

# A Remark on the Compactness for the Cahn-Hilliard Functional

Giovanni Leoni  
Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
Carnegie Mellon University,  
Pittsburgh, PA, 15213

November 2, 2013

## Abstract

In this note we prove compactness for the Cahn-Hilliard functional without assuming coercivity of the multi-well potential.

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this note is to prove compactness for the Cahn-Hilliard functional (see [5], [8], [9]) without assuming coercivity of the multi-well potential  $W$ . Precisely, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  consider the functional

$$F_\varepsilon : W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

defined by

$$F_\varepsilon(u) := \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(u) + \varepsilon |\nabla u|^2 \right) dx,$$

where  $d \geq 1$  and the potential  $W$  satisfies the following hypotheses:

( $H_1$ )  $W : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,  $W(z) = 0$  if and only if  $z \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$  for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ , with  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

( $H_2$ ) There exists  $L > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{|z| \geq L} W(z) > 0.$$

Then the following result holds.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , be an open bounded connected set with Lipschitz boundary. Assume that the multi-well potential  $W$  satisfies conditions ( $H_1$ ) and ( $H_2$ ). Let  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$  and let  $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that*

$$M := \sup_n F_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) < \infty \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_n(x) dx = m \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.2)$$

and for some  $m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then there exist  $u \in BV(\Omega; \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\})$  and a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  such that

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

For a two-well potential ( $\ell = 2$ ), Theorem 1.1 has been proved in the scalar case  $d = 1$  by Modica [8] under the assumption

$$\frac{1}{C} |z|^p \leq W(z) \leq C |z|^p$$

for all  $|z|$  large and for some  $p > 2$ , and by Sternberg [9] for  $p \geq 2$ ; while in the vectorial case  $d \geq 2$ , it has been proved by Fonseca and Tartar [4] under the assumption

$$\frac{1}{C} |z| \leq W(z)$$

for all  $|z|$  large. The case of a multi-well potential  $\ell \geq 3$  has been studied by Baldo (see Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 in [2]), who proved compactness of a sequence of minimizers bounded in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

An example of a double-well potential satisfying  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  but not coercive is

$$W(z) = \arctan \left[ (z - \alpha)^2 (z - \beta)^2 \right],$$

while an example of a potential satisfying  $(H_1)$  but not  $(H_2)$  is

$$W(z) = (z - \alpha)^2 (z - \beta)^2 e^{-|z|^2}.$$

In the one dimensional case  $N = 1$ , the hypothesis (1.2) is not needed. Indeed, we have the following elementary result.

**Theorem 1.2** *Assume that the multi-well potential  $W$  satisfies conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$  and let  $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,2}((a, b); \mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that (1.1) holds. Then there exist  $u \in BV((a, b); \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\})$  and a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  such that*

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1((a, b); \mathbb{R}^d).$$

On the other hand, when (1.2) holds, then condition  $(H_2)$  can be weakened to:

$$(H_3) \quad \int_0^\infty \sqrt{V(s)} ds = \infty, \text{ where for every } s \geq 0,$$

$$V(s) := \min_{|z|=s} W(z). \quad (1.3)$$

Note that  $(H_2)$  implies that  $\sqrt{V(s)} \geq \inf_{|z| \geq L} \sqrt{W(z)} > 0$  for all  $s \geq L$ , and so  $(H_3)$  is satisfied. On the other hand, if

$$W(z) \sim \frac{c}{|z|^q}$$

as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $c > 0$  and  $0 < q \leq 2$ , then  $(H_3)$  holds but not  $(H_1)$ .

**Theorem 1.3** *Assume that the multi-well potential  $W$  satisfies conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_3)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$  and let  $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,2}((a, b); \mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that (1.1) and (1.2) hold. Then there exist  $u \in BV((a, b); \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\})$  and a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  and such that*

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1((a, b); \mathbb{R}^d).$$

The next simple example shows that compactness fails without (1.2) or  $(H_2)$ .

**Example 1.4** *If condition  $(H_2)$  does not hold, then there exists  $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $|z_n| \rightarrow \infty$  and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W(z_n) = 0.$$

*Find a sequence  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} W(z_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

*(e.g.  $\varepsilon_n := \sqrt{W(z_n)}$ ) and consider the sequence of functions  $u_n(x) := z_n$ . Then*

$$F_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} W(z_n) (b - a) \rightarrow 0$$

*but no subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  converge in  $L^1((a, b))$ .*

**Remark 1.5** *I have not been able to determine if Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 hold in dimension  $N \geq 2$  or if  $(H_3)$  is needed in Theorem 1.3.*

## 2 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will make use of the following auxiliary results. For a proof of the following theorem see, e.g., Proposition 16.21 in [6].

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $u \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . Then*

$$\sup_{s>0} s [\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| \geq s\})]^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_N^{1/N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)| dx.$$

For a proof of the next theorem, see Lemma 2.6 in [1].

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $A, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be open sets and let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Assume that  $A$  is bounded and that  $\Omega$  is connected and has Lipschitz boundary at each point of  $\partial\Omega \cap \bar{A}$ . Then there exists a linear and continuous operator  $T : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(A)$  such that, for every  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} T(u)(x) &= u(x) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^N \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \cap A, \\ \int_A |T(u)(x)|^p dx &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx, \\ \int_A |\nabla T(u)(x)|^p dx &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C > 0$  depends only on  $N, p, A$ , and  $\Omega$ .

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In view of (1.1) and  $(H_2)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{W(u_n(x))} |\nabla u_n(x)| dx \\ &\geq c \int_{\{|u_n| \geq L\}} |\nabla u_n(x)| dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $c := \frac{1}{2} \inf_{|z| \geq L} \sqrt{W(z)} > 0$ . Construct a  $C^1$  function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $f(z) = z$  if  $|z| \geq 2L$  and  $f(z) = 0$  if  $|z| < L$ . By the chain rule, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the function  $v_n := f \circ u_n$  belongs to  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  and for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and for  $\mathcal{L}^N$ -a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,

$$\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x_i}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^{(j)}}(u_n(x)) \frac{\partial (u_n)^{(j)}}{\partial x_i}(x),$$

where we write  $z = (z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(d)})$ . Since  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z^{(j)}}(z) = 0$  if  $|z| < L$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n(x)| dx &= \int_{\{|u_n| \geq L\}} |\nabla v_n(x)| dx \\ &\leq \text{Lip } f \int_{\{|u_n| \geq L\}} |\nabla u_n(x)| dx \leq c^{-1} M \text{Lip } f. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $r > 0$  be so large that  $\bar{\Omega} \subset B(0, r)$  and set  $A := B(0, 2r)$ . By Theorem 2.2 we may extend each function  $v_n$  to a function in  $W^{1,1}(A; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , still denoted  $v_n$ , in such a way that

$$\int_A |v_n(x)| dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |v_n(x)| dx, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\int_A |\nabla v_n(x)| dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n(x)| dx \leq C c^{-1} M \text{Lip } f, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $r$ ,  $N$ , and  $\Omega$ . By the Poincaré inequality,

$$\int_A |v_n(x) - c_n| dx \leq C \int_A |\nabla v_n(x)| dx, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $c_n := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega v_n(x) dx$  and again  $C$  depends only on  $r$ ,  $N$ , and  $\Omega$ . Note that, since  $f(z) = z$  if  $|z| \geq 2L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_n| &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left| \int_\Omega f \circ u_n dx \right| = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left| \int_{\{|u_n| > 2L\}} u_n dx + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq 2L\}} f \circ u_n dx \right| \\ &= \left| m + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\{|u_n| \leq 2L\}} (f \circ u_n - u_n) dx \right| \leq |m| + 4L. \end{aligned}$$

Consider a cut-off function  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(A; [0, 1])$  such that  $\varphi = 1$  in  $B(0, r)$  and define

$$w_n := \varphi(v_n - c_n).$$

Then  $w_n \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_n(x)| dx &\leq \text{Lip } \varphi \int_A |v_n - c_n| dx + \int_A |\nabla v_n(x)| dx \quad (2.6) \\ &\leq (C \text{Lip } \varphi + 1) \int_A |\nabla v_n(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 to  $|w_n|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s>0} s [\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |w_n|(x) \geq s\})]^{\frac{N-1}{N}} &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_N^{1/N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla |w_n|(x)| dx \\ &\leq C_1 \int_{\{|u_n| \geq L\}} |\nabla u_n(x)| dx \leq C_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.2), (2.4), and (2.6).

Fix  $s_1 > 2(|m| + 4L) + 1$ . Using the facts that  $\varphi = 1$  in  $B(0, r)$ , that  $f(z) = z$  if  $|z| \geq 2L$ , and that  $|c_n| \leq |m| + 4L$ , for  $s \geq s_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \Omega : |u_n(x)| \geq s\} &= \{x \in \Omega : |v_n(x)| \geq s\} \subset \left\{x \in \Omega : |v_n(x) - c_n| \geq \frac{s}{2}\right\} \\ &\subset \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |w_n|(x) \geq \frac{s}{2}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \Omega : |u_n(x)| \geq s\}) \leq \frac{C}{s^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}$$

for all  $s \geq s_1$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|u_n| > s_1\}} |u_n(x)| dx &= \int_{s_1}^\infty \mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \Omega : |u_n(x)| \geq s\}) ds \\ &\leq C \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{s^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d\tau = \frac{N-1}{s_1^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  and equi-integrable.

In view of Vitali's convergence theorem, it remains to show that a subsequence converges in measure to some function  $u \in BV(\Omega; \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\})$ . This is classical (see e.g. [2] or [4]). ■

**Remark 2.3** *Theorem 1.1 continues to hold if in place of (1.2) we assume that*

$$u_n = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for some function  $g \in L^1(\partial\Omega; \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\})$ . In this case, by Gagliardo's trace theorem (see, e.g. Theorem 15.10 in [6]) there exists a function  $w \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $w = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Extend each  $u_n$  to be  $w$  outside  $\Omega$ . We can now apply Theorem 2.1 directly to  $f \circ u_n \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^d)$  without introducing the constants  $c_n$ , the function  $\varphi$ , and without using Theorem 2.2.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2. The argument below is likely well-known. We present it for the convenience of the reader.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Without loss of generality, we can assume that each function  $u_n$  is absolutely continuous.

Since the set  $A_n := \{x \in (a, b) : |u_n(x)| > L\}$  is open, we may write it as

$$A_n = \bigcup_k (a_{k,n}, b_{k,n}).$$

Moreover, by (1.1) and  $(H_2)$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$M\varepsilon_n \geq \int_a^b W(u_n(x)) dx \geq |A_n| \inf_{|z| \geq L} W(z),$$

and so its complement  $(a, b) \setminus A_n$  is nonempty for all  $n$  sufficiently large. Fix any such  $n$ . If  $A_n$  is empty, then  $|u_n(x)| \leq L$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$ . Otherwise, let  $x \in (a_{k,n}, b_{k,n})$ . Then at least one of the endpoints, say  $a_{k,n}$ , is not an endpoint of  $(a, b)$  and so  $|u_n(a_{k,n})| = L$ . By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$u_n(x) = u_n(a_{k,n}) + \int_{a_{k,n}}^x u'_n(t) dt.$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{x \in (a_{k,n}, b_{k,n})} |u_n(x)| \leq L + \int_{\{|u_n| \geq L\}} |u'_n(t)| dt \leq L + c^{-1}M,$$

where we have used (2.1). This shows that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty((a, b); \mathbb{R}^d)$ . We can now continue as in Lemma 6.2 of [3]. ■

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Without loss of generality, we can assume that each function  $u_n$  is absolutely continuous. In view of (1.1) and (1.3), for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$M \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \sqrt{W(u_n(x))} |u'_n(x)| dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \sqrt{V(|u_n|(x))} |u_n'(x)| dx.$$

Using the area formula for absolutely continuous functions (see, e.g., Theorem 3.65 in [6]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \sqrt{V(|u_n|(x))} |u_n'(x)| dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{V(s)} \text{card } |u_n|^{-1}(\{s\}) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\min|u_n|}^{\max|u_n|} \sqrt{V(s)} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{card}$  is the cardinality and  $|u_n|^{-1}(\{s\}) = \{x \in (a, b) : |u_n(x)| = s\}$ . By (1.2) and the intermediate value theorem, there exists  $x_n \in (a, b)$  such that

$$u_n(x_n) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b u_n(x) dx = \frac{m}{b-a}.$$

Hence,  $|u_n(x_n)| = \frac{|m|}{b-a}$ , which implies that

$$M \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{|m|}{b-a}}^{\max|u_n|} \sqrt{V(s)} ds.$$

By  $(H_3)$  there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\int_{\frac{|m|}{b-a}}^R \sqrt{V(s)} ds > 2M$ . In turn,  $|u_n(x)| < R$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This shows that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty((a, b); \mathbb{R}^d)$ . ■

**Remark 2.4** Observe that in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 we can replace  $(H_1)$  with the weaker hypothesis

$(H_4)$   $W : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous and for every  $r > 0$  the set

$$\{z \in B(0, r) : W(z) = 0\}$$

has finitely many elements.

Indeed, if  $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,2}((a, b); \mathbb{R}^d)$  is such that (1.1) holds, then by Theorem 1.2 or 1.3, there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $|u_n(x)| < R$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Find  $S \in (R, 2R)$  such that  $V(S) > 0$ . Note that such  $S$  exists, since otherwise we would have  $V(s) = 0$  for all  $s \in (R, 2R)$ , which would imply that  $\{z \in B(0, 2R) : W(z) = 0\}$  has infinitely many elements and would contradict  $(H_4)$ . Define

$$W_1(z) := \begin{cases} W(z) & \text{if } |z| < S, \\ W\left(\frac{z}{|z|}S\right) & \text{if } |z| \geq S. \end{cases}$$

Since  $|u_n(x)| < R < S$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that

$$M \geq F_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} W_1(u_n) + \varepsilon_n |u_n'|^2 \right) dx.$$

The function  $W_1$  satisfies hypotheses  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ . Hence, we may now apply Theorem 1.2 to find  $u \in BV((a, b); \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\})$  and a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  such that

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1((a, b); \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Here  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$  are the zeros of  $W$  in  $B(0, s)$ .

In view of the previous remark, we can prove a compactness result for  $N = 1$  and bounded domains for the functional studied in the classical paper of Modica and Mortola [7].

**Corollary 2.5** *Let  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$  and let  $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,2}((a, b); \mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that*

$$\int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \sin^2(\pi u_n) + \varepsilon_n |u_n'(x)|^2 \right) dx \leq M$$

and (1.2) hold. Then there exist  $u \in BV((a, b); \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\})$  and a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  such that

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(a, b).$$

Here,  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to observe that the function  $W(z) = \sin^2(\pi z)$  satisfies  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ . ■

**Remark 2.6** *I am not aware of any compactness result for  $N \geq 2$  for the functional*

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sin^2(\pi u) + \varepsilon |\nabla u|^2 \right) dx,$$

when (1.2) holds. Note that  $W(z) = \sin^2(\pi z)$  satisfies  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$  but not  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ .

## Acknowledgments

The research of G. Leoni was partially funded by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1007989. G. Leoni also acknowledges the Center for Nonlinear Analysis (NSF Grant No. DMS-0635983, PIRE Grant No. OISE-0967140), where part of this research was carried out. The author wishes to thank Massimiliano Morini for useful conversations on the subject of this paper.

## References

- [1] E. Acerbi, V. Chiadò Piat, G. Dal Maso, and D. Percivale, An extension theorem from connected sets, and homogenization in general periodic domains, *Nonlinear Anal.* 18 (1992), no. 5, 481–496.



- [2] S. Baldo, Minimal interface criterion for phase transitions in mixtures of Cahn-Hilliard fluids. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 7 (1990) 67–90.
- [3] A. Braides, *Gamma convergence for beginners*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications 22. New York: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [4] I. Fonseca and L. Tartar, The gradient theory of phase transitions for systems with two potential wells. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 111 (1989) 89–102.
- [5] M.E. Gurtin, Some results and conjectures in the gradient theory of phase transitions. IMA, preprint 156 (1985).
- [6] G. Leoni, *A first course in Sobolev spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 105. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2009.
- [7] L. Modica and S. Mortola, Un esempio di  $\Gamma$ -convergenza. (Italian) *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* (5) 14 (1977) 285–299.
- [8] L. Modica, The gradient theory of phase transitions and the minimal interface criterion. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 98 (1987) 123–142.
- [9] P. Sternberg, The effect of a singular perturbation on nonconvex variational problems. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 101 (1988) 209–260.