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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

Within the context of heteroepitaxial growth of a film onto a substrate, terraces and steps self-organize according to misfit elasticity forces. Discrete models of this behavior were developed by Duport, Politi, and Villain [3] and Tersoff, Phang, Zhang, and Lagally [6]. A continuum limit of these was in turn derived by Xiang [7] (see also the work of Xiang and $\mathrm{E}[8]$ and Xu and Xiang [9]). In this paper we formulate a notion of weak solution to Xiang's continuum model in terms of a variational inequality that is satisfied by strong solutions. Then we prove the existence of a weak solution.

The evolution equation derived by Xiang in [7, formula (3.62)] is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{h}=\left[-H\left(h_{x}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{h_{x}}+h_{x}\right) h_{x x}\right]_{x x} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{h}$ denotes the derivative of $h$ with respect to $t$. Here, the function $h$ describes the height of the surface of the film, and it is assumed to be monotone. Without loss of generality, we take $h$ to be increasing. Note that in [7] and [8] $h$ is taken to be decreasing with respect to $x$, therefore in those papers $h(t, x)$ corresponds to our $h(t,-x)$. Moreover, $H$ denotes the periodic Hilbert transform (see (2.25) below).

To exploit the variational structure of equation (1.1), we consider the function $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ introduced in [7, formula (3.64)] and defined by

$$
\Phi(\xi):= \begin{cases}\xi \log \xi+\frac{1}{6} \xi^{3} & \text { if } \xi>0  \tag{1.2}\\ 0 & \text { if } \xi=0 \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\Phi$ is convex, and that (1.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{h}=\left[-H\left(h_{x}\right)-\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(h_{x}\right)\right)_{x}\right]_{x x} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the derivative $\Phi^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\log \xi+1+\frac{1}{2} \xi^{2} \quad \text { if } \xi>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Theorem 3.1 we show that the existence of solutions of (1.3) with $h_{x}$ bounded away from zero is equivalent to the existence of solutions of the parabolic evolution equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-\left[H\left(u_{x x}\right)\right]_{x}-\left[\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}\right)\right]_{x x} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is an appropriate anti-derivative of $h, a$ is a positive constant, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{a}(\xi):=\Phi(\xi+a) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study equation (1.5) on a time interval $[0, T]$, for some $T>0$, and on the space interval $I:=(-\pi, \pi)$, with inital boundary condition at $t=0$ and periodic boundary conditions on $\partial I$. In this work we use the spaces $L_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2}(I)$ and $W_{\mathrm{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)$ of $2 \pi$-periodic functions of $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $W_{\text {loc }}^{2,3}(\mathbb{R})$, respectively, with average 0 on $I$. The main difficulty in the analysis of (1.5) is due to the singularity of $\log \xi$ in (1.4) at the origin. To circumvent this problem, we will consider a family of approximating problems (see (5.1) below), and we will prove that their solutions converge to a solution of the variational inequality (1.7). The central result of his paper is the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Let $a>0$ and let $u^{0} \in L_{\mathrm{per}_{0}}^{2}(I)$. Then there exists $u \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\langle\dot{w}(t), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{\left(W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)^{\prime}, W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)}+\mathcal{F}_{a}(w(t))\right) d t \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left(\mathcal{F}_{a}(u(t))+\langle\mathcal{H}(u(t)), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{\left(W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)^{\prime}, W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)}^{2,}\right) d t \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $w \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$, with $\dot{w} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ;\left(W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)^{\prime}\right)$ and $w(0)=u^{0}$. Moreover, $\log \left(u_{x x}+a\right) \in L^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(I)\right)$.

Here, the functional $\mathcal{F}_{a}: W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{a}(u):=\int_{I} \Phi_{a}\left(u_{x x}\right) d x \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{H}: W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I) \rightarrow\left(W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)^{\prime}$ is the operator given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{H}(u), v\rangle_{\left(W_{\mathrm{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)^{\prime}, W_{\mathrm{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)}:=\int_{I} H\left(u_{x x}\right) v_{x} d x \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in Proposition 3.4 we prove that strong solutions of (1.5) with $u_{x x}+a$ bounded away from zero satisfy (1.7), so that this variational inequality can be considered as a weak formulation of (1.5).

Let $\mathcal{A}_{a}: D_{a} \rightarrow\left(W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)^{\prime}$ be the operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a}(u), v\right\rangle_{\left(W_{\operatorname{per}}^{0}\right.}^{2,3}(I)\right)^{\prime}, W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I):=\int_{I} \Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}\right) v_{x x} d x \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D_{a}:=\left\{u \in W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I): \log \left(u_{x x}+a\right) \in L^{3 / 2}(I)\right\}
$$

We prove also the following result, where the variational inequality is more similar to equation (1.5).

Theorem 1.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the solution $u$ of problem (1.7) satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\langle\dot{w}(t), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{\left(W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)^{\prime}, W_{\mathrm{per}}^{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right. \\
\left.\leq \int_{0}^{T}\langle\mathcal{H}(u(t)), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{\left(W_{\mathrm{pe}}(2,3\right.}(t)\right)^{\prime}, W_{\mathrm{p} r_{0}}^{2,3}(I)  \tag{1.11}\\
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $w \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$, with $\dot{w} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ;\left(W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)^{\prime}\right), w(0)=u^{0}$, and $\log \left(w_{x x}+a\right) \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; L^{3 / 2}(I)\right)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin with a compactness result on Banach spaces, which extends [5, Chapter 1,Theorem 5.1] to the case of $L^{1}\left([0, T] ; B_{1}\right)$, without assuming that $B_{1}$ is reflexive.

Theorem 2.1. Let $B_{0}, B$, and $B_{1}$ be Banach spaces, and let $1<p<+\infty$. Assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{0} \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow B_{1} \text { with continuous embeddings, }  \tag{2.1}\\
& B_{0} \text { is reflexive, }  \tag{2.2}\\
& \text { the embedding } B_{0} \hookrightarrow B \text { is compact. } \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the Banach space of all functions $v \in L^{p}\left([0, T] ; B_{0}\right)$ whose distributional derivative $\dot{v}$ belongs to $L^{1}\left([0, T] ; B_{1}\right)$ endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{\mathcal{V}}:=\|v\|_{L^{p}\left([0, T] ; B_{0}\right)}+\|\dot{v}\|_{L^{1}\left([0, T] ; B_{1}\right)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the embedding $\mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow L^{p}([0, T] ; B)$ is compact.
Proof. Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{V}$. Using (2.4) we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{v_{n}\right\} \text { is bounded in } L^{p}\left([0, T] ; B_{0}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \left\{\dot{v}_{n}\right\} \text { is bounded in } L^{1}\left([0, T] ; B_{1}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $1<p<+\infty$, by (2.2) the space $L^{p}\left([0, T] ; B_{0}\right)$ is reflexive (see, e.g., [4, Theorem 2.112]). By (2.5), extracting a subsequence (not relabeled), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n} \rightharpoonup v \text { weakly in } L^{p}\left([0, T] ; B_{0}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $v \in L^{p}\left([0, T] ; B_{0}\right)$.
By [5, Chapter 1, Lemma 5.1], for every $\eta>0$ there exists $c_{\eta}>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{B} \leq \eta\|u\|_{B_{0}}+c_{\eta}\|u\|_{B_{1}}
$$

for every $u \in B_{0}$. It follows that

$$
\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{L^{p}([0, T] ; B)} \leq \eta\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{L^{p}\left([0, T] ; B_{0}\right)}+c_{\eta}\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{L^{p}\left([0, T] ; B_{1}\right)}
$$

for every $n$. By (2.5), for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{L^{p}([0, T] ; B)} \leq \varepsilon+c_{\eta}\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{L^{p}\left([0, T] ; B_{1}\right)}
$$

for every $n$. Therefore, to prove that $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^{p}([0, T] ; B)$ it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n} \rightarrow v \text { strongly in } L^{p}\left([0, T] ; B_{1}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow W^{1,1}\left((0, T) ; B_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow C^{0}\left([0, T] ; B_{1}\right)$ with continuous embedding, the sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $C^{0}\left([0, T] ; B_{1}\right)$. By the Dominated Convergence Theorem, to obtain (2.8) it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}(t) \rightarrow v(t) \text { strongly in } B_{1} \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t \in[0, T]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$
V_{n}(t):=\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\dot{v}_{n}(s)\right\|_{B_{1}} d s
$$

By (2.6), $\left\{V_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence of monotone functions. By the Helly Theorem there exists a subsequence (not relabeled) that converges pointwise to a monotone function $V:[0, T] \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$.

Let $t_{0}$ be a continuity point of $V$ and a Lebesgue point of $v$, considered as an integrable function with values in $B_{1}$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+s}\left\|v(t)-v\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{B_{1}} d t=0
$$

We want to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightarrow v\left(t_{0}\right) \text { strongly in } B_{1} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $\varepsilon>0$ and $s>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(t_{0}+s\right)-V\left(t_{0}\right)<\varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{s} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+s}\left\|v(t)-v\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{B_{1}} d t<\varepsilon \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using an argument due to R. Temam (see [5, Chapter 1,Theorem 5.1]), we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{s} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+s} v_{n}(t) d t-\frac{1}{s} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+s}\left(t_{0}+s-t\right) \dot{v}_{n}(t) d t=: a_{n}-b_{n} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define

$$
a:=\frac{1}{s} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+s} v(t) d t
$$

By (2.7), the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ converges to $a$ weakly in $B_{0}$. By (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3), it converges strongly in $B_{1}$. Since $\left\|a-v\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{B_{1}}<\varepsilon$ by (2.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a_{n}-v\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{B_{1}}<\varepsilon \quad \text { for } n \text { large enough. } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left\|b_{n}\right\|_{B_{1}} \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+s}\left\|\dot{v}_{n}(t)\right\|_{B_{1}} d t=V_{n}\left(t_{0}+s\right)-V_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

so that, by (2.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|b_{n}\right\|_{B_{1}} \leq V\left(t_{0}+s\right)-V\left(t_{0}\right)<\varepsilon \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon>0,(2.10)$ follows from (2.12), (2.13), and (2.14). Since the continuity points for $V$ that are Lebesgue points for $v$ form a set of full measure, we have proved (2.9), which concludes the proof of the theorem.

In what follows, given a Banach space $B$, we denote by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{B^{\prime}, B}$ the duality between $B$ and its dual $B^{\prime}$.

Let $I:=(-\pi, \pi)$. To introduce the functional setting for the study of equation (1.3), for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq p<+\infty$, consider the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mathrm{per}}^{k, p}(I):=\left\{u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{k, p}(\mathbb{R}): u \text { is } 2 \pi \text {-periodic }\right\} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the periodicity of $u$ when $k<0$ is to be understood in the sense of distributions. If $k \geq 0$ then the space $W_{\text {per }}^{k, p}(I)$ is endowed with the norm induced by $W^{k, p}(I)$. If $k<0$ and
$(1 / p)+(1 / q)=1$, then $W_{\mathrm{per}}^{k, q}(I)$ is the dual of $W_{\mathrm{per}}^{-k, p}(I)$, and is endowed with the dual norm. For simplicity, we use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{k, p}:=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\left(W_{\mathrm{per}}^{k, p}(I)\right)^{\prime}, W_{\mathrm{per}}^{k, p}(I)} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we also define the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{k, p}(I):=\left\{u \in W_{\mathrm{per}}^{k, p}(I): u_{I}=0\right\}, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{I}$ is the average of $u$ in one period if $k \geq 0$, and $u_{I}:=\langle u, 1\rangle_{k, p}$ when $k<0$. If $k \geq 0$ then the space $W_{\text {per }}^{0}$ (I) is endowed with the norm induced by $W^{k, p}(I)$. If $k<0$ and $(1 / p)+(1 / q)=1$, then it can be shown that $W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{k, q}(I)$ is the dual of $W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{-k, p}(I)$, and it will be endowed with the dual norm. With an abuse of notation, we continue to use the symbol $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{k, p}$ to denote the corresponding duality. Finally, set

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\text {per }^{*}}^{1,3}(I) & :=\left\{u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1,3}(\mathbb{R}): u_{x} \text { is } 2 \pi \text {-periodic }\right\}  \tag{2.18}\\
& =\left\{u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1,3}(\mathbb{R}): u(x+2 \pi)-u(x) \text { is constant }\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

endowed with the norm induced by $W^{1,3}(I)$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V:=W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I) \quad \text { and } \quad Y:=L_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2}(I) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L_{\mathrm{per}_{0}}^{2}(I):=\left\{u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(\mathbb{R}): u \text { is } 2 \pi \text {-periodic and } u_{I}=0\right\}
$$

Since every $u \in V$ has mean value zero and is periodic, it follows in particular that $u_{x}$ has also mean value 0 , and so using Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality twice, there exists a constant $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{2,3}(I)} \leq \alpha\left\|u_{x x}\right\|_{L^{3}(I)} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in V$. This allows us to endow $V$ with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{V}:=\left\|u_{x x}\right\|_{L^{3}(I)}, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the norm induced by $W^{2,3}(I)$. The dual space $V^{\prime}$ coincides with $W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)$ and will be endowed with the dual norm to (2.21).

In order to study the evolution equation, we introduce the Banach space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}:=\left\{u: u \in L^{3}([0, T] ; V), \dot{u} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)\right\} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\mathcal{W}}:=\|u\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}+\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that $\mathcal{W} \subset C^{0}([0, T] ; Y)$, with continuous embedding (see, e.g., [10, Proposition 23.23]).
Lemma 2.2. The embedding $i: \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{1,3}(I)\right)$ is compact.
Proof. It is enough to apply [5, Chapter 1, Theorem 5.1] with $p_{0}:=3, p_{1}:=3 / 2, B_{0}:=V$, $B:=W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{1,3}(I)$, and $B_{1}:=V^{\prime}$.

Consider the adjoint embedding $i^{*}: L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{-1,3 / 2}(I)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$. By its definition, for every $f \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{-1,3 / 2}(I)\right)$ and every $v \in \mathcal{W}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle i^{*}(f), v\right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}^{\prime}, \mathcal{W}}=\int_{0}^{T}\langle f(t), i(v)(t)\rangle_{1,3} d t=\int_{0}^{T}\langle f(t), v(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Lemma 2.2 we have the following result.
Lemma 2.3. The embedding $i^{*}: L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-1,3 / 2}(I)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ is compact.

The periodic Hilbert transform $H(u)$ of a function $u \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{p}(I)$ is the $2 \pi$-periodic function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u)(x):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathrm{PV} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(x-y) \cot \left(\frac{y}{2}\right) d y \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where PV denotes the Cauchy principal value.
For a proof of the following proposition, we refer to [2, Proposition 9.1.3].
Proposition 2.4. Let $1<p<+\infty$ and let $u \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{p}(I)$. Then $H(u) \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{p}(I)$ and

$$
\|H(u)\|_{L^{p}(I)} \leq C_{p}\|u\|_{L^{p}(I)}
$$

for some constant $C_{p}>0$.
Using the argument in the proof of [2, Proposition 8.3.7], where Proposition 9.3.1 is used in place of Proposition 8.3.1, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.5. Let $1<p<+\infty$ and let $u \in W_{\text {per }}^{1, p}(I)$. Then $H(u) \in W_{\text {per }}^{1, p}(I)$ and $H\left(u_{x}\right)=(H(u))_{x}$ a.e. on $I$.

Remark 2.6. In view of the latter proposition, it is possible to extend $H$ as a linear bounded operator from $W_{\text {per }}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(I)$ into itself.

## 3. A Notion of Weak Solution

In this section we derive a notion of weak solution for equation (1.3). In order to transform (1.3) into a parabolic equation, we will derive an evolution equation for an appropriate antiderivative of $h$. In what follows we use the notation (2.16).

Theorem 3.1. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) There exists $h \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}^{*}}^{1,3}(I)\right)$ with $\dot{h} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{-3,3 / 2}(I)\right)$ a solution of equation (1.3) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{x}(t, x) \geq \delta \text { for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } t \in[0, T], \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\delta>0$.
(ii) There exist $a>0$ and $u \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$ with $\dot{u} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)$ a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-\left[H\left(u_{x x}\right)\right]_{x}-\left[\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}\right)\right]_{x x} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}(t, x) \geq-a+\delta \text { for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that, in view of (1.4), the expression $\left[-H\left(h_{x}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(h_{x}\right)_{x}\right]_{x x}$ belongs to the space $L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }}^{-3,3 / 2}(I)\right)$, so that the equality in (1.3) is well defined, i.e., for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\dot{h}, \varphi\rangle_{3,3}=\left\langle-H\left(h_{x}\right)-\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(h_{x}\right)\right)_{x}, \varphi_{x x}\right\rangle_{1,3} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\varphi \in W_{\text {per }}^{3,3}(I)$. Similarly, by (3.3) equation (3.2) is well-defined.
The proof of Theorem 3.1 will use the two lemmas below.
Lemma 3.2. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $h \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\mathrm{per}^{*}}^{1,3}(I)\right)$ and $\dot{h} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{-3,3 / 2}(I)\right)$;
(b) there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h^{a} \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,3}(I)\right)$, with $\dot{h}^{a} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{-3,3 / 2}(I)\right)$, such that $h(t, x)=h^{a}(t, x)+$ ax for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) is straightforward, so we only prove that (a) implies (b). Assume that $h$ satisfies (a) and, for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, let

$$
a(t):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{I} h_{x}(t, x) d x
$$

If $h \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; C_{\text {per* }}^{\infty}(I)\right)$ with $\dot{h} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; C_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(I)\right)$, then

$$
\dot{a}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{I}(\dot{h})_{x}(t, x) d x=0
$$

by the periodicity of $\dot{h}(t, \cdot)$ for every $t$. It now follows by density that $a$ is constant. Hence, $h^{a}(t, x):=h(t, x)-a x$ satisfies (b).

Recall that the function $\Phi_{a}$ is defined in (1.6).
Lemma 3.3. Let $a>0$ and let $h^{a} \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }}^{1,3}(I)\right)$, with $\dot{h}^{a} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }}^{-3,3 / 2}(I)\right)$, be a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{h}^{a}=\left[-H\left(h_{x}^{a}\right)-\left(\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(h_{x}^{a}\right)\right)_{x}\right]_{x x} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{x}^{a}(t, x) \geq-a+\delta \text { for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } t \in[0, T] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta>0$. Then there exists a constant $b$, depending on the solution $h^{a}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{I} h^{a}(t, x) d x=b \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{I} h^{a}(t, x) d x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. We want to show that $b$ is constant. By (3.8), we have $b(t)=$ $\left\langle h^{a}(t), 1 /(2 \pi)\right\rangle_{1,3}$, so that its distributional derivative satisfies $\dot{b}(t)=\left\langle\dot{h}^{a}(t), 1 /(2 \pi)\right\rangle_{3,3}$. Therefore (3.5) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{b}(t) & =\left\langle\left[-H\left(h_{x}^{a}\right)-\left(\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(h_{x}^{a}\right)\right)_{x}\right]_{x x}, 1 /(2 \pi)\right\rangle_{3,3} \\
& =\left\langle\left[-H\left(h_{x}^{a}\right)-\left(\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(h_{x}^{a}\right)\right)_{x}\right]_{x}, 0\right\rangle_{2,3}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume (i). Let $h^{a}$ be the function given by Lemma 3.2. Since $H(a)=0$ it follows that $h^{a}$ is a solution of (3.5). Moreover, using the fact that $h^{a}(t, \cdot)$ is $2 \pi$-periodic, we have that the mean value of $h_{x}^{a}(t, \cdot)$ on a period is 0 , so that (3.6) implies $a>0$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x):=\int_{-\pi}^{x}\left(h^{a}(t, y)-b\right) d y \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the number given in Lemma 3.3. Then $x \mapsto u(t, x)$ is $2 \pi$-periodic and has mean value zero for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Moreover, $u_{x}=h^{a}-b, u_{x x}=h_{x}^{a}$, and $\dot{u}_{x}=\dot{h}^{a}$. It follows that $u \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$ and $\dot{u} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)$. In turn, equation (3.5) can be written as

$$
\dot{u}_{x}=\left[-H\left(u_{x x}\right)-\left(\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}\right)\right)_{x}\right]_{x x} .
$$

Hence, for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ there exists a constant $c(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\dot{u}=-\left[H\left(u_{x x}\right)\right]_{x}-\left[\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}\right)\right]_{x x}+c(t),
$$

that is, for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\langle u(t), \varphi\rangle_{0,3} & =\langle\dot{u}(t), \varphi\rangle_{2,3} \\
& =\left\langle H\left(u_{x x}\right), \varphi_{x}\right\rangle_{0,3}-\left\langle\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}\right), \varphi_{x x}\right\rangle_{0,3}+\langle c(t), \varphi\rangle_{0,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every test function $\varphi \in W_{\text {per }}^{2,3}(I)$. Taking $\varphi=1$ we get $c(t)=0$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
Therefore $u$ satisfies the equation (3.2) in the sense that, for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, we have

$$
\langle\dot{u}(t), \varphi\rangle_{2,3}=\left\langle H\left(u_{x x}\right), \varphi_{x}\right\rangle_{0,3}-\left\langle\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}\right), \varphi_{x x}\right\rangle_{0,3}
$$

for every test function $\varphi \in W_{\text {per }}^{2,3}(I)$.
Conversely, assume (ii). Then the function $h(t, x):=u_{x}(t, x)+a x$ satisfies (i).
In this paper we establish the existence and uniqueness of a solution of a variational inequality satisfied by all solutions considered in Theorem 3.1(ii). More precisely, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.4. Let $u^{0} \in L_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2}(I)$ and let $u$ satisfy (ii) in Theorem 3.1 with $u(0)=u^{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(u_{x x}+a\right) \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; L^{3 / 2}(I)\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\langle\dot{w}(t), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\mathcal{F}_{a}(w(t))\right) d t \\
& \quad \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left(\mathcal{F}_{a}(u(t))+\langle\mathcal{H}(u(t)), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}\right) d t \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $w \in \mathcal{W}$ with $w(0)=u^{0}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ is defined in (1.8).
Proof. Since $u_{x x} \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; L^{3}(I)\right)$ and (3.3) holds, we obtain (3.10). By (1.4), this implies that $\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}\right) \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; L^{3 / 2}(I)\right)$. Let $w$ be as in the statement. For a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ we multiply (3.2) by $w(t)-u(t)$ and add $\langle\dot{w}(t), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}$ to both sides to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\dot{w}(t), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\left\langle\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}(t)\right), w_{x x}(t)-u_{x x}(t)\right\rangle_{0,3} \\
& \quad=\langle\dot{w}(t)-\dot{u}(t), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\langle\mathcal{H}(u(t)), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Phi_{a}$ is convex, we have $\mathcal{F}_{a}(w(t))-\mathcal{F}_{a}(u(t)) \geq\left\langle\Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}(t)\right), w_{x x}(t)-u_{x x}(t)\right\rangle_{0,3}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\langle\dot{w}(t), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\mathcal{F}_{a}(w(t))-\mathcal{F}_{a}(u(t))\right) d t \\
& \quad \geq \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|w(t)-u(t)\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T}\langle\mathcal{H}(u(t)), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\|w(T)-u(T)\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\langle\mathcal{H}(u(t)), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \\
& \quad \geq \int_{0}^{T}\langle\mathcal{H}(u(t)), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used [10, Proposition 23.23] and the fact that $w(0)=u(0)=u^{0}$.

## 4. Bounded Monotone Problems

In order to overcome the difficulties due to the fact that $\Phi_{a}$ takes infinite values, we consider a suitable finite valued approximation, denoted by $\Phi_{a, \delta}$. Let

$$
\Psi(\xi):= \begin{cases}\xi \log \xi & \text { if } \xi>0  \tag{4.1}\\ 0 & \text { if } \xi=0 \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For $a \geq 0$ and $0<\delta<1 / e$ we define

$$
\Psi_{a}(\xi):=\Psi(\xi+a), \quad \Psi_{a, \delta}(\xi):= \begin{cases}(\xi+a) \log (\xi+a) & \text { if } \xi \geq-a+\delta  \tag{4.2}\\ (\xi+a) \log \delta+\xi+a-\delta & \text { if } \xi \leq-a+\delta\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{a, \delta}(\xi):=\Psi_{a, \delta}(\xi)+\frac{1}{6}|\xi+a|^{3} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\Phi_{a, \delta}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function. In the following lemma we give some estimates on $\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}$, which is given by

$$
\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi)= \begin{cases}\log (\xi+a)+1+\frac{1}{2}|\xi+a|(\xi+a) & \text { if } \xi \geq-a+\delta  \tag{4.4}\\ \log \delta+1+\frac{1}{2}|\xi+a|(\xi+a) & \text { if } \xi \leq-a+\delta\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 4.1. Assume $a>0$ and $0<\delta<1 / e$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leq|\log \delta|+2 a^{2}+2|\xi|^{2}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \left(\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right)\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left|\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right|^{3}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi) \xi \geq \frac{1}{4}|\xi|^{3}-2-4 a^{3} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $\xi$, $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi) \xi \geq a|\log (\xi+a)|+\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{3}-2-2 a^{3} \quad \text { if } \delta \leq \xi+a \leq 1  \tag{4.8}\\
& \Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi) \xi \geq a|\log \delta|+\frac{1}{4}|\xi|^{3}-2-4 a^{3} \quad \text { if } \xi+a \leq \delta  \tag{4.9}\\
& \Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi) \xi \geq c_{1}\left|\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi)\right|-c_{2} \quad \text { for every } \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the constants $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>0$ depend on $a$, but not on $\delta$.
Proof. Step 1: We first prove (4.5). Using the inequality $0 \leq \log s \leq s^{2} / 2$ for $s \geq 1$, from (4.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leq 1+|\xi+a|^{2} \quad \text { for } \xi+a \geq 1 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|\log \delta| \geq 1$, this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leq|\log \delta|+|\xi+a|^{2} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $\delta \leq \xi+a \leq 1$ we have $-|\log \delta| \leq \log (\xi+a)+1 \leq 1 \leq|\log \delta|$, so that (4.12) holds also in this case. Finally, (4.12) follows immediately from (4.4) if $\xi+a \leq \delta$. Hence, (4.5) is a consequence of (4.12) and Cauchy's Inequality.
Step 2: To show (4.6), note that, since $\Phi_{a, \delta}(\xi)-\frac{1}{6}|\xi+a|^{3}$ is convex, we have

$$
\left[\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right]\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\xi_{2}+a\right|\left(\xi_{2}+a\right)-\left|\xi_{1}+a\right|\left(\xi_{1}+a\right)\right]\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left|\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right|^{3}
$$

for every $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, where the last inequality follows from a straightforward calculation.
Step 3: To prove (4.7) we consider several cases.
Case 1: Assume first that $\xi+a \leq 0$. Then $\xi(\log \delta+1) \geq-a(\log \delta+1)=a|\log \delta|-a$ and $|\xi+a|(\xi+a) \xi=(\xi+a)^{2}|\xi| \geq|\xi|^{3}-2 a|\xi|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{3}-5 a^{3}$, so that (4.4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi) \xi \geq a|\log \delta|-a+\frac{1}{4}|\xi|^{3}-3 a^{3} \geq a|\log \delta|+\frac{1}{4}|\xi|^{3}-1-4 a^{3} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: Consider next the case $\xi+a>0$.
If $-a<\xi \leq 0$, then $(\xi+a)^{2} \xi \geq-a^{3} \geq|\xi|^{3}-2 a^{3}$, while if $\xi>0$, then $(\xi+a)^{2} \xi \geq|\xi|^{3}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi+\frac{1}{2}(\xi+a)^{2} \xi \geq-a+\frac{1}{2}(\xi+a)^{2} \xi \geq-a+\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{3}-a^{3} \geq \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{3}-1-2 a^{3} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the logarithmic terms we consider three ranges of $\xi+a$. If $\xi+a \leq \delta$, then by (4.4) and (4.14),

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi) \xi & =\xi \log \delta+\xi+\frac{1}{2}(\xi+a)^{2} \xi  \tag{4.15}\\
& \geq(\delta-a) \log \delta+\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{3}-1-2 a^{3} \geq a|\log \delta|-\frac{1}{e}+\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{3}-1-2 a^{3} \\
& \geq a|\log \delta|+\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{3}-2-2 a^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case $\delta<\xi+a \leq 1$ we have $(\xi+a) \log (\xi+a) \geq-1 / e$, hence $\xi \log (\xi+a) \geq$ $a|\log (\xi+a)|-1$. It follows from (4.4) and (4.14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi) \xi \geq a|\log (\xi+a)|-1+\xi+\frac{1}{2}(\xi+a)^{2} \xi \geq a|\log (\xi+a)|+\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{3}-2-2 a^{3} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, if $1<\xi+a$ and $\xi \leq 0$, then $0<\log (\xi+a) \leq \xi+a$, hence $\xi \log (\xi+a) \geq$ $\xi(\xi+a) \geq-a^{2}$, while if $1<\xi+a$ and $0<\xi$, then $\xi \log (\xi+a)>0$. In both cases, (4.4) and (4.14) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi) \xi \geq-a^{2}+\xi+\frac{1}{2}(\xi+a)^{2} \xi \geq \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{3}-2-3 a^{3} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4: Note that (4.8) is exactly (4.16). Inequality (4.9) follows from (4.13) and (4.15). To prove (4.10), again we consider three ranges of $\xi+a$. In the case $\xi+a \leq \delta$ inequality (4.10) follows from (4.5) and (4.9). When $\delta<\xi+a \leq 1$ the same inequality is a consequence of (4.4) and (4.8). Finally, for $1<\xi+a$ inequality (4.10) can be obtained from (4.7) and (4.11).

We recall that $V:=W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I), Y:=L_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2}(I)$, and $\|u\|_{V}:=\left\|u_{x x}\right\|_{L^{3}(I)}$. We introduce the operator $\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(u), v\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}:=\int_{I} \Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}\left(u_{x x}\right) v_{x x} d x \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u, v \in V$. Note that by (4.5) and Hölder's and Minkowski's Inequalities, the operator $\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}$ is well-defined and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(u)\right\|_{V^{\prime}} \leq(2 \pi)^{2 / 3}\left(|\log \delta|+2 a^{2}\right)+2\|u\|_{V}^{2} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in V$. Moreover, by (4.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(u), u\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{V}^{3}-\left(4+8 a^{3}\right) \pi . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, (4.6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{2}\right)-\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{1}\right), u^{2}-u^{1}\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|u^{2}-u^{1}\right\|_{V}^{3} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u^{1}, u^{2} \in V$.
Therefore $\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}$ is a bounded monotone operator. Moreover, by the continuity properties of Nemitski operators we deduce from (4.5) that $\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}$ is continuous from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$.

Next we state the main theorem of this section. We recall that the operator $\mathcal{H}$ is defined in (1.9).
Theorem 4.2. Let $a>0$ and let $u^{0} \in L_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2}(I)$. For every $0<\delta<1 /$ e there exists $a$ solution $u^{\delta}$ of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}^{\delta}(t)+\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right)=\mathcal{H}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{4.22}\\
u^{\delta} \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right), \dot{u}^{\delta} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right), \\
u^{\delta}(0)=u^{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $c=c\left(a, T,\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{Y}\right)>0$ such that for every $0<\delta<1 / e$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\delta}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)} \leq c, \quad\left\|\left(H\left(u_{x x}^{\delta}\right)\right)_{x}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)} \leq c \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Step 1: We first obtain apriori estimates for the solution of the auxiliary problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}(t)+\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(u(t))=f(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{4.24}\\
u \in L^{3}([0, T] ; V), \dot{u} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right) \\
u(0)=u^{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)$ and $u^{0} \in Y$. In order to guarantee the existence and uniqueness of the solution of problem (4.24), we use a slight extension of [5, Chapter 2, Theorem 1.2], where the estimates in (1.34) and (1.36) in this reference are replaced by (4.19) and (4.20), respectively. The proof is essentially the same.

Substep 1 a: Let $f^{1}, f^{2} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)$, and let $u^{1}, u^{2}$ be the corresponding solutions of (4.24) with the same initial value $u^{0}$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{2}-u^{1}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}^{2} \leq 4\left\|f^{2}-f^{1}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply both equations by $u^{2}(t)-u^{1}(t)$ and subtract the first from the second to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\dot{u}^{2}(t)-\dot{u}^{1}(t), u^{2}(t)-u^{1}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{2}(t)\right)-\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{1}(t)\right), u^{2}(t)-u^{1}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} \\
& =\left\langle f^{2}(t)-f^{1}(t), u^{2}(t)-u^{1}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Since $t \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{2}(t)-u^{1}(t)\right\|_{Y}^{2}$ is absolutely continuous and its derivative equals $\left\langle\dot{u}^{2}(t)-\dot{u}^{1}(t), u^{2}(t)-u^{1}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ (see, e.g., [10, Proposition 23.23]), integrating the previous equality from 0 to $T$ we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{2}(T)-u^{1}(T)\right\|_{Y}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{2}(t)\right)-\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{1}(t)\right), u^{2}(t)-u^{1}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f^{2}(t)-f^{1}(t), u^{2}(t)-u^{1}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.21) we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left\|u^{2}-u^{1}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}^{3} \leq\left\|f^{2}-f^{1}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)}\left\|u^{2}-u^{1}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}
$$

and so (4.25) holds.
Substep 1 b: Let $f \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)$, let $u^{0} \in Y$, and let $u$ be the corresponding solution of (4.24). We will prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|u\|_{\mathcal{W}} \leq(2 \pi T)^{2 / 3}\left(\| \log \delta \mid+2 a^{2}\right)+25\|f\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)}+2\|f\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)}^{1 / 2} \\
& +24\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{Y}^{4 / 3}+2\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{Y}^{2 / 3}+8(1+a) T^{1 / 3}+384(1+a)^{2} T^{2 / 3} \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{W}$ is defined in (2.22).
We multiply the equation by $u(t)$ and argue as in the previous substep. Using (4.20) we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}^{3} \leq\|f\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}+\left(4+8 a^{3}\right) \pi T+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{Y}^{2}
$$

which, together with Young's Inequality, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)} \leq 2\|f\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)}^{1 / 2}+8(1+a) T^{1 / 3}+2\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{Y}^{2 / 3} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\dot{u}(t)=f(t)-\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(u(t))$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, from (4.19) we get

$$
\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)}+(2 \pi T)^{2 / 3}\left(|\log \delta|+2 a^{2}\right)+2\|u\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}^{2}
$$

which, together with (4.28), yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\dot{u}\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T], V^{\prime}\right)} \leq(2 \pi T)^{2 / 3}\left(|\log \delta|+2 a^{2}\right)+25\|f\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)} \\
& +384(1+a)^{2} T^{2 / 3}+24\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{Y}^{4 / 3} \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Inequality (4.27) follows from (2.23), (4.28), and (4.29).
Step 2: Fix $u^{0} \in Y$. We will prove the existence of a solution of (4.22) using a fixed point argument. We begin by observing that given $u \in L^{3}([0, T] ; V)$, the function $t \mapsto$ $\left(H\left(u(t)_{x x}\right)\right)_{x}$ belongs to $L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, in view of Step 1 there exists a unique solution $v$ of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{v}(t)+\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(v(t))=\mathcal{H}(u(t)) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{4.30}\\
v \in \mathcal{W}, \quad v(0)=u^{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\delta}: L^{3}([0, T] ; V) \rightarrow L^{3}([0, T] ; V)$ be the operator defined by $\mathcal{T}_{\delta}(u):=v$. In order to apply Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem we need to establish the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{T}_{\delta} \text { is continuous; }  \tag{4.31}\\
& \mathcal{T}_{\delta} \text { is compact; }  \tag{4.32}\\
& \mathcal{T}_{\delta} \text { has an invariant ball. } \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove (4.31) we show that $\mathcal{T}$ is Hölder's continuous. Indeed, let $u^{1}, u^{2} \in L^{3}([0, T] ; V)$. Then by Hölder's Inequality,

$$
\left\|u_{x x}^{2}-u_{x x}^{1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(I)\right)} \leq(2 \pi T)^{1 / 6}\left\|u^{2}-u^{1}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)},
$$

and so, by Proposition 2.4, we have that

$$
\left\|H\left(u_{x x}^{2}\right)-H\left(u_{x x}^{1}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(I)\right)} \leq C_{2}(2 \pi T)^{1 / 6}\left\|u^{2}-u^{1}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}
$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $c=c(T)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(H\left(u_{x x}^{2}\right)\right)_{x}-\left(H\left(u_{x x}^{1}\right)\right)_{x}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-1,3 / 2}(I)\right)} \leq c\left\|u^{2}-u^{1}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}, \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(H\left(u_{x x}^{2}\right)\right)_{x}-\left(H\left(u_{x x}^{1}\right)\right)_{x}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)} \leq c\left\|u^{2}-u^{1}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}, \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (4.25) yields

$$
\left\|\mathcal{T}_{\delta}\left(u^{2}\right)-\mathcal{T}_{\delta}\left(u^{1}\right)\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}^{2} \leq 4 c\left\|u^{2}-u^{1}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}
$$

which establishes (4.31).
Let us prove (4.32). Let $\left\{u^{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^{3}([0, T] ; V)$ and, for every $n$, let

$$
v^{n}:=\mathcal{T}_{\delta}\left(u^{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad g^{n}:=\left(H\left(u_{x x}^{n}\right)\right)_{x} .
$$

By (4.34) the sequence $\left\{g^{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-1,3 / 2}(I)\right)$, so, in particular, it is also bounded in $L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, by (4.27) the sequence $\left\{v^{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{W}$, and so, passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\left\{v^{n}\right\}$ converges weakly in $\mathcal{W}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3, passing to a further subsequence, we may assume that $\left\{i^{*}\left(g^{n}\right)\right\}$ converges strongly in $\mathcal{W}^{\prime}$, where $i^{*}: L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{-1,3 / 2}(I)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ is the embedding defined by (2.24). Arguing as in (4.26), we obtain
$\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(v^{n}(t)\right)-\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(v^{m}(t)\right), v^{n}(t)-v^{m}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle g^{n}(t)-g^{m}(t), v^{n}(t)-v^{m}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t$
for every $n$ and $m$, and by (4.21) and (2.24) we have

$$
\left\|v^{n}-v^{m}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}^{3} \leq 4\left\langle i^{*}\left(g^{n}\right)-i^{*}\left(g^{n}\right), v^{n}-v^{m}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}^{\prime}, \mathcal{W}} .
$$

Since the right-hand side of the previous inequality converges to zero as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\left\{v^{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{3}([0, T] ; V)$. This concludes the proof of (4.32).

To prove (4.33), we fix $R>0$. By (4.35), for every $u \in L^{3}([0, T] ; V)$ with $\|u\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)} \leq$ $R$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(H\left(u_{x x}\right)\right)_{x}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)} \leq c\|u\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)} \leq c R \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, using (4.28) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{T}_{\delta}(u)\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)} \leq 2(c R)^{1 / 2}+8(1+a) T^{1 / 3}+2\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{Y}^{2 / 3} \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $R=R\left(a, T,\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{Y}\right)$ sufficiently large we obtain that the right-hand side of the previous inequality is less than $R$. This concludes the proof of (4.33).

In view of (4.31), (4.32), and (4.33), by Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem the operator $\mathcal{T}_{\delta}$ has a fixed point, which is a solution of problem (4.22). Moreover, (4.23) follows from (4.36) and (4.37).

## 5. An Auxiliary Problem

Let $a>0$ and let $u^{0} \in L_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2}(I)$. For every $0<\delta<1 / e$ let $f^{\delta} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)$ and let $u^{\delta}$ be the solution of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}^{\delta}(t)+\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right)=f^{\delta}(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{5.1}\\
u^{\delta} \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right), \dot{u}^{\delta} \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right), \\
u^{\delta}(0)=u^{0},
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 5.1. Let $a>0$ and let $u^{0} \in L_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2}(I)$. Assume that $\left\{f^{\delta}\right\}_{0<\delta<1 / e}$ is bounded in $L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)$, and for every $0<\delta<1 /$ e let $u^{\delta}$ be the solution of problem (5.1). Then there exists a constant $M$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left\|u^{\delta}\right\|_{L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{0}\right.}^{2,3}(I)\right)\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right), u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \leq M \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $0<\delta<1 / e$.
Proof. Inequality (5.2) follows from (4.28). To prove the other inequality, for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ we multiply the equation in (5.1) by $u_{\delta}(t)$, obtaining

$$
\left\langle\dot{u}^{\delta}(t), u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right), u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}=\left\langle f^{\delta}(t), u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}
$$

Since $t \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2}$ is absolutely continuous and its derivative equals $\langle\dot{u}(t), u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ (see, e.g., [10, Proposition 23.23]), we may integrate the previous equality from 0 to $T$ to deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\delta}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right), u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \\
& \quad \leq\left\|f^{\delta}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)}\left\|u^{\delta}\right\|_{L^{3}([0, T] ; V)}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.2), this implies (5.3).
By (5.2) there exist a subsequence (not relabeled) and a function $u \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$ ), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u^{\delta} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { weakly in } L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel (see Lemma 5.4) we will prove that, if $\left\{f^{\delta}\right\}_{0<\delta<1 / e}$ converges strongly to some function $f$ in $L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)$, then $u$ is a weak solution of the limit problem, in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\langle\dot{v}(t), v(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\mathcal{F}_{a}(v(t))\right) d t \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left(\mathcal{F}_{a}(u(t))+\langle f(t), v(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}\right) d t \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $v \in \mathcal{W}$ with $v(0)=u^{0}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ is the functional defined in (1.8).
Lemma 5.2. Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.1, for every $0<\delta<1 / e$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ let

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\delta}(t) & :=\left\{x \in I: u_{x x}^{\delta}(t, x)+a \leq \delta\right\}  \tag{5.7}\\
F^{\delta}(t) & :=\left\{x \in I: \delta \leq u_{x x}^{\delta}(t, x)+a \leq 1\right\} . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Then there exists a constant $M$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(E_{\delta}(t)\right) d t \leq M|\log \delta|^{-1}  \tag{5.9}\\
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\int_{F^{\delta}(t)}\left|\log \left(u^{\delta}(t)_{x x}+a\right)\right| d x\right) d t \leq M \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $0<\delta<1 / e$.
Proof. By (4.7) and (4.9), for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ we have

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right), u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} \geq a|\log \delta| \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(E^{\delta}(t)\right)-4 \pi-8 \pi a^{3}
$$

Integrating in time and using (5.3) we get (5.9).
On the other hand, by (4.7) and (4.8) for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ we have

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right), u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} \geq a \int_{F_{\delta}(t)}\left|\log \left(u^{\delta}(t)_{x x}+a\right)\right| d x-4 \pi-8 \pi a^{3}
$$

Integrating in time and using (5.3) we get (5.10).
For $\delta>0$ consider the functionals $\mathcal{F}_{a}, \mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}: W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{a}, \mathcal{G}_{a, \delta}:$ $W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_{a}(u):=\int_{I} \Phi_{a}\left(u_{x x}\right) d x, & \mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}(u):=\int_{I} \Phi_{a, \delta}\left(u_{x x}\right) d x  \tag{5.11}\\
\mathcal{G}_{a}(u):=\int_{I} \Psi_{a}\left(u_{x x}\right) d x, & \mathcal{G}_{a, \delta}(u):=\int_{I} \Psi_{a, \delta}\left(u_{x x}\right) d x \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi_{a}, \Phi_{a, \delta}$, and $\Psi_{a}, \Psi_{a, \delta}$ are given in (1.6), (4.3), (1.6), and (4.2), respectively.
Lemma 5.3. Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.1, for every $0<\delta<1 /$ e we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\langle\dot{v}(t), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}(v(t))-\mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right)\right) d t \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f^{\delta}(t), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $v \in \mathcal{W}$ with $v(0)=u^{0}$.
Proof. Fix $0<\delta<1 / e$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}$ with $v(0)=u^{0}$. For a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ we multiply equation (5.1) by $v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)$. Adding $\left\langle\dot{v}(t), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}$ to both sides we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\dot{v}(t), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} \\
& \quad=\left\langle\dot{v}(t)-\dot{u}^{\delta}(t), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\left\langle f^{\delta}(t), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}=\partial \mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}$ is convex, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\dot{v}(t), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}(v(t))-\mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2}+\left\langle f^{\delta}(t), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have also used [10, Proposition 23.23]. Integrating with respect to $t$ we obtain (5.13).

Lemma 5.4. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1, let u be the function defined in (5.5). Assume that $\left\{f^{\delta}\right\}_{0<\delta<1 / e}$ converges strongly to some function $f$ in $L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)$. Then $u$ satisfies (5.6).
Proof. By (5.5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\dot{v}(t), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t & \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T}\langle\dot{v}(t), v(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t  \tag{5.14}\\
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f^{\delta}(t), v(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t & \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T}\langle f(t), v(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}(v(t)) d t \geq \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}(v(t)) d t \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\mathcal{F}_{a} \geq \mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}$.

Finally, in order to study the term $\mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right)$ we fix $0<\eta<1 / e$ and we use the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) \geq \mathcal{G}_{a, \eta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $0<\delta<\eta$. Since the functional

$$
w \mapsto \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{G}_{a, \delta}(w) d t
$$

is convex and continuous for the strong topology of $L^{3}([0, T] ; V)$, it is also lower semicontinuous for the weak topology of $L^{3}([0, T] ; V)$. By (5.5) and (5.17) this implies

$$
\liminf _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{G}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) d t \geq \liminf _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{G}_{a, \eta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) d t \geq \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{G}_{a, \eta}(u(t)) d t
$$

Taking the limit as $\eta \rightarrow 0$ and using the Monotone Convergence Theorem, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{G}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) d t \geq \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{G}_{a}(u(t)) d t \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding the cube of the norm in $\left.L^{3}([0, T]) ; V\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) d t \geq \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}(u(t)) d t \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (5.6) follows now from (5.13), (5.14), (5.15), (5.16), and (5.19).
From now on we assume that there exists $w^{0} \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $w^{0}(0)=u^{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}\left(w^{0}(t)\right) d t<+\infty \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (5.6) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}(u(t)) d t<+\infty \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.5. Let $u^{0} \in L_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2}(I)$ and let $w \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$ be such that for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ the function $w_{x x}(t)+a$ is nonnegative. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{w^{n}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{W}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{n}(0)=u^{0} \quad \text { for every } n \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t \in[0, T]$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $w_{x x}^{n}(t)+a$ is nonnegative,
$\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\dot{w}^{n}(t), w^{n}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \leq 0$,
$w^{n} \rightarrow w \quad$ strongly in $L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$,
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}\left(w^{n}(t)\right) d t=\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}(w(t)) d t$.
Proof. Let $w^{0} \in \mathcal{W}$ be the function in (5.20). Since $w^{0} \in L^{3}([0, T] ; V) \cap C^{0}([0, T] ; H)$, there exists a sequence $\delta_{n} \searrow 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}\left\|w^{0}\left(\delta_{n}\right)\right\|_{V}^{3} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
w^{n}(t):= \begin{cases}w^{0}(t) & \text { for } t \in\left[0, \delta_{n}\right]  \tag{5.28}\\ \frac{1}{\delta_{n}} \int_{\delta_{n}}^{t} e^{-(t-s) / \delta_{n}} w(s) d s+e^{-\left(t-\delta_{n}\right) / \delta_{n}} w^{0}\left(\delta_{n}\right) & \text { for } t \in\left[\delta_{n}, T\right]\end{cases}
$$

Then $w^{n} \in \mathcal{W}$ and (5.22) holds. Since $w_{x x}(t)+a \geq 0$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ by hypothesis, and $w_{x x}^{0}(t)+a \geq 0$ for every $t \in[0, T]$ by (5.20) (recall that $w^{0}$ is continuous with values in $\left.L_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2}(I)\right)$, we have that (5.23) is satisfied. Moreover, we have

$$
\delta_{n} \dot{w}^{n}(t)=w(t)-w^{n}(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in\left[\delta_{n}, T\right] .
$$

Hence,

$$
\delta_{n}\left\langle\dot{w}^{n}(t), w^{n}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}=-\left\langle w^{n}(t)-w(t), w^{n}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} \leq 0
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left[\delta_{n}, T\right]$. Therefore,

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\dot{w}^{n}(t), w^{n}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \leq \int_{0}^{\delta_{n}}\left\langle\dot{w}^{0}(t), w^{0}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t
$$

Since $w^{0} \in \mathcal{W}$ and $w \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$, inequality (5.24) follows.
Let us prove (5.25). We observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{n}(t)-w(t)=a^{n}(t)+b^{n}(t) \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{n}(t) & :=\frac{1}{\delta_{n}} \int_{\delta_{n}}^{t} e^{-(t-s) / \delta_{n}}(w(s)-w(t)) d s \\
b^{n}(t) & :=e^{-\left(t-\delta_{n}\right) / \delta_{n}}\left(w^{0}\left(\delta_{n}\right)-w(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $t \in\left[\delta_{n}, T\right]$. From standard properties of convolutions, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\delta_{n}}^{T}\left\|a^{n}(t)\right\|_{V}^{3} d t \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\delta_{n}}^{T}\left\|b^{n}(t)\right\|_{V}^{3} d t \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (5.27) and by the Dominated Convergence Theorem. Since $w^{n}(t)-w(t)=w^{0}(t)-w(t)$ for every $t \in\left[0, \delta_{n}\right]$, we have also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\delta_{n}}\left\|w^{n}(t)-w(t)\right\|_{V}^{3} d t \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Property (5.25) follows from (5.29)-(5.32). Equality (5.26) is a consequence of (5.25), in view of (5.23).

Theorem 5.6. Let $a>0$, let $f \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)$, and let $u^{0} \in L_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2}(I)$. Assume that (5.20) is satisfied. Then there exists a unique solution of (5.6) in $L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$.

Proof. The existence follows from (5.5) and Lemma 5.4 with $f_{\delta}:=f$ for all $\delta>0$. To prove uniqueness, let $u^{1}$ and $u^{2} \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$ be solutions of (5.6), and let $w:=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{1}+u^{2}\right)$. By (5.20) we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}\left(u^{1}(t)\right) d t<+\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}\left(u^{2}(t)\right) d t<+\infty
$$

so that for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ the functions $u_{x x}^{1}(t)+a$ and $u_{x x}^{2}(t)+a$ are nonnegative. Let $\left\{w^{n}\right\}$ be the sequence given by Lemma 5.5. We write (5.6) for $u^{1}$ and $u^{2}$ with $w^{n}$ in place of $v$. Adding the resulting inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\langle\dot{w}^{n}(t), w^{n}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\mathcal{F}_{a}\left(w^{n}(t)\right)\right) d t \\
& \quad \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{a}\left(u^{1}(t)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{a}\left(u^{2}(t)\right)+\left\langle f(t), w^{n}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit and using (5.24), (5.25), and (5.26) we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}(w(t)) d t \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}\left(u^{1}(t)\right) d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}\left(u^{2}(t)\right) d t
$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ is strictly convex in $L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$ (see (1.2) and (2.20)), we conclude that $u^{1}=u^{2}$.

Remark 5.7. Under the assumptions of this theorem, it follows that if $u^{\delta}$ is the solution of problem (5.1), $0<\delta<1 / e$, and if $\left\{f^{\delta}\right\}_{0<\delta<1 / e}$ converges strongly to some function $f$ in $L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)$, then the entire sequence $\left\{u^{\delta}\right\}_{0<\delta<1 / e}$ weakly converges in $L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$ to the unique solution of (5.6).
Proposition 5.8. Let $a>0$ and let $u^{0} \in L_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2}(I)$. Assume that $\left\{f^{\delta}\right\}_{0<\delta<1 / e}$ converges strongly to some function $f$ in $L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)$. For every $0<\delta<1 /$ e let $u^{\delta}$ be the solution of problem (5.1) and let $u$ be the solution of (5.6). Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\delta} \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right),  \tag{5.33}\\
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{G}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) d t=\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{G}_{a}(u(t)) d t \tag{5.34}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. We will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) d t=\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}(u(t)) d t \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (5.19) it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) d t \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a}(u(t)) d t \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{w^{n}\right\}$ be the sequence given by Lemma 5.5 with $w=u$. By (5.13) we have
$\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\langle\dot{w}^{n}(t), w^{n}(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}\left(w^{n}(t)\right)\right) d t \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left(\mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right)+\left\langle f^{\delta}(t), w^{n}(t)-u^{\delta}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}\right) d t$
for every $0<\delta<1 / e$ and every $n$. Taking the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and using the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{a} \geq \mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\langle\dot{w}^{n}(t), w^{n}(t)-u(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\mathcal{F}_{a}\left(w^{n}(t)\right)\right) d t \\
& \quad \geq \limsup _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{F}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f(t), w^{n}(t)-u(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (5.24), (5.23), and (5.25) we obtain (5.36), which gives (5.35). Hence, (5.35) holds, or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\right.\left.\mathcal{G}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right) d t+\frac{1}{6}\left\|u^{\delta}+a\right\|_{L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)}^{3}\right) \\
&\left.\quad=\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{G}_{a}(u(t)) d t+\frac{1}{6}\|u+a\|_{L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}}^{0}\right.}^{2,3}(I)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (5.18) and of the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm, we obtain (5.34) and the convergence of $\left.\left\|u^{\delta}+a\right\|_{L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}(I)\right)}^{2,3}\right)$ to $\left.\|u+a\|_{L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{0}\right.}^{2,3}(I)\right)$. By the uniform convexity of the norm of $L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)$, we deduce (5.33).

Proposition 5.9. Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.6, the solution $u$ of problem (5.6) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(u_{x x}+a\right) \in L^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(I)\right) \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $u_{x x}+a>0$ a.e. on $[0, T] \times I$.
Proof. For every $0<\delta<1$ / $e$ we define

$$
\tilde{E}^{\delta}:=\left\{(t, x) \in[0, T] \times I: u_{x x}^{\delta}(t, x)+a \leq \delta\right\} .
$$

By Fubini's Theorem and by Lemma 5.2,

$$
\int_{[0, T] \times I} 1_{\tilde{E}^{\delta}}(t, x) d x d t=\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(E_{\delta}(t)\right) d t \rightarrow 0
$$

and so there exists a subsequence (not relabeled) such that $1_{\tilde{E}^{\delta}} \rightarrow 0$ pointwise a.e. on $[0, T] \times I$. Since $u_{x x}^{\delta} \rightarrow u_{x x}$ strongly in $L^{3}\left([0, T] ; L_{\mathrm{per}_{0}}^{3}(I)\right)$, passing to a further subsequence (still not relabeled) we obtain that $u_{x x}^{\delta} \rightarrow u_{x x}$ pointwise a.e. on $[0, T] \times I$.

Define
$G^{\delta}:=\left\{(t, x) \in[0, T] \times I: u_{x x}^{\delta}(t, x)+a<1\right\} \quad$ and $\quad G:=\left\{(t, x) \in[0, T] \times I: u_{x x}(t, x)+a<1\right\}$.
Then, for a.e. $(t, x) \in[0, T] \times I$,

$$
1_{G}(t, x) \leq \liminf _{\delta \rightarrow 0} 1_{G^{\delta}}(t, x)
$$

Since $1_{G^{\delta}}(t, x) \leq 1_{\tilde{E}^{\delta}}(t, x)+1_{F^{\delta}(t)}(x)$, we conclude that

$$
1_{G}(t, x) \leq \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} 1_{\tilde{E}^{\delta}}(t, x)+\liminf _{\delta \rightarrow 0} 1_{F^{\delta}(t)}(x)=\liminf _{\delta \rightarrow 0} 1_{F^{\delta}(t)}(x)
$$

which gives

$$
1_{G}(t, x)\left|\log \left(u_{x x}(t, x)+a\right)\right| \leq \liminf _{\delta \rightarrow 0} 1_{F^{\delta}(t)}(x)\left|\log \left(u_{x x}^{\delta}(t, x)+a\right)\right|
$$

By Fatou Lemma and Fubini's Theorem it follows from (5.10) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{G}\left|\log \left(u_{x x}(t, x)+a\right)\right| d x d t & \leq \int_{[0, T] \times I} \liminf _{\delta \rightarrow 0} 1_{F^{\delta}(t)}(x)\left|\log \left(u_{x x}^{\delta}(t, x)+a\right)\right| d x d t \\
& \leq \liminf _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{[0, T] \times I} 1_{F^{\delta}(t)}(x)\left|\log \left(u_{x x}^{\delta}(t, x)+a\right)\right| d x d t \leq M
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that $u_{x x} \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; L_{\text {per }_{0}}^{3}(I)\right)$, we deduce that $\left|\log \left(u_{x x}+a\right)\right|$ is integrable on the set $\left\{(t, x) \in[0, T] \times I: u_{x x}(t, x)+a \geq 1\right\}$, which, together with the previous inequality, gives the result.

The following proposition shows that the solution of (5.6) is also a solution of a variational inequality involving the operator defined in (1.10).

Proposition 5.10. Let $a>0$, let $u^{0} \in L_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2}(I)$, let $f \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)$, and let $u$ be the solution of (5.6). Then
$\int_{0}^{T}\left(\langle\dot{w}(t), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a}(w(t)), w(t)-u(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}\right) d t \leq \int_{0}^{T}\langle f(t), w(t)-u(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t$
for every $w \in \mathcal{W}$, with $w(0)=u^{0}$, such that

$$
\log \left(w_{x x}+a\right) \in L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; L^{3 / 2}(I)\right)
$$

Proof. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}$ be as in the statement and, for every $0<\delta<1 / e$, let $u^{\delta}$ be the solution of problem (5.1) with $f^{\delta}=f$. For a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ we multiply equation (5.1) by $u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)$. Adding and subtracting $\left\langle\dot{w}(t), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(w(t)), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\dot{u}^{\delta}(t)-\dot{w}(t), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right)-\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(w(t)), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} \\
& +\left\langle\dot{w}(t), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}+\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(w(t)), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} \\
& \quad=\left\langle f(t), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $t \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2}$ is absolutely continuous and its derivative equals $\left\langle\dot{u}^{\delta}(t)-\right.$ $\left.\dot{w}(t), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ (see, e.g., [10, Proposition 23.23]), we may integrate both sides of the previous equality with respect to $t$ and use the fact that $u^{\delta}(0)=w(0)=u^{0}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\delta}(T)-w(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right)-\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(w(t)), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\dot{w}(t), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(w(t)), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f(t), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4.6) and (4.18), we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\dot{w}(t), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(w(t)), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f(t), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \tag{5.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that if $0<\xi+a \leq \delta<1$, then $|\log \delta| \leq|\log (\xi+a)|$. Hence, by (4.4),

$$
\left|\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leq|\log (\xi+a)|+1+\frac{1}{2}|\xi+a|^{2}
$$

for all $\xi+a>0$. Moreover, in view of (5.39), $w_{x x}+a>0$ a.e. on $[0, T] \times I$. It follows that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}\left(w_{x x}(t, x)\right)\right| \leq\left|\log \left(w_{x x}(t, x)+a\right)\right|+1+\frac{1}{2}\left|w_{x x}(t, x)+a\right|^{2} \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<\delta<1 / e$ and a.e. on $[0, T] \times I$. By (1.4), (1.6), (4.4), and (5.41) we obtain that $\Phi_{a, \delta}^{\prime}\left(w_{x x}\right) \rightarrow \Phi_{a}^{\prime}\left(w_{x x}\right)$ strongly in $L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; L_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{3 / 2}(I)\right)$. Since $u_{x x}^{\delta} \rightarrow u_{x x}$ strongly in $L^{3}\left([0, T] ; L_{\text {per }_{0}}^{3}(I)\right)$ by (5.33), from (1.10) and (4.18) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}(w(t)), u^{\delta}(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t=\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{a}(w(t)), u(t)-w(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V} d t \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we may let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (5.40) to get (5.38).

## 6. Proof of the Main Theorems

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Let $a>0$ and let $u^{0} \in L_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2}(I)$. For every $0<\delta<1 / e$ let $u^{\delta}$ be the solution to problem (4.22) (see Theorem 4.2). Define

$$
f^{\delta}(t, x):=-\left(H\left(u_{x x}^{\delta}(t)\right)\right)_{x}
$$

By (4.23), we may apply Lemma 5.1 to obtain that (5.2) and (5.3) are satisfied. Using (4.10) and (5.3) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{A}_{a, \delta}\left(u^{\delta}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{1}\left([0, T] ;\left(W_{\text {pero }}^{2, \infty}(I)\right)^{\prime}\right)} \leq M \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In turn, by (4.22), (5.2), (6.1), and Proposition 2.4 we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left\|\dot{u}^{\delta}\right\|_{L^{1}\left([0, T] ;\left(W_{\mathrm{per}}^{0}\right.\right.}^{2, \infty}(I)\right)^{\prime}\right), ~ \leq M \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.2) there exist a subsequence (not relabeled) and a function $\left.u \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }}^{2,3}(I)\right)\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u^{\delta} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { weakly in } L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2,3}(I)\right)\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (6.2) we can now apply Theorem 2.1 with $B_{0}=W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{2,3}(I), B=W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{1,3}(I)$, $B_{1}=\left(W_{\mathrm{per}_{0}}^{2, \infty}(I)\right)^{\prime}$, and $p=3$, to obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u^{\delta} \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\mathrm{per}_{0}}^{1,3}(I)\right)\right), \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{x x}^{\delta} \rightarrow u_{x x} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-1,3}(I)\right)\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H$ is a continuous linear operator from $W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-1,3}(I)$ ) into itself (see Remark 2.6), we deduce that $\left(H\left(u_{x x}^{\delta}\right)\right)_{x} \rightarrow\left(H\left(u_{x x}\right)\right)_{x}$ strongly in $\left.L^{3}\left([0, T] ; W_{\text {per }_{0}}^{-2,3}(I)\right)\right)$, and so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(H\left(u_{x x}^{\delta}\right)\right)_{x} \rightarrow\left(H\left(u_{x x}\right)\right)_{x} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{3 / 2}\left([0, T] ; W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{-2,3 / 2}(I)\right)\right) . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can apply Lemma 5.4 to establish that $u$ satisfies (1.7) for every $w \in \mathcal{W}$ with $w(0)=u^{0}$. By Proposition 5.9 we have $\log \left(u_{x x}+a\right) \in L^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(I)\right)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 follows from Proposition 5.10.
Remark 6.1. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it follows from (5.2) and (6.2) that

$$
\left.\left.\left\|u^{\delta}\right\|_{W^{1,1}\left([0, T] ;\left(W_{\operatorname{per}}^{0}\right.\right.}^{2, \infty}(I)\right)^{\prime}\right), ~ \leq M
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\delta}(t) \rightarrow u(t) \quad \text { strongly in }\left(W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2, \infty}(I)\right)^{\prime} \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}<T$ be a partition of $[0, T]$ with the property that (6.7) holds for every $t_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$. Since $u^{\delta}$ is absolutely continuous, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left\|u^{\delta}\left(t_{i}\right)-u^{\delta}\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right\|_{\left(W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2, \infty}(I)\right)^{\prime}} & =\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left\|\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \dot{u}^{\delta}(t) d t\right\|_{\left(W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2, \infty}(I)\right)^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=2}^{n} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left\|\dot{u}^{\delta}(t)\right\|_{\left(W_{\mathrm{per}_{0}}^{2, \infty}(I)\right)^{\prime}} d t \leq M
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and using (6.7), we obtain

$$
\left.\left.\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left\|u\left(t_{i}\right)-u\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right\|_{\left(W_{\mathrm{per}}^{0}( \right.}^{2, \infty}(I)\right)^{\prime}\right) \leq M
$$

This shows that the essential pointwise variation of $u:[0, T] \rightarrow\left(W_{\operatorname{per}_{0}}^{2, \infty}(I)\right)^{\prime}$ is finite.
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