

ON THE STABILITY OF RADIAL SOLUTIONS TO AN ANISOTROPIC GINZBURG–LANDAU EQUATION*

XAVIER LAMY[†] AND ANDRES ZUNIGA[‡]

Abstract. We study the linear stability of entire radial solutions $u(re^{i\theta}) = f(r)e^{i\theta}$, with positive increasing profile $f(r)$, to the anisotropic Ginzburg–Landau equation $-\Delta u - \delta(\partial_x + i\partial_y)^2 \bar{u} = (1 - |u|^2)u$, $-1 < \delta < 1$, which arises in various liquid crystal models. In the isotropic case $\delta = 0$, Mironescu showed that such solution is nondegenerately stable. We prove stability of this radial solution in the range $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$ for some $-1 < \delta_1 < 0$ and instability outside this range. In strong contrast with the isotropic case, stability with respect to higher Fourier modes is *not* a direct consequence of stability with respect to lower Fourier modes. In particular, in the case where $\delta \approx -1$, lower modes are stable and yet higher modes are unstable.

Key words. Ginzburg–Landau, liquid crystals, elastic anisotropy

AMS subject classification. 35Q56

DOI. 10.1137/21M1433939

1. Introduction. Given $\delta \in (-1, 1)$ and $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we consider the anisotropic energy

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{E}[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\delta}{2} \operatorname{Re} \{ (\partial_\eta \bar{u})^2 \} + \frac{1}{4} (1 - |u|^2)^2 dx, \quad \text{where } \partial_\eta = \partial_x + i\partial_y.$$

Minimizers and stable critical points of \mathfrak{E} are relevant in describing two-dimensional point defects (or three-dimensional straight-line defects) in some liquid crystal configurations (e.g., smectic- C^* thin films [4] and nematics close to the Fréedericksz transition [2]). This energy can also be viewed as a toy model to understand intricate phenomena triggered by elastic anisotropy in the more complex Landau–de Gennes energy [12].

Remark 1.1. The anisotropic term $\operatorname{Re} \{ (\partial_\eta \bar{u})^2 \}$ can be rewritten as

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ (\partial_\eta \bar{u})^2 \} = (\nabla \cdot u)^2 - (\nabla \times u)^2,$$

so that, in view of the identity $|\nabla u|^2 = (\nabla \cdot u)^2 + (\nabla \times u)^2 - 2 \det(\nabla u)$, energy (1.1) differs from

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}[u] = \int \frac{k_s}{2} (\nabla \cdot u)^2 + \frac{k_b}{2} (\nabla \times u)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (1 - |u|^2)^2, \quad k_s = 1 + \delta, \quad k_b = 1 - \delta,$$

*Received by the editors July 15, 2021; accepted for publication October 25, 2021; published electronically January 25, 2022.

<https://doi.org/10.1137/21M1433939>

Funding: The work of the first author was partially supported by the ANR project ANR-18-CE40-0023 and the COOPINTER project IEA-297303. The work of the second author was supported by ANID Chile under the grant FONDECYT de Iniciación en Investigación 11201259.

[†]Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, UMR 5219, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS IMT, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France (xlamy@math.univ-toulouse.fr).

[‡]Instituto de Ciencias de la Ingeniería (ICI), Universidad de O’Higgins (UOH), Rancagua, Chile (andres.zuniga@uoh.cl).

only by the integral of the null Lagrangian $\det(\nabla u)$. This is precisely the form that appears in [4] where minimizers of

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\varepsilon[u] = \int_\Omega \frac{k_s}{2} (\nabla \cdot u)^2 + \frac{k_b}{2} (\nabla \times u)^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u|^2)^2$$

are investigated in the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in a bounded planar domain Ω .

Critical points of \mathfrak{E} are solutions of the Euler–Lagrange equation

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_\delta u &= (|u|^2 - 1)u && \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathfrak{L}_\delta u &:= \Delta u + \delta \partial_{\eta\eta} \bar{u}. \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in symmetric solutions of the form

$$(1.4) \quad u(re^{i\theta}) = f(r)e^{i\alpha}e^{i\theta} \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

with a radial profile $f(r)$ satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad f(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} f(r) = 1, \quad |f(r)| > 0 \quad \forall r \in (0, \infty).$$

Formally, one can always look for solutions of (1.3) in the form (1.4) (as a consequence of the $O(2)$ -invariance of \mathfrak{E}), and f must solve

$$Tf + \delta e^{-2i\alpha} T\bar{f} = (|f|^2 - 1)f, \quad T = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{1}{r^2}.$$

At this point we see a fundamental difference with respect to the isotropic case $\delta = 0$. If $\delta = 0$, one can find solutions as above for a real-valued function f , which moreover does not depend on α . In the anisotropic case $\delta \neq 0$, as remarked in [2], the function f can be real-valued only if $\alpha \equiv 0$ modulo $\pi/2$. In that case, the existence and uniqueness of a solution satisfying (1.5) follows from the case $\delta = 0$ (see [1, 7]). Otherwise, the function f must be complex valued.

Remark 1.2. Another difference with respect to the isotropic case is that for $\delta \neq 0$ the ansatz $u(re^{i\theta}) = f(r)e^{im\theta}$ cannot provide a solution when the winding number m is $\neq 1$.

In [2], the core energies of the two symmetric solutions corresponding to $\alpha = 0, \pi/2$ are compared to find that the lowest energy corresponds to $\alpha = 0$ for $\delta < 0$ and $\alpha = \pi/2$ for $\delta > 0$. In view of Remark 1.1 this is consistent with the fact that $\nabla \times e^{i\theta} = 0$, while $\nabla \cdot ie^{i\theta} = 0$; indeed, for $\delta < 0$ the energy $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}[u]$ in Remark 1.1 penalizes more strongly the term $(\nabla \times u)^2$ than the term $(\nabla \cdot u)^2$, since in this case $k_b = 1 - \delta > k_s = 1 + \delta$. In [4, Proposition 3.1] the authors use this to show that minimizers of (1.2) behave like $e^{i\alpha}e^{i\theta}$ around point defects, with $\alpha \equiv 0$ (resp., $\pi/2$) modulo π if $\delta < 0$ (resp., $\delta > 0$). These results tell us, for $\delta \neq 0$, which one is the minimizing behavior at infinity.

Here, in contrast, we fix the far-field behavior and investigate the local stability of radial solutions with respect to compactly supported perturbations. For the isotropic case $\delta = 0$, this study has been performed in [13] (see also [5]), and the radial solution is stable. Recently, these linear stability estimates have been improved to nonlinear stability in [6]. In the anisotropic situation $\delta \neq 0$ we find that the corresponding symmetric solution stays stable for negative δ close to zero and it loses stability for δ either positive or close to minus one (see Theorem 1.3 for precise statements).

It can be readily seen that the case $\alpha = \pi/2$ corresponds to $\alpha = 0$ after changing the sign of δ . Accordingly, we only treat the case where $\alpha = 0$. That is, we investigate the linear stability of solutions u of the form

$$(1.6) \quad u_{\text{rad}}^\delta(r, \theta) = f(r)e^{i\theta}, \quad f: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty) \quad \text{with} \quad f(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} f(r) = 1.$$

Let us note that the equation satisfied by u_{rad}^δ , (1.3), reduces to the following ODE for f :

$$(1.7) \quad (1 + \delta)Tf = (f^2 - 1)f, \quad T = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{1}{r^2}.$$

As pointed out in [2], the rescaling of the variable by $(1 + \delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ simplifies (1.7) to the standard ODE corresponding to the isotropic case $\delta = 0$. Whence, existence and uniqueness of f follow from [1, 7]. Moreover, it is known that f takes values in $(0, 1)$ and is strictly increasing.

The second variation of the energy \mathfrak{E} around u_{rad}^δ is the quadratic form

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^\delta[v] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 + \delta \operatorname{Re} \{(\partial_\eta \bar{v})^2\} - (1 - |u_{\text{rad}}^\delta|^2)|v|^2 + 2(u_{\text{rad}}^\delta \cdot v)^2 \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 + \delta \operatorname{Re} \{(\partial_\eta \bar{v})^2\} - (1 - f^2)|v|^2 + 2f^2(e^{i\theta} \cdot v)^2 \, dx \end{aligned}$$

associated to the linear operator obtained by linearizing (1.3) around u_{rad}^δ :

$$\mathcal{L}(u_{\text{rad}}^\delta)[v] = -\mathfrak{L}_\delta v - (1 - |u_{\text{rad}}^\delta|^2)v + 2(u_{\text{rad}}^\delta \cdot v)u_{\text{rad}}^\delta,$$

where $u \cdot v := \operatorname{Re} \{u\bar{v}\}$ denotes the standard inner product of complex-valued functions.

Taking into account the asymptotic expansion $f(r) = 1 + O(r^{-2})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ (see [1, 7]), it follows that the energy space of $\mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^\delta$ naturally corresponds to

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ v \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}|v|^2 + (e^{i\theta} \cdot v)^2 \, dx < +\infty \right\}.$$

Also, the translational invariance of \mathfrak{E} readily provides two elements of \mathcal{H} at which $\mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^\delta$ vanishes, namely,

$$\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^\delta = e^{i\theta} \left(f' \cos \theta - i \frac{f}{r} \sin \theta \right), \quad \partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^\delta = e^{i\theta} \left(f' \sin \theta + i \frac{f}{r} \cos \theta \right),$$

and the linear space they generate is denoted by

$$K_0 = \operatorname{span}\{\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^\delta, \partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^\delta\}.$$

Our main result shows that the symmetric solution u_{rad}^δ is stable when $\delta \leq 0$ is small and unstable otherwise.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let u_{rad}^δ denote the radial solution (1.6) of the anisotropic Ginzburg–Landau equation (1.3), and let $\mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^\delta$ denote the quadratic form (1.8) associated to the energy \mathfrak{E} around u_{rad}^δ . Then, there exists a unique number $\delta_1 \in (-1, 0)$ such that*

- for every $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$, u_{rad}^δ is nondegenerately stable: namely,

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^\delta[v] > 0 \quad \forall v \in H \setminus K_0,$$

- for every $\delta \in (-1, \delta_1) \cup (0, 1)$, u_{rad}^δ is linearly unstable: namely,

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^\delta[v] < 0 \quad \text{for some } v \in H.$$

Remark 1.4. The most relevant range from the standpoint of physics is $\delta \in (-1, 0]$ since for $\delta > 0$ the far-field behavior corresponding to $\alpha = 0$ is nonminimizing, and this translates here into instability of the radial solution.

Remark 1.5. In the stability range $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$, a contradiction argument as in [5, Lemma 3.1] provides a coercivity estimate of the form

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^\delta[v] \geq C(\delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 dx \quad \forall v \in K_0^\perp : \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} (ie^{i\theta}) \cdot v(re^{i\theta}) d\theta = 0 \quad \forall r > 0,$$

where \perp denotes orthogonality in \mathcal{H} . Using this coercivity for $\delta = 0$, one can deduce stability for small negative δ via a relatively simple perturbation argument, combined with properties of the lower modes in section 3. Instead, we will give a more quantitative proof, which provides an explicit range for stability: we deduce that $\delta_1 \leq -1/\sqrt{5}$.

Remark 1.6. Very recently the linear stability estimates of [13] in the isotropic case $\delta = 0$ have been improved in [6] to establish nonlinear stability. It would be interesting to extend these nonlinear stability estimates to the anisotropic case in the stability range $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.3 follows the general strategy of [13]: we decompose v into Fourier modes

$$v = e^{i\theta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_n(r) e^{in\theta},$$

and we are led to studying the sign of $\mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^\delta$, separately, for each mode

$$e^{i\theta} (w_n(r) e^{in\theta} + w_{-n}(r) e^{-in\theta}).$$

As in [13], the lower modes $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ play a special role. They can be studied via an appropriate decomposition already used in [13] (see also [5]). For any $\delta \in (-1, 0]$ we find that these lower modes are stable, while for $\delta > 0$ the mode corresponding to $n = 0$ is unstable.

A major difference of the present work compared to [13] (or similar results in [9, 10, 11]) pertains to the higher modes $n \geq 2$. In contrast with the cited works, stability for the higher modes is not an obvious consequence of stability for the lower modes. More precisely in the isotropic case we have

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^0 [e^{i\theta} (w_+(r) e^{in\theta} + w_-(r) e^{-in\theta})] \geq \mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^0 [e^{i\theta} (w_+(r) e^{i\theta} + w_-(r) e^{-i\theta})] \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

but for $\delta \neq 0$ this is not valid anymore; see (4.1). This feature is new and specific to the anisotropic case $\delta \neq 0$. Our strategy to study the sign of these higher modes is based on the same decomposition used for $n = 1$ and a careful balance of the contributions of additional terms, which end up causing instability for $\delta \approx -1$. We are, however, not able to estimate precisely the Morse index of the solution in the instability range.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the splitting property of the quadratic form $\mathfrak{Q}_{\text{rad}}^\delta$ with respect to Fourier expansion. In section 3 we study the stability of lower modes and in section 4 the instability of higher modes. In section 5 we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. In addition, we included Appendix A to recall the details of the decomposition used to study the lower modes, adapted to our notations.

2. Fourier splitting. Recall that $f(r) = f_0((1 + \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}r)$ where f_0 is the classical Ginzburg–Landau vortex profile corresponding to the case $\delta = 0$. That is, the unique solution of

$$(2.1) \quad f_0'' + \frac{1}{r}f_0' - \frac{1}{r^2}f_0 = -(1 - f_0^2)f_0, \quad f_0 > 0 \text{ on } (0, +\infty), \quad f_0(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} f_0(r) = 1.$$

We rescale variables and consider $\mathcal{Q}^\delta[v] = \mathcal{Q}_{\text{rad}}^\delta[\tilde{v}]$, where $\tilde{v}(\tilde{x}) = v((1 + \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{x})$, so that

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{Q}^\delta[v] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 + \delta \operatorname{Re} \{(\partial_\eta \bar{v})^2\} + (1 + \delta) \{2f_0^2(e^{i\theta} \cdot v)^2 - (1 - f_0^2)|v|^2\} \, dx,$$

which corresponds to the second variation of the appropriately rescaled energy around u_{rad}^0 . Following [13] we decompose v using Fourier series, as

$$(2.3) \quad v = e^{i\theta}w = e^{i\theta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_n(r)e^{in\theta},$$

where we have conveniently shifted the index $n - 1 \mapsto n$.

This decomposition provides a “diagonalization” of the linearized operator.

LEMMA 2.1. *The quadratic form (2.2) splits as*

$$\mathcal{Q}^\delta[v] = \mathcal{Q}^\delta[w_0(r)e^{i\theta}] + \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{Q}^\delta[e^{i\theta}(w_n(r)e^{in\theta} + w_{-n}(r)e^{-in\theta})].$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Lemma 2.1 essentially asserts that the family of functions

$$(2.4) \quad w_0(r)e^{i\theta}, \quad \{e^{i\theta}(w_n(r)e^{in\theta} + w_{-n}(r)e^{-in\theta}) : n \geq 1\},$$

is orthogonal for the quadratic form \mathcal{Q} . This quadratic form (2.2) is composed of three terms. For the first term,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx,$$

the orthogonality of (2.4) is a standard fact (recall, e.g., in [13]). For the third term,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \{f_0^2(e^{i\theta} \cdot v)^2 - (1 - f_0^2)|v|^2\} \, dx,$$

the orthogonality of (2.4) is proved in [13]. The novelty here, with respect to [13], concerns the anisotropic term

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re} \{(\partial_\eta \bar{v})^2\} \, dx.$$

The orthogonality of (2.4) for this anisotropic term, as a matter of fact, follows from the calculations in [3, section 3.2]. As our notations are different, we sketch a proof here for the reader’s convenience.

We compute

$$\partial_\eta \bar{v} = e^{i\theta} \partial_r \bar{v} + \frac{ie^{i\theta}}{r} \partial_\theta \bar{v} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\bar{w}'_n + \frac{1+n}{r} \bar{w}_n \right) e^{-in\theta}$$

and deduce, using the orthogonality of $\{e^{in\theta}\}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \operatorname{Re} \{(\partial_\eta \bar{v})^2\} d\theta \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\bar{w}'_n + \frac{1+n}{r} \bar{w}_n \right) \left(\bar{w}'_m + \frac{1+m}{r} \bar{w}_m \right) \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} e^{-i(n+m)\theta} d\theta \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\bar{w}'_n + \frac{1+n}{r} \bar{w}_n \right) \left(\bar{w}'_{-n} + \frac{1-n}{r} \bar{w}_{-n} \right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\bar{w}'_n + \frac{1+n}{r} \bar{w}_n \right) \left(\bar{w}'_{-n} + \frac{1-n}{r} \bar{w}_{-n} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the announced orthogonality and completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. \square

According to the decomposition of Lemma 2.1, we define the quadratic forms

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0^\delta[\varphi] &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{Q}^\delta [\varphi(r)e^{i\theta}] && \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0, \\ Q_n^\delta[\varphi, \psi] &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{Q}^\delta [e^{in\theta} (\varphi(r)e^{in\theta} + \psi(r)e^{-in\theta})] && \text{for } (\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}_1, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 are the natural spaces corresponding to the conditions $\varphi(r)e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $e^{in\theta}(\varphi(r)e^{in\theta} + \psi(r)e^{-in\theta}) \in \mathcal{H}$ for $n \geq 1$, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0 &= \left\{ \varphi \in H_{loc}^1(0, \infty) : \int_0^{+\infty} \left(|\varphi'|^2 + \frac{|\varphi|^2}{r^2} + \operatorname{Re} \{\varphi\}^2 \right) r dr < +\infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_1 &= \left\{ (\varphi, \psi) \in (H_{loc}^1(0, \infty))^2 : \int_0^{+\infty} \left(|\varphi'|^2 + |\psi'|^2 + \frac{|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2}{r^2} + |\varphi + \bar{\psi}|^2 \right) r dr < +\infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. Using the density of smooth functions in H_{loc}^1 and cut-off functions χ_ε such that $\mathbf{1}_{2\varepsilon < r < \varepsilon^{-1}} \leq \chi_\varepsilon(r) \leq \mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon < r < 2\varepsilon^{-1}}$ and $|\chi'_\varepsilon(r)| \leq C/r$, we see that smooth test functions with compact support in $(0, \infty)$ are dense in \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 . Hence, in what follows, we will always be able to perform calculations assuming, without loss of generality, that φ and ψ are such test functions.

The quadratic forms Q_0^δ and Q_n^δ are explicitly given by

$$(2.5) \quad Q_0^\delta[\varphi] = \int_0^\infty \left[|\varphi'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + \delta \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\bar{\varphi}' + \frac{1}{r} \bar{\varphi} \right)^2 \right\} \right. \\ \left. + (1 + \delta) \left\{ 2f_0^2 (\operatorname{Re} \{\varphi\})^2 - (1 - f_0^2) |\varphi|^2 \right\} \right] r dr,$$

$$(2.6) \quad Q_n^\delta[\varphi, \psi] = \int_0^\infty \left[|\varphi'|^2 + |\psi'|^2 + \frac{(1+n)^2}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + \frac{(1-n)^2}{r^2} |\psi|^2 \right. \\ \left. + 2\delta \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\bar{\varphi}' + \frac{1+n}{r} \bar{\varphi} \right) \left(\bar{\psi}' + \frac{1-n}{r} \bar{\psi} \right) \right\} \right. \\ \left. + (1 + \delta) \left\{ f_0^2 |\varphi + \bar{\psi}|^2 - (1 - f_0^2) (|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2) \right\} \right] r dr.$$

Remark 2.3. For every $n \geq 1$ there is a further splitting, namely,

$$Q_n^\delta[\varphi, \psi] = Q_n^\delta [\operatorname{Re} \{\varphi\}, \operatorname{Re} \{\psi\}] + Q_n^\delta [\operatorname{Im} \{\varphi\}, -\operatorname{Im} \{\psi\}].$$

Consequently, it will be sufficient to consider real-valued test functions φ, ψ .

3. Study of the lower modes Q_0^δ and Q_1^δ . We show that Q_0^δ is positive for $\delta \leq 0$, but it can become negative for $\delta > 0$. In addition, we prove that Q_1^δ is nonnegative $\forall \delta \in (-1, 0]$.

3.1. Positivity of Q_0^δ for $\delta \in (-1, 0]$. Let us recall from (2.5) that Q_0^δ is given by

$$Q_0^\delta[\varphi] = \int_0^\infty \left[|\varphi'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + \delta \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\bar{\varphi}' + \frac{1}{r} \bar{\varphi} \right)^2 \right\} + (1 + \delta) \left\{ 2f_0^2 (\operatorname{Re} \{\varphi\})^2 - (1 - f_0^2) |\varphi|^2 \right\} \right] r dr.$$

We now introduce the quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned} A_0[\varphi] &:= Q_0^0[\varphi] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[|\varphi'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + 2f_0^2 (\operatorname{Re} \{\varphi\})^2 - (1 - f_0^2) |\varphi|^2 \right] r dr. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that $A_0[\varphi] > 0$, unless $\varphi = 0$ (see Appendix A for more details). Moreover, we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0^\delta[\varphi] &= (1 + \delta) A_0[\operatorname{Re} \{\varphi\}] + (1 - \delta) A_0[i \operatorname{Im} \{\varphi\}] - 2\delta \int (1 - f_0^2) (\operatorname{Im} \{\varphi\})^2 r dr \\ &\quad + \delta \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dr} [(\operatorname{Re} \{\varphi\})^2 - (\operatorname{Im} \{\varphi\})^2] dr \\ &= (1 + \delta) A_0[\operatorname{Re} \{\varphi\}] + (1 - \delta) A_0[i \operatorname{Im} \{\varphi\}] - 2\delta \int (1 - f_0^2) (\operatorname{Im} \{\varphi\})^2 r dr, \end{aligned}$$

which is valid for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty)$, hence for $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ thanks to Remark 2.2. Since $1 - f_0^2 \geq 0$, we deduce the positivity of Q_0^δ for every $\delta \in (-1, 0]$.

3.2. Instability for $\delta > 0$. Using the formula (A.1) obtained for A_0 in Appendix A, we see that for any compactly supported real-valued test function χ we have

$$Q_0^\delta[i f_0 \chi] = (1 - \delta) \int f_0^2 (\chi')^2 r dr - 2\delta \int (1 - f_0^2) f_0^2 \chi^2 r dr.$$

Applying this to $\chi_n(r) = \chi_1(r/n)$, for a fixed test function χ_1 , and using the asymptotic expansion [1, 7]

$$f_0(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{2r^2} + O(r^{-4}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_0^\delta[i f_0 \chi_n] = (1 - \delta) \int (\chi_1')^2 r dr - 2\delta \int \frac{\chi_1^2}{r^2} r dr.$$

When $\delta > 0$, this expression must be negative for some χ_1 , since Hardy's inequality is known to fail in two dimensions. Explicitly, by choosing

$$\chi_1(r) = \sin(\sqrt{\lambda} \ln r) \mathbf{1}_{(1, e^{\pi/\sqrt{\lambda}})}(r) \quad \text{for } \lambda = \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} > 0,$$

we have that $\chi_1 \in H^1(0, \infty)$ is compactly supported, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_0^\delta [i f_0 \chi_n] = -\delta \int \frac{\chi_1^2}{r^2} r \, dr < 0.$$

Whence, for $\delta > 0$, the mode of order 0 already brings instability. This comes as no surprise as this mode corresponds to infinitesimal rotations (see Appendix A), and we know that the far-field behavior $e^{i\theta}$ is unstable: rotating this far-field behavior decreases the energy.

3.3. Positivity of Q_1^δ for $\delta \leq 0$. Recall, according to (2.6), that Q_1^δ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^\delta[\varphi, \psi] = \int_0^\infty & \left[|\varphi'|^2 + |\psi'|^2 + \frac{4}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 \right. \\ & + 2\delta \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\bar{\varphi}' + \frac{2}{r} \bar{\varphi} \right) \bar{\psi}' \right\} \\ & \left. + (1 + \delta) \left\{ f_0^2 |\varphi + \bar{\psi}|^2 - (1 - f_0^2) (|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2) \right\} \right] r \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the quadratic form $A_1 := Q_1^0$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1[\varphi, \psi] = \int_0^\infty & \left[|\varphi'|^2 + |\psi'|^2 + \frac{4}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + f_0^2 |\varphi + \bar{\psi}|^2 - (1 - f_0^2) (|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2) \right] r \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

It is a known fact that A_1 is nonnegative on \mathcal{H}_1 and vanishes exactly at pairs (φ, ψ) corresponding to maps v which are linear combinations of $\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^0$ and $\partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^0$ (see Appendix A for more details). Moreover, we have

(3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^\delta[\varphi, \psi] - (1 + \delta)A_1[\varphi, \psi] &= -\delta \int_0^\infty \left[|\varphi'|^2 + |\psi'|^2 + \frac{4}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 \right] r \, dr \\ &+ 2\delta \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\bar{\varphi}' + \frac{2}{r} \bar{\varphi} \right) \bar{\psi}' \right\} r \, dr \\ &= -\delta \int_0^\infty \left| \varphi' + \frac{2}{r} \varphi - \bar{\psi}' \right|^2 r \, dr - 2\delta \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dr} [|\varphi|^2] \, dr \\ &= -\delta \int_0^\infty \left| \varphi' + \frac{2}{r} \varphi - \bar{\psi}' \right|^2 r \, dr \end{aligned}$$

for $(\varphi, \psi) \in (C_c^\infty(0, \infty))^2$, hence $\forall (\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}_1$. From this identity we infer that $Q_1^\delta \geq 0$ for every $\delta \in (-1, 0]$, and equality can only occur when v is a linear combination of $\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^0$ and $\partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^0$.

4. Study of the higher modes Q_n^δ for $n \geq 2$.

4.1. Positivity of Q_n^δ for $n \geq 2$ and $\delta \in [-1/\sqrt{5}, 0]$. Let us recall: in the isotropic case, the positivity of Q_n^δ (any $n \geq 2$) is a consequence of the fact that $Q_n^0 \geq Q_1^0$. Here, from the definition (2.6) of Q_n^δ , we have

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_n^\delta[\varphi, \psi] - Q_1^\delta[\varphi, \psi] &= (n-1) \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{n+3}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + \frac{n-1}{r^2} |\psi|^2 - 2\delta \frac{n+1}{r^2} \operatorname{Re} \{ \bar{\varphi} \bar{\psi} \} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{\delta}{r} \operatorname{Re} \{ \bar{\varphi} \bar{\psi}' - \bar{\varphi}' \bar{\psi} \} \right] r dr. \end{aligned}$$

Unlike what happens in the isotropic case, this does not obviously have a sign (because of the last term which contains derivatives).

It seems reasonable to use a decomposition for φ, ψ adapted to Q_1^δ , as in Appendix A. Accordingly, we define for any real-valued test functions ζ, η , the adapted quadratic form

$$B_n^\delta[\zeta, \eta] = \frac{1}{2} Q_n^\delta [f_0' \zeta - r^{-1} f_0 \eta, f_0' \zeta + r^{-1} f_0 \eta].$$

Decomposing

$$Q_n^\delta = (1 + \delta)A_1 + Q_1^\delta - (1 + \delta)A_1 + Q_n^\delta - Q_1^\delta$$

and using the above expressions of $Q_n^\delta - Q_1^\delta$ ((4.1)) and $Q_1^\delta - (1 + \delta)A_1$ ((3.1)), we have, for real-valued $(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}_1$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n^\delta[\varphi, \psi] &= (1 + \delta)A_1[\varphi, \psi] \\ &\quad - \delta \int_0^\infty \left(\varphi' + \frac{2}{r} \varphi - \psi' \right)^2 r dr \\ &\quad + (n-1) \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{n+3}{r^2} \varphi^2 + \frac{n-1}{r^2} \psi^2 - 2\delta \frac{n+1}{r^2} \varphi \psi \right] r dr \\ &\quad + 2\delta(n-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} (\varphi \psi' - \varphi' \psi) r dr. \end{aligned}$$

When plugging in $\varphi = f_0' \zeta - r^{-1} f_0 \eta$, $\psi = f_0' \zeta + r^{-1} f_0 \eta$, the first term significantly simplifies thanks to the formula (A.2) for A_1 in Appendix A. For the other terms we directly expand

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi' + \frac{2}{r} \varphi - \psi' &= 2f_0' \frac{\zeta - \eta}{r} - 2\frac{f_0}{r} \eta', \\ \frac{n+3}{r^2} \varphi^2 + \frac{n-1}{r^2} \psi^2 - 2\delta \frac{n+1}{r^2} \varphi \psi &= 2(1-\delta) \frac{n+1}{r^2} (f_0' \zeta)^2 + 2(1+\delta) \frac{n+1}{r^2} \left(\frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right)^2 - \frac{8}{r^2} f_0' \zeta \frac{f_0}{r} \eta, \\ \varphi \psi' - \varphi' \psi &= 2 \left(\frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right)' f_0' \zeta - 2(f_0' \zeta)' \frac{f_0}{r} \eta, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $B_n^\delta[\zeta, \eta] = (1/2)Q_n^\delta[f'_0\zeta - r^{-1}f_0\eta, f'_0\zeta + r^{-1}f_0\eta]$ can be rewritten as

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} B_n^\delta[\zeta, \eta] &= (1 + \delta) \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{f_0^2}{r^2} (\eta')^2 + (f'_0)^2 (\zeta')^2 + \frac{2}{r^3} f_0 f'_0 (\eta - \zeta)^2 \right] r dr \\ &\quad - 2\delta \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{f'_0}{r} (\eta - \zeta) + \frac{f_0}{r} \eta' \right]^2 r dr \\ &\quad + (n - 1) \int_0^\infty \left[(1 - \delta) \frac{n + 1}{r^2} (f'_0 \zeta)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 + \delta) \frac{n + 1}{r^2} \left(\frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right)^2 - \frac{4}{r^2} (f'_0 \zeta) \left(\frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right) \right] r dr \\ &\quad + 2\delta(n - 1) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} \left[\left(\frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right)' f'_0 \zeta - (f'_0 \zeta)' \frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right] r dr. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, the last integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} \left[\left(\frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right)' f'_0 \zeta - (f'_0 \zeta)' \frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right] r dr &= 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right)' f'_0 \frac{\zeta}{r} r dr \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty \left[\left(f'_0 - \frac{f_0}{r} \right) f'_0 \frac{\eta}{r} \frac{\zeta}{r} + \frac{f_0}{r} \eta' f'_0 \frac{\zeta}{r} \right] r dr. \end{aligned}$$

We use the first positive term in (4.2) in order to absorb this latter term: thanks to the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \delta) \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} (\eta')^2 + 4\delta(n - 1) \frac{f_0}{r} \eta' f'_0 \frac{\zeta}{r} &= (1 + \delta) \left(\frac{f_0}{r} \eta' + \frac{2\delta}{1 + \delta} (n - 1) f'_0 \frac{\zeta}{r} \right)^2 \\ &\quad - 4 \frac{\delta^2}{1 + \delta} (n - 1)^2 (f'_0)^2 \left(\frac{\zeta}{r} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

we rewrite (4.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^\delta[\zeta, \eta] &= B_n^{\delta,1}[\zeta, \eta] + (n - 1) B_n^{\delta,2}[\zeta, \eta], \\ B_n^{\delta,1}[\zeta, \eta] &= (1 + \delta) \int_0^\infty \left[\left(\frac{f_0}{r} \eta' + \frac{2\delta}{1 + \delta} (n - 1) f'_0 \frac{\zeta}{r} \right)^2 + (f'_0)^2 (\zeta')^2 \right] r dr \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^\infty \left\{ (1 + \delta) f'_0 \frac{f_0}{r} \frac{(\eta - \zeta)^2}{r^2} - \delta \left[\frac{f'_0}{r} (\eta - \zeta) + \frac{f_0}{r} \eta' \right]^2 \right\} r dr, \\ B_n^{\delta,2}[\zeta, \eta] &= \int_0^\infty q_n^\delta(r) \left[f'_0 \frac{\zeta}{r}, \frac{f_0}{r} \frac{\eta}{r} \right] r dr, \end{aligned}$$

and $q_n^\delta(r)$ is the quadratic form on \mathbb{R}^2 given by

$$\begin{aligned} q_n^\delta(r)[X, Y] &= a_n X^2 + b_n Y^2 + 2c(r)XY, \\ a_n &= (1 - \delta)(n + 1) - 4 \frac{\delta^2}{1 + \delta} (n - 1), \\ b_n &= (1 + \delta)(n + 1), \\ c(r) &= -2 - 2\delta \left(1 - r \frac{f'_0}{f_0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We readily see that $B_n^{\delta,1}$ is nonnegative for $\delta \leq 0$. Moreover, since $1 > rf'_0/f_0 > 0$ [8, Proposition 2.2], for $\delta \leq 0$, it follows that

$$|c(r)| \leq 2.$$

As $b_n > 0$, a sufficient condition for $q_n^\delta(r)$ to be positive definite $\forall r > 0$ is

$$4 < a_n b_n = (1 - \delta^2)(n + 1)^2 - 4\delta^2(n^2 - 1).$$

This amounts to the condition

$$0 < \alpha(\delta)n^2 + \beta(\delta)n + \gamma(\delta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\delta) &= 1 - 5\delta^2, \\ \beta(\delta) &= 2(1 - \delta^2), \\ \gamma(\delta) &= -3(1 - \delta^2). \end{aligned}$$

For $\delta \in [-1/\sqrt{5}, 0]$ we have $\alpha(\delta), \beta(\delta) \geq 0$ so that the above polynomial in n is nondecreasing on $[0, +\infty)$. Hence, it is positive for all values of $n \geq 2$ if and only if it is positive for $n = 2$. That is,

$$0 < 4\alpha(\delta) + 2\beta(\delta) + \gamma(\delta) = 5 - 21\delta^2.$$

We deduce that q_n^δ is a positive definite quadratic form $\forall n \geq 2$ whenever $\delta \in [-1/\sqrt{5}, 0]$. In particular, $B_n^{\delta,2} \geq 0$, and therefore $Q_n^\delta \geq 0$ for $\delta \in [-1/\sqrt{5}, 0]$, with equality only at $(0, 0)$.

4.2. Instability for $\delta \approx -1$. In this section we show that Q_n^δ can take negative values for $\delta \approx -1$ and $n \geq 1$ large enough. To this end, we choose $\eta = \zeta$ in (4.2) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}_n^\delta[\zeta] &= B_n^\delta[\zeta, \zeta] \\ &= (1 - \delta) \int_0^\infty \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} (\zeta')^2 r dr + (1 + \delta) \int_0^\infty (f_0')^2 (\zeta')^2 r dr \\ &\quad + (n - 1) \int_0^\infty \frac{\zeta^2}{r^2} \alpha_n^\delta(r) r dr \\ \alpha_n^\delta(r) &= (1 - \delta)(n + 1)(f_0')^2 + (1 + \delta)(n + 1) \left(\frac{f_0}{r}\right)^2 \\ &\quad - 2(2 + \delta)f_0' \frac{f_0}{r} + 2\delta(f_0')^2 - 2\delta f_0 f_0''. \end{aligned}$$

Using the asymptotics of f_0 ([1, 7])

$$f_0(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}r^{-2} + O(r^{-4}), \quad f_0'(r) = r^{-3} + O(r^{-5}), \quad f_0''(r) = -3r^{-4} + O(r^{-6}),$$

we find, for $r \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\alpha_n^\delta(r) = \frac{(1 + \delta)(n + 1)}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^2}\right) - 4\frac{1 - \delta}{r^4} + O(r^{-6}).$$

For $\delta = -1$ the leading order is negative. Hence, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a compact interval $[r_0, r_0 + 1]$ on which $\alpha_n^{-1} \leq -2\varepsilon$. Thus, we deduce that $\forall n \geq 2$ there exists $\delta_n > -1$ such that $\forall \delta \in (-1, \delta_n]$,

$$-\varepsilon \geq \alpha_n^\delta(r) \quad \forall r \in [r_0, r_0 + 1].$$

Choosing a nonzero test function ζ_0 with support in $[r_0, r_0 + 1]$, we obtain

$$\hat{B}_n^\delta[\zeta_0] \leq C_1(\zeta_0) - (n-1)\varepsilon C_2(\zeta_0) \quad \forall \delta \in (-1, \delta_n]$$

for some $C_1(\zeta_0), C_2(\zeta_0) > 0$. If n is large enough this becomes negative. Compared to the isotropic case this is a really new situation: lower modes are positive but higher modes can bring instability.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3. In what precedes we have shown that u_{rad}^δ is nondegenerately stable for small $\delta \leq 0$, and unstable for $\delta > 0$ and δ close to -1 . In particular, setting

$$\delta_1 = \sup\{\delta \in (-1, 0) : u_{\text{rad}}^\delta \text{ is unstable}\},$$

we know that $-1 < \delta_1 < 0$. It remains to show that u_{rad}^δ is unstable $\forall \delta \in (-1, \delta_1)$ and nondegenerately stable for $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$.

Let $\delta' \in (-1, \delta_1)$ be such that $u_{\text{rad}}^{\delta'}$ is unstable; that is, $\mathcal{Q}^{\delta'}[v] < 0$ for some choice of $v \in H$. Given that $\delta \mapsto \mathcal{Q}^\delta[v]$ is an affine function which is nonnegative for $\delta = 0$ and negative for $\delta = \delta'$, we deduce that $\mathcal{Q}^\delta[v] < 0 \forall \delta \leq \delta'$. Therefore, u_{rad}^δ is unstable $\forall \delta \in (-1, \delta')$. By arbitrariness of δ' we deduce that u_{rad}^δ is unstable $\forall \delta \in (-1, \delta_1)$.

Let us now fix $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$. By definition of δ_1 , u_{rad}^δ is not unstable $\forall \delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$. In other words, $\mathcal{Q}^\delta[v]$ is nonnegative $\forall v \in \mathcal{H}$. It remains to show that, in fact, $\mathcal{Q}^\delta[v] > 0 \forall v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \text{span}(\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^0, \partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^0)$. We observe that the function $\delta \mapsto \mathcal{Q}^\delta[v]$ is affine for any given $v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \text{span}(\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^0, \partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^0)$; it is positive for $\delta = 0$ because u_{rad}^0 is nondegenerately stable, and it is nonnegative for $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0)$. Thus, it must be strictly positive for $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0)$. This proves the desired nondegenerate stability in the announced range.

Appendix A. Positivity of A_0, A_1 .

We sketch here the approach in [13], adapted to our notation (see also [5]), based on Hardy-type decompositions to show positivity of the two following quadratic forms:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0[\varphi] &= \int_0^\infty \left[|\varphi'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + 2f_0^2 (\text{Re}\{\varphi\})^2 - (1 - f_0^2) |\varphi|^2 \right] r dr, \\ A_1[\varphi, \psi] &= \int_0^\infty \left[|\varphi'|^2 + |\psi'|^2 + \frac{4}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f_0^2 |\varphi + \bar{\psi}|^2 - (1 - f_0^2) (|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2) \right] r dr. \end{aligned}$$

Testing (2.1), solved by f_0 , against $f_0 |\tilde{\varphi}|^2$ for any smooth compactly supported $\tilde{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, one obtains

$$\int_0^\infty \left[(f_0')^2 |\tilde{\varphi}|^2 + 2f_0 f_0' \tilde{\varphi} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}' + \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} |\tilde{\varphi}|^2 - (1 - f_0^2) f_0^2 |\tilde{\varphi}|^2 \right] r dr = 0,$$

so that

$$(A.1) \quad A_0[f_0\tilde{\varphi}] = \int_0^\infty \left[f_0^2 |\tilde{\varphi}'|^2 + 2f_0^4 (\operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{\varphi}\})^2 \right] r dr.$$

By density of test functions, and since $f_0 > 0$, we deduce that $A_0[\varphi] > 0$ for any nonzero $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Moreover $A_0[\varphi] \approx 0$ exactly when $\varphi \approx if_0$. This corresponds to the fact that in the isotropic case $\delta = 0$,

$$\partial_\alpha [e^{i\alpha} u_{\text{rad}}^\delta]_{|\alpha=0} = if_0 e^{i\theta}$$

solves the linearized equation due to rotational invariance.

For A_1 , it is convenient to start by splitting it as

$$A_1[\varphi, \psi] = A_1[\operatorname{Re}\{\varphi\}, \operatorname{Re}\{\psi\}] + A_1[\operatorname{Im}\{\varphi\}, -\operatorname{Im}\{\psi\}],$$

so we may just treat the case of real-valued test functions φ, ψ . Guided by the fact that

$$\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^0 = e^{i\theta} \left(f_0' \cos \theta - i \frac{f_0}{r} \sin \theta \right), \quad \partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^0 = e^{i\theta} \left(f_0' \sin \theta + i \frac{f_0}{r} \cos \theta \right),$$

solve the linearized equation around u_{rad}^0 , one uses the ansatz

$$\varphi = f_0' \zeta - \frac{f_0}{r} \eta, \quad \psi = f_0' \zeta + \frac{f_0}{r} \eta$$

for some real-valued $\eta, \zeta \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty)$. Testing (2.1), solved by f_0 , against $f_0 r^{-2} \eta^2$ we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \left[\left(\left(\frac{f_0}{r} \right)' \right)^2 \eta^2 + 2 \left(\frac{f_0}{r} \right)' \frac{f_0}{r} \eta \eta' + \frac{2}{r^4} f_0^2 \eta^2 - \frac{2}{r^3} f_0 f_0' \eta^2 - (1 - f_0^2) \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} \eta^2 \right] r dr = 0,$$

and similarly testing (2.1) against $(f_0' \zeta^2)'$ we find

$$\int_0^\infty \left[(f_0'')^2 \zeta^2 + 2f_0' f_0'' \zeta \zeta' + \frac{2}{r^2} (f_0')^2 \zeta^2 - \frac{2}{r^3} f_0 f_0' \zeta^2 + (3f_0^2 - 1)(f_0')^2 \zeta^2 \right] r dr = 0.$$

As a consequence of these two identities, we learn

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & A_1 \left[f_0' \zeta - r^{-1} f_0 \eta, f_0' \zeta + r^{-1} f_0 \eta \right] \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{f_0^2}{r^2} (\eta')^2 + (f_0')^2 (\zeta')^2 + \frac{2}{r^3} f_0 f_0' (\eta - \zeta)^2 \right] r dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_0, f_0' > 0$ one may consider the choice

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2f_0'} (\varphi + \psi), \quad \eta = \frac{r}{2f_0} (\psi - \varphi),$$

and deduce from the above that $A_1[\varphi, \psi] > 0$ for all nonzero $(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Moreover $A_1[\varphi, \psi] = 0$ exactly when (φ, ψ) is in the real linear span of

$$\left(f_0' - \frac{f_0}{r}, f_0' + \frac{f_0}{r} \right), \quad \left(i \left(f_0' - \frac{f_0}{r} \right), -i \left(f_0' + \frac{f_0}{r} \right) \right),$$

which corresponds to the fact that $\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^0$ and $\partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^0$ solve the linearized equation.

REFERENCES

- [1] X. CHEN, C. M. ELLIOTT, AND T. QI, *Shooting method for vortex solutions of a complex-valued Ginzburg-Landau equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 124 (1994), pp. 1075–1088, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500030122>.
- [2] M. G. CLERC, E. VIDAL-HENRIQUEZ, J. D. DAVILA, AND M. KOWALCZYK, *Symmetry breaking of nematic umbilical defects through an amplitude equation*, Phys. Rev. E, 90 (2014), 012507, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.012507>.
- [3] S. COLBERT-KELLY, G. B. MCFADDEN, D. PHILLIPS, AND J. SHEN, *Numerical analysis and simulation for a generalized planar Ginzburg-Landau equation in a circular geometry*, Commun. Math. Sci., 15 (2017), pp. 329–357, <https://doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2017.v15.n2.a3>.
- [4] S. COLBERT-KELLY AND D. PHILLIPS, *Analysis of a Ginzburg-Landau type energy model for smectic C^* liquid crystals with defects*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 30 (2013), pp. 1009–1026, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2012.12.010>.
- [5] M. DEL PINO, P. FELMER, AND M. KOWALCZYK, *Minimality and nondegeneracy of degree-one Ginzburg-Landau vortex as a Hardy's type inequality*, Int. Math. Res. Not., (2004), pp. 1511–1527, <https://doi.org/10.1155/S1073792804133588>.
- [6] P. GRAVEJAT, E. PACHERIE, AND D. SMETS, *On the Stability of the Ginzburg-Landau Vortex*, preprint, arXiv:2106.02511.
- [7] R.-M. HERVÉ AND M. HERVÉ, *Étude qualitative des solutions réelles d'une équation différentielle liée à l'équation de Ginzburg-Landau*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 11 (1994), pp. 427–440, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449\(16\)30182-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449(16)30182-2).
- [8] R. IGNAT, L. NGUYEN, V. SLASTIKOV, AND A. ZARNESCU, *Uniqueness results for an ODE related to a generalized Ginzburg-Landau model for liquid crystals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 46 (2014), pp. 3390–3425, <https://doi.org/10.1137/130948598>.
- [9] R. IGNAT, L. NGUYEN, V. SLASTIKOV, AND A. ZARNESCU, *Stability of the melting hedgehog in the Landau-de Gennes theory of nematic liquid crystals*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 215 (2015), pp. 633–673, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-014-0791-4>.
- [10] R. IGNAT, L. NGUYEN, V. SLASTIKOV, AND A. ZARNESCU, *Instability of point defects in a two-dimensional nematic liquid crystal model*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 33 (2016), pp. 1131–1152, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2015.03.007>.
- [11] R. IGNAT, L. NGUYEN, V. SLASTIKOV, AND A. ZARNESCU, *Stability of point defects of degree $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ in a two-dimensional nematic liquid crystal model*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 55 (2016), 119, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-016-1051-2>.
- [12] G. KITAVTSEV, J. ROBBINS, V. SLASTIKOV, AND A. ZARNESCU, *Liquid crystal defects in the Landau-de-Gennes theory in two dimensions - beyond the one-constant approximation*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 26 (2016), pp. 2769–2808.
- [13] P. MIRONESCU, *On the stability of radial solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equation*, J. Funct. Anal., 130 (1995), pp. 334–344, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1995.1073>.