

Γ -CONVERGENCE FOR FUNCTIONALS DEPENDING ON VECTOR FIELDS. II. CONVERGENCE OF MINIMIZERS.

A. MAIONE, A. PINAMONTI, AND F. SERRA CASSANO

ABSTRACT. Given a family of locally Lipschitz vector fields $X(x) = (X_1(x), \dots, X_m(x))$ on \mathbb{R}^n , $m \leq n$, we study integral functionals depending on X . Using the results in [MPSC1], we study the convergence of minima, minimizers and momenta of those functionals. Moreover, we apply these results to the periodic homogenization in Carnot groups and to prove a H -compactness theorem for linear differential operators of the second order depending on X .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we deal with the asymptotic behaviour of minima, minimizers and momenta, as $h \rightarrow \infty$, of the following sequence of minimization problems

$$(1) \quad \inf \{ F_h(u) + G(u) : u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega), u - \varphi \in W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega) \}.$$

Here $F_h, G : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ denote the functionals

$$(2) \quad F_h(u) := \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} f_h(x, Xu(x)) dx & \text{if } u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3) \quad G(u) := \int_{\Omega} g(x, u(x)) dx,$$

with $f_h : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Carathéodory functions and $X(x) := (X_1(x), \dots, X_m(x))$ a given family of first order linear differential operators with Lipschitz coefficients on a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, that is,

$$X_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ji}(x) \partial_i \quad j = 1, \dots, m$$

with $c_{ji}(x) \in Lip(\Omega)$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Date: April 26, 2021.

A.M., A.P. and F.S.C. are supported by the Indam-GNAMPA project 2020 ‘‘Convergenze variazionali per funzionali e operatori dipendenti da campi vettoriali’’. A.M. is also supported by MIUR and the University of Freiburg, Germany. A.P. and F.S.C. are also supported by MIUR and the University of Trento, Italy.

In the following, we will refer to X and f_h as X -*gradient* and *integrand function*, respectively. The environment spaces $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ are the Sobolev spaces associated through the X -gradient in a classical way, according to Folland-Stein [FS] (see Definitions 2.3 and 2.6) and $\varphi \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a given function that plays the role of boundary datum in (1). As usual, we identify each X_j with the vector field

$$(c_{j1}(x), \dots, c_{jn}(x)) \in \text{Lip}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

and we call

$$(4) \quad C(x) = [c_{ji}(x)]_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n \\ j=1,\dots,m}}$$

the *coefficient matrix of the X -gradient*.

Throughout the paper, we will assume some structural conditions on the class of integrand functions and the X -gradient. An integrand function $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will typically satisfy the following conditions:

- (I₁) $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Borel measurable on Ω ;
- (I₂) for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, the function $f(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex;
- (I₃) there exist two positive constants $c_0 \leq c_1$ and two nonnegative functions $a_0, a_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(5) \quad c_0 |\eta|^p - a_0(x) \leq f(x, \eta) \leq c_1 |\eta|^p + a_1(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for each $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

We will denote by $I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$ the class of such integrand functions and by $I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1)$ if $a_0 = a_1 \equiv 0$. Similarly, function g in (3) will satisfy a suitable growth condition (see (10) and (11)).

As far as the structural conditions on the X -gradient are concerned, we will need two assumptions: the former is an algebraic condition and the latter a metric condition.

Definition 1.1. We say that a family of vector fields $X(x) = (X_1(x), \dots, X_m(x))$ satisfies the *linear independence condition* (LIC) on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if there exists a set $\mathcal{N}_X \subset \Omega$, closed in the topology of Ω , such that $|\mathcal{N}_X| = 0$ and $X_1(x), \dots, X_m(x)$ are linearly independent as vectors of \mathbb{R}^n for each $x \in \Omega_X := \Omega \setminus \mathcal{N}_X$. Here $|A|$ denotes the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure of a measurable subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Notice that, if X satisfies (LIC) on Ω , then $m \leq n$. In some results, we will also assume that X is defined and Lipschitz continuous on an open neighborhood Ω_0 of $\bar{\Omega}$ and that the following conditions hold:

- (H1) Let $d : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be the so-called Carnot-Carathéodory distance function induced by X (see, for instance, [FSSC2, Section 2]). Then, $d(x, y) < \infty$ for any $x, y \in \Omega_0$, so that d is a standard distance in Ω_0 , and d is continuous with respect to the usual topology of \mathbb{R}^n .

(H2) For any compact set $K \subset \Omega_0$ there exist a radius r_K and a positive constant C_K , depending on K , such that

$$|B_d(x, 2r)| \leq C_K |B_d(x, r)|$$

for any $x \in K$ and $r < r_K$. $B_d(x, r)$ denotes the (open) metric ball with respect to d , that is, $B_d(x, r) := \{y \in \Omega_0 \mid d(x, y) < r\}$.

(H3) There exist geometric constants $c, C > 0$ such that $\forall B = B_d(\bar{x}, r)$ with $cB := B_d(\bar{x}, cr) \subseteq \Omega_0$, $\forall u \in \text{Lip}(c\bar{B})$ and $\forall x \in \bar{B}$

$$\left| u(x) - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B u(y) dy \right| \leq C \int_{cB} |Xu(y)| \frac{d(x, y)}{|B_d(x, d(x, y))|} dy.$$

Let us point out that (LIC) embraces relevant and wide families of vector fields studied in literature (see [MPSC1, Example 2.2]), as well as important families of vector fields satisfying conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3) (see Remark 2.8).

Each functional (2) always admits an integral representation with respect to the Euclidean gradient. Indeed, for instance, functional (2) can be represented as follows

$$F_h(u) = \int_{\Omega} f_{h,e}(x, Du(x)) dx \quad \text{for each } u \in \mathbf{C}^1(\Omega),$$

where $f_{h,e} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ now denotes the *Euclidean integrand*, defined as

$$(6) \quad f_{h,e}(x, \xi) := f_h(x, C(x)\xi) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ for each } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Notice also that we cannot reverse this representation (see [MPSC1, Counterexample 3.14]) and the representation with respect to the Euclidean gradient could yield a loss of coercivity (see [MPSC1]). Nonetheless, we will show that, by replacing the Euclidean gradient with the X -gradient, we can get rid of this drawback.

In this paper, we will exploit as main tools for studying minimization problems (1) some results of Γ -convergence for functionals depending on vector fields. In particular, let us recall a Γ -compactness theorem for the sequence $(F_h)_h$ defined in (2) (see Theorem 3.5), obtained in [MPSC1], to which we will refer for all the relevant definitions. More precisely, if the X -gradient satisfies (LIC) condition and the sequence of integrand functions $(f_h)_h \subset I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, then, up to a subsequence not relabeled, we can assume the existence of a functional $F : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$ such that

$$(7) \quad F = \Gamma(L^p(\Omega))\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h$$

and F admits the following representation

$$(8) \quad F(u) := \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} f(x, Xu(x)) dx & \text{if } u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Let us now describe the main results of the present paper and some of their applications. First, recall the following Poincaré inequality on $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, which holds provided that Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n and X satisfies conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3) (see Proposition 2.16). More precisely, there exists a positive constant $c_{p,\Omega}$, depending only on p and Ω , such that

$$(9) \quad c_{p,\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx \quad \text{for each } u \in W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

We will also assume that $c_{p,\Omega}$ is the best constant in the Poincaré inequality (9), that is, it is the largest constant for which (9) holds.

Let us begin with a result concerning the convergence of minima and minimizers for minimization problems (1). For fixed $\varphi \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, let $\mathbb{1}_{\varphi} : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \{0; \infty\}$ denote the indicator function of the affine subspace of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega) := \varphi + W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

and assume that the Carathéodory function $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in (3) satisfies the following growth condition: there exist two constants d_0, d_1 and two nonnegative functions $b_0, b_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(10) \quad d_0|s|^p - b_0(x) \leq g(x, s) \leq d_1|s|^p + b_1(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, with

$$(11) \quad d_1 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -c_0 c_{p,\Omega} < d_0 \leq d_1.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω be a bounded and connected open set, $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition and conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Let $f_h, f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, let g satisfy (10) and (11) and let F_h, G, F be the functionals in (2), (3) and (8), respectively. Fixed $\varphi \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, let $\Xi_h^{\varphi}, \Xi^{\varphi} : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be, respectively, defined as*

$$(12) \quad \Xi_h^{\varphi} := F_h + G + \mathbb{1}_{\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi^{\varphi} := F + G + \mathbb{1}_{\varphi}.$$

If $(F_h)_h$ Γ -converges to F in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$, then

(i) for each $h \in \mathbb{N}$, both Ξ_h^{φ} and Ξ^{φ} attain their minima in $L^p(\Omega)$ and

$$(13) \quad \min_{u \in L^p(\Omega)} \Xi^{\varphi}(u) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \min_{u \in L^p(\Omega)} \Xi_h^{\varphi}(u);$$

(ii) if $(u_h)_h$ is a sequence of minimizers of $(\Xi_h^{\varphi})_h$, that is,

$$\Xi_h^{\varphi}(u_h) = \min_{u \in L^p(\Omega)} \Xi_h^{\varphi}(u) \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathbb{N},$$

then, there exists $\bar{u} \in W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that, up to subsequences,

$$(14) \quad u_h \rightarrow \bar{u} \text{ weakly in } W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and strongly in } L^p(\Omega)$$

and

$$(15) \quad \Xi^{\varphi}(\bar{u}) = \min_{u \in L^p(\Omega)} \Xi^{\varphi}(u).$$

The second main result deals with the convergence of the momenta associated with the sequence of functionals $(F_h)_h$ satisfying (7). The result is inspired by [ADMZ2] and it is a partial extension of those results to integral functionals depending on vector fields.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Ω be a bounded open set, $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition. Let $f_h, f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, let F_h, F be, respectively, the functionals in (2) and (8), satisfying (7), and define $\mathcal{F}_h, \mathcal{F} : L^p(\Omega)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as*

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{F}_h(\Phi) := \int_{\Omega} f_h(x, \Phi(x)) dx$$

and

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{F}(\Phi) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, \Phi(x)) dx$$

for any $\Phi \in L^p(\Omega)^m$ and for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that:

- (i) fixed $0 \leq \alpha \leq \min\{1, p-1\}$, there exist a positive constant \bar{c} and a nonnegative function $b \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$|\nabla_{\eta} f_h(x, \eta_1) - \nabla_{\eta} f_h(x, \eta_2)| \leq \bar{c} |\eta_1 - \eta_2|^{\alpha} (|\eta_1| + |\eta_2| + b(x))^{p-1-\alpha}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for any $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$;

- (ii) the map $\mathbb{R}^m \ni \eta \mapsto f_h(x, \eta)$ belongs to $\mathbf{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$;

- (iii) the map $\mathbb{R}^m \ni \eta \mapsto f(x, \eta)$ belongs to $\mathbf{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$;

- (iv) there exist $u_h, u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_h \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Xu) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, the convergence of momenta associated with $(F_h)_h$ holds, that is,

$$(18) \quad \partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h) = \nabla_{\eta} f_h(\cdot, Xu_h) \rightarrow \nabla_{\eta} f(\cdot, Xu) = \partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F}(Xu)$$

weakly in $L^{p'}(\Omega)^m$, where $\partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F}_h$ and $\partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F}$ denotes, respectively, the Gateaux derivatives of functionals \mathcal{F}_h and \mathcal{F} (see (91)).

As a consequence of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we can infer the convergence of both minimizers and momenta associated with minimization problems (1).

Corollary 1.4. *Let Ω be open, bounded and connected, let $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition and conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Let $f_h, f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, let g satisfy (10) and (11), let G be the functional (3) and let $F_h, \mathcal{F}_h, F, \mathcal{F}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3. Fixed $\varphi \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, consider functionals $\Xi_h^{\varphi}, \Xi^{\varphi}$ defined in (12). If $(u_h)_h$ is a sequence of minimizers of $(\Xi_h^{\varphi})_h$ then, up to subsequences, there exists a minimizer u of Ξ^{φ} such that*

$$u_h \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and strongly in } L^p(\Omega).$$

Moreover, (18) holds.

We will also provide two interesting applications of the previous results to the periodic homogenization of functionals in Carnot groups and the H -convergence for linear differential operators of the second order depending on X .

Let us recall that Γ -convergence for functionals in (2) has been studied in the framework of Dirichlet forms [Fu, MR], but for special integrand functions f and X -gradient satisfying the Hörmander condition (see, for instance, [BPT2, BT, Mo] and references therein). We also point out that Γ -convergence for functionals defined in Cheeger-Sobolev metric measure spaces has been also studied (see [AHM] and references therein).

Homogenization in Carnot groups has been intensively studied so far (see for instance, [BMT, BPT1, BPT2, FGVN, FT, MV]). Here we are interested in the recent paper [DDMM], where a Γ -convergence result for the periodic homogenization of functionals in Heisenberg groups has been proved (see Theorem 5.3). By using this result, we prove the convergence of minimizers for minimization problems (1), for each boundary datum $\varphi \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, as well as the convergence of the associated momenta (see Corollary 5.5).

The H -convergence for subelliptic PDEs has been also studied in the setting of Carnot groups (see [BFT, BFTT, FTT, Ma2]). In the previous papers, the main tool for showing a compactness result for H -convergence is the nontrivial extension to Carnot groups of the so-called *compensated compactness* [BFTT], originally introduced in the classical Euclidean setting by Murat and Tartar [Mu]. Here we get a compactness result for H -convergence in a broader setting than a Carnot group (see Theorem 6.2), by using Theorem 1.3, without applying the compensated compactness, since it is not clear whether it still holds in our framework.

The plan of the paper is as follows: in section 2, we introduce and study the Sobolev spaces associated with the X -gradient. In section 3, we prove a criterion for the Γ -convergence with respect to the weak convergence in spaces $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (see Theorem 3.1). We also recall two key results of Γ -compactness for integral functions depending on X , with respect to $L^p(\Omega)$ -topology: the former is a light extension of a result already showed in [MPSC1] (see Theorem 3.5) and the latter is a Γ -compactness result including Dirichlet boundary conditions (see Theorem 3.6). In section 4, we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 and Corollary 1.4. Finally, in sections 5 and 6, we apply Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 to the case of periodic homogenization in Heisenberg groups (see Corollary 5.5) and to the H -convergence for linear differential operators of the second order depending on X (see Theorem 6.2), respectively.

Acknowledgements. We thank G. Buttazzo and B. Franchi for useful suggestions and discussions on these topics. We also thank the referee of the paper [MPSC1] and M. Caponi for useful suggestions on the proof of Theorem 3.1.

2. FUNCTIONAL SETTING

Throughout the paper, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a fixed open set and $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, \infty]$. If $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $|v|$ and $\langle v, w \rangle$ the Euclidean norm and the scalar product, respectively. If Ω and Ω' are subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , then $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ means that Ω' is compactly contained in Ω . Moreover, $B(x, r)$ is the open Euclidean ball of radius r centered at x . If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, χ_A and $\mathbb{1}_A$ are, respectively, the characteristic and the indicator function of A , $|A|$ is its n -dimensional Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^n and, by notation *a.e.* $x \in A$, we will simply mean \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in A$. In the sequel, we denote by $\mathbf{C}^k(\Omega)$ the space of \mathbb{R} -valued functions k times continuously differentiable and by $\mathbf{C}_c^k(\Omega)$ the subspace of $\mathbf{C}^k(\Omega)$ whose functions have support compactly contained in Ω .

Definition 2.1. For any $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ we define Xu as an element of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Xu(\psi) &:= (X_1 u(\psi_1), \dots, X_m u(\psi_m)) \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} u \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i (c_{1i} \psi_1), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i (c_{mi} \psi_m) \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m) \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

If we set $X^T \psi := (X_1^T \psi_1, \dots, X_m^T \psi_m)$, with

$$(19) \quad X_j^T \varphi := - \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i (c_{ji} \varphi) = - (\operatorname{div}(X_j) + X_j) \varphi$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$, then the aspect of the previous definition is even more familiar

$$Xu(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} u X^T \psi dx \quad \text{for any } \psi \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m).$$

Remark 2.2. By the well-known extension result for Lipschitz functions, without loss of generality, we can assume that vector fields' coefficients $c_{ji} \in Lip_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Definition 2.3. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) &:= \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : X_j u \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m\} \\ W_{X;loc}^{1,p}(\Omega) &:= \{u : u|_{\Omega'} \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega') \text{ for every open set } \Omega' \Subset \Omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4. Since vector fields X_j have locally Lipschitz continuous coefficients, $\partial_i c_{ji} \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, by definition,

$$(20) \quad W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty]$$

for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, for any $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$Xu(x) = C(x) Du(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

where $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denotes the classical Sobolev space, or, equivalently, the space $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ associated to

$$X = D := (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n).$$

It is easy to see that inclusion (20) can be strict and turns out to be continuous. As well, there is the inclusion

$$W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W_{X;\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty].$$

The following proposition is proved in [FS] and [Ma, Lemma 2.3.29].

Proposition 2.5. $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is a reflexive Banach space if $1 < p < \infty$.

Moreover, if $p > 1$, then functional $\|\cdot\|_{W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is lower semicontinuous and sequentially coercive in the weak topology of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Definition 2.6. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we set

$$H_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ the closure of } \mathbf{C}^1(\Omega) \cap W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ in } W_X^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

$$W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ the closure of } \mathbf{C}_c^1(\Omega) \cap W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ in } W_X^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

It is proved in [FS] that the normed spaces $(H_X^{1,p}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)})$ and $(W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)})$ are Banach spaces for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

As for the usual Sobolev spaces, it holds that $H_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$. The classical result ‘ $H = W$ ’ of Meyers and Serrin [MS] still holds true for these anisotropic Sobolev spaces as proved, independently, in [FSSC1] and [GN1]. Analogous results, under some additional assumptions, are proved in [FSSC2], for the weighted case, and in [APS], where a generalization to metric measure spaces is given.

Theorem 2.7. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then,

$$H_X^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_X^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

We conclude this section recalling that, when Ω is bounded and the family X satisfies properties (H1), (H2) and (H3), then $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ can be compactly embedded in $L^p(\Omega)$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$. Moreover, we prove that, if in addition Ω is connected, then

$$\|u\|_{W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

defines an equivalent norm on $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Let us point out some classes of relevant vector fields satisfying properties (H1), (H2) and (H3).

Remark 2.8. (i) (Hörmander vector fields) If the vector fields are smooth and the rank of the Lie algebra generated by X_1, \dots, X_m equals n at any point of Ω_0 (the so-called Hörmander condition), then (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold (see [NSW] for (H1) and (H2) and [FLW] for (H3)).

(ii) (Grushin vector fields) If the vector fields are as in [F2], [F1] and [FL], then conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3) still hold (see [F2, F1, FL] for (H1) and (H2) and [FSSC2, Remark 2.8] for (H3)).

The following results are proved in [FSSC2, Theorems 2.11 and 3.4].

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let X satisfy conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Then, for each metric ball $B = B_d(x, r) \subset \Omega$ and for every $u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there exist a constant c , depending on B and u , and a constant C , not depending on u , such that*

$$\int_B |u(x) - c|^p dx \leq C r^p \int_B |Xu|^p dx.$$

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let X satisfy conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Then, $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^p(\Omega)$.*

An interesting consequence of Theorem 2.10 is the following result.

Proposition 2.11. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.10, $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ can be compactly embedded in $L_{loc}^p(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Since Ω is open, there exists a sequence of open subsets of Ω , $\emptyset \neq \Omega_1 \subseteq \bar{\Omega}_1 \subseteq \Omega_2 \subseteq \dots$, such that $\bar{\Omega}_i$ is compact for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Omega}_i = \Omega.$$

Let $(u_n)_n$ be a bounded sequence in $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, with $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ in Ω and $\varphi \equiv 1$ on Ω_1 , and define

$$v_n^{(1)} := \varphi u_n|_{\Omega_1} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $(v_n^{(1)})_n$ is bounded in $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and, by Theorem 2.10, there exist a subsequence $(v_{n_k}^{(1)})_k$ of $(v_n^{(1)})_n$ and $u^{(1)} \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$v_{n_k}^{(1)} \rightarrow u^{(1)} \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad u_{n_k}^{(1)} \rightarrow u^{(1)} \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega_1),$$

where $(u_n^{(1)})_n$ is the subsequence of $(u_n)_n$ such that $v_{n_k}^{(1)} = \varphi u_{n_k}^{(1)}|_{\Omega_1}$.

Repeating the procedure described above for $(u_n^{(1)})_n$, we find the existence of $u^{(2)} \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $(u_n^{(2)})_n$, subsequence of $(u_n^{(1)})_n$, such that

$$u_n^{(2)} \rightarrow u^{(2)} \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega_2) \quad \text{and} \quad u^{(1)} = u^{(2)} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_1.$$

Let us iterate the procedure for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$v_n := u_n^{(n)} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

the n -th element of the n -th subsequence of $(u_n)_n$ and

$$\bar{u}(x) := u^{(i)}(x) \quad \text{if } x \in \Omega_i.$$

Then, by construction, \bar{u} is well-defined and $\bar{u} \in L^p(\Omega)$. To conclude the proof, let us show that

$$v_n \rightarrow \bar{u} \quad \text{in } L^p(\tilde{\Omega}) \quad \text{for any open set } \tilde{\Omega} \Subset \Omega.$$

Fixed $\tilde{\Omega} \Subset \Omega$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tilde{\Omega} \Subset \Omega_i$ and so

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |v_n - \bar{u}|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega_i} |v_n - \bar{u}|^p dx = \int_{\Omega_i} |u_n^{(n)} - u^{(i)}|^p dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, the conclusion trivially follows. \square

Let us point out that we can get a global compact embedding in Proposition 2.11, by requiring further regularity on Ω , in the sense of the following definition.

Definition 2.12. Let (M, d) be a metric space. A bounded set $\Omega \subset M$ is said to be a *uniform domain* if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each $x, y \in \Omega$ there exists a continuous rectifiable curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$, with

$$\gamma(0) = x, \quad \gamma(1) = y,$$

$$\text{length}(\gamma) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} d(x, y),$$

and, for each $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\text{dist}(\gamma(t), \partial\Omega) \geq \varepsilon \min\{\text{length}(\gamma|_{[0,t]}), \text{length}(\gamma|_{[t,1]})\}.$$

Remark 2.13. The characterization of uniform domains in metric spaces is a difficult task. Few examples of such domains are known, also in the framework of the Carnot-Carathéodory distance. A comprehensive account of uniform domains, with respect to the Carnot-Carathéodory distance, can be found in [Mon] (see also [FPS] for an interesting example).

If Ω is a (bounded) uniform domain in the metric space (Ω_0, d) , then, by using an extension result for functions in $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (see [GN2]), by applying Theorem 2.10 and by a localization argument in a neighborhood of Ω , we get the following result.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let X satisfy conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Moreover, assume that Ω is a uniform domain in the metric space (Ω_0, d) . Then, $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ can be compactly embedded in $L^p(\Omega)$.*

Remark 2.15. Actually, Theorem 2.14 still holds for an even more general class of metric regular sets, namely the so-called *PS-domains* (see [GN1, Theorem 1.28]). In particular, the metric balls with respect to the Carnot-Carathéodory distance are PS domains (see [FGW, GN1]).

As a consequence of Theorems 2.9 and 2.10, a global Poincaré inequality holds in $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let X satisfy conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Moreover, assume that Ω is connected. Then, there exists a positive constant $c_{p,\Omega}$, depending on p and Ω , such that*

$$c_{p,\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx \quad \text{for any } u \in W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Proof. Let $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded and connected open set such that $\Omega \Subset \Omega_1 \Subset \Omega_0$. Let us first show that, for any $u \in W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, its extension \bar{u} to Ω_1 , defined as

$$\bar{u} \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_1 \setminus \Omega,$$

that is,

$$(21) \quad X\bar{u} = \begin{cases} Xu & \text{in } \Omega \\ 0 & \text{in } \Omega_1 \setminus \Omega \end{cases} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_1,$$

satisfies $\bar{u} \in W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$.

Let $(u_h)_h \subset \mathbf{C}_c^1(\Omega)$ be such that $u_h \rightarrow u$ in $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then, it is easy to see that $(\bar{u}_h)_h \subset \mathbf{C}_c^1(\Omega_1)$ and $\bar{u}_h \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$.

Assume now, by contradiction, the existence of $(v_h)_h \subset W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_h|^p dx > h \int_{\Omega} |Xv_h|^p dx \quad \text{for each } h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (21), it follows that

$$(22) \quad \int_{\Omega_1} |\bar{v}_h|^p dx > h \int_{\Omega_1} |X\bar{v}_h|^p dx \quad \text{for each } h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let

$$w_h(x) := \frac{\bar{v}_h(x)}{\|\bar{v}_h\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)}} \quad \text{if } x \in \Omega_1.$$

Then, by construction, $(w_h)_h \subset W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ and

$$(23) \quad \int_{\Omega_1} |w_h|^p dx = 1 \quad \text{for each } h \geq 1.$$

Moreover, by (22),

$$(24) \quad \int_{\Omega_1} |Xw_h|^p dx < \frac{1}{h} \quad \text{for each } h \geq 1.$$

By (23), (24) and, in virtue of Theorem 2.10, there exists $w \in W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ such that, up to subsequences,

$$(25) \quad w_h \rightarrow w \text{ in } L^p(\Omega_1) \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega_1,$$

$$(26) \quad \int_{\Omega_1} |w|^p dx = 1$$

and

$$(27) \quad Xw = (0, \dots, 0) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_1.$$

Then, by (27) and, in virtue of Theorem 2.9, w is locally constant on Ω_1 and, since Ω_1 is connected, there exists a constant $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$w(x) = k \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega_1.$$

By (26), $k \neq 0$ and this yields a contradiction since, by definition, $w_h \equiv 0$ in $\Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and, by (25), $w = k = 0$ a.e. in $\Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}$. \square

Corollary 2.17. *Let Ω , p and X be as in Proposition 2.16. Then,*

$$\|u\|_{W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is a norm in $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)}$.

We conclude this section recalling the following estimate that will be useful to prove the coercivity of functionals Ξ_h^φ , defined in (12). It can be proved as in [DM, Lemma 2.7].

Lemma 2.18. *Let $c_{p,\Omega}$ be the Poincaré constant in (9), let $\varphi \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and let $c < c_{p,\Omega}$. Then, there exist a positive constant k_1 , depending only on c and $c_{p,\Omega}$, and a nonnegative constant k_2 , depending on c , $c_{p,\Omega}$ and $\|\varphi\|_{W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)}$, such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx - c \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \geq k_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right) - k_2$$

for every $u \in W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

3. Γ -CONVERGENCE RESULTS FOR INTEGRAL FUNCTIONALS DEPENDING ON VECTOR FIELDS

In this section, we study Γ -convergence results for classes of integral functionals depending on vector fields, with respect to the weak topology of $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, namely Theorem 3.1, and the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$, see Theorems 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7.

3.1. Γ -convergence in the weak topology of $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$. First we show that, if X satisfies conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3), then the pointwise convergence of the sequence $(f_h(\cdot, \eta))_h$ a.e. in Ω for any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ implies the Γ -convergence of the corresponding integral functionals in the weak topology of $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition and conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Let $f_h, f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, with $a_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ($i = 0, 1$), and let $F_h, F : W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding integral functionals, defined as*

$$(28) \quad F_h(u) := \int_{\Omega} f_h(x, Xu(x)) dx, \quad F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, Xu(x)) dx$$

for any $u \in W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that

$$f_h(\cdot, \eta) \rightarrow f(\cdot, \eta) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \text{ and for any } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Then, $(F_h)_h$ Γ -converges to F in the weak topology of $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Moreover, if $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^p(\Omega)$, then $(F_h + G)_h$ Γ -converges to $F + G$ in the weak topology of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$. In this case, functionals $F_h, F : W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined as

$$F_h(u) := \int_{\Omega} f_h(x, Xu(x)) dx, \quad F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, Xu(x)) dx$$

for any $u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$, while $G : W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the functional in (3) such that g satisfies (10), with $0 < d_0 \leq d_1$.

Before proving Theorem 3.1 we need two technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, 0, a)$, with $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, and let $r > 0$. There exist $R = R(r) > r$ and a Borel measurable function $g_r : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g_r(x, \cdot)$ is convex for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and*

$$(29) \quad 0 \leq g_r(x, \eta) \leq f(x, \eta) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m;$$

$$(30) \quad g_r(x, \eta) = f(x, \eta) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } \eta \in \overline{B_r(0)};$$

$$(31) \quad g_r(x, \eta) \leq c_0 |\eta|^p \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \overline{B_R(0)}.$$

Proof. Observe that, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$(32) \quad f(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is convex for each } x \in \Omega.$$

Indeed, by (I_2) and since the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^n is Borel regular, there exists a negligible Borel set $N \subset \Omega$ such that $f(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is convex for each $x \in \Omega \setminus N$. By redefining $f(x, \eta) := 0$ for each $x \in N$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we get the desired conclusion.

By (32) and [Ro, Theorem 10.4], $f(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is locally Lipschitz for any $x \in \Omega$. In particular, fixed $x \in \Omega$, $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $r > 0$, there exists a positive constant L , depending on f, x, η_0 and r , such that

$$(33) \quad |f(x, \eta_1) - f(x, \eta_2)| \leq L |\eta_1 - \eta_2|$$

for any $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \overline{B_r(\eta_0)}$, and

$$L := \frac{1}{r} \sup_{B_{2r}(\eta_0)} f(x, \cdot).$$

Fix $x \in \Omega$ and $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$. By [Cla, Proposition 2.22] and (33), for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ there exists the directional derivative

$$f'_x(\eta_0, v) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, \eta_0 + tv) - f(x, \eta_0)}{t} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is also clear that

$$f'_x(\eta_0, v) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} h \left(f(x, \eta_0 + \frac{1}{h}v) - f(x, \eta_0) \right).$$

By (33) and [Cla, Corollary 4.26],

$$(34) \quad f'_x(\eta_0, v) = \max_{\xi \in \partial f_x(\eta_0)} \langle \xi, v \rangle \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

where $\partial f_x(\eta_0)$ denotes the subdifferential of $f(x, \cdot)$ at η_0 .

By (34), the map $\mathbb{R}^m \ni v \mapsto f'_x(\eta, v)$ is positively homogeneous of degree one, convex, and so subadditive, continuous and finite. Moreover, since $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Borel measurable, then the map $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \ni (x, v) \mapsto f'_x(\eta, v)$ is Borel measurable for any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Fix $r > 0$ and $\eta_0, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We define

$$G_{\eta_0}(x, \eta) := f(x, \eta_0) + f'_x(\eta_0, \eta - \eta_0)$$

and

$$g_r(x, \eta) := \sup_{\eta_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^m \cap \overline{B_r(0)}} G_{\eta_0}(x, \eta).$$

We first claim that $g_r(x, \eta) < \infty$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ for every $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $g_r : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Borel measurable and that $g_r(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Let $x \in \Omega$ and define $\bar{\xi}$ the element of $\partial f_x(\eta_0)$ such that

$$(35) \quad f'_x(\eta_0, \eta - \eta_0) = \langle \bar{\xi}, \eta - \eta_0 \rangle.$$

Since $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, 0, a)$, then

$$(36) \quad |G_{\eta_0}(x, \eta)| \leq c_1 |\eta_0|^p + |\bar{\xi}| |\eta - \eta_0| + a(x)$$

a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for any $\eta_0, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and

$$(37) \quad c_0 |\eta|^p \leq f(x, \eta) \leq c_1 |\eta|^p + a(x) \leq c_1 2^p r^p + \|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\eta \in \overline{B_{2r}(0)}$. Moreover, by (33) and (37), and, arguing as in [Cla, Proposition 4.14], there exists a positive constant M , depending only on c_1 , $\|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and r , such that

$$|\bar{\xi}| \leq M \quad \text{for any } \eta_0 \in \overline{B_r(0)}$$

which, together with (36), gives

$$(38) \quad g_r(x, \eta) \leq c_1 r^p + M(|\eta| + r) + \|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < +\infty$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Since g_r is a pointwise supremum of the countable family of Borel measurable functions $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \ni (x, \eta) \mapsto G_{\eta_0}(x, \eta)$, with $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^m \cap \overline{B_r(0)}$, then it is Borel measurable. As well, since $g_r(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a pointwise supremum of the countable family of convex functions $\mathbb{R}^m \ni \eta \mapsto G_{\eta_0}(x, \cdot)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$, with $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^m \cap \overline{B_r(0)}$, then, by [Cla, Proposition 2.20], it is a convex function.

Let us now prove that g_r satisfies (29) and (30). Let $x \in \Omega$ be such that $f(x, \cdot)$ is convex. Then, fixed $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$(39) \quad f(x, \eta) \geq f(x, \eta_0) + \langle \xi, \eta - \eta_0 \rangle$$

for any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\xi \in \partial f_x(\eta_0)$. Let $\bar{\xi} \in \partial f_x(\eta_0)$ satisfy (35). By (39),

$$f(x, \eta) \geq G_{\eta_0}(x, \eta)$$

and, passing to the supremum, we get

$$(40) \quad g_r(x, \eta) \leq f(x, \eta) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

On the other hand, if $\eta \in \overline{B_r(0)}$ and $(\eta_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Q}^m \cap \overline{B_r(0)}$ are such that $\eta_h \rightarrow \eta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(41) \quad g_r(x, \eta) \geq f(x, \eta_h) + f'_x(\eta_h, \eta - \eta_h) \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, since

$$|f'_x(\eta_h, \eta - \eta_h)| \leq M|\eta - \eta_h| \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N},$$

we conclude

$$(42) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} f'_x(\eta_h, \eta - \eta_h) = 0.$$

Therefore, by (40), (41), (42) and by the continuity of $f(x, \cdot)$ in \mathbb{R}^m , we obtain (30).

Fix now $x \in \Omega$ such that both $f(x, \cdot)$ and $g_r(x, \cdot)$ are convex in \mathbb{R}^m . By (30) and Weierstrass theorem, there exists $\eta_1 \in \overline{B_r(0)}$ such that

$$(43) \quad f(x, \eta_1) = g_r(x, \eta_1) = \min_{\eta \in \overline{B_r(0)}} g_r(x, \eta)$$

and, since $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, 0, a)$, then

$$(44) \quad g_r(x, \eta) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } \eta \in \overline{B_r(0)}.$$

Assume, by contradiction, the existence of $\eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \overline{B_r(0)}$ such that

$$(45) \quad g_r(x, \eta_2) < 0.$$

Then, there exist $\eta_3 \in \overline{B_r(0)}$ and $\bar{t} \in (0, 1)$ such that $\eta_3 = \bar{t}\eta_1 + (1 - \bar{t})\eta_2$ and, since $g_r(x, \cdot)$ is convex in \mathbb{R}^m , (43) and (45) give

$$g_r(x, \eta_1) \leq g_r(x, \eta_3) \leq \bar{t}g_r(x, \eta_1) + (1 - \bar{t})g_r(x, \eta_2) < g_r(x, \eta_1),$$

which yields a contradiction. Then, by (44), we get (29).

Finally, since $p > 1$ and $c_0, M > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{|\eta| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_0|\eta|^p}{c_1r^p + M(|\eta| + r) + \|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} = +\infty$$

and, by (38), (31) also follows. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f_h \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, with $a_0, a_1 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, and assume that*

$$f_h(\cdot, \eta) \rightarrow f(\cdot, \eta) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ for each } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Then,

- (i) $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$;
- (ii) if $(\Phi_h)_h$ weakly converges to Φ in $L^p(\Omega)^m$, then functionals $\mathcal{F}_h, \mathcal{F} : L^p(\Omega)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined in (16) and (17), satisfy

$$\mathcal{F}(\Phi) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_h(\Phi_h), \quad \text{i.e.,}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, \Phi(x)) dx \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_h(x, \Phi_h(x)) dx.$$

Proof. (i) It is immediate.

(ii) Let $(\Phi_h)_h \subset L^p(\Omega)^m$ be weakly convergent to Φ in $L^p(\Omega)^m$. Then, there exists a positive constant M such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_h|^p dx \leq M \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, since $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, then $f(\cdot, \Phi(\cdot)) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Therefore, by the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue's integral, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(46) \quad \int_A |f(x, \Phi(x))| dx < \varepsilon$$

for any measurable subset A of Ω such that $|A| < \delta$.

Let us fix $R > 0$ and let us consider $\overline{B_R(0)} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, for any $\varrho > 0$, there exist $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k \in B_R(0)$ such that

$$(47) \quad \overline{B_R(0)} \subset \cup_{i=1}^k B_{\varrho}(\eta_i).$$

Since $f_h, f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, then, by [Ro, Theorem 10.4], there exists a positive constant L_R such that

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} |f_h(x, \eta) - f_h(x, \eta_i)| &\leq L_R |\eta - \eta_i| \leq L_R \varrho, \\ |f(x, \eta) - f(x, \eta_i)| &\leq L_R |\eta - \eta_i| \leq L_R \varrho \end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $\eta \in B_\varrho(\eta_i) \cap \overline{B_R(0)}$.

If $x \in \Omega$ and $\eta \in \overline{B_R(0)}$ then, by (47), there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\eta \in B_\varrho(\eta_i)$ and, by (48),

$$(49) \quad |f_h(x, \eta) - f(x, \eta)| \leq 2L_R \varrho + |f_h(x, \eta_i) - f(x, \eta_i)|.$$

Since $f_h(x, \eta_i) \rightarrow f(x, \eta_i)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, then, by Severini-Egoroff theorem, there exist A_1, \dots, A_k , measurable subsets of Ω , such that $|A_i| < \frac{\delta}{2k}$ and such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus A_i} |f_h(x, \eta_i) - f(x, \eta_i)| \right] = 0.$$

Let $A^\delta := \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$. Thus, $|A^\delta| < \frac{\delta}{2}$ and

$$(50) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} z_h := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus A^\delta} |f_h(x, \eta_i) - f(x, \eta_i)| \right] = 0.$$

Therefore, for any $x \in \Omega \setminus A^\delta$ and for any $\eta \in \overline{B_R(0)}$, by (49),

$$(51) \quad |f_h(x, \eta) - f(x, \eta)| \leq 2L_R \varrho + z_h.$$

Fix $r > 0$ and define $\varphi_h := f_h + a_0$ for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi := f + a_0$. Then, trivially, $\varphi_h, \varphi \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, 0, a_0 + a_1)$ and, by Lemma 3.2, there exist $R(r) > r$ and $g_r : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$(52) \quad g_r(x, \eta) \leq \varphi(x, \eta) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$(53) \quad g_r(x, \eta) = \varphi(x, \eta) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } \eta \in \overline{B_r(0)}$$

$$(54) \quad g_r(x, \eta) \leq c_0 |\eta|^p \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \overline{B_R(0)}.$$

Notice that, if $x \in \Omega$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \overline{B_R(0)}$, then, by (54)

$$(55) \quad \varphi_h(x, \eta) \geq c_0 |\eta|^p \geq g_r(x, \eta)$$

while, if $x \in \Omega \setminus A^\delta$ and $\eta \in \overline{B_R(0)}$, then, by (51) and (52)

$$(56) \quad \varphi_h(x, \eta) \geq \varphi(x, \eta) - 2L_R \varrho - z_h \geq g_r(x, \eta) - 2L_R \varrho - z_h.$$

Moreover, since $(\Phi_h)_h$ weakly converges to Φ in $L^p(\Omega)^m$, then

$$(57) \quad \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus A^\delta} g_r(x, \Phi_h) dx \geq \int_{\Omega \setminus A^\delta} g_r(x, \Phi) dx.$$

Therefore, by (50), (53), (55), (56) and (57), and by Fatou's lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_h(x, \Phi_h) dx &\geq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus A^\delta} \varphi_h(x, \Phi_h) dx \\
&\geq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\Omega \setminus A^\delta} g_r(x, \Phi_h) dx - (2L_R \varrho + z_h) |\Omega| \right] \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega \setminus A^\delta} g_r(x, \Phi) dx - 2L_R \varrho |\Omega| \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega \setminus (A^\delta \cup \{|\Phi| > r\})} g_r(x, \Phi) dx - 2L_R \varrho |\Omega| \\
&= \int_{\Omega \setminus (A^\delta \cup \{|\Phi| > r\})} \varphi(x, \Phi) dx - 2L_R \varrho |\Omega|.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$|\{|\Phi| > r\}| \leq \frac{1}{r^p} \int_{\Omega} |\Phi(x)|^p dx.$$

Let us choose r such that $|\{|\Phi| > r\}| < \frac{\delta}{2}$. Thus, by (46)

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_h(x, \Phi_h) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \Phi) dx - \varepsilon - 2L_R \varrho |\Omega|,$$

that is,

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_h(x, \Phi_h) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f(x, \Phi) dx - \varepsilon - 2L_R \varrho |\Omega|$$

and, as ε and ϱ go to zero, we get the thesis. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By (5), there exists $\Psi_1 : W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Psi_1 \leq F_h$ for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_1(u) = +\infty.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.10 and [DM, Proposition 8.10], we can characterize the Γ -limit of $(F_h)_h$ in terms of sequences, that is, fixed $u \in W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, it suffices to show that:

(a) for any $(u_h)_h$ weakly convergent to u in $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then

$$F(u) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h);$$

(b) there exists $(v_h)_h$ weakly convergent to u in $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$F(u) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(v_h).$$

Let $(u_h)_h$ be weakly convergent to u in $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then, $(Xu_h)_h$ weakly converges to Xu in $L^p(\Omega)^m$ and (a) follows, by Lemma 3.3.

Let $v_h := u$ for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(f_h(\cdot, Xu))_h$ converges to $f(\cdot, Xu)$ a.e. in Ω by hypothesis, then, by the dominated convergence theorem, the sequence $(F_h(u))_h$ converges pointwise to $F(u)$ and (b) also follows.

Similarly, by (5) and (10), there exists $\Psi_2 : W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Psi_2 \leq F_h + G$ in $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_2(u) = +\infty.$$

Then, since $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^p(\Omega)$ by hypothesis, we can characterize the Γ -limit of $(F_h + G)_h$ in terms of sequences, in virtue of [DM, Proposition 8.10] and, since G is sequentially continuous in the weak topology of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $(F_h + G)_h$ Γ -converges to $F + G$ in the weak topology of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by the first part of the proof, and the thesis follows. \square

An analogous result in the strong topology of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ still holds true and a proof can be found in [Ma, Proposition 2.3.24].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition. Let $f_h, f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$ and let $F_h, F : W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding integral functionals, defined as*

$$F_h(u) := \int_{\Omega} f_h(x, Xu(x)) dx, \quad F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, Xu(x)) dx$$

for any $u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $(F_h)_h$ converges pointwise to F in $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $(F_h)_h$ Γ -converges to F in the strong topology of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

3.2. Γ -convergence in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$. The first result of this section is an extension of [MPSC1, Theorem 4.11] to our class of integrands and a proof can be found in [Ma, Theorem 2.3.12].

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let \mathcal{A} be the class of all open subsets of Ω , $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition. Let $f_h \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$ and let $F_h : L^p(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the local functional defined as*

$$(58) \quad F_h(u, A) := \begin{cases} \int_A f_h(x, Xu(x)) dx & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{A}, u \in W_X^{1,p}(A) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then, there exist a local functional $F : L^p(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$ such that, up to subsequences,

$$(59) \quad F(\cdot, A) = \Gamma(L^p(\Omega))\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(\cdot, A) \text{ for each } A \in \mathcal{A}$$

and F admits the following representation

$$(60) \quad F(u, A) := \begin{cases} \int_A f(x, Xu(x)) dx & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{A}, u \in W_X^{1,p}(A) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Following [DM, Theorem 21.1], using [MPSC1, Theorem 4.16] instead of [DM, Theorem 19.6], we get the Γ -convergence for functionals with boundary data. A proof can be found in [Ma, Theorem 2.3.23].

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let \mathcal{A} be the class of all open subsets of Ω , $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition. Let $f_h \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, let $F_h : L^p(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the functional in (58) and, with a little abuse of notation, denote*

$$F_h(u) := F_h(u, \Omega) \quad \text{for any } u \in L^p(\Omega).$$

Fix $\varphi \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and assume that $(F_h)_h$ Γ -converges in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$ to F satisfying (60), with $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$. Then, $(F_h + \mathbb{1}_\varphi)_h$ Γ -converges to $F + \mathbb{1}_\varphi$ in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$.

We conclude this section by providing a Γ -convergence result of perturbed functionals in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let \mathcal{A} be the class of all open subsets of Ω , let $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition. Let $f_h, f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$ and F_h, F be the functionals in (58) and (60) satisfying (59). Fixed $\Phi \in L^p(\Omega)^m$, let $G_h^\Phi : L^p(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the local functional defined as*

$$G_h^\Phi(u, A) := \begin{cases} \int_A f_h(x, Xu(x) + \Phi(x)) dx & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{A}, u \in W_X^{1,p}(A) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then, there exists $G^\Phi : L^p(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that, up to subsequences,

$$(61) \quad G^\Phi(\cdot, A) = \Gamma(L^p(\Omega))\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} G_h^\Phi(\cdot, A) \text{ for each } A \in \mathcal{A}$$

and G^Φ admits the following representation

$$G^\Phi(u, A) := \begin{cases} \int_A f(x, Xu(x) + \Phi(x)) dx & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{A}, u \in W_X^{1,p}(A) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Before giving the proof of Theorem 3.7, let us recall the following almost classical result, that we briefly prove for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$. Then, there exists a non-negative constant c_2 , depending only on p and c_1 , such that*

$$(62) \quad |f(x, \eta_1) - f(x, \eta_2)| \leq c_2 |\eta_1 - \eta_2| (|\eta_1| + |\eta_2| + a(x)^{1/p})^{p-1},$$

where $a(x) := a_0(x) + a_1(x)$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for each $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a_0(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi(x, \eta) := f(x, \eta) + a_0(x)$ if $(x, \eta) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ $\varphi(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is still convex and there exists a positive constant \tilde{c}_1 , depending only on p and c_1 , such that

$$c_0 |\eta|^p \leq \varphi(x, \eta) \leq c_1 |\eta|^p + a(x) \leq \tilde{c}_1 (|\eta| + a(x)^{1/p})^p$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for each $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, arguing as in the proof of [Da, Proposition 2.32], there exists a nonnegative constant c_2 , depending only on p and c_1 , such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, \eta_1) - f(x, \eta_2)| &= |\varphi(x, \eta_1) - \varphi(x, \eta_2)| \\ &\leq c_2 |\eta_1 - \eta_2| (|\eta_1| + |\eta_2| + a(x)^{1/p})^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for each $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Fix $\Phi \in L^p(\Omega)^m$ and, for each $h \in \mathbb{N}$, define $g_h^\Phi(x, \eta) := f_h(x, \eta + \Phi(x))$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then,

$$(63) \quad g_h^\Phi \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_3, \tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a}_1),$$

with $\tilde{a}_0(x) := a_0(x) - c_0|\Phi(x)|^p$ and $\tilde{a}_1(x) := a_1(x) + c_3|\Phi(x)|^p$, for a suitable positive constant c_3 (depending only on p and c_1).

By Theorem 3.5, there exist $G^\Phi : L^p(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and

$$(64) \quad g^\Phi \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_3, \tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a}_1)$$

such that, up to subsequences, (61) holds and G^Φ can be represented as

$$G^\Phi(u, A) := \begin{cases} \int_A g^\Phi(x, Xu(x)) dx & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{A}, u \in W_X^{1,p}(A) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

To conclude, we show that

$$(65) \quad G^\Phi(u, A) = \int_A f(x, Xu(x) + \Phi(x)) dx$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in W_X^{1,p}(A)$. We divide the proof of (65) in three steps.

1st step. Let us first prove the existence of a positive constant c_4 , depending only on c_0, c_1, c_2, a_0, a_1 and p , such that

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} |G^{\Phi_1}(u, A) - G^{\Phi_2}(u, A)| \\ \leq c_4 \|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2\|_{L^p} (\|Xu\|_{L^p} + \|\Phi_1\|_{L^p} + \|\Phi_2\|_{L^p} + 1)^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in L^p(\Omega)^m$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in W_X^{1,p}(A)$, where all norms above refer to A .

Fix $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in L^p(\Omega)^m$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in W_X^{1,p}(A)$. By (61) and [DM, Proposition 8.1], there exists a sequence $(u_h)_h \subset L^p(\Omega) \cap W_X^{1,p}(A)$, strongly convergent to u in $L^p(\Omega)$, such that

$$(67) \quad G^{\Phi_2}(u, A) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} G_h^{\Phi_2}(u_h, A)$$

and

$$(68) \quad G^{\Phi_1}(u, A) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} G_h^{\Phi_1}(u_h, A).$$

Then, by (62), (63) and Hölder's inequality, there exist positive constants α_1, α_2 , depending only c_0, c_1, c_2, a_0, a_1 and p , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(69) \quad & G_h^{\Phi_1}(u_h, A) - G_h^{\Phi_2}(u_h, A) \\
& \leq \int_A |f_h(x, Xu_h + \Phi_1) - f_h(x, Xu_h + \Phi_2)| dx \\
& \leq \alpha_1 \|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2\|_{L^p} (\|Xu_h\|_{L^p} + \|\Phi_1\|_{L^p} + \|\Phi_2\|_{L^p} + 1)^{p-1} \\
& \leq \alpha_2 \|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2\|_{L^p} (G_h^{\Phi_2}(u_h, A)^{1/p} + \|\Phi_1\|_{L^p} + \|\Phi_2\|_{L^p} + 1)^{p-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and, by (64), (67) and (68), there exists a positive constant c_4 , depending only on c_0, c_1, c_2, a_0, a_1 and p , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& G^{\Phi_1}(u, A) - G^{\Phi_2}(u, A) \\
& \leq \alpha_2 \|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2\|_{L^p} (G^{\Phi_2}(u, A)^{1/p} + \|\Phi_1\|_{L^p} + \|\Phi_2\|_{L^p} + 1)^{p-1} \\
& \leq c_4 \|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2\|_{L^p} (\|Xu\|_{L^p} + \|\Phi_1\|_{L^p} + \|\Phi_2\|_{L^p} + 1)^{p-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By exchanging the roles of Φ_1 and Φ_2 , we obtain (66).

2nd step. Let us prove (65), when Φ has the form

$$(70) \quad \Phi(x) = C(x) \tilde{\Phi}(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

for some $\tilde{\Phi} \in L^p(\Omega)^n$, where $C(x)$ denotes the coefficient matrix of the X -gradient (4). Let us divide this step in three cases.

Case 1. Suppose $\tilde{\Phi}(x) = \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ constant and denote $\Phi_\xi(x) := C(x) \xi$. If $u_\xi(x) := \langle \xi, x \rangle$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$G_h^{\Phi_\xi}(u, A) = F_h(u + u_\xi, A)$$

and, by (59), (60) and (61), we get

$$(71) \quad G^{\Phi_\xi}(u, A) = F(u + u_\xi, A) = \int_A f(x, Xu(x) + \Phi_\xi(x)) dx$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in W_X^{1,p}(A)$.

Case 2. Suppose $\tilde{\Phi}$ *piecewise constant*, i.e., there exist $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and A_1, \dots, A_N pairwise disjoint open sets such that $|\Omega \setminus \cup_{i=1}^N A_i| = 0$ and

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^N \chi_{A_i}(x) \xi^i.$$

Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in W_X^{1,p}(A)$ and denote $\Phi_{\xi^i}(x) := C(x) \xi^i$. Since $G^\Phi(u, \cdot)$ is a measure, then, by additivity on pairwise disjoint open sets and locality, it holds that

$$(72) \quad G^\Phi(u, A) = \sum_{i=1}^N G^\Phi(u, A \cap A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N G^{\Phi_{\xi^i}}(u, A \cap A_i).$$

Let $\tilde{u}(x) := \langle \tilde{\Phi}(x), x \rangle$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\tilde{u}(x) = u_{\xi^i}(x) = \langle \xi^i, x \rangle \quad \text{a.e. } x \in A \cap A_i$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, N$ and, by locality of F ,

$$(73) \quad F(u + \tilde{u}, A \cap A_i) = F(u + u_{\xi^i}, A \cap A_i).$$

Therefore, by (60), (71), (72), (73) and additivity of F on pairwise disjoint open sets, we get

$$(74) \quad \begin{aligned} G^\Phi(u, A) &= \sum_{i=1}^N G^{\Phi_{\xi^i}}(u, A \cap A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N F(u + u_{\xi^i}, A \cap A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N F(u + \tilde{u}, A \cap A_i) = F(u + \tilde{u}, A) \\ &= \int_A f(x, Xu(x) + \Phi(x)) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in W_X^{1,p}(A)$.

Case 3. Let Φ have the form (70), let $(\tilde{\Phi}_j)_j$ be a sequence of piecewise constant functions converging, as $j \rightarrow \infty$, to $\tilde{\Phi}$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)^n$ and define $\Phi_j(x) := C(x) \tilde{\Phi}_j(x)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Since

$$(75) \quad C \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{mn},$$

then

$$(76) \quad (\Phi_j)_j \text{ strongly converges to } \Phi \text{ in } L^p(\Omega)^m.$$

If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in W_X^{1,p}(A)$ then, by (66),

$$(77) \quad G^{\Phi_j}(u, A) \rightarrow G^\Phi(u, A) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

and, by (74) and Hölder's inequality, it holds that

$$(78) \quad \begin{aligned} &|G^{\Phi_j}(u, A) - \int_A f(x, Xu + \Phi) dx| \\ &\leq \int_A |f(x, Xu + \Phi_j) - f(x, Xu + \Phi)| dx \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \|\Phi_j - \Phi\|_{L^p} (\|Xu\|_{L^p} + \|\Phi_j\|_{L^p} + \|\Phi\|_{L^p} + 1)^{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where α_1 is the positive constant given in (69). Therefore, (65) follows from (76), (77) and (78).

3rd step. Let us finally prove (65) in the general case.

Fix $\Phi \in L^p(\Omega)^m$ and $x \in \Omega_X$ (see Definition (1.1)). Then, in virtue of [MPSC1, Lemma 3.3], there exists $\tilde{\Phi}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$C(x) \tilde{\Phi}(x) = \Phi(x)$$

and $\tilde{\Phi}$ can be represented as

$$(79) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(x) = C(x)^T B(x)^{-1} \Phi(x),$$

where $B(x)$ is the $m \times m$ symmetric invertible matrix defined by

$$B(x) := C(x)C(x)^T.$$

Since $B(x)$ is positive semi-definite for any $x \in \Omega$ and it is positive definite if and only if $x \in \Omega_X$, it holds that

$$(80) \quad |\Omega \setminus \Omega_X| := |\mathcal{N}_X| = 0$$

and

$$\Omega_X = \{x \in \Omega : \det B(x) > 0\}, \quad \mathcal{N}_X = \{x \in \Omega : \det B(x) = 0\}.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$\Omega_\varepsilon := \{x \in \Omega : \det B(x) > \varepsilon\}.$$

Since $B \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{m^2}$, then, by Cramer's rule and by (75) and (79),

$$(81) \quad B^{-1} \in L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)^{m^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi} \in L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)^n.$$

Let $\tilde{\Phi}_\varepsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Phi_\varepsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be, respectively, defined by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\varepsilon(x) := \begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(x) := C(x)\tilde{\Phi}_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \Phi(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon \end{cases}.$$

By (81),

$$(82) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_\varepsilon \in L^p(\Omega)^n$$

and, by (80) and Hölder's inequality, it follows that

$$(83) \quad \Phi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \Phi \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\Omega)^m \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in W_X^{1,p}(A)$ then, by (62), (66), (82), by Hölder's inequality and the second step of the proof, there exists a positive constant c_5 , depending only on c_0, c_1, c_2, a_0, a_1 and p , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |G^\Phi(u, A) - \int_A f(x, Xu + \Phi) dx| \\ & \leq |G^\Phi(u, A) - G^{\Phi_\varepsilon}(u, A)| + \left| G^{\Phi_\varepsilon}(u, A) - \int_A f(x, Xu + \Phi) dx \right| \\ & \leq c_5 \|\Phi_\varepsilon - \Phi\|_{L^p(A)^m} \left(\|Xu\|_{L^p(A)^m} + \|\Phi_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(A)^m} + \|\Phi\|_{L^p(A)^m} + 1 \right)^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

and (65) follows by (83), as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. □

4. CONVERGENCE OF MINIMA, MINIMIZERS AND MOMENTA

4.1. **Convergence of minima and minimizers.** By Theorem 3.5, there exist $F : L^p(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$ such that, up to subsequences,

$$F(\cdot, A) = \Gamma(L^p(\Omega))\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(\cdot, A) \quad \text{for each } A \in \mathcal{A}$$

and F admits the representation (60). If, in addition, the sequence $(F_h(\cdot, A))_h$ is equicoercive in $L^p(\Omega)$ (see [DM, Definition 7.6]) then, by [DM, Theorem 7.8], $F(\cdot, A)$ attains its minimum in $L^p(\Omega)$ and

$$\min_{u \in L^p(\Omega)} F(u, A) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{u \in L^p(\Omega)} F_h(u, A) \quad \text{for each } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let us now study the asymptotic behaviour of minima and minimizers of problems (1). We first need the following preliminary result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition and conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Let $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, let g satisfy (10) and let $F, G : W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functionals defined, respectively, by*

$$F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, Xu(x)) dx \quad \text{and} \quad G(u) := \int_{\Omega} g(x, u(x)) dx.$$

Fixed $\varphi \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, let $\Xi, \Xi^\varphi : W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be, respectively, defined by

$$\Xi := F + G \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi^\varphi := F + G + \mathbb{1}_\varphi.$$

Then, the minimum problems

$$(84) \quad \min_{u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)} \Xi(u)$$

and

$$(85) \quad \min_{u \in W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)} \Xi^\varphi(u)$$

have at least a solution, provided that

$$(86) \quad 0 < d_0 \leq d_1,$$

and

$$(11) \text{ holds,}$$

respectively, where d_0 and d_1 are the constants in (10). If, in addition,

- (i) $g(x, \cdot)$ is strictly convex on \mathbb{R} for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, then both solutions in (84) and (85) are unique;
- (ii) $f(x, \cdot)$ is strictly convex on \mathbb{R}^m and $g(x, \cdot)$ is convex on \mathbb{R} for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, then the solution in (85) is unique.

Moreover,

$$(87) \quad \min_{u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)} \Xi(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathbf{C}^1(\Omega) \cap W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)} \Xi(u).$$

Proof. The proof of (84) and (85) relies on the direct method of the calculus of variations. Indeed, existence and uniqueness of (84) can be proved as in [DM, Theorem 2.6], by replacing $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and K with $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Existence and uniqueness of (85) can be proved as in [DM, Theorem 2.8], by replacing $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $W_\varphi^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, K with $W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and by using Lemma 2.18 instead of [DM, Lemma 2.7].

We conclude by proving (87). Let $(u_h)_h$ be convergent to u in the strong topology of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and assume that, up to subsequences, $(u_h)_h$ and $(Xu_h)_h$ converge, respectively, to u and Xu a.e. in Ω . Then, $(f(\cdot, Xu_h) + g(\cdot, u_h))_h$ converges to $f(\cdot, Xu) + g(\cdot, u)$ a.e. in Ω and, by (5) and (10), there exist $\varphi_h, \varphi \in L^1(\Omega)$, $h \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\varphi_h \rightarrow \varphi \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$|f(x, Xu_h) + g(x, u_h)| \leq \varphi_h(x) \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, by Pratt's theorem [R, Theorem A.10], we can infer that

$$\Xi(u_h) \rightarrow \Xi(u) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since this holds for a subsequence of any subsequence of the original sequence $(u_h)_h$, then the strong continuity of Ξ follows and, since by Theorem 2.7 $C^\infty(\Omega)$ is (strongly) dense in $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we get (87). \square

Remark 4.2. Observe that, arguing as in [DM, Corollary 2.9], also a linear functional $G : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G(u) := \int_\Omega g(x) u(x) dx$, for a given function $g \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$, is allowed in minimization problem (85).

Before proving Theorem 1.2, we need another technical result.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , let $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition and conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Fixed $\varphi \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, let $\Psi^\varphi : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by*

$$(88) \quad \Psi^\varphi(u) := \begin{cases} \int_\Omega |Xu(x)|^p dx & \text{if } u \in W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then, Ψ^φ is coercive and lower semicontinuous in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$.

Proof. Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $(u_h)_h \subset L^p(\Omega)$ be such that

$$\Psi^\varphi(u_h) \leq t \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $(u_h)_h$ is bounded in $W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and, by the reflexivity of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there exists $u \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that, up to subsequences,

$$u_h \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly in } W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

and so

$$u_h - \varphi \rightarrow u - \varphi \quad \text{weakly in } W_X^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Since $u_h - \varphi \in W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, which is closed with respect to the weak convergence of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $u \in W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and, in virtue of Theorem 2.10, up to a further subsequence,

$$u_h \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\Omega).$$

Thus, the set $\{u \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \Psi^\varphi(u) \leq t\}$ is relatively compact in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$, that is, Ψ^φ is coercive.

Let now $(u_h)_h$ be such that

$$(89) \quad u_h \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\Omega)$$

and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \Psi^\varphi(u_h) < \infty.$$

Then, (u_h) is bounded in $W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and, by the reflexivity of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there exists $v \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that, up to subsequences,

$$u_h \rightarrow v \quad \text{weakly in } W_X^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

By (89),

$$u = v \quad \text{in } W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

and, since Ψ^φ is lower semicontinuous in the weak topology of $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (it can be proved as in [DM, Example 2.12]), then

$$\Psi^\varphi(u) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \Psi^\varphi(u_h)$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) By (85), both functionals Ξ_h^φ and Ξ^φ attain their minima in $L^p(\Omega)$ and, by Theorem 3.6,

$$(F_h + \mathbb{1}_\varphi)_h \quad \Gamma\text{-converges to } F + \mathbb{1}_\varphi$$

in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$. Moreover, since G is continuous in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$ (it is readily seen, proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 4.1), then

$$(\Xi_h^\varphi)_h \quad \Gamma\text{-converges to } \Xi^\varphi$$

in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$, in virtue of [DM, Proposition 6.21].

Let Ψ^φ be the functional defined in (88). By (5), (10) and Lemma 2.18, there exist a positive constant k_3 , depending on c_0, d_0, k_1 , and a positive constant k_4 , depending on a_0, b_0, k_2 , such that

$$(90) \quad \Xi_h^\varphi(u) \geq k_3 \Psi^\varphi(u) - k_4 \quad \text{for any } u \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ for any } h \in \mathbb{N},$$

where k_1, k_2 are the constants in Lemma 2.18. Then, by [DM, Proposition 7.7], $(\Xi_h^\varphi)_h$ is equicoercive in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$ and, by [DM, Theorem 7.8], Ξ^φ is also coercive and (13) follows.

(ii) Let $(u_h)_h$ be a sequence of minimizers of $(\Xi_h^\varphi)_h$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $(u_h)_h \subset W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. As in (90),

$$\infty > \Xi_h^\varphi(u_h) \geq k_3 \|u_h\|_{W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - k_4 \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathbb{N},$$

i.e., $(u_h)_h$ is bounded in $W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.3, there exists $\bar{u} \in W_{X,\varphi}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that, up to subsequences, (14) holds. Finally, by [DM, Corollary 7.20], we get (15). \square

4.2. Convergence of momenta. We now deal with the convergence of the momenta associated with functionals $(F_h)_h$. The results contained in this section are inspired by [ADMZ2] and they partially extend those results to integral functionals depending on vector fields.

Let $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, a_0, a_1)$, let $f(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be of class $\mathbf{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and denote by $\nabla_\eta f(x, \eta)$ its gradient at $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$. By Lemma 3.8, there exists a nonnegative constant c_2 , depending only on p and c_1 , such that

$$|\nabla_\eta f(x, \eta)| \leq c_2 (2|\eta| + (a_0(x) + a_1(x))^{1/p})^{p-1} \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Then, functional \mathcal{F} in (17) is of class $\mathbf{C}^1(L^p(\Omega)^m)$ and its Gateaux derivative $\partial_\Phi \mathcal{F} : L^p(\Omega)^m \rightarrow L^{p'}(\Omega)^m$ is given by

$$(91) \quad \partial_\Phi \mathcal{F}(\Phi)(x) = \nabla_\eta f(x, \Phi(x)) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

We are now in position to prove Theorem 1.3. The proof relies on a technique given in [DMFT, Lemma 4.11] and [ADMZ2, Theorem 4.5].

Proof of Theorem 1.3. To conclude, it is sufficient to show that

$$(92) \quad \langle \partial_\Phi \mathcal{F}(Xu), \Psi \rangle_{L^{p'} \times L^p} \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \partial_\Phi \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h), \Psi \rangle_{L^{p'} \times L^p}$$

for every $\Psi \in L^p(\Omega)^m$.

By (iv), there exist $(u_h)_h$ converging to u in $L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$(93) \quad \mathcal{F}(Xu) = F(u) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h).$$

If $\Phi \in L^p(\Omega)^m$, then, by Theorem 3.7 and [DM, Proposition 8.1],

$$(94) \quad \mathcal{F}(Xu + \Phi) = G^\Phi(u) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} G_h^\Phi(u_h) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h + \Phi).$$

Let $\Psi \in L^p(\Omega)^m$ and $(t_j)_j$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $t_j \Psi \in L^p(\Omega)^m$ and, by (93) and (94)

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}(Xu + t_j \Psi) - \mathcal{F}(Xu)}{t_j} \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h + t_j \Psi) - \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h)}{t_j}$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, there exists an increasing sequence of integers h_j such that

$$(95) \quad \frac{\mathcal{F}(Xu + t_j \Psi) - \mathcal{F}(Xu)}{t_j} - \frac{1}{j} \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h + t_j \Psi) - \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h)}{t_j}$$

for every $h \geq h_j$. If $\varepsilon_h := t_j$ for $h_j \leq h < h_{j+1}$ then, by (95),

$$(96) \quad \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{F}(Xu + \varepsilon_h \Psi) - \mathcal{F}(Xu)}{\varepsilon_h} \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h + \varepsilon_h \Psi) - \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h)}{\varepsilon_h}.$$

By (ii) and (iii), both \mathcal{F}_h and \mathcal{F} are of class $\mathbf{C}^1(L^p(\Omega)^m)$. Therefore,

$$(97) \quad \langle \partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F}(Xu), \Psi \rangle_{L^{p'} \times L^p} = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{F}(Xu + \varepsilon_h \Psi) - \mathcal{F}(Xu)}{\varepsilon_h}$$

and, by mean value theorem, there exists $\tau_h \in (0, \varepsilon_h)$ such that

$$(98) \quad \frac{\mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h + \varepsilon_h \Psi) - \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h)}{\varepsilon_h} = \langle \partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h + \tau_h \Psi), \Psi \rangle_{L^{p'} \times L^p}.$$

Finally, by (i) and [ADMZ2, Lemma 4.4], with $H_h = \nabla_{\eta} f_h$, $\Phi_h = Xu_h$, $\Psi_h = \tau_h \Psi$ and $\Phi \equiv 1$, it holds that

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h + \tau_h \Psi), \Psi \rangle_{L^{p'} \times L^p} = \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h), \Psi \rangle_{L^{p'} \times L^p}$$

and (92) follows from (96), (97) and (98). \square

Remark 4.4. We are aware that assumption (iii) in Theorem 1.3, on integrand function $f(x, \cdot)$ to be $\mathbf{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$, is quite strong. Since the techniques exploited in the Euclidean setting do not seem to work in our framework, we do not know whether assumption (ii) actually implies it (see [GP, Proposition 3.5] and [ADMZ2, Theorem 2.8]). However, we will be able to prove this result in two relevant cases: the periodic homogenization in Carnot groups and the case of quadratic forms (see sections 5 and 6 below).

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Let $(u_h)_h$ be a sequence of minimizers of $(\Xi_h^{\varphi})_h$. By Theorem 1.2, there exists a minimizer u of Ξ^{φ} such that, up to subsequences,

$$u_h \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and strongly in } L^p(\Omega)$$

and

$$(99) \quad \Xi_h^{\varphi}(u_h) \rightarrow \Xi^{\varphi}(u).$$

Since G is continuous in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$, then

$$G(u_h) \rightarrow G(u)$$

and, by (99),

$$(100) \quad \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h) = F_h(u_h) \rightarrow F(u) = \mathcal{F}(Xu).$$

Then, the thesis follows by Theorem 1.3. \square

5. CONVERGENCE OF MINIMIZERS AND MOMENTA IN THE CASE OF HOMOGENIZATION IN CARNOT GROUPS

We are going to study the convergence of momenta for a Γ -convergent sequence of functionals in the case of the periodic *homogenization* in Carnot groups. The asymptotic behaviour for the periodic homogenization of sequences of functionals and differential operators in Carnot groups has been the object of an intensive study in the last two decades. Here, for the sake of simplicity, we will restrict to the case of periodic homogenization in the setting of Heisenberg groups, which turn out to be the simplest Carnot groups.

Let us recall that the s -dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^s := (\mathbb{R}^n, \cdot)$, with $n = 2s + 1 = m + 1$ and $s \geq 1$ integer, is a Lie group with respect to the group law

$$x \cdot y := (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_m + y_m, x_{m+1} + y_{m+1} + \omega(x, y))$$

where

$$\omega(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^s (x_i y_{s+i} - y_i x_{s+i})$$

if $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{m+1})$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{m+1})$ are in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, \mathbb{H}^s can be equipped with a one-parameter family of *intrinsic dilations* $\delta_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($\lambda > 0$), defined as

$$\delta_\lambda(x) := (\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_m, \lambda^2 x_{m+1}) \text{ if } x = (x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}),$$

which are also automorphisms of the group. A standard basis of the Lie algebra associated to \mathbb{H}^s is given by the following family of n left-invariant vector fields

$$X_j := \begin{cases} \partial_j - \frac{x_{s+j}}{2} \partial_n & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq s \\ \partial_j + \frac{x_{j-s}}{2} \partial_n & \text{if } s+1 \leq j \leq m = 2s \\ \partial_n & \text{if } j = n = m+1 \end{cases} .$$

Notice that the only nontrivial commutations among vector fields X_j 's are given by

$$(101) \quad [X_j, X_{s+j}] = X_n \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, s .$$

The family of vector fields

$$(102) \quad X := (X_1, \dots, X_m)$$

is called *horizontal gradient* of the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^s . It is immediate to see that X satisfies (LIC) condition and, by (101), Hörmander condition. Thus, by Remark 2.8 (i), the horizontal gradient X satisfies assumptions (H1), (H2) and (H3).

Since \mathbb{H}^s is a homogeneous Lie group, it is possible to introduce two natural notions of intrinsic periodicity and linearity, with respect to its algebraic structure (see [DDMM, Definition 2.3]).

Definition 5.1. A function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *H-periodic* whenever

$$(103) \quad g(2k \cdot x) = g(x) \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Moreover, a function $l : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *H-linear* if

$$l(x \cdot y) = l(x) + l(y) \quad \text{and} \quad l(\delta_\lambda(x)) = \lambda l(x)$$

for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$.

It is well-known that each *H-linear* function $l : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be represented by a unique $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ in such a way

$$(104) \quad l(x) = l_\eta(x) := \langle \eta, \pi_m(x) \rangle$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\pi_m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ denote, respectively, the scalar product on \mathbb{R}^m and the projection map

$$\pi_m(x) := (x_1, \dots, x_m) \quad \text{if } x = (x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Definition 5.2. A function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *H-affine* if there exist $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$u(x) = l_\eta(x) + a \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ denote the horizontal gradient (102) and fix $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$. One can prove that, for any *H-affine* function u

$$(105) \quad Xu(x) = \eta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad u(x) = l_\eta(x) + a$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (see e.g. [DDMM, Lemma 3.1]).

Let us recall a Γ -convergence result for the periodic homogenization of a sequence of integral functionals in \mathbb{H}^s .

Theorem 5.3 ([DDMM, Theorem 5.2]). *Let \mathcal{A}_0 be the class of all open bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in I_{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n, c_0, c_1, 0, 1)$ satisfy*

$$(106) \quad f(\cdot, \eta) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is } H\text{-periodic for every } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Let $F_\varepsilon : L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be the local functional defined as

$$(107) \quad F_\varepsilon(u, A) := \begin{cases} \int_A f(\delta_{1/\varepsilon}(x), Xu(x)) dx & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{A}_0, u \in W_X^{1,p}(A) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then, there exist a local functional $F_0 : L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and a convex function $f_0 : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, not depending on x and satisfying

$$c_0|\eta|^p \leq f_0(\eta) \leq c_1|\eta|^p + 1 \quad \text{for any } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

such that, up to subsequences,

$$(108) \quad F_0(\cdot, A) = \Gamma(L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n))\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_{\varepsilon_h}(\cdot, A) \text{ for each } A \in \mathcal{A}_0$$

for any infinitesimal sequence $(\varepsilon_h)_h$, and F_0 can be represented as

$$(109) \quad F_0(u, A) := \begin{cases} \int_A f_0(Xu(x)) dx & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{A}_0, u \in W_X^{1,p}(A) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We are going to prove the following regularity result for the integrand function f_0 which represents the Γ -limit. It is an extension to functionals depending on vector fields of [GP, Proposition 3.5], when $X = D$, and of [ADMZ2, Theorem 2.8], which applies to a more general setting.

Proposition 5.4. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.3, suppose that*

$$(110) \quad \mathbb{R}^m \ni \eta \mapsto f(x, \eta) \text{ belongs to } \mathbf{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

and, fixed $0 \leq \alpha \leq \min\{1, p-1\}$, that there exists a positive constant \bar{c} such that

$$(111) \quad |\nabla_\eta f(x, \eta_1) - \nabla_\eta f(x, \eta_2)| \leq \bar{c} |\eta_1 - \eta_2|^\alpha (|\eta_1| + |\eta_2| + 1)^{p-1-\alpha}$$

a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for any $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, $f_0 \in \mathbf{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Proof. We follow the same strategy of [GP, Proposition 3.5].

Let $f_h : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function

$$(112) \quad f_h(y, \eta) := f(\delta_{1/\varepsilon_h}(y), \eta) \quad \text{for any } y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m, h \in \mathbb{N}$$

and denote B_1 the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n centered at 0. Fixed $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$, let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the H -affine function (104), that is,

$$u(y) = l_\eta(y) \quad \text{for any } y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If $(u_h)_h$ is a recovery sequence for u , then, by (108) and (109),

$$(113) \quad u_h \rightarrow l_\eta \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(B_1)$$

and

$$(114) \quad F_0(l_\eta, B_1) = f_0(\eta) |B_1| = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, B_1).$$

By (114) and (I₃), $(Xu_h)_h$ is bounded in $L^p(B_1)^m$ and, by (62),

$$|\nabla_\eta f_h(y, Xu_h(y))| \leq c_2 (2|Xu_h(y)| + 1)^{p-1}$$

for each $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and for a.e. $y \in B_1$. Then, $(\nabla_\eta f_h(\cdot, Xu_h))_h$ is bounded in $L^{p'}(B_1)^m$ and there exists $\psi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that, up to subsequences,

$$(115) \quad \frac{1}{|B_1|} \int_{B_1} \nabla_\eta f_h(y, Xu_h(y)) dy \rightarrow \psi.$$

Let $(t_j)_j$ be a decreasing infinitesimal sequence. By the convexity of f_h in the second variable,

$$(116) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B_1} f_h(y, Xu_h(y) + t_j \zeta) - f_h(y, Xu_h(y)) dy \\ & \leq t_j \int_{B_1} \langle \nabla_\eta f_h(y, Xu_h(y) + t_j \zeta), \zeta \rangle dy \end{aligned}$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

By the Γ -lim inf inequality and (114), we can find $h_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{f_0(\eta + t_j \zeta) - f_0(\eta)}{t_j} - \frac{1}{j} \leq \frac{1}{|B_1|} \int_{B_1} \langle \nabla_\eta f_{h_j}(y, Xu_{h_j}(y) + t_j \zeta), \zeta \rangle dy$$

and so

$$(117) \quad \begin{aligned} & \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_0(\eta + t_j \zeta) - f_0(\eta)}{t_j} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|B_1|} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1} \langle \nabla_\eta f_{h_j}(y, Xu_{h_j}(y) + t_j \zeta), \zeta \rangle dy. \end{aligned}$$

By (111), (115) and [ADMZ2, Lemma 4.4], with $H_j := \nabla_\eta f_{h_j}$, $\Phi_j := Xu_{h_j}$, $\Psi_j := t_j \zeta$ and $\Phi \equiv 1$, we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1} \langle \nabla_\eta f_{h_j}(y, Xu_{h_j}(y) + t_j \zeta), \zeta \rangle dy \\ & = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1} \langle \nabla_\eta f_{h_j}(y, Xu_{h_j}(y)), \zeta \rangle dy = \langle \psi, \zeta \rangle |B_1|. \end{aligned}$$

By (117), for every subgradient v of the convex function f_0 at η

$$\langle v, \zeta \rangle \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_0(\eta + t_j \zeta) - f_0(\eta)}{t_j} \leq \langle \psi, \zeta \rangle \quad \text{for each } \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Then, $v = \psi$ and there is a unique subgradient of f_0 at η . Therefore, f_0 is differentiable at η for each $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$, by [Ro, Theorem 25.1]. On the other hand, by [Ro, Corollary 25.5.1], any finite convex differentiable function on an open convex set must be of class \mathbf{C}^1 . \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded and connected open set, let $1 < p < \infty$ and let X be the horizontal gradient defined in (102). Let $f \in I_{m,p}(\Omega, c_0, c_1, 0, 1)$ satisfy (106), (110) and (111), let f_h be as in (112), let g satisfy (10) and (11), let G be the functional in (3) and, with a little abuse of notation, denote*

$$F_{\varepsilon_h}(u) := F_{\varepsilon_h}(u, \Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad F_0(u) := F_0(u, \Omega) \quad \text{for any } u \in L^p(\Omega),$$

where F_{ε_h} and F_0 are, respectively, as in (107) and (109) such that (108) holds for each infinitesimal sequence $(\varepsilon_h)_h$. Fixed $\varphi \in W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$, consider functionals $\Xi_h^\varphi, \Xi_0^\varphi : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, defined by

$$\Xi_h^\varphi := F_{\varepsilon_h} + G + \mathbb{1}_\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_0^\varphi := F_0 + G + \mathbb{1}_\varphi.$$

If $(u_h)_h \subset L^p(\Omega)$ is a sequence of minimizers of $(\Xi_h^\varphi)_h$, then there exists a minimum u of Ξ_0^φ such that, up to subsequences,

$$u_h \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and strongly in } L^p(\Omega).$$

Moreover, the convergence of momenta also holds, that is,

$$(118) \quad \nabla_\eta f_h(\cdot, Xu_h(\cdot)) \rightarrow \nabla_\eta f_0(Xu(\cdot))$$

weakly in $L^{p'}(\Omega)^m$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. In virtue of Remark 2.8 (i), by choosing $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{R}^n$, the horizontal gradient X satisfies (H1), (H2) and (H3) as well as (LIC) condition. By (108), $(F_{\varepsilon_h})_h$ Γ -converges to F_0 in the strong topology of $L^p(\Omega)$. Therefore, by Proposition 5.4, we can apply Corollary 1.4 and we get the desired conclusions. \square

6. H -CONVERGENCE FOR LINEAR OPERATORS IN X -DIVERGENCE FORM

Throughout this section $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ denotes a family of Lipschitz continuous vector fields on an open neighborhood Ω_0 of Ω , open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, we denote by $H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)$ the space $W_{X,0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and by $H_X^{-1}(\Omega)$ its dual space. Since $H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)$ turns out to be a Hilbert space, in a standard way, then we can construct the triplet

$$H_{X,0}^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega) \subset H_X^{-1}(\Omega),$$

with the space $L^2(\Omega)$ as *pivot space*.

Let $a(x) := [a_{ij}(x)]$ be a $m \times m$ symmetric matrix, with $a_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and assume the existence of $c_0 \leq c_1$, positive constants, such that

$$(119) \quad c_0 |\eta|^2 \leq \langle a(x)\eta, \eta \rangle \leq c_1 |\eta|^2 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and for any } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

As a consequence of Corollary 1.4, we can infer a H -compactness result for the class of linear partial differential operators in X -divergence form

$$(120) \quad \mathcal{L} = \operatorname{div}_X(a(x)X) := \sum_{j,i=1}^m X_j^T(a_{ij}(x)X_i),$$

whose domain $D(\mathcal{L})$ is the set of functions $u \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that the distribution defined by the right hand side belongs to $L^2(\Omega)$. Here, $X_j^T := -(\operatorname{div}(X_j) + X_j)$ denotes the (formal) adjoint of X_j in $L^2(\Omega)$, as in (19). In according with [DM, Chapter 13], we denote this class of operators as $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) := \mathcal{E}(\Omega, c_0, c_1)$.

Remark 6.1. For each $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and for each $\mu \geq 0$ the linear operator

$$\mu \operatorname{Id} + \mathcal{L} : H_{X,0}^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_X^{-1}(\Omega)$$

is coercive and then it is an isomorphism. Moreover, it is well-known that, fixed $g \in L^2(\Omega)$, $u = (\mu \operatorname{Id} + \mathcal{L})^{-1}g$ is the (unique) solution to

$$\begin{cases} \mu v + \mathcal{L}(v) = g \text{ in } \Omega \\ v \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

and the (unique) minimizer of $F + G : H_{X,0}^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where

$$F(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle a(x)Xv(x), Xv(x) \rangle dx, \quad G(v) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} v^2 - gv \right) dx,$$

if $v \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)$.

We are going to prove the following H -compactness result for operators belonging to $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let $1 < p < \infty$ and let X satisfy (LIC) condition and conditions (H1), (H2), (H3). Let $\mathcal{L}_h \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and let $a^h(x) = [a_{ij}^h(x)]$ be the associated matrix, in accordance with (120). Then, there exist a symmetric matrix $a = [a_{ij}(x)]$, satisfying (119), and an operator $\mathcal{L}_\infty := \operatorname{div}_X(a(x)X) \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ such that, for any $g \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\mu \geq 0$ and $h \in \mathbb{N}$, if u_h and u_∞ denote, respectively, the (unique) solutions to*

$$\begin{cases} \mu u + \mathcal{L}_h(u) = g & \text{in } \Omega \\ u \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \mu u + \mathcal{L}_\infty(u) = g & \text{in } \Omega \\ u \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

then, up to subsequences, the following convergences hold:

$$(121) \quad u_h \rightarrow u_\infty \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega) \quad (\text{convergence of solutions})$$

and

$$a^h X u_h \rightarrow a X u_\infty \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega)^m \quad (\text{convergence of momenta}).$$

Proof. 1st step. Let us prove that, up to a subsequence, there exists an operator $\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{div}_X(a(x)X) \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ for which (121) holds.

Let $(a^h)_h$ be the sequence of matrices associated to $(\mathcal{L}_h)_h$ and let $F_h : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be the quadratic functional defined by

$$F_h(u) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \langle a^h(x) X u(x), X u(x) \rangle dx & \text{if } u \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

By [MPSC1, Theorem 4.20], there exist $F : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and a symmetric matrix $a = [a_{ij}(x)]$, satisfying (119), such that, up to subsequences, $(F_h)_h$ Γ -converges in the strong topology of $L^2(\Omega)$ to F and F can be represented as

$$F(u) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \langle a(x) X u(x), X u(x) \rangle dx & \text{if } u \in W_X^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Let \mathcal{L}_∞ be the elliptic operator associated with a on $L^2(\Omega)$, as in (120). It is easy to see that \mathcal{L}_∞ is the operator associated, in the sense of [DM, Definition 12.8], to functional $F^0 : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$F^0(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \langle a(x) X u(x), X u(x) \rangle dx & \text{if } u \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Let us consider $F_h^0 : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$F_h^0(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \langle a^h(x) X u(x), X u(x) \rangle dx & \text{if } u \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

whose associated operators are the $(\mathcal{L}_h)_h$. By Theorem 3.6, with $\varphi = 0$ and $A = \Omega$, it holds that

$$(122) \quad (F_h^0)_h \text{ } \Gamma\text{-converges to } F^0 \text{ in the strong topology of } L^2(\Omega).$$

Fix $\mu \geq 0$ and $g \in L^2(\Omega)$, and denote by $G : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the functional

$$G(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} u^2 - gu \right) dx.$$

Since G is (strongly) continuous in $L^2(\Omega)$, then, by (122) and in virtue of [DM, Proposition 6.21],

$$(123) \quad (F_h^0 + G)_h \text{ } \Gamma\text{-converges to } F^0 + G \text{ in the strong topology of } L^2(\Omega).$$

By Remark 6.1, u_h and u turn out to be the unique minimizers of $F_h + G$ and $F + G$, respectively. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2, we get (121) and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (F_h^0(u_h) + G(u_h)) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \min_{u \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)} (F_h^0(u) + G(u)) \\ &= \min_{u \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)} (F^0(u) + G(u)) = F^0(u_\infty) + G(u_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

2nd step. For a.e. $x \in \Omega$ for any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$f_h(x, \eta) := \langle a^h(x)\eta, \eta \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad f(x, \eta) := \langle a(x)\eta, \eta \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that f_h and f satisfy assumptions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1.3. Moreover,

$$\partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F}_h(Xu_h) = a_h Xu_h \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F}(Xu) = a Xu.$$

Therefore, by the first step of the proof and by Corollary 1.4, we get the convergence of momenta. \square

REFERENCES

- [APS] L. AMBROSIO, A. PINAMONTI, G. SPEIGHT, *Weighted Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **746** (2019), 39–65.
- [ADMZ2] N. ANSINI, G. DAL MASO, C.I. ZEPPIERI, *New results on Γ -limits of integral functionals*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **31** (2014), no. 1, 185–202.
- [AHM] O. ANZA HAFSA, J.-P. MANDALLENA, *Γ -convergence of nonconvex integrals in Cheeger-Sobolev spaces and homogenization*, Adv. Calc. Var., **10** (2017), no. 4, 381–405.
- [BFT] A. BALDI, B. FRANCHI, M.C. TESI, *Compensated compactness, div-curl theorem and H -convergence in general Heisenberg groups*, Subelliptic PDE's and applications to geometry and finance, Lect. Notes Semin. Interdiscip. Mat. **6**, 33–47, 2007.
- [BFTT] A. BALDI, B. FRANCHI, N. TCHOU, M.C. TESI, *Compensated compactness for differential forms in Carnot groups and applications*, Adv. Math., **223** (2010), no. 5, 1555–1607.
- [BMT] M. BIROLI, U. MOSCO, N. TCHOU, *Homogenization for degenerate operators with periodical coefficients with respect to the Heisenberg group*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **322** (1996), no. 5, 439–444.
- [BPT1] M. BIROLI, C. PICARD, N. TCHOU, *Homogenization of the p -Laplacian associated with the Heisenberg group*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. Appl. (5), **22** (1998), 23–42.

- [BPT2] M. BIROLI, C. PICARD, N. TCHOU, *Asymptotic behavior of some nonlinear subelliptic relaxed Dirichlet problems*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. Appl. (5), **26** (2002), 55–113.
- [BT] M. BIROLI, N. TCHOU, *Γ -convergence for strongly local Dirichlet forms in perforated domains with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl., **17** (2007), no. 1, 149–179.
- [Cla] F. CLARKE, *Functional analysis, calculus of variations and optimal control*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **264**, Springer, London, 2013.
- [Da] B. DACOROGNA, *Direct methods in the calculus of variations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences **78**, second ed., Springer, New York, 2008.
- [DM] G. DAL MASO, *An introduction to Γ -convergence*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications **8**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [DMFT] G. DAL MASO, G.A. FRANCFORT, R. TOADER, *Quasistatic crack growth in nonlinear elasticity*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **176** (2005), no. 2, 165–225.
- [DDMM] N. DIRR, F. DRAGONI, P. MANNUCCI, C. MARCHI, *Γ -convergence and homogenisation for a class of degenerate functionals*, Nonlinear Anal., **190** (2020), 111618, 25 pp.
- [FS] G.B. FOLLAND, E.M. STEIN, *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Mathematical Notes **28**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1982.
- [F2] B. FRANCHI, *Inégalités de Sobolev pour des champs de vecteurs lipschitziens*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **311** (1990), no. 6, 329–332.
- [F1] B. FRANCHI, *Weighted Sobolev-Poincaré Weighted Sobolev-Poincaré inequalities and pointwise estimates for a class of degenerate elliptic equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **327** (1991), no. 1, 125–158.
- [FGVN] B. FRANCHI, C.E. GUITIÉRREZ, T. VAN NGUYEN, *Homogenization and convergence of correctors in Carnot groups*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **30** (2005), no. 10–12, 1817–1841.
- [FGW] B. FRANCHI, C.E. GUITIÉRREZ, R. WHEEDEN, *Weighted Sobolev-Poincaré inequalities for Grushin type operators*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **19** (1994), no. 3–4, 523–604.
- [FL] B. FRANCHI, E. LANCONELLI, *Hölder regularity theorem for a class of linear nonuniformly elliptic operators with measurable coefficients*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), **10** (1983), no. 4, 523–541.
- [FLW] B. FRANCHI, G. LU, R.L. WHEEDEN, *Representation formulas and weighted Poincaré inequalities for Hörmander vector fields*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **45** (1995), no. 2, 577–604.
- [FPS] B. FRANCHI, V. PENSO, R. SERAPIONI, *Remarks on Lipschitz domains in Carnot groups*, Geometric control theory and sub-Riemannian geometry, Springer INdAM Ser., **5** (2014), 153–166.
- [FSSC1] B. FRANCHI, R. SERAPIONI, F. SERRA CASSANO, *Meyers-Serrin type theorems and relaxation of variational integrals depending on vector fields*, Houston J. Math., **22** (1996), no. 4, 859–890.
- [FSSC2] B. FRANCHI, R. SERAPIONI, F. SERRA CASSANO, *Approximation and imbedding theorems for weighted Sobolev spaces associated with Lipschitz continuous vector fields*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7), **11** (1997), no. 1, 83–117.
- [FTT] B. FRANCHI, N. TCHOU, M.C. TESI, *Div-curl type theorem, H -convergence and Stokes formula in the Heisenberg group*, Commun. Contemp. Math., **8** (2006), no. 1, 67–99.
- [FT] B. FRANCHI, M.C. TESI, *Two-scale homogenization in the Heisenberg group*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), **81** (2002), no. 6, 495–532.

- [Fu] M. FUKUSHIMA, Y. OSHIMA, M. TAKEDA, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **19**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011
- [GN1] N.GAROFALO, D.-M. NHIEU, *Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **49** (1996), no. 10, 1081–1144.
- [GN2] N.GAROFALO, D.-M. NHIEU, *Lipschitz continuity, global smooth approximations and extension theorems for Sobolev functions in Carnot-Carathéodory spaces*, J. Anal. Math., **74** (1998), 67–97.
- [GP] A. GIACOMINI, M. PONSIGLIONE, *A Γ -convergence approach to stability of unilateral minimality properties in fracture mechanics and applications*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **180** (2006), no. 3, 399–447.
- [MR] Z.M. MA, M. RÖCKNER, *Introduction to the theory of (nonsymmetric) Dirichlet forms*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [Ma] A. MAIONE, *Variational convergences for functionals and differential operators depending on vector fields*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Trento, (2020).
- [Ma2] A. MAIONE, *H-convergence for equations depending on monotone operators in Carnot groups*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **2021** (2021), no. 13, 1–13.
- [MPSC1] A. MAIONE, A. PINAMONTI AND F. SERRA CASSANO, *Γ -convergence for functionals depending on vector fields. I. Integral representation and compactness*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), **139** (2020), 109–142.
- [MV] A. MAIONE, E. VECCHI, *Integral representation of local left-invariant functionals in Carnot groups*, Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces, **8** (2020), no. 1, 1–14.
- [MS] N.G. MEYERS, J. SERRIN, *$H = W$* , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **51** (1964), 1055–1056.
- [Mon] R. MONTI, *Distances, boundaries and surface measures in Carnot-Carathéodory spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Trento, (2001).
- [Mo] U. MOSCO, *Composite media and asymptotic Dirichlet forms*, J. Funct. Anal., **123** (1993), no. 2, 368–421.
- [Mu] F. MURAT, *H-convergence*, Séminaire d’analyse fonctionnelle et numérique, Université d’Alger, 1977-78. English translation F. MURAT, L. TARTAR, *H-convergence. Topics in the mathematical modelling of composite materials*, 21–43, Prog. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl **31**, Birkhäuser, Boston, Boston, MA, 1997.
- [NSW] A. NAGEL, E.M. STEIN, S. WAINGER, *Balls and metrics defined by vector fields I: basic properties*, Acta Math. **155** (1985), no. 1-2, 103-147.
- [R] F. RINDLER, *Calculus of variations*, Universitext, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [Ro] R.T. ROCKAFELLAR, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 28, 1970.

ALBERTO MAIONE: ABTEILUNG FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, HERMANN-HERDER-STRASSE 10, 79104 FREIBURG I. BR. - GERMANY,

Email address: `alberto.maione@mathematik.uni-freiburg.de`

ANDREA PINAMONTI: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TRENTO, VIA SOMMARIVE 14, 38123, POVO (TRENTO) - ITALY,

Email address: `andrea.pinamonti@unitn.it`

FRANCESCO SERRA CASSANO: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TRENTO, VIA SOMMARIVE 14, 38123, POVO (TRENTO) - ITALY,

Email address: `francesco.serracassano@unitn.it`