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#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce the notion of generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, which generalizes the concepts of Ricci soliton, Ricci almost soliton and quasi-Einstein manifolds. We prove that a complete generalized quasi-Einstein manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and with zero radial Weyl curvature, is locally a warped product with ( $n-1$ )-dimensional Einstein fibers. In particular, this implies a local characterization for locally conformally flat gradient Ricci almost solitons, similar to the one proved for gradient Ricci solitons.


## 1. Introduction

In recent years, much attention has been given to the classification of Riemannian manifolds admitting an Einstein-like structure. In this paper we will define a class of Riemannian metrics which naturally generalizes the Einstein condition. More precisely, we say that a complete Riemannian manifold $\left(M^{n}, g\right), n \geq 3$, is a generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, if there exist three smooth functions $f, \mu, \lambda$ on $M$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla^{2} f-\mu d f \otimes d f=\lambda g \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Natural examples of GQE manifolds are given by Einstein manifolds (when $f$ and $\lambda$ are two constants), gradient Ricci solitons (when $\lambda$ is constant and $\mu=0$ ), gradient Ricci almost solitons (when $\mu=0$, see [11]) and quasi-Einstein manifolds (when $\mu$ and $\lambda$ are two constants, see [3] [5] [9]). We will call a GQE manifolds trivial, if the function $f$ is constant. This will clearly imply that $g$ is an Einstein metric.

The Riemann curvature operator of a Riemannian manifold $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ is defined as in [7] by

$$
\operatorname{Riem}(X, Y) Z=\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z+\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z
$$

In a local coordinate system the components of the (3,1)-Riemann curvature tensor are given by $\mathrm{R}_{a b c}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{d}}=\operatorname{Riem}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{b}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{c}}$ and we denote by $\mathrm{R}_{a b c d}=g_{d e} \mathrm{R}_{a b c}^{e}$ its (4,0)-version.

In all the paper the Einstein convention of summing over the repeated indices will be adopted.
With this choice, for the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ we have $\operatorname{Riem}(v, w, v, w)=\mathrm{R}_{a b c d} v^{a} w^{b} v^{c} w^{d}>0$. The Ricci tensor is obtained by the contraction $\mathrm{R}_{a c}=g^{b d} \mathrm{R}_{a b c d}$ and $\mathrm{R}=g^{a c} \mathrm{R}_{a c}$ will denote the scalar curvature. The so called Weyl tensor is then defined by the following decomposition formula (see [7, Chapter 3, Section K]) in dimension $n \geq 3$,

$$
\mathrm{W}_{a b c d}=\mathrm{R}_{a b c d}+\frac{\mathrm{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left(g_{a c} g_{b d}-g_{a d} g_{b c}\right)-\frac{1}{n-2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{a c} g_{b d}-\mathrm{R}_{a d} g_{b c}+\mathrm{R}_{b d} g_{a c}-\mathrm{R}_{b c} g_{a d}\right) .
$$

We recall that a Riemannian metric has harmonic Weyl tensor if the divergence of W vanishes. In dimension three this condition is equivalent to local conformally flatness. Nevertheless, when $n \geq 4$, harmonic Weyl tensor is a weaker condition since locally conformally flatness is equivalent to the vanishing of the Weyl tensor.

In this paper we will give a local characterization of generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds with harmonic Weyl tensor and such that $\mathrm{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)=0$. As we have seen, this class includes the case of locally conformally flat manifolds.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\left(M^{n}, g\right), n \geq 3$, be a generalized quasi-Einstein manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and $\mathrm{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)=0$. Then, around any regular point of $f$, the manifold $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ is locally a warped product with $(n-1)$-dimensional Einstein fibers.

Remark 1.2. We would like to notice that the hypothesis $\mathrm{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)=0$ cannot be removed. Indeed, if we consider the gradient shrinking soliton on $M=\mathbb{R}^{k} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k}$, for $n \geq 4$ and $k \geq 2$, defined by the product metric $g=d x^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes d x^{k}+g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-k}}$ and the potential function

$$
f=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|x^{1}\right|^{2}+\ldots\left|x^{k}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

it is easy to verify that $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ has harmonic Weyl tensor, since it is the product of two Einstein metrics, whereas the radial part of the Weyl tensor $\mathrm{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ does not vanish.

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.1 generalizes the results obtained for gradient Ricci solitons (see [2] and [4]) and, recently, for quasi-Einstein manifolds (see [5]).

As an immediate corollary, we have that a locally conformally flat generalized quasi-Einstein manifold is, locally, a warped product with $(n-1)$-dimensional fibers of constant sectional curvature. In particular, we can prove a local characterization for locally conformally flat Ricci almost solitons (which have been introduced in [11]), similar to the one for Ricci solitons ([2] [4]).

Corollary 1.4. Let $\left(M^{n}, g\right), n \geq 3$, be a locally conformally flat gradient Ricci almost soliton. Then, around any regular point of $f$, the manifold $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ is locally a warped product with $(n-1)-$ dimensional fibers of constant sectional curvature.

If $n=4$, since a three dimensional Einstein manifold has constant sectional curvature, we get the following

Corollary 1.5. Let $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$, be a four dimensional generalized quasi-Einstein manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and $\mathrm{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot \cdot \cdot)=0$. Then, around any regular point of $f$, the manifold $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is locally a warped product with three dimensional fibers of constant sectional curvature. In particular, if it is nontrivial, then $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is locally conformally flat.

Now, using the classification of locally conformally flat gradient steady Ricci solitons (see again [2] and [4]), we obtain

Corollary 1.6. Let $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$, be a four dimensional gradient steady Ricci soliton with harmonic Weyl tensor and $\mathrm{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)=0$. Then $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is either Ricci flat or isometric to the Bryant soliton.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\left(M^{n}, g\right), n \geq 3$, be a generalized quasi-Einstein manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and satisfying $\mathrm{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)=0$. If $n=3$, we have that $g$ is locally conformally flat, while if $n \geq 4$, one
has

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \nabla^{d} \mathrm{~W}_{a b c d} \\
= & \nabla^{d}\left(\mathrm{R}_{a b c d}+\frac{\mathrm{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left(g_{a c} g_{b d}-g_{a d} g_{b c}\right)-\frac{1}{n-2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{a c} g_{b d}-\mathrm{R}_{a d} g_{b c}+\mathrm{R}_{b d} g_{a c}-\mathrm{R}_{b c} g_{a d}\right)\right) \\
= & -\nabla_{a} \mathrm{R}_{b c}+\nabla_{b} \mathrm{R}_{a c}+\frac{\nabla_{b} \mathrm{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)} g_{a c}-\frac{\nabla_{a} \mathrm{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)} g_{b c} \\
& -\frac{1}{n-2}\left(\nabla_{b} \mathrm{R}_{a c}-\nabla^{d} \mathrm{R}_{a d} g_{b c}+\nabla^{d} \mathrm{R}_{b d} g_{a c}-\nabla_{a} \mathrm{R}_{b c} g_{a d}\right) \\
= & -\frac{n-3}{n-2}\left(\nabla_{a} \mathrm{R}_{b c}-\nabla_{b} \mathrm{R}_{a c}\right)+\frac{\nabla_{b} \mathrm{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)} g_{a c}-\frac{\nabla_{a} \mathrm{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)} g_{b c} \\
& +\frac{1}{2(n-2)}\left(\nabla_{a} \mathrm{R} g_{b c} / 2-\nabla_{b} \mathrm{R} g_{a c} / 2\right) \\
= & -\frac{n-3}{n-2}\left[\nabla_{a} \mathrm{R}_{b c}-\nabla_{b} \mathrm{R}_{a c}-\frac{\left(\nabla_{a} \mathrm{R} g_{b c}-\nabla_{b} \mathrm{R} g_{a c}\right)}{2(n-1)}\right] \\
= & -\frac{n-3}{n-2} \mathrm{C}_{c b a} \\
= & -\frac{n-3}{n-2} \mathrm{C}_{a b c},
\end{aligned}
$$

where C is the Cotton tensor

$$
\mathrm{C}_{a b c}=\nabla_{c} \mathrm{R}_{a b}-\nabla_{b} \mathrm{R}_{a c}-\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left(\nabla_{c} \mathrm{R} g_{a b}-\nabla_{b} \mathrm{R} g_{a c}\right) .
$$

Hence, if $n \geq 3$, harmonic Weyl tensor is equivalent to the vanishing of the Cotton tensor.
Now, the condition $\mathrm{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)=0$ implies that the conformal metric

$$
\widetilde{g}=e^{-\frac{2}{n-2} f} g
$$

has harmonic Weyl tensor. Indeed, from the conformal transformation law for the Cotton tensor (see the Appendix), one has that, if $n \geq 4$, then

$$
(n-2) \widetilde{\mathrm{C}}_{a b c}=(n-2) \mathrm{C}_{a b c}+\frac{1}{n-2} \mathrm{~W}_{a b c d} \nabla^{d} f=0
$$

whereas $\widetilde{\mathrm{C}}_{a b c}=\mathrm{C}_{a b c}=0$ in dimension three. Hence, from the definition of the Cotton tensor, we can observe that the Schouten tensor of $\widetilde{g}$ defined by

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\widetilde{g}}=\frac{1}{n-2}\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{\widetilde{g}}-\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \mathrm{R}_{\widetilde{g}} \widetilde{g}\right)
$$

is a Codazzi tensor, i.e. it satisfies the equation

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} \mathrm{~S}\right) Y=\left(\nabla_{Y} \mathrm{~S}\right) X, \quad \text { for all } X, Y \in T M
$$

(see [1, Chapter 16, Section C] for a general overview of Codazzi tensors).
Moreover, from the structural equation of generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds (1.1), the expression of the Ricci tensor of the conformal metric $\widetilde{g}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}_{\widetilde{g}} & =\operatorname{Ric}_{g}+\nabla^{2} f+\frac{1}{n-2} d f \otimes d f+\frac{1}{n-2}\left(\Delta f-|\nabla f|^{2}\right) g \\
& =\left(\mu+\frac{1}{n-2}\right) d f \otimes d f+\frac{1}{n-2}\left(\Delta f-|\nabla f|^{2}+(n-2) \lambda\right) e^{\frac{2}{n-2} f} \widetilde{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, at any regular point $p$ of $f$, the Ricci tensor of $\widetilde{g}$ either has a unique eigenvalue or has two distinct eigenvalues $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ of multiplicity 1 and $(n-1)$ respectively. In both cases, $\nabla f /|\nabla f|_{\tilde{g}}$ is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor of $\widetilde{g}$. For every point in $\Omega=\left\{p \in M \mid p\right.$ regular point, $\eta_{1}(p) \neq$ $\left.\eta_{2}(p)\right\}$ also the Schouten tensor $\mathrm{S}_{\tilde{g}}$ has two distinct eigenvalues $\sigma_{1}$ of multiplicity one and $\sigma_{2}$ of multiplicity $(n-1)$, with the same eigenspaces of $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ respectively. Splitting results for Riemannian manifolds admitting a Codazzi tensor with only two distinct eigenvalues were obtained by Derdzinski [6] and Hiepko-Reckziegel [10] (see again [1, Chapter 16, Section C] for further discussion).

From Proposition 16.11 in [1] (see also [6]) we know that the tangent bundle of a neighborhood of $p$ splits as the orthogonal direct sum of two integrable eigendistributions, a line field $V_{\sigma_{1}}$,
and a codimension one distribution $V_{\sigma_{2}}$ with totally umbilic leaves, in the sense that the second fundamental form $\widetilde{h}$ of each leaves is proportional to the metric $\widetilde{g}$ (with abuse of notation, we will call $\widetilde{g}$ also the induced metric on the leaves of $V_{\sigma_{2}}$ ). We will denote by $\widetilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $\widetilde{g}$ on $M$ and by $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\sigma_{2}}$ the induced Levi-Civita connection of the induced metric $\widetilde{g}$ on the leaves of $V_{\sigma_{2}}$. In a suitable local chart $x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}$ with $\partial / \partial x^{1} \in V_{\sigma_{1}}, \partial / \partial x^{i} \in V_{\sigma_{2}}$ (in the sequel $i, j, k$ will range over $2, \ldots, n$ ), we have $\widetilde{g}_{1 i}=0$. Since $V_{\sigma_{2}}$ is totally umbilic, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{h}_{i j}=-\left\langle\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\right\rangle=-\widetilde{\Gamma}_{i j}^{1} \widetilde{g}_{11}=\frac{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}}{n-1} \widetilde{g}_{i j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{H}$ will denote the mean curvature function. We recall that, from the Codazzi-Mainardi equation (see Theorem 1.72 in [1]), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widetilde{\nabla} \underset{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}}{\sigma_{2}} \widetilde{h}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}\right)-\left(\underset{\nabla^{2}}{\sigma_{2}} \widetilde{h}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}\right)=\left\langle\widetilde{\operatorname{Rm}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\right\rangle \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, tracing with the metric $\widetilde{g}$, and using the umbilic property (2.1), we get

$$
\left(\underset{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}}{\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{\sigma_{2}}\right.} \widetilde{h}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right)-\left(\underset{\nabla}{\partial x^{j}} \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{2}}^{\sigma_{2}} \widetilde{h}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right)=\frac{1}{n-1} \partial_{j} \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}-\partial_{j} \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{2-n}{n-1} \partial_{j} \widetilde{\mathrm{H}} .
$$

Using equation (2.2), we get

$$
\frac{2-n}{n-1} \partial_{j} \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}=\operatorname{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\right)=0,
$$

which implies that the mean curvature $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}$ is constant on each leaves of $V_{\sigma_{2}}$. Now, from Proposition 16.11 (ii) in [1], one has that

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}} \partial_{1} \sigma_{2} .
$$

The facts that both $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are constant on each leaves of $V_{\sigma_{2}}$ imply that $\partial_{j} \sigma_{1}=0$, for every $j=2, \ldots, n$. This is equivalent to say that $V_{\sigma_{1}}$ has to be a geodesic line distribution, which clearly implies $\Gamma_{00}^{j}=0$, i.e. $\partial_{j} g_{11}=0$. Equation (2.1) yields

$$
\partial_{1} \widetilde{g}_{i j}=-2 \widetilde{\Gamma}_{i j}^{1}=2 \widetilde{g}_{11}^{-1} \frac{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}}{n-1} \widetilde{g}_{i j}
$$

Since $\widetilde{H}$ and $g_{11}$ are constant along $V_{\sigma_{2}}$, one has

$$
\partial_{1} \widetilde{g}_{i j}\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)=\varphi\left(x^{1}\right) \widetilde{g}_{i j}\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)
$$

for some function $\varphi$ depending only on the $x^{1}$ variable. Choosing a function $\psi=\psi\left(x^{1}\right)$, such that $\frac{d \psi}{d x^{1}}=\varphi$, we have $\partial_{1}\left(e^{-\psi} \widetilde{g}_{i j}\right)=0$, which means that

$$
\widetilde{g}_{i j}\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)=e^{\psi\left(x^{1}\right)} G_{i j}\left(x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)
$$

for some $G_{i j}$. This implies that the manifold $\left(M^{n}, \widetilde{g}\right)$, locally around every regular point of $f$, has a warped product representation with $(n-1)$-dimensional fibers. By the structure of the conformal deformation, this conclusion also holds for the original Riemannian manifold ( $M^{n}, g$ ). Now, the fact that $g$ has harmonic Weyl tensor, implies that the $(n-1)$-dimensional fibers are Einstein manifolds (there are a lot of papers where this computation is done, for instance see [8]).

This complete the proof of Theorem (1.1).

## Appendix

Lemma. The Cotton tensor $\mathrm{C}_{a b c}$ is pointwise conformally invariant in dimension three, whereas if $n \geq 4$, for $\widetilde{g}=e^{-2 u} g$, we have

$$
(n-2) \widetilde{\mathrm{C}}_{a b c}=(n-2) \mathrm{C}_{a b c}+\mathrm{W}_{a b c d} \nabla^{d} u
$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward computation. Let $\widetilde{g}=e^{-2 u} g$, then for the Schouten tensor $\mathrm{S}=\frac{1}{n-2}\left(\right.$ Ric $\left.-\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \mathrm{R} g\right)$ we have the conformal transformation rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{S}+\nabla^{2} u+d u \otimes d u-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2} g \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Cotton tensor of the metric $\widetilde{g}$ is defined by

$$
(n-2) \widetilde{\mathrm{C}}_{a b c}=\widetilde{\nabla}_{c} \widetilde{\mathrm{~S}}_{a b}-\widetilde{\nabla}_{b} \widetilde{\mathrm{~S}}_{a c}
$$

Moreover one can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{c} \widetilde{\mathrm{~S}}_{a b}= & \nabla_{c} \mathrm{~S}_{a b}+\nabla_{c} \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} u+\nabla_{c} \nabla_{a} u \nabla_{b} u+\nabla_{c} \nabla_{b} u \nabla_{a} u-\nabla_{c} \nabla_{d} u \nabla_{d} u g_{a b}+ \\
& +\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}_{b c} \nabla_{a} u+\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}_{a c} \nabla_{b} u+\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}_{a b} \nabla_{c} u-\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}_{b d} \nabla_{d} u g_{a c}-\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}_{a d} \nabla_{d} u g_{b c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing in the same way the term $\widetilde{\nabla}_{b} \widetilde{\mathrm{~S}}_{a c}$, substituting in the previous formula $\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}$ with (1.3) and using the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{c} \nabla_{b} \nabla_{a} u-\nabla_{b} \nabla_{c} \nabla_{a} u & =\mathrm{R}_{c b a d} \nabla^{d} u=\mathrm{R}_{a b c d} \nabla^{d} u \\
& =\mathrm{W}_{a b c d} \nabla^{d} u+\mathrm{S}_{a c} \nabla_{b} u-\mathrm{S}_{c d} \nabla_{d} u g_{a b}+\mathrm{S}_{b d} \nabla_{d} u g_{a c}-\mathrm{S}_{a b} \nabla_{c} u,
\end{aligned}
$$

(we recall that W is zero in dimension three) one obtains the result.
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