotics I*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **36** (2023), no. 2, 491–569, DOI [10.1007/s13163-022-00429-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13163-022-00429-y). MR4581759

- [27] V. Crismale, L. De Luca, A. Kubin, A. Ninno, and M. Ponsiglione, *The variational approach to  $s$ -fractional heat flows and the limit cases  $s \rightarrow 0^+$  and  $s \rightarrow 1^-$* , J. Funct. Anal. **284** (2023), no. 8, Paper No. 109851, 38, DOI [10.1016/j.jfa.2023.109851](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2023.109851). MR4544090
- [28] G. Dal Maso, *An introduction to  $\Gamma$ -convergence*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, vol. 8, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993. MR1201152
- [29] J. Dávila, *On an open question about functions of bounded variation*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **15** (2002), no. 4, 519–527, DOI [10.1007/s005260100135](https://doi.org/10.1007/s005260100135). MR1942130
- [30] E. Davoli, G. Di Fratta, and V. Pagliari, *Sharp conditions for the validity of the Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu formula*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A (2024), 1–24, DOI [10.1017/prm.2024.47](https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2024.47).
- [31] E. De Giorgi, *Su una teoria generale della misura  $(r-1)$ -dimensionale in uno spazio ad  $r$  dimensioni*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **36** (1954), 191–213, DOI [10.1007/BF02412838](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02412838). MR0062214
- [32] L. De Luca, A. Kubin, and M. Ponsiglione, *The core-radius approach to supercritical fractional perimeters, curvatures and geometric flows*, Nonlinear Anal. **214** (2022), Paper No. 112585, 48, DOI [10.1016/j.na.2021.112585](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2021.112585). MR4322332
- [33] S. Di Marino and M. Squassina, *New characterizations of Sobolev metric spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **276** (2019), no. 6, 1853–1874, DOI [10.1016/j.jfa.2018.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2018.07.003). MR3912793
- [34] G. Foghem, *Stability of complement value problems for  $p$ -Lévy operators*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **32** (2025), no. 1, Paper No. 1, 106, DOI [10.1007/s00030-024-01006-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00030-024-01006-6). MR4822071
- [35] G. Foghem and M. Kassmann, *A general framework for nonlocal Neumann problems*, Commun. Math. Sci. **22** (2024), no. 1, 15–66, DOI [10.4310/CMS.2024.v22.n1.a2](https://doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2024.v22.n1.a2).
- [36] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, and M. Takeda, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, extended edition, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011. MR2778606
- [37] N. Garofalo and G. Tralli, *A Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu-Dávila theorem in Carnot groups of step two*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **31** (2023), no. 2, 321–341. MR4685023
- [38] ———, *A universal heat semigroup characterisation of Sobolev and BV spaces in Carnot groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **8** (2024), 6731–6758, DOI [10.1093/imrn/rnad264](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnad264). MR4735643
- [39] W. Górny, *Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu approach in metric spaces with Euclidean tangents*, J. Geom. Anal. **32** (2022), no. 4, Paper No. 128, 22, DOI [10.1007/s12220-021-00861-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-021-00861-4). MR4375837
- [40] B.-X. Han, *On the asymptotic behaviour of the fractional Sobolev seminorms: a geometric approach*, J. Funct. Anal. **287** (2024), no. 9, Paper No. 110608, 25, DOI [10.1016/j.jfa.2024.110608](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2024.110608). MR4782147
- [41] B.-X. Han and A. Pinamonti, *On the asymptotic behaviour of the fractional Sobolev seminorms in metric measure spaces: Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu’s theorem revisited* (2021), arXiv preprint, DOI [10.48550/arXiv.2110.05980](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2110.05980).
- [42] A. Kreuml and O. Mordhorst, *Fractional Sobolev norms and BV functions on manifolds*, Nonlinear Anal. **187** (2019), 450–466, DOI [10.1016/j.na.2019.06.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2019.06.014). MR3975112
- [43] A. Kubin and D. A. La Manna, *Characterization of sets of finite local and non local perimeter via non local heat equation*, arXiv preprint (2024), DOI [10.48550/arXiv.2406.14950](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2406.14950).
- [44] P. Lahti, A. Pinamonti, and X. Zhou, *A characterization of BV and Sobolev functions via non-local functionals in metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **241** (2024), Paper No. 113467, 14, DOI [10.1016/j.na.2023.113467](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2023.113467). MR4682567
- [45] ———, *BV functions and nonlocal functionals in metric measure spaces*, J. Geom. Anal. **34** (2024), no. 10, Paper No. 318, 34, DOI [10.1007/s12220-024-01766-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-024-01766-8). MR4788002
- [46] M. Ledoux, *Semigroup proofs of the isoperimetric inequality in Euclidean and Gauss space*, Bull. Sci. Math. **118** (1994), no. 6, 485–510. MR1309086
- [47] G. Leoni and D. Spector, *Characterization of Sobolev and BV spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), no. 10, 2926–2958, DOI [10.1016/j.jfa.2011.07.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2011.07.018). MR2832587
- [48] ———, *Corrigendum to “Characterization of Sobolev and BV spaces” [J. Funct. Anal. 261 (10) (2011) 2926–2958]*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), no. 2, 1106–1114, DOI [10.1016/j.jfa.2013.10.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2013.10.026). MR3132740
- [49] J. M. Mazón, J. D. Rossi, and J. J. Toledo, *Nonlocal perimeter, curvature and minimal surfaces for measurable sets*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2019. MR3930619



- [50] V. Maz'ya and T. Shaposhnikova, *On the Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **195** (2002), no. 2, 230–238, DOI [10.1006/jfan.2002.3955](https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.2002.3955). MR1940355
- [51] ———, *Erratum to: “On the Bourgain, Brezis and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces”* [J. Funct. Anal. **195** (2002), no. 2, 230–238; MR1940355 (2003j:46051)], J. Funct. Anal. **201** (2003), no. 1, 298–300, DOI [10.1016/S0022-1236\(03\)00002-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1236(03)00002-8). MR1986163
- [52] T. Mengesha, *Nonlocal Korn-type characterization of Sobolev vector fields*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **14** (2012), no. 4, 1250028, 28, DOI [10.1142/S0219199712500289](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199712500289). MR2965673
- [53] T. Mengesha and Q. Du, *Characterization of function spaces of vector fields and an application in nonlinear peridynamics*, Nonlinear Anal. **140** (2016), 82–111, DOI [10.1016/j.na.2016.02.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2016.02.024). MR3492730
- [54] M. Miranda Jr., D. Pallara, F. Paronetto, and M. Preunkert, *Short-time heat flow and functions of bounded variation in  $\mathbf{R}^N$* , Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **16** (2007), no. 1, 125–145. MR2325595
- [55] H.-M. Nguyen, A. Pinamonti, M. Squassina, and E. Vecchi, *Some characterizations of magnetic Sobolev spaces*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **65** (2020), no. 7, 1104–1114, DOI [10.1080/17476933.2018.1520850](https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2018.1520850). MR4095546
- [56] V. Pagliari, *Halfspaces minimise nonlocal perimeter: a proof via calibrations*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **199** (2020), no. 4, 1685–1696, DOI [10.1007/s10231-019-00937-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-019-00937-7). MR4117514
- [57] A. C. Ponce, *A new approach to Sobolev spaces and connections to  $\Gamma$ -convergence*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **19** (2004), no. 3, 229–255, DOI [10.1007/s00526-003-0195-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-003-0195-z). MR2033060
- [58] ———, *An estimate in the spirit of Poincaré’s inequality*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **6** (2004), no. 1, 1–15. MR2041005
- [59] M. Preunkert, *A semigroup version of the isoperimetric inequality*, Semigroup Forum **68** (2004), no. 2, 233–245, DOI [10.1007/s00233-003-0004-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-003-0004-1). MR2036625
- [60] J. Scott and T. Mengesha, *A fractional Korn-type inequality*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **39** (2019), no. 6, 3315–3343, DOI [10.3934/dcds.2019137](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2019137). MR3959431
- [61] M. van den Berg and J.-F. Le Gall, *Mean curvature and the heat equation*, Math. Z. **215** (1994), no. 3, 437–464, DOI [10.1007/BF02571723](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02571723). MR1262526
- [62] J. L. Vázquez, *Asymptotic behaviour for the fractional heat equation in the Euclidean space*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **63** (2018), no. 7-8, 1216–1231, DOI [10.1080/17476933.2017.1393807](https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2017.1393807). MR3802823

(L. Gennaioli) UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTRE, COVENTRY CV4 7AL, UK

*Email address:* [luca.gennaioli@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:luca.gennaioli@warwick.ac.uk)

(G. Stefani) UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “TULLIO LEVI-CIVITA”, VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA (PD), ITALY

*Email address:* [giorgio.stefani@unipd.it](mailto:giorgio.stefani@unipd.it) or [giorgio.stefani.math@gmail.com](mailto:giorgio.stefani.math@gmail.com)