

The relaxed Dirichlet energy of manifold constrained mappings

Mariano Giaquinta, Giuseppe Modica and Domenico Mucci

Abstract. *The Dirichlet energy of Sobolev mappings between Riemannian manifolds is studied. After giving an explicit formula of the polyconvex extension of the energy for currents between manifolds, we prove a strong density result. As a consequence, we give an explicit formula for the relaxed energy. The fractional space of traces of $W^{1,2}$ -mappings is also treated.*

Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}^n$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}^m$ be two smooth compact connected oriented Riemannian manifolds of dimension n and m , respectively, where \mathcal{Y} is boundaryless and \mathcal{X} possibly with a non-empty boundary $\partial\mathcal{X}$. We assume \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} equipped with metric tensors $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ and (γ_{ij}) , respectively, in some local coordinate charts $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $U = (U^1, \dots, U^m)$ on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , respectively. The *Dirichlet energy*, or *action* in physics, of a smooth map $U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is defined as the integral of the square of the derivatives dU . More precisely, the *energy density* of U is

$$e(x, U) := \frac{1}{2} |dU_x|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[(dU_x)^* dU_x] \quad (0.1)$$

and the Dirichlet energy of U is

$$\mathbf{D}_g(U, \mathcal{X}) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} e(x, U) \, d\text{vol}_{\mathcal{X}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} |dU_x|^2 \, d\text{vol}_{\mathcal{X}}. \quad (0.2)$$

In local coordinates $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})_{i=1}^n$ in $T_x\mathcal{X}$ and $(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j})_{j=1}^m$ in $T_{U(x)}\mathcal{Y}$, one computes

$$2e(x, U)(x) = g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \gamma_{ij}(U) \frac{\partial U^i}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial U^j}{\partial x^\beta},$$

where $(g^{\alpha\beta}) = (g_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$, and therefore, since

$$d\text{vol}_{\mathcal{X}} = \sqrt{\det g} \, dx,$$

one concludes that the Dirichlet energy in local coordinates for continuous maps U takes the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \int g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \gamma_{ij}(U) \frac{\partial U^i}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial U^j}{\partial x^\beta} \sqrt{\det g(x)} \, dx. \quad (0.3)$$

This generalizes the classical Dirichlet's energy for maps between the flat manifolds \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m .

By Nash embedding theorem, we may and will assume, without loss of generality, that \mathcal{Y} is *isometrically embedded*, as a submanifold, in some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N with induced Riemannian metric. This means that the inner product of two tangent vectors to \mathcal{Y} at a point $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is simply their Euclidean inner product, i.e., $\gamma_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, the Kronecker symbols. We then consider maps $u : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ that are constrained to take values into a smooth, boundaryless, compact submanifold \mathcal{Y} of \mathbb{R}^N .

Therefore, in local coordinates, the energy density (0.1) agrees with $e_g(x, Du)$, where

$$e_g(x, G) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \sum_{i, j=1}^N g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \delta_{ij} G_\alpha^i G_\beta^j \sqrt{\det g(x)} \quad (0.4)$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, every $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and every $(N \times n)$ -matrix G , say $G \in M(N, n)$, with $\text{im } G$ in $T_y\mathcal{Y}$, the tangent space to \mathcal{Y} at y . Therefore, for every local parameterization $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, the Dirichlet energy in Ω

of a map $U \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ on $\phi(\Omega)$ agrees with

$$\mathbf{D}_g(u, \Omega) := \int_{\Omega} e_g(x, Du(x)) dx, \quad u = U \circ \phi. \quad (0.5)$$

Assume that *the integral 2-homology group* $H_2(\mathcal{Y}) := H_2(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{Z})$ *has no torsion*. We recall from [9] [19], see Sec. 2 below, that the class of *Cartesian currents* $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ arises as weak $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ -limits of sequences of currents G_{u_k} carried by the graphs of smooth maps $u_k : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with equibounded $W^{1,2}$ -energies,

$$\sup_k \|u_k\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})} < \infty,$$

the weak $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ -convergence being given, by duality, by testing with forms in the class $\mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, i.e., with smooth, compactly supported n -forms in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ with *at most two vertical differentials* in the \mathcal{Y} -directions. We refer to [7] and [11, Vol. I] for general definitions of currents on Riemannian manifolds.

Every weak limit current $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ satisfies the *null boundary condition* (2.3) and can be decomposed as

$$T = G_{u_T} + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} \mathbb{L}_s(T) \times \gamma_s + S_{T, \text{sing}}. \quad (0.6)$$

G_{u_T} is the current integration of forms in $\mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ over the rectifiable graph of u_T , see Example 2.1, where $u_T \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is the weak $W^{1,2}$ -limit of the u_k 's. The γ_i 's are integral cycles in $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{Y})$ such that $\{\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}}\}$ generates the *spherical subgroup* $H_2^{\text{sph}}(\mathcal{Y})$ of $H_2(\mathcal{Y})$, see (2.1). The $\mathbb{L}_s(T)$'s are integer multiplicity (say i.m.) rectifiable current in $\mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$. Finally, $S_{T, \text{sing}}$, though completely vertical and homologically trivial, in general is non zero only possibly on forms $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ for which $d_y \omega^{(2)} \neq 0$, where $\omega^{(2)}$ is the component of ω with exactly two vertical differentials. Moreover, as shown in [10], in principle $S_{T, \text{sing}}$ may be any measure.

In Sec. 1, we shall consider the *parametric polyconvex lower semicontinuous envelop* of the integrand e_g , defined for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and every n -vector ξ in \mathbb{R}^{n+N} , say $\xi \in \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$, by

$$F_g(x, \xi) = \sup \{ \phi(\xi) \mid \phi : \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+, \phi \text{ linear}, \\ \phi(M(G)) \leq e_g(x, G) \quad \forall G \in M(N, n) \}, \quad (0.7)$$

where $M(G)$, see (1.1), is the n -vector in $\Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ orienting the graph of G . Since $(x, G) \mapsto e_g(x, G)$ is continuous, it turns out that $F_g(x, \xi)$ is *l.s.c. in all variables and convex in ξ for any x* . Using (0.7), the *polyconvex parametric extension* $\mathbf{D}_g(T)$ of the Dirichlet energy (0.5) turns out to be well-defined on currents T in $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ with finite \mathbf{D} -norm, see (2.5), and is *lower semicontinuous* with respect to the weak $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ -convergence.

In Sec. 2 we shall give an explicit formula of the *Dirichlet energy* $\mathbf{D}_g(T)$ for currents T in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$. More precisely, if $S_{T, \text{sing}} = 0$ in the decomposition formula (0.6) of T , we shall prove that

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T) = \mathbf{D}_g(u_T) + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T)) \cdot \mathbf{M}(\gamma_s), \quad (0.8)$$

where $\mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T))$ denotes the *g-mass* of $\mathbb{L}_s(T)$, see (2.8). Writing $\mathbb{L}_s(T)$ as $\mathbb{L}_s(T) = \tau(\mathcal{L}_s, \theta_s, \tau_s)$ for some $(n-2)$ -rectifiable set \mathcal{L}_s , integer multiplicity θ_s , and unit orienting $(n-2)$ -vector τ_s , we have

$$\mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T)) = \int_{\mathcal{L}_s} \theta_s(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-2},$$

if we choose τ_s with unit *g-norm*, $|\tau_s|_g = 1$.

In Secs. 3 and 4 we will then prove a strong density result for the Dirichlet energy in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$. For that, we recall, following Hang-Lin [21], that \mathcal{X} satisfies the *d-extension property with respect to \mathcal{Y}* if for any given CW-complex K on \mathcal{X} , denoting by K^d its d -dimensional skeleton, any continuous map $f : K^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is such that its restriction to K^d can be extended to a continuous map from \mathcal{X} into \mathcal{Y} .

In [21] it is shown that if \mathcal{X} satisfies the 1-extension property with respect to \mathcal{Y} , and $\pi_2(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$, every Sobolev map in $W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is the strong limit in $W^{1,2}$ of a sequence of smooth maps from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} . We

notice that, if $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ and $\pi_2(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$, then the 1-extension property is automatically satisfied. In the case $\mathcal{X} = B^n$, the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , the problem of strong density of smooth maps in the Sobolev classes $W^{1,p}(B^n, \mathcal{Y})$ was solved by Bethuel [2].

In this paper, we shall assume that \mathcal{X} satisfies the 1-extension property with respect to \mathcal{Y} , and that for any base point $y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$ the *Hurewicz homomorphism* from the second homotopy group $\pi_2(\mathcal{Y}; y_0)$ onto the second real homology group $H_2(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{R})$ is injective (notice that by the Hurewicz theorem this last condition holds true if \mathcal{Y} is 1-connected, i.e., if $\pi_1(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$). Moreover, we assume that *for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ the metric g is equivalent to the Euclidean metric*, see (2.7), and that $x \mapsto g(x)$ *is continuous in \mathcal{X}* . Then in Sec. 3 we will prove the following density result.

Theorem 0.1 *Let T in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ be such that $S_{T, \text{sing}} = 0$ in (0.6). There exists a sequence of smooth maps $u_k : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $G_{u_k} \rightarrow T$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{D}_g(u_k) = \mathbf{D}_g(T).$$

Remark 0.2 Notice that, as shown in [9], compare [19, Sec. 4.9], in every *vertical homology class* of currents in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ there exists a minimizer of the Dirichlet energy that satisfies the condition $S_{T, \text{sing}} = 0$. On the other hand, a part from the regular case $n = 2$, it is not clear how to find an explicit formula for the Dirichlet energy if $S_{T, \text{sing}}$ in (0.6) is non-zero.

As an application of Theorem 0.1, in Sec. 5 we shall obtain a representation formula of the *relaxed Dirichlet energy* for $W^{1,2}$ -maps in the weak $W^{1,2}$ -topology. For every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ we let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{D}_g(u_k, \Omega) \mid \begin{array}{l} \{u_k\} \subset C^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), \\ u_k \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \end{array} \right\} \quad (0.9)$$

where $\mathbf{D}_g(u, \Omega)$ is defined by (0.5). By Schoen-Uhlenbeck density theorem [25], in case of dimension $n = 2$ we clearly have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega) = \mathbf{D}_g(u, \Omega) \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}).$$

In any dimension $n \geq 3$, the following weak sequential density result was proved by Pakzad-Rivière [24], see [19, Sec. 5.6] for a proof in the easier case $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{S}^2$, the unit 2-sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Theorem 0.3 *For every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ there exists a sequence of smooth maps $\{u_k\} \subset C^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.*

This clearly yields that for every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega) < \infty \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}).$$

By Theorem 0.1 we then obtain that for every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega) = \inf \{ \mathbf{D}_g(T, \Omega \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{2,1} \}.$$

In this formula, $\mathcal{T}_u^{2,1}$ denotes the family of *vertical equivalence classes* of currents in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, denoted by $\text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, such that the underlying $W^{1,2}$ -maps u_T in (0.6) are equal to u , see (5.4). We recall that every element in $\text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ has a representative of the type (0.6) with $S_{T, \text{sing}} = 0$, see Remark 0.2. Moreover, the i.m. rectifiable currents $\mathbb{L}_s(T) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$ in the decomposition (0.6) do not depend on the choice of the representative in a class of $\text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$. Therefore, the Dirichlet energy $\mathbf{D}_g(T)$ of $T \in \text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ is well defined by the right-hand side of the formula (0.8), by taking γ_s as the *mass minimizing* integral chain of $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{Y})$ in the homology class $[\gamma_s]$.

As a consequence, we deduce that for every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega) = \mathbf{D}_g(u, \Omega) + \inf \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} M_s \cdot \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T) \llcorner \Omega) \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{2,1} \right\},$$

where

$$M_s := \inf \{ \mathbf{M}(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{Y}), \gamma \in [\gamma_s] \}.$$

Therefore, the gap between $\mathbf{D}_g(u, \Omega)$ and the relaxed energy $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega)$ is related to the minimum value of the g -mass among all the $(n-2)$ -dimensional i.m. rectifiable currents Γ in Ω that bound the *singular set* of u . The above formula reads as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u) = \mathbf{D}_g(u) + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} M_s \cdot \mathbf{M}_g(L_s),$$

where $L_s \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\Omega)$, for $s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$, is an *integral minimal connection for the g -mass* of the singular set $\mathbb{P}_s(u)$ *allowing connections to the boundary* of Ω , see Definitions 5.8 and 5.11. In the case $\mathcal{X} = B^n$ or \mathbb{S}^n , and for the standard Dirichlet integral, this formula was obtained in [26], in the case $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{S}^2$, and in [14], for more general target manifolds \mathcal{Y} as above.

Remark 0.4 From another point of view, one may be interested in studying the quadratic energy

$$\int_{B^n} f(x, Du) dx \tag{0.10}$$

of mappings $u : B^n \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, where the quadratic integrand $f : B^n \times M(N, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$f(x, G) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(G A(x) G^T), \quad x \in B^n, \quad G \in M(N, n), \tag{0.11}$$

$x \mapsto A(x)$ being a continuous map from B^n to the space of positive definite matrices in $M(n, n)$. Setting

$$g := (\det A)^{1/(n-2)} A^{-1} \iff A_{\alpha\beta}(x) := \sqrt{\det(g_{\alpha\beta}(x))} g^{\alpha\beta}(x), \quad x \in B^n, \tag{0.12}$$

it turns out that the quadratic energy (0.10) agrees with the Dirichlet energy (0.5) of mappings $u : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, where $(\mathcal{X}, g) = (B^n, g)$, i.e., we have

$$f(x, G) = e_g(x, G) \quad \forall (x, G) \in B^n \times M(N, n). \tag{0.13}$$

In the case $n = 3$, since $|\tau|_g^2 = \tau^T g \tau$, we have

$$|\tau|_g^2 = \tau^T (\operatorname{cof} A) \tau.$$

Using the same techniques, in Sec. 6 we briefly discuss some analogous features for the fractional Sobolev class $W^{1/2}(B^n, \mathcal{Y})$, given by the L^2 -mappings $u : B^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $u(x) \in \mathcal{Y}$ a.e. on B^n and that are the traces on $B^n \simeq B^n \times \{0\}$ of some Sobolev map U in $W^{1,2}(B^n \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{R}^N)$, equipped with the seminorm

$$|u|_{1/2} := \inf \left\{ \int_{B^n} \int_0^1 e_g(x, DU) dt dx \mid U \in W^{1,2}(B^n \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{R}^N), U = u \text{ on } B^n \times \{0\} \right\},$$

compare [23] for the case of $W^{1/2}$ -maps from B^2 into \mathbb{S}^1 , the unit circle in \mathbb{R}^2 .

1 The parametric envelop of the Dirichlet energy density

NOTATION ON MULTIVECTORS. Denote by $I(k, m)$ the class of ordered multi-indices α in $\{1, \dots, m\}$ of length k , i.e., $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ where $1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_k \leq m$, and, for convenience, $I(0, m) := \{0\}$. Moreover, denote by $|\alpha|$ the length of α , by $\bar{\alpha}$ the element in $I(m-k, m)$ which complements α , and by $\sigma(\alpha, \bar{\alpha})$ the sign of the permutation that reorders the multi-index $(\alpha, \bar{\alpha})$ in the natural way.

Let e_1, \dots, e_n and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N$ be the standard bases in \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^N , respectively, and denote by e_α and ε_β , for $\alpha \in I(k, n)$ and $\beta \in I(h, N)$, the unit simple multi-vectors $e_\alpha := e_{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\alpha_k}$ and $\varepsilon_\beta := \varepsilon_{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varepsilon_{\beta_h}$.

If $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a linear map we let G also denote the $(N \times n)$ -matrix in $M(N, n)$ associated to G with respect to the standard bases. For multi-indices α and β with length respectively $|\alpha| = n - k$

and $|\beta| = k$, we shall denote by G_{α}^{β} the $(k \times k)$ -submatrix of G with rows $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ and columns $\bar{\alpha} = (\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_k)$, and by

$$M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(G) := \det G_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

the determinant of G_{α}^{β} , where by definition

$$M_0^0(G) := 1.$$

Let $\Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ denote the space of n -vectors in \mathbb{R}^{n+N} . Every n -vector ξ in $\Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ can be written as

$$\xi = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=n} \xi^{\alpha\beta} e_{\alpha} \wedge \varepsilon_{\beta}, \quad \xi^{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{R},$$

we refer to $\xi^{\bar{0}0}$ as the *first component* of ξ , or as $\xi = \sum_{k=0}^{\underline{n}} \xi_{(k)}$, where

$$\xi_{(k)} := \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=n \\ |\beta|=k}} \xi^{\alpha\beta} e_{\alpha} \wedge \varepsilon_{\beta}, \quad k = 0, \dots, \underline{n} := \min\{n, N\},$$

so that $\xi_{(0)} = \xi^{\bar{0}0} e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$. We also denote by Σ the class of *simple* n -vectors in $\Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma \mid \xi^{\bar{0}0} = 1\}, & \Lambda_1 &:= \{\xi \in \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \mid \xi^{\bar{0}0} = 1\}, \\ \Sigma_+ &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma \mid \xi^{\bar{0}0} > 0\}, & \Lambda_+ &:= \{\xi \in \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \mid \xi^{\bar{0}0} > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $G \in M(N, n)$, the vectors $e_i + Ge_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, yield a basis of the tangent n -plane to the graph of G in \mathbb{R}^{n+N} that agrees with the graph of G . Letting

$$M(G) := (e_1 + Ge_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (e_n + Ge_n) \in \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}, \quad (1.1)$$

we find that the unit simple n -vector

$$\xi_G := \frac{M(G)}{|M(G)|},$$

called the *tangent n -vector to the graph of G* , identifies the n -plane graph of G , and in fact orients such an n -plane. The map $G \mapsto M(G)$ from $M(N, n)$ to $\Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ is injective, as

$$M(G) = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=n} \sigma(\alpha, \bar{\alpha}) M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(G) e_{\alpha} \wedge \varepsilon_{\beta} \in \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}. \quad (1.2)$$

Moreover, if $M_{(k)}(G) := M(G)_{(k)}$, for every $G \in M(N, n)$ we have $M_{(0)}(G) = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$ and

$$M_{(1)}(G) = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i} G_i^j \hat{e}_i \wedge \varepsilon_j, \quad G = (G_i^j)_{j,i=1}^{N,n}.$$

Conversely, to every $\xi \in \Lambda_+$ we associate the matrix $G_{\xi} \in M(N, n)$ defined by

$$G_{\xi} := M_{(1)}^{-1} \left(\frac{\xi_{(1)}}{\xi^{\bar{0}0}} \right).$$

For $\xi \in \Lambda_+$ we have $G_{\xi} = 0$ if and only if $\xi_{(1)} = 0$, whereas $G_{\lambda\xi} = G_{\xi}$ for every $\forall \lambda > 0$. Most importantly, $G_{\xi} = M^{-1}(\xi)$ if and only if $\xi \in \Sigma_1$, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} G_{M(G)} = G & \forall G \in M(N, n), \\ \xi = M(G_{\xi}) & \iff \xi \in \Sigma_1 \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

and finally

$$\xi \in \Lambda_+ \quad \text{is simple if and only if} \quad \frac{\xi}{\xi^{\bar{0}0}} = M(G_{\xi}),$$

whereas Λ_1 agrees with the convex envelop of the set of n -vector $M(G)$,

$$\text{co} (\{M(G) \mid G \in M(N, n)\}) = \Lambda_1. \quad (1.4)$$

We refer to [11] for background material concerning this section.

LINEAR MAPPINGS ON n -VECTORS. If $L : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear map between finite dimensional vector spaces V and W , and $\Lambda_k L : \Lambda_k V \rightarrow \Lambda_k W$ is the *induced linear transformation*, defined on simple k -vectors by

$$\Lambda_k L(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) := Lv_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Lv_k,$$

we have

$$M(G) = \Lambda_n(\text{Id} \bowtie G)(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n) \quad \forall G \in M(N, n),$$

where $(\text{Id} \bowtie G) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ is given by $(\text{Id} \bowtie G)(x) := (x, Gx)$. Moreover, the following Laplace's formulas hold:

Lemma 1.1 *Let $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a non-singular linear map. Then*

$$\sigma(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}) \sigma(\alpha, \bar{\alpha}) M_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha}(L) = (\det L) M_{\alpha}^{\gamma}(L^{-1})$$

for any $0 \leq |\alpha| = |\gamma| \leq n$.

PROOF: Let (e_1, \dots, e_n) and $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ be two orthonormal bases in the domain and in the target space, respectively. From

$$(\text{Id} \bowtie L) = (L^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}) \circ L$$

we get

$$\Lambda_n(\text{Id} \bowtie L) = \Lambda_n(L^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}) \circ \Lambda_n L$$

so that, as $\Lambda_n(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n) = (\det L)(\epsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_n)$, we get

$$\Lambda_n(\text{Id} \bowtie L)(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n) = (\det L) \Lambda_n(L^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id})(\epsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_n),$$

which in components reads as the above Laplace's formulas. \square

Definition 1.2 *For any square matrix $L \in M(n, n)$, let $\mathcal{L}_L : \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \rightarrow \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ be the linear map defined by*

$$\mathcal{L}_L(\xi) := \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=n} \sigma(\alpha, \bar{\alpha}) \xi_L^{\alpha\beta} e_{\alpha} \wedge \varepsilon_{\beta}, \quad \xi_L^{\alpha\beta} := \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} \sigma(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}) \xi^{\gamma\beta} M_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\gamma}(L),$$

if $\xi = \sum_{|\gamma|+|\beta|=n} \xi^{\gamma\beta} e_{\gamma} \wedge \varepsilon_{\beta} \in \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$.

Lemma 1.3 *We have*

$$\mathcal{L}_L(M(G)) = M(GL) \quad \forall G \in M(N, n).$$

Moreover, if $\det L \neq 0$, then \mathcal{L}_L is bijective and $\mathcal{L}_L^{-1} = \mathcal{L}_{L^{-1}}$.

PROOF: Since by the Binet's formulas $M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(GL) = \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} M_{\gamma}^{\beta}(G) M_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}(L)$, by (1.2) we compute

$$M(GL) = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=n} \sigma(\alpha, \bar{\alpha}) \left(\sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} M_{\gamma}^{\beta}(G) M_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}(L) \right) e_{\alpha} \wedge \varepsilon_{\beta},$$

that proves the first assertion. If $\det L \neq 0$, we trivially have

$$\mathcal{L}_{L^{-1}} \circ \mathcal{L}_L(M(G)) = \mathcal{L}_{L^{-1}}(M(GL)) = M(GLL^{-1}) = M(G).$$

Using (1.4), by linearity and continuity we obtain the second assertion. \square

THE PARAMETRIC POLYCONVEX L.S.C. ENVELOP. Let $e_g : B^n \times M(N, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the Dirichlet energy density (0.4), and let $F_g : B^n \times \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ be its *parametric polyconvex lower semicontinuous envelop* given by (0.7). Since e_g is continuous, it turns out that $F_g(x, \xi)$ is *l.s.c. in all variables and convex in ξ for any x* . In fact, if $\underline{e}_g : B^n \times \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ is defined, according to (1.3), by $\underline{e}_g(x, \xi) := e_g(x, G_\xi)$, taking x as a parameter, the map $\xi \mapsto F_g(x, \xi)$ agrees with the *convex l.s.c. envelop* of $\xi \mapsto \bar{e}_g(x, \xi)$,

$$F_g(x, \cdot) := \Gamma C \bar{e}_g(x, \cdot),$$

where for every $x \in B^n$ we set

$$\bar{e}_g(x, \xi) := \begin{cases} \xi^{\bar{0}0} \underline{e}_g(x, \xi / \xi^{\bar{0}0}) = \xi^{\bar{0}0} e_g(x, G_\xi) & \text{if } \xi \in \Sigma_+, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For future use, we shall denote by $F : \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ the parametric polyconvex l.s.c. envelop of the standard Dirichlet integrand $G \mapsto \frac{1}{2}|G|^2$, i.e., $F = F_g$ with $g = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, so that F does not depend on x and

$$F(\xi) = \sup \left\{ \phi(\xi) \mid \phi : \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+, \phi \text{ linear}, \right. \\ \left. \phi(M(G)) \leq \frac{1}{2}|G|^2 \quad \forall G \in M(N, n) \right\}. \quad (1.5)$$

Proposition 1.4 *For every $x \in B^n$ we have*

$$F_g(x, \xi) = F(\mathcal{L}_L(\xi)) \quad \forall \xi \in \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N},$$

where $L = L(x)$ is the unique symmetric positive definite square matrix in $M(n, n)$ satisfying

$$L(x)L(x)^T = \sqrt{\det g(x)} g(x)^{-1}, \quad (1.6)$$

and \mathcal{L}_L is given by Definition 1.2.

PROOF: If $A = A(x) \in M(n, n)$ is the positive definite symmetric square matrix given by (0.12), we actually have $LL^T = A$, i.e., $L := \sqrt{A}$ in (1.6). Therefore, by (0.11) and (0.13) we infer that

$$2e_g(x, G) = \text{tr}(GAG^T) = \text{tr}((GL)(GL)^T) = |GL|^2 \quad \forall G \in M(N, n). \quad (1.7)$$

Because of (0.7), this yields that for every $x \in B^n$ and $\xi \in \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$

$$F_g(x, \xi) = \sup \left\{ \phi(\xi) \mid \phi : \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+, \phi \text{ linear}, \right. \\ \left. \phi(M(G)) \leq \frac{1}{2}|GL(x)|^2 \quad \forall G \in M(N, n) \right\}. \quad (1.8)$$

Since the matrix $L(x)$ in (1.6) is invertible, by (1.8), Lemma 1.3 and (1.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_g(x, \xi) &= \sup \left\{ \phi(\xi) \mid \phi \text{ linear}, \phi(M(GL^{-1})) \leq \frac{1}{2}|G|^2 \quad \forall G \in M(N, n) \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \phi(\xi) \mid \phi \text{ linear}, \phi \circ \mathcal{L}_{L^{-1}}(M(G)) \leq \frac{1}{2}|G|^2 \quad \forall G \in M(N, n) \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \phi \circ \mathcal{L}_{L^{-1}}(\mathcal{L}_L \xi) \mid \phi \text{ linear}, \phi \circ \mathcal{L}_{L^{-1}}(M(G)) \leq \frac{1}{2}|G|^2 \quad \forall G \in M(N, n) \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{L}_L \xi) \mid \tilde{\phi} \text{ linear}, \tilde{\phi}(M(G)) \leq \frac{1}{2}|G|^2 \quad \forall G \in M(N, n) \right\} = F(\mathcal{L}_L(\xi)), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

AN EXPLICIT FORMULA. We are interested in writing more explicitly the polyconvex extension of the energy density e_g on simple n -vectors ξ in $\Lambda_{n-2}\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \Lambda_2\mathbb{R}^N$. We will see that for every $x \in B^n$ it agrees with the length of ξ in the metric on \mathbb{R}^{n+N} given by the product of the metric $g(x)$ on \mathbb{R}^n and of the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^N . To this purpose, we recall that a metric g on \mathbb{R}^n induces a metric on the whole exterior algebra. In particular, we have

$$\langle \tau, \eta \rangle_g := \langle \Lambda_k(g)\tau, \eta \rangle \quad \forall \tau, \eta \in \Lambda_k\mathbb{R}^n,$$

so that

$$|\tau|_g = |\Lambda_k(g^{1/2})(\tau)| \quad \forall \tau \in \Lambda_k\mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.9)$$

where $g^{1/2} := \sqrt{g}$ is the unique symmetric positive definite square matrix \tilde{g} such that $\tilde{g}^2 = g$.

Proposition 1.5 *If $\xi = \tau \wedge \eta \in \Lambda_{n-2}\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \Lambda_2\mathbb{R}^N$ and $L \in M(n, n)$ is non-singular, then*

$$\mathcal{L}_L(\tau \wedge \eta) = (\det L)(\Lambda_{n-2}L^{-1}(\tau) \wedge \eta) = \Lambda_{n-2}(g^{1/2})(\tau) \wedge \eta.$$

PROOF: For any $\alpha \in I(n-2, n)$ and $\beta \in I(2, n)$, by Definition 1.2 we have

$$\mathcal{L}_L(e_\alpha \wedge \varepsilon_\beta) = \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} \sigma(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}) \sigma(\alpha, \bar{\alpha}) M_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(L) e_\gamma \wedge \varepsilon_\beta,$$

whereas

$$\Lambda_{n-2}L^{-1}(e_\alpha) = \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\gamma(L^{-1}) e_\gamma.$$

By Lemma 1.1 we thus obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_L(e_\alpha \wedge \varepsilon_\beta) = (\det L) \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\gamma(L^{-1}) e_\gamma \wedge \varepsilon_\beta = (\det L) (\Lambda_{n-2}L^{-1}(e_\alpha) \wedge \varepsilon_\beta).$$

The first equality follows by using an argument by linearity on the two factors $\Lambda_{n-2}\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Lambda_2\mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, by (1.6) we have

$$\det L = ((\det g)^{n/2} g^{-1})^{1/2} = (\det g)^{(n-2)/4}$$

and

$$L^{-1} = (\det g)^{-1/4} g^{1/2}.$$

This yields

$$(\det L) \Lambda_{n-2}L^{-1} = \Lambda_{n-2}(g^{1/2})$$

and hence the second equality. \square

We recall from [11, Vol. II, Sec. 5.4.4], see also [19, Sec. 4.8], that if $\xi = \tau \wedge \eta \in \Lambda_{n-2}\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \Lambda_2\mathbb{R}^N$ is simple, and F is given by (1.5), we have

$$F(\tau \wedge \eta) = |\tau| \cdot |\eta|.$$

As a consequence of Propositions 1.4 and 1.5, on account of (1.9) we immediately obtain:

Theorem 1.6 *Let $\xi = \tau \wedge \eta \in \Lambda_{n-2}\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \Lambda_2\mathbb{R}^N$ be a simple n -vector, and let F_g be given by (1.8). For every $x \in B^n$ we have*

$$F_g(x, \tau \wedge \eta) = F(\Lambda_{n-2}(g^{1/2})(\tau) \wedge \eta) = |\Lambda_{n-2}(g^{1/2})(\tau)| \cdot |\eta| = |\tau|_g \cdot |\eta|.$$

MANIFOLD CONSTRAINED MAPPINGS. In the sequel we shall deal with mappings that are constrained to take values into a smooth manifold \mathcal{Y} isometrically embedded in \mathbb{R}^N . To this purpose, we notice that in fact the energy density (0.1) is given by the integrand $\widehat{e}_g : B^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times M(N, n) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ defined by

$$\widehat{e}_g(x, u, G) := \begin{cases} e_g(x, G) & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{Y} \text{ and } G \in S_u \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$S_u := \{G \in M(N, n) \mid G \in T_u\mathcal{Y}\}, \quad u \in \mathcal{Y},$$

$T_u\mathcal{Y}$ being the tangent space to \mathcal{Y} at u . We denote by $\widehat{F}_g(x, u, \xi) : B^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ the parametric polyconvex l.s.c. extension of the integrand \widehat{e}_g . The n -vector $M(G)$ corresponding to matrices $G \in S_u$ belongs to the subspace $\Lambda_n(\mathbb{R}^N \times T_u\mathcal{Y})$. This implies the following property, compare [11, Vol. II, Sec. 1.2.4] or [19, Sec. 4.8].

Proposition 1.7 *For every $x \in B^n$ we have:*

$$\widehat{F}_g(x, u, \xi) := \begin{cases} F_g(x, \xi) & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{Y}, \xi \in \Lambda_n(\mathbb{R}^n \times T_u\mathcal{Y}) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

where $F_g(x, \xi)$ is given by (1.8) and $T_u\mathcal{Y}$ is the tangent space to \mathcal{Y} at u .

Since e_g is a local representation of the energy density (0.1), actually \widehat{F}_g defines locally pointwise a map $\widehat{F}_g(x, u, \xi) : \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \Lambda_n \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$

2 Cartesian currents and Dirichlet energy

CARTESIAN CURRENTS. Following [11] [19], we recall that an integral 2-cycle in $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{Y})$ is said to be of *spherical type* if its homology class contains a Lipschitz image of the 2-sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . We denote by

$$H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y}) := \{[\gamma] \in H_2(\mathcal{Y}) \mid \exists \phi \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathcal{Y}) : \phi_\#[\mathbb{S}^2] \in [\gamma]\} \quad (2.1)$$

the spherical subgroup of $H_2(\mathcal{Y})$, and we shall also assume that $H_2(\mathcal{Y})/H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y})$ has no torsion. Therefore, there are generators $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_{\bar{s}}]$, i.e. integral cycles $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\bar{s}}$ in $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{Y})$, such that

$$H_2(\mathcal{Y}) = \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{\bar{s}} n_s [\gamma_s] \mid n_s \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

see e.g. [11], Vol. I, Sec. 5.4.1, and we may and do choose the γ_s 's in such a way that $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_{\bar{s}}]$ generate the spherical homology classes in $H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y})$ for some $\tilde{s} \leq \bar{s}$. By de Rham's theorem, we may and do choose a dual basis $[\sigma^1], \dots, [\sigma^{\tilde{s}}]$ in $H_{dR}^2(\mathcal{Y})$ so that $\gamma_s(\sigma^r) = \delta_{sr}$, the Kronecker symbol. Also, we may and do assume that σ^s is the harmonic form in its cohomology class.

We denote by $\mathcal{D}^{k,p}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ the subspace of $\mathcal{D}^k(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ of compactly supported smooth k -forms in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ of the type $\omega = \sum_{j=0}^p \omega^{(j)}$, where $\omega^{(j)}$ is the component of ω that contains exactly j differentials in the vertical \mathcal{Y} variables. Also, $\mathcal{D}_{k,p}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ denotes the dual space of $\mathcal{D}^{k,p}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$.

We also remark that if $T \in \mathcal{D}_{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ the *boundary current* ∂T makes sense only as an element of the dual space of $\mathcal{Z}^{n-1,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, where

$$\mathcal{Z}^{k,p}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) := \{\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{k,p}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid d_y \omega^{(p)} = 0\} \quad (2.2)$$

and $d = d_x + d_y$ is the natural splitting of the exterior differential into a horizontal and a vertical differential.

Example 2.1 If $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, the current G_u carried by the ‘‘graph’’ of u is well-defined in an approximate sense, see [11], by

$$G_u(\omega) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\text{Id} \bowtie u) \# \omega, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}),$$

where $(\text{Id} \bowtie u)(x) := (x, u(x))$, and hence $G_u \in \mathcal{D}_{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$.

Cartesian currents in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, see Definition 2.2 below, arise as weak limit points of sequences of graphs G_{u_k} of smooth maps $u_k : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with equibounded $W^{1,2}$ -norms

$$\sup_k \|u_k\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})} < \infty.$$

It turns out that every such weak limit point satisfies the *null-boundary condition*

$$\partial T(\omega) = 0 \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{Z}^{n-1,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \quad (2.3)$$

and decomposes as

$$T = G_{u_T} + S_T, \quad S_T = \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} \mathbb{L}_s(T) \times \gamma_s \quad \text{on } \mathcal{Z}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}), \quad (2.4)$$

where $u_T \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $\mathbb{L}_s(T)$ is an i.m. rectifiable current in $\mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$, for every s . Setting

$$S_{T,sing} := T - (G_{u_T} + S_T)$$

though completely vertical and homologically trivial, i.e., $S_{T,sing}(\omega) = 0$ if $\omega^{(2)} = 0$ or $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, in general $S_{T,sing}$ is non zero only possibly on forms $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ for which $d_y \omega^{(2)} \neq 0$. Moreover, even if T is the weak limit of a sequence of smooth graphs with equibounded Dirichlet energies, in principle $S_{T,sing}$ may be any measure, compare [10].

By lower semicontinuity, it turns out that every such weak limit point T has *finite \mathbf{D} -norm*,

$$\|T\|_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{X}) < \infty,$$

where we define for any open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{\mathbf{D}}(\Omega) &:= \sup \left\{ T(\omega) \mid \omega \in \mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}), \|\omega\|_{\mathbf{D}} \leq 1, \text{spt } \omega \subset \Omega \times \mathcal{Y} \right\} \\ \|\omega\|_{\mathbf{D}} &:= \max \left\{ \sup_{x,y} \frac{|\omega^{(0)}(x,y)|}{1+|y|^2}, \int_{\mathcal{X}} \sup_y |\omega^{(1)}(x,y)|^2 d_{vol} x, \int_{\mathcal{X}} \sup_y |\omega^{(2)}(x,y)| d_{vol} x \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Definition 2.2 *The class $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ is the class of the currents T in $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ that satisfy the null-boundary condition (2.3), have finite \mathbf{D} -norm, and decompose as in (2.4) for some $u_T \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and some i.m. rectifiable current $\mathbb{L}_s(T) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$, for $s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$.*

Remark 2.3 By the structure theorem, see e.g. [19, Thm. 4.66], the class $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ agrees with the one considered in [9] [19].

Example 2.4 If $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, the norms $\|u\|_{W^{1,2}}$ and $\|G_u\|_{\mathbf{D}}$ are equivalent. Therefore, the current G_u belongs to $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ if and only if

$$\partial G_u = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{Z}^{n-1,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \quad (2.6)$$

or, equivalently,

$$G_u(d\omega) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\text{Id} \bowtie u)^\# d\omega = 0 \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{Z}^{n-1,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}).$$

Thanks to Schoen-Uhlenbeck density theorem [25], condition (2.6) is always satisfied in dimension $n = 2$. However, if $n \geq 3$, for maps $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ in general (2.6) is violated. For example, if $n = 3$, $\mathcal{X} = B^3$, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{S}^2$, and $u(x) := x/|x|$, we have, compare [11, Vol. I, Sec. 3.2.2],

$$\partial G_u = -\delta_0 \times \llbracket \mathbb{S}^2 \rrbracket \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}^2(B^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2).$$

THE DIRICHLET ENERGY ON CURRENTS. Every current $T \in \mathcal{D}_{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ can be identified, in terms of its components, with the $\mathbb{R}^{c(n,N)}$ -valued linear functional $T := (T^{00}, (T^{\bar{i}j}), (T^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}))$, where for every $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ we set $T^{00}(\phi) := T(\phi dx)$,

$$T^{\bar{i}j}(\phi) := T(\phi \widehat{dx}^i \wedge dy^j), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$T^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}(\phi) := T(\phi dx^{\bar{\alpha}} \wedge dy^\beta), \quad |\alpha| = |\beta| = 2,$$

and $c(n, N) := 1 + Nn + \binom{n}{2} \binom{N}{2}$. If $\|T\|_{\mathbf{D}} < \infty$, we can decompose $T = \|T\|_{\mathbf{D}} \perp \vec{T}$, where \vec{T} is the *Radon-Nikodym derivative* of T with respect to $\|T\|_{\mathbf{D}}$.

Definition 2.5 The Dirichlet integral (0.2) is extended to currents T in $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ with finite \mathbf{D} -norm, $\|T\|_{\mathbf{D}} < \infty$, by letting

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T) := \int \widehat{F}_g(x, u, \vec{T}) d\|T\|_{\mathbf{D}},$$

where $\widehat{F}_g(x, u, \xi)$ is the parametric polyconvex l.s.c. extension given in local coordinates by (1.10). For any measurable set $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ we define

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T, B \times \mathcal{Y}) := \mathbf{D}_g(T \llcorner (B \times \mathcal{Y})).$$

Remark 2.6 Since our considerations are all local, a part from the proof of Theorem 3.1 and the covering argument in the proof of Theorem 3.4, it looks convenient to look at \mathcal{X} as (B^n, g) . We shall then denote by $\mathbf{D}(T)$ the Dirichlet energy of T in the case $g \equiv \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, the Euclidean metric, i.e., when $e_g(G) \equiv \frac{1}{2}|G|^2$. Finally, for every map $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ we set

$$\mathbf{D}_g(u, B) := \int_B e_g(x, Du(x)) dx, \quad \mathbf{D}(u, B) := \frac{1}{2} \int_B |Du(x)|^2 dx.$$

PROPERTIES. From now on we shall assume that there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$C|\tau|^2 \leq |\tau|_{g(x)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{C}|\tau|^2, \quad |\tau|_{g(x)}^2 := \tau^T g(x) \tau. \quad (2.7)$$

This clearly yields that for some absolute constant $\tilde{C} > 0$ we have

$$\tilde{C} \mathbf{D}(T) \leq \mathbf{D}_g(T) \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{C}} \mathbf{D}(T) \quad \forall T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}).$$

As a consequence of the closure theorem in [9], we readily obtain the following properties:

- i) $\mathbf{D}_g(T) < \infty$ for every $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$;
- ii) the functional $T \mapsto \mathbf{D}_g(T)$ is lower semicontinuous in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ with respect to the weak $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ -convergence;
- iii) the class $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ is closed in the weak $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ -convergence along sequences with equibounded \mathbf{D}_g -energies;
- iv) \mathbf{D}_g -bounded sequences in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ are relatively compact in the $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ -topology.

THE g -MASS. The g -comass $\|\omega\|_g$ of a k -form $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^k(\mathcal{X})$ is defined by

$$\|\omega(x)\|_{g(x)} := \sup\{\langle \omega(x), \xi \rangle \mid \xi \in \Lambda^k(T_x \mathcal{X}) \text{ simple, } |\xi|_{g(x)} \leq 1\}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X},$$

where $T_x \mathcal{X}$ is the tangent n -space to \mathcal{X} at x , and the g -mass of a current $\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{X})$ by

$$\mathbf{M}_g(\Gamma) := \sup\{\Gamma(\omega) \mid \omega \in \mathcal{D}^k(\mathcal{X}), \|\omega(x)\|_{g(x)} \leq 1 \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\}. \quad (2.8)$$

If $g(x) \equiv \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, they agree with the standard comass and mass, respectively. Moreover, if Γ is an i.m. rectifiable current in $\mathcal{R}_k(\mathcal{X})$, writing $\Gamma = \tau(\mathcal{G}, \theta, \xi)$, where \mathcal{G} is k -rectifiable in \mathcal{X} , $\theta(x)$ is an integer-valued multiplicity function on \mathcal{G} and $\xi(x)$ is a simple k -vector in $\Lambda_k(T_x \mathcal{X})$, with $|\xi(x)|_{g(x)} = 1$, orienting \mathcal{G} at x , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_g(\Gamma) &= \sup\left\{ \int_{\mathcal{G}} \theta(x) \langle \omega(x), \xi(x) \rangle d\mathcal{H}^k \mid \omega \in \mathcal{D}^k(\mathcal{X}), \|\omega(x)\|_{g(x)} \leq 1 \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \right\} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}} \theta(x) d\mathcal{H}^k(x). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.7 For future use, we point out that in local coordinates, e.g. when \mathcal{X} is equal to (B^n, g) , the g -mass of a current $\Gamma = \tau(\mathcal{G}, \theta, \xi)$, where $|\xi| \equiv 1$ in the Euclidean metric, agrees with

$$\mathbf{M}_g(\Gamma) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} \theta(x) |\xi(x)|_{g(x)} d\mathcal{H}^k(x).$$

AN EXPLICIT FORMULA. Assume now that $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ can be decomposed as in (2.4) on the whole of $\mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, where $u_T \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $\mathbb{L}_s(T) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$. Write $\mathbb{L}_s(T) = \tau(\mathcal{L}_s, \theta_s, \tau_s)$, where \mathcal{L}_s is $(n-2)$ -rectifiable in \mathcal{X} , $\theta_s(x)$ is an integer-valued multiplicity function on \mathcal{L}_s and $\tau_s(x)$ is a simple $(n-2)$ -vector in $\Lambda_{n-2}\mathbb{R}^n$ orienting \mathcal{L}_s at x , with $|\tau_s(x)|_{g(x)} = 1$. In this case, for every Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T) \llcorner B) = \int_{\mathcal{L}_s \cap B} \theta_s(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(x). \quad (2.9)$$

Arguing as for the standard Dirichlet integral $\mathbf{D}(T)$, we then compute explicitly:

Proposition 2.8 *For every Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ we have*

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T, B \times \mathcal{Y}) = \mathbf{D}_g(u_T, B) + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} \mathbf{M}(\gamma_s) \cdot \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T) \llcorner B). \quad (2.10)$$

PROOF: If $\eta_s \in \Lambda_2\mathbb{R}^N$ yields an orientation to γ_s at $u \in \mathcal{Y}$, and $|\eta_s| = 1$, the simple n -vector $\tau_s \wedge \eta_s$ yields an orientation to $\mathbb{L}_s(T) \times \gamma_s$ at (x, u) . By Theorem 1.6 and Proposition 1.7 we have

$$\widehat{F}_g(x, u, \tau_s \wedge \eta_s) = |\tau_s|_{g(x)} \cdot |\eta| = 1.$$

Due to Definition 2.5, using the same argument as for the standard Dirichlet integral, compare [11, Vol. II, Sec. 5.4.4] or [19, Sec. 4.9], we obtain

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T, B \times \mathcal{Y}) = \int_B e_g(x, Du_T) dx + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} \mathbf{M}(\gamma_s) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{L}_s \cap B} \theta_s(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(x).$$

The assertion follows from (2.9). □

THE CASE OF CONSTANT METRICS. Assume now that the metric g is constant, so that $e_g(x, G) \equiv e_g(G)$ in (0.5). Equivalently, compare Remark 0.4, assume that $A(x) \equiv A$ is a constant positive definite symmetric matrix in $M(n, n)$. If $g \equiv \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, the Euclidean metric, i.e., if A is the identity matrix, then

$$e_g(G) \equiv \frac{1}{2} |G|^2 \quad \forall G \in M(N, n).$$

Therefore, the energy $\mathbf{D}_g(T)$ agrees with the standard Dirichlet energy $\mathbf{D}(T)$ and for every Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ we clearly have

$$\mathbf{D}(T, B \times \mathcal{Y}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_B |Du_T|^2 dx + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} \mathbf{M}(\gamma_s) \cdot \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{L}_s(T) \llcorner B).$$

In the case $(\mathcal{X}, g_{\alpha\beta}) = (B^n, \delta_{\alpha\beta})$, the following density result holds true, compare [17] [19, Sec. 5.4]:

Theorem 2.9 *For every $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(B^n \times \mathcal{Y})$ there exists a sequence of smooth maps $\{u_k\} \subset C^1(B^n, \mathcal{Y})$ such that $G_{u_k} \rightharpoonup T$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}(B^n \times \mathcal{Y})$ and $\frac{1}{2} \int_{B^n} |Du_k|^2 dx \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(T)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

Remark 2.10 In dimension $n \geq 3$, the hypothesis on the Hurewicz maps is a necessary condition to strong approximability by smooth sequences. In fact, if the Hurewicz homomorphism $\pi_2(\mathcal{Y}; y_0) \rightarrow H_2(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{R})$ is not injective, there are maps in $W^{1,2}(B^3, \mathcal{Y})$ that are smooth outside the origin, i.e., with only one point singularity, which cannot be approximated weakly with the \mathbf{D} -energy by graphs of smooth maps, even if G_u satisfies the null-boundary condition (2.6), i.e., $G_u \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(B^3, \mathcal{Y})$, compare [19, Sec. 5.3].

In the case of constant metrics g , the following link with the standard Dirichlet energy clearly holds true. For every $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(B^n \times \mathcal{Y})$, we will denote by $T_L := (L^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N})_{\#} T$ the Cartesian current in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(L^{-1}(B^n) \times \mathcal{Y})$ given by the push forward of T by means of the linear map $(L^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N})(x, y) := (L^{-1}x, y)$, where L is given by (1.6), i.e.,

$$T_L(\tilde{\omega}) := T((L^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N})_{\#} \tilde{\omega}), \quad \tilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{D}^{n,2}(L^{-1}(B^n) \times \mathcal{Y}).$$

Notice that if $T = G_{u_T}$ for some Sobolev map $u_T \in W^{1,2}(B^n, \mathcal{Y})$, then

$$(L^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N})_{\#} G_{u_T} = G_{v_T},$$

where $v_T : L^{-1}(B^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is given by $v_T(\tilde{x}) := u_T(L\tilde{x})$. This yields that the function v_T corresponding to T_L agrees with $u_T \circ L$.

Proposition 2.11 *Assume that the metric g is constant on B^n . Let $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(B^n \times \mathcal{Y})$ be such that (2.4) holds in the whole of $\mathcal{D}^{n,2}(B^n \times \mathcal{Y})$. For every Borel set $B \subset B^n$ we have*

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T, B \times \mathcal{Y}) = (\det L) \cdot \mathbf{D}(T_L, L^{-1}(B) \times \mathcal{Y}),$$

where L is given by (1.6). In particular, if $T = G_{u_T}$ for some $u_T \in W^{1,2}(B^n, \mathcal{Y})$, then

$$\int_{B^n} e_g(Du_T(x)) dx = (\det L) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int_{L^{-1}(B^n)} |Dv_T(\tilde{x})|^2 d\tilde{x}, \quad v_T(\tilde{x}) := u_T(L\tilde{x}).$$

PROOF: The isomorphism $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ induces an isomorphism $L^{\#} : W^{1,2}(B^n, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow W^{1,2}(L^{-1}(B^n), \mathcal{Y})$ and an isomorphism map $(L^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N})_{\#}$ between $\mathcal{D}^{n,2}(B^n \times \mathcal{Y})$ onto $\mathcal{D}^{n,2}(L^{-1}(B^n) \times \mathcal{Y})$. Moreover, it is easily seen that $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(B^n \times \mathcal{Y})$ if and only if $T_L := (L^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N})_{\#} T$ belongs to $\text{cart}^{2,1}(L^{-1}(B^n) \times \mathcal{Y})$ and

$$e_g(G) = (\det L) \cdot \frac{1}{2} |G \circ L|^2 \quad \forall G \in M(N, n).$$

This yields the assertions. \square

3 A density result for the Dirichlet energy

In this section and in the next one we shall prove a density result for the Dirichlet energy. As before, we assume that for any $y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$ the Hurewicz homomorphism from $\pi_2(\mathcal{Y}; y_0)$ onto $H_2(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{R})$ is injective. Moreover, we assume that the metric $g(x)$ is continuous in \mathcal{X} and satisfies the bound (2.7). Finally, we assume that \mathcal{X} satisfies the 1-extension property with respect to \mathcal{Y} . Alternatively, we may assume that \mathcal{X} is 1-connected, i.e., that $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}) = 0$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ be such that*

$$T = G_{u_T} + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} \mathbb{L}_s(T) \times \gamma_s \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}), \quad (3.1)$$

where $u_T \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $\mathbb{L}_s(T)$ is an i.m. rectifiable current in $\mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$, for every s . There exists a sequence of smooth maps $\{u_k\} \subset C^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ such that $G_{u_k} \rightarrow T$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{X}} e_g(x, Du_k(x)) dx = \mathbf{D}_g(T).$$

Remark 3.2 Since the metric function $x \mapsto g(x)$ is continuous in \mathcal{X} , whereas

$$G \mapsto \frac{e_g(x, G) - e_g(x_0, G)}{|G|^2}$$

is positively homogeneous of degree zero, it turns out that there exists a continuous function $\omega : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying $\omega(t) \rightarrow 0$ if $t \rightarrow 0$, such that for every $x, x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and every $G \in M(N, n)$

$$|e_g(x, G) - e_g(x_0, G)| \leq \omega(|x - x_0|) \cdot |G|^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Remark 3.3 If $(\mathcal{X}, g_{\alpha\beta}) = (B^n, g_{\alpha\beta})$, and the metric g is constant on B^n , we immediately deduce Theorem 3.1. In fact, setting $T_L := (L^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N}) \# T$, by Theorem 2.9 we find a sequence $\{v_k\} \subset C^1(L^{-1}(B^n), \mathcal{Y})$ such that $G_{v_k} \rightharpoonup T_L$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \int_{L^{-1}(B^n)} |Dv_k|^2 d\tilde{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(T_L, L^{-1}(B^n) \times \mathcal{Y})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It then suffices to apply Proposition 2.11, by taking $u_k := v_k \circ L^{-1}$.

In the case of dimension $n = 2$, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is an easy adaptation of the one from [13], by using the continuity of the metric g and Proposition 4.2 below, so we omit to write it. In the case of higher dimension $n \geq 3$, we shall use arguments taken from the density theorem in [18] [20], see also [19], and we shall adapt the dipole construction to our situation, Theorem 3.8.

To this purpose, for every current $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ satisfying (3.1), with $\mathbb{L}_s(T) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$ for every s , we will denote by μ_T the finite Radon measure on \mathcal{X} given for every Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ by

$$\mu_T(B) := \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} \mathbf{M}(\gamma_s) \cdot \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T) \cap B), \quad (3.3)$$

so that we have

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T, B \times \mathcal{Y}) = \mathbf{D}_g(u_T, B) + \mu_T(B).$$

For any T as above, we will also denote by $\mathbf{F}(T)$ the *flat norm*

$$\mathbf{F}(T) := \sup\{T(\omega) \mid \omega \in \mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}), \mathbf{F}(\omega) \leq 1\},$$

where for every $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$

$$\mathbf{F}(\omega) := \max\left\{ \sup_{z \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \|\omega(z)\|, \sup_{z \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \|d\omega(z)\| \right\}.$$

As $|T(\omega)| \leq \mathbf{F}(T) \mathbf{F}(\omega)$, we infer that $T_k \rightharpoonup T$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ provided that $\mathbf{F}(T_k - T) \rightarrow 0$.

Moreover, following [9], see also [19, Sec. 4.6], if $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ decomposes as in Theorem 3.1, we can write

$$T = G_u + S_T, \quad S_T := \sum_{q \in H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y})} \mathbb{L}_q \times R_q \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}).$$

Here, $u = u_T \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and every \mathbb{L}_q is an i.m. rectifiable current in $\mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$ with multiplicity one such that, writing $\mathbb{L}_q = \tau(\mathcal{L}_q, 1, \tau_q)$, the $(n-2)$ -rectifiable sets $\mathcal{L}_q := \text{set}(\mathbb{L}_q)$ are pairwise disjoint and $|\tau_q(x)|_{g(x)} = 1$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}_q$. Moreover, $R_q \in \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{Y})$ is an integral 2-cycle of spherical type in the homology class q . As a consequence, on account of (2.10), the Dirichlet energy of T can be equivalently written for every Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ as

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T, B) := \mathbf{D}_g(T, B \times \mathcal{Y}) = \mathbf{D}_g(u, B) + \sum_{q \in H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y})} \mathbf{M}(R_q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_q \llcorner B). \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.3) and (3.4) we then infer that the rectifiable measure μ_T satisfies

$$\mu_T = \theta_T \mathcal{H}^{n-2} \llcorner \mathcal{L}_T,$$

where \mathcal{L}_T is the $(n-2)$ -rectifiable set $\mathcal{L}_T := \bigcup_{q \in H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y})} \text{set}(\mathbb{L}_q)$, so that $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathcal{L}_T) < \infty$, and the density $\theta_T : \mathcal{L}_T \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is the non-negative $\mathcal{H}^{n-2} \llcorner \mathcal{L}_T$ -measurable function on \mathcal{L}_T given by

$$\theta_T(x) := \mathbf{M}(R_q) \quad \text{if } x \in \text{set}(\mathbb{L}_q).$$

Finally, by the smoothness and compactness of \mathcal{Y} we infer that the function $x \mapsto \theta_T(x)$ is uniformly bounded on \mathcal{L}_T , and by an *isoperimetric theorem*, see e.g. [19, Thm. 1.101], there exist an absolute constant C_1 , depending on \mathcal{Y} and μ_T , such that

$$0 < C_1 \leq \theta_T(x) \leq C_2 < \infty \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{L}_T. \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly to [19, Sec. 5.4], the proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on the following

Theorem 3.4 *Let $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ be as in Theorem 3.1. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We can find a current $\tilde{T} \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ of the type in (3.1), with $\mathbb{L}_s(\tilde{T}) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$ for every s , such that*

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\tilde{T}) \leq \mathbf{D}_g(T) + \varepsilon^k, \\ \mathbf{F}(\tilde{T} - T) \leq \varepsilon^k \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\tilde{T}}(\mathcal{X}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu_T(\mathcal{X}).$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1: By Theorem 3.4, using a diagonal argument, we find a sequence $\{T_k\} \subset \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ that weakly converges to T in $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ with $\mathbf{D}_g(T_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_g(T)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and such that $\mu_{T_k}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$. Therefore, T_k agrees with the current G_{u_k} given by the integration of forms in $\mathcal{D}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ over the ‘‘graph’’ of some $u_k \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, see Example 2.4, and hence $\mathbf{D}_g(T_k) = \mathbf{D}_g(u_k)$.

If $(\mathcal{X}, g_{\alpha\beta}) = (B^n, g_{\alpha\beta})$, by means of Bethuel’s density theorem [2], for every k we find a smooth sequence $\{u_h^{(k)}\}_h \subset C^1(B^n, \mathcal{Y})$ that strongly converges to u_k in the $W^{1,2}$ -sense as $h \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, even if the second homotopy group $\pi_2(\mathcal{Y})$ is non-trivial, the injectivity hypothesis on the Hurewicz homomorphisms from $\pi_2(\mathcal{Y}; y_0)$ onto $H_2(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{R})$, in conjunction with the null-boundary condition (2.6) for u_k , and the bound (2.7) for the energy, allows us to remove the $(n - 2)$ -dimensional singularities, compare [4] and e.g. [19, Sec. 5.3]. Lower dimensional singularities are removed as in [2]. By the dominated convergence theorem, we infer that the strong convergence yields $G_{u_h^{(k)}} \rightarrow G_{u_k}$ with $\mathbf{D}_g(u_h^{(k)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_g(u_k)$. Theorem 3.1 then follows by means of a diagonal argument.

More generally, if \mathcal{X} satisfies the 1-extension property with respect to \mathcal{Y} , or if $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}) = 0$, using arguments from [21], the hypothesis on the Hurewicz homomorphisms, in conjunction with the null-boundary condition (2.6) for u_k , plays the role of the triviality of $\pi_2(\mathcal{Y})$, and we find again a smooth sequence $\{u_h^{(k)}\}_h \subset C^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ that strongly converges to u_k in the $W^{1,2}$ -sense as $h \rightarrow \infty$. More precisely, if the Hurewicz homomorphisms from $\pi_2(\mathcal{Y}; y_0)$ onto $H_2(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{R})$ are injective, it turns out that \mathcal{X} has the 1-extension property with respect to \mathcal{Y} if and only if \mathcal{X} has the 2-extension property with respect to \mathcal{Y} , compare [21, Lemma 6.4] for the case of $\partial\mathcal{X} = \emptyset$, and [22] if $\partial\mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$. \square

In order to prove Theorem 3.4, we need the following.

SLICING PROPERTIES. Let $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ be as in Theorem 3.4. Similarly to the case of normal currents, for every point $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and for a.e. radius $r \in (0, r_0)$, where $r_0 = r_0(x) > 0$ is sufficiently small, in dependence of x , the *sliced current*

$$\langle T, d_{x_0}, r \rangle = \langle G_{u_T}, d_{x_0}, r \rangle + \langle S_T, d_{x_0}, r \rangle,$$

where $d_{x_0}(x, y) = \delta_{x_0}(x) := \text{dist}_{\mathcal{X}}(x_0, x)$, is a well-defined Cartesian current in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\partial B_r(x_0) \times \mathcal{Y})$, where $B_r(x_0)$ denotes the *geodesic ball* of radius r centered at x_0 , and $\partial B_r(x_0)$ its boundary. More precisely, see Example 2.1, we have

$$\langle G_{u_T}, d_{x_0}, r \rangle(\omega) = \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} (\text{Id} \bowtie u|_{\partial B_r(x_0)})^\# \omega, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1,2}(\partial B_r(x_0) \times \mathcal{Y}),$$

where $u|_{\partial B_r(x_0)}$ is the restriction of u to $\partial B_r(x_0)$, which is a function in $W^{1,2}(\partial B_r(x_0), \mathcal{Y})$. Also,

$$\langle S_T, d_{x_0}, r \rangle = \sum_{q \in H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y})} \langle \mathbb{L}_q, \delta_{x_0}, r \rangle \times R_q \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{D}^{n-1,2}(\partial B_r(x_0) \times \mathcal{Y}).$$

As a consequence, we infer that for every Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ the Dirichlet energy of $\langle T, d_{x_0}, r \rangle$ on $B \times \mathcal{Y}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\langle T, d_{x_0}, r \rangle, B \times \mathcal{Y}) = \mathbf{D}_g(u|_{\partial B_r(x_0)}, B) + \sum_{q \in H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y})} \mathbf{M}(R_q) \cdot \mathbf{M}_g(\langle \mathbb{L}_q, \delta_{x_0}, r \rangle \llcorner B) \quad (3.6)$$

where $\mathbf{D}_g(u|_{\partial B_r(x_0)}, B)$ can be written in local coordinates in a way similar to (0.4)–(0.5), by using the distributional derivative D_τ w.r.t. an orthonormal frame τ tangential to $\partial B_r(x_0)$. For example, in the

case $g_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, we clearly have

$$\mathbf{D}_g(u|_{\partial B_r(x_0)}, B) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_r(x_0) \cap B} |D_\tau u_{(r,x_0)}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

We also let

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\langle T, d_{x_0}, r \rangle) := \mathbf{D}_g(\langle T, d_{x_0}, r \rangle, \partial B_r(x_0) \times \mathcal{Y}).$$

Remark 3.5 For future use, we denote by

$$\mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \text{dist}(y, \mathcal{Y}) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

the ε -neighborhood of \mathcal{Y} and we observe that, since \mathcal{Y} is smooth and compact, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ the *nearest point projection* Π_ε of \mathcal{Y}_ε onto \mathcal{Y} is a well defined Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant $L_\varepsilon \rightarrow 1^+$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. For $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ we denote by

$$B_{\mathcal{Y}}(y, \varepsilon) := \overline{B}^N(y, \varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{Y}$$

the intersection of \mathcal{Y} with the closed N -ball of radius ε centered at y , so that $\Pi_\varepsilon(\overline{B}^N(y, \varepsilon)) = B_{\mathcal{Y}}(y, \varepsilon)$. Moreover, we let $\Psi_{(y,\varepsilon)} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{Y}}(y, \varepsilon)$ be the retraction map given by $\Psi_{(y,\varepsilon)}(z) := \Pi_\varepsilon \circ \xi_{(y,\varepsilon)}$, where

$$\xi_{(y,\varepsilon)}(z) := \begin{cases} z & \text{if } z \in \overline{B}^N(y, \varepsilon) \\ \varepsilon \frac{z-y}{|z-y|} & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B}^N(y, \varepsilon) \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

so that $\Psi_{(y,\varepsilon)}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function with $\text{Lip } \Psi_{(y,\varepsilon)} = \text{Lip } \Pi_\varepsilon \rightarrow 1^+$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

The proof of Theorem 3.4 is based on the following local arguments. First, Proposition 3.6, we show how to “deform” a current T , satisfying suitable energy estimates on the boundary of a ball, into a current satisfying a bound on the oscillation. Secondly, Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.8, we use a local approximation argument. In the sequel we will denote by $c > 0$ an absolute constant, possibly varying from line to line.

PROJECTING THE IMAGE OF A CURRENT. For $n \geq 3$, we set

$$B_\rho^n := B^n(0, \rho), \quad x = (\tilde{x}, \hat{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \quad D_\rho := B^{n-2}(0_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}}, \rho).$$

Proposition 3.6 *Let $0 < R < d < 1$ and $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(B_d^n \times \mathcal{Y})$ be such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_g(\langle T, d_0, R \rangle, \partial B_R^n \setminus (\overline{D}_R \times \{0\})) &\leq c \sigma \theta_T(0) R^{n-3}, \\ \mathbf{D}_g(\langle T, d_0, R \rangle) &\leq c \theta_T(0) R^{n-3}, \\ \int_{\partial B_R} |u_T(x) - y|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} &\leq c \sigma R^{n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for some $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and for $\sigma > 0$ small enough. Then there exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that, if $q \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is the integer part of $c \sigma^\alpha$, where $\alpha(n) := 1/(6(2-n)) < 0$, we can find a Cartesian current $\tilde{T} \in \text{cart}^{2,1}((B_R^n \setminus \overline{B}_r^n) \times \mathcal{Y})$, where $r = R(1 - 1/q)$, such that the following facts hold:

(a) $\langle \tilde{T}, d_0, R \rangle = \langle T, d_0, R \rangle$ and $\langle \tilde{T}, d_0, r \rangle = (\psi_{R,r} \boxtimes \Psi_{(y,\varepsilon_\sigma)})_\# \langle T, d_0, R \rangle$, where $\varepsilon_\sigma := c \cdot \sigma^{2/3}$, $\psi_{R,r}(x) := rx/R$, and $\Psi_{(y,\varepsilon_\sigma)}(z) := \Pi_{\varepsilon_\sigma} \circ \xi_{(y,\varepsilon_\sigma)}$, see (3.7), so that $\text{spt} \langle \tilde{T}, d_0, r \rangle \subset \partial B_r^n \times B_{\mathcal{Y}}(y, \varepsilon_\sigma)$;

(b) \tilde{T} has small energy on $B_R^n \setminus B_r^n$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\tilde{T}, B_R^n \setminus B_r^n) \leq c \frac{R}{q} \mathbf{D}_g(\langle T, d_0, R \rangle); \quad (3.9)$$

(c) we finally have

$$\mathbf{F}((\tilde{T} - G_y) \llcorner (B_R^n \setminus \overline{B}_r^n) \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq c \cdot \frac{\sigma}{q} \cdot R^n \leq c \cdot \sigma \cdot d^{n-1}. \quad (3.10)$$

PROOF: We use an argument very similar to the one in Step 3 of [20]. Roughly speaking, using the first inequality in (3.8), we can find a suitable subdivision of ∂B_R^n in a grid made of small $(n-1)$ -dimensional “cubes” of side R/q . Denoting by Σ_R^k the union of the k -faces of the grid that do not intersect $\overline{D}_R \times \{0\}$, we may and do estimate the energy of the restriction of $\langle T, d_0, R \rangle$ to $\Sigma_R^k \times \mathcal{Y}$ by $c\sigma\theta_T(0)R^{k-2}$, for every $k = 1, \dots, n-2$. In particular, if $\sigma^{1/3} < 1/C_2$, see (3.5), the energy of the restriction of $\langle T, d_0, R \rangle$ to $\Sigma_R^2 \times \mathcal{Y}$ is smaller than $c\sigma^{2/3}$. Now, by the above cited isoperimetric theorem, the infimum of the mass of the nontrivial spherical cycles R_q in $H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y})$ is bounded from below by a positive constant. Therefore, taking $\sigma > 0$ small, it turns out that the restriction of $\langle T, d_0, R \rangle$ to $\Sigma_R^2 \times \mathcal{Y}$ has no vertical part, hence agrees with the current carried by the graph of a $W^{1,2}$ -map w with values into \mathcal{Y} . As a consequence, by using the bound (2.7) we obtain that

$$\int_{\Sigma_R^1} |Dw|_{\Sigma_R^1}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 \leq c\sigma^{2/3} \frac{1}{R}.$$

The grid of ∂B_R^n being made of q^{n-1} cubes of side R/q , we have $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma_R^1) \leq cRq^{n-2}$ and hence, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{\Sigma_R^1} |Dw|_{\Sigma_R^1}| d\mathcal{H}^1 \leq cq^{(n-2)/2} \sigma^{1/3} \leq c\sigma^{1/4},$$

provided that $q \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is chosen as in the thesis. By using the third inequality in (3.8) and the above formula, we infer that we may and do assume that the oscillation of $w|_{\Sigma_R^1}$ is smaller than $c\sigma^{1/4}$ and that the image $w(\Sigma_R^1)$ is contained in the geodesic ball $B_{\mathcal{Y}}(y, \varepsilon_\sigma)$.

Therefore, using the argument of Step 3 of [20], we may and do define the current \tilde{T} satisfying the above properties. However, since we deal with currents acting on k -forms in $\mathcal{D}^{k,2}$, i.e., with two vertical differentials in the \mathcal{Y} -direction, when extending \tilde{T} from the 2-skeleton to the 3-skeleton of a partition of $B_R^n \setminus B_r^n$ in “cubes”, it turns out that \tilde{T} has a non-zero boundary of the type $\delta_{x_l} \times S_l$ for each 3-face F_l of such a cubeulation, where x_l is the barycenter of F_l and S_l is the integral 2-cycle in \mathcal{Y} given by $w_\# \llbracket I_l \rrbracket - \Psi_{(y, \varepsilon_\sigma)} \circ w_\# \llbracket I_l \rrbracket$, where I_l is the 2-face of F_l that intersects the boundary ∂B_R^n . By the rectangular inequality, it turns out that the mass of S_l is lower than twice the Dirichlet energy of $w|_{I_l}$, which is small with σ , by construction. Therefore, using again the cited isoperimetric theorem, we infer that for $\sigma > 0$ small the 2-cycle S_l is homologically trivial in \mathcal{Y} , and hence we can find an integral 3-current R_l in \mathcal{Y} such that $\partial R_l = S_l$ and $\mathbf{M}(R_l) \leq c_n \mathbf{M}(S_l)^{3/2}$. As a consequence, in case of dimension $n = 3$, by adding the terms $-\delta_{x_l} \times R_l$ for each 3-cube F_l , we may and do define the current T_l with no interior boundary, by paying an amount of energy that is bounded by the energy of the restriction of $\langle T, d_0, R \rangle$ to the 2-skeleton Σ_R^2 . In dimension $n \geq 4$, for $k = 4, \dots, n$, no extra-boundary is produced when extending \tilde{T} from the $(k-1)$ -skeleton to the k -skeleton of the cubes of the partition of $B_R^n \setminus B_r^n$, as $(k-1)$ -currents of the type $\delta_x \times R$, where $R \in \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(\mathcal{Y})$, are always zero when tested on forms in $\mathcal{D}^{k-1,2}$.

Arguing this way on the cubes of $B_R^n \setminus B_r^n$ that do not intersect $\overline{D}_R \times \{0\}$ yields a bound of the energy of \tilde{T} in terms of

$$c \frac{R}{q} \mathbf{D}_g(\langle T, d_0, R \rangle, \partial B_R^n \setminus (\overline{D}_R \times \{0\}))$$

and hence in terms of the right-hand side of (3.9).

Using a slightly different argument when defining \tilde{T} on the cubes of $B_R^n \setminus B_r^n$ that intersect $\overline{D}_R \times \{0\}$, by the second inequality in (3.8) we obtain an extra term in the estimate of the energy of \tilde{T} given by the right-hand side of (3.9).

By the third inequality in (3.8), by the construction of \tilde{T} , and since $0 < R < d < 1$, we obtain the bound (3.10) of the flat distance, whereas property (a) follows by using the argument of Step 3 of [20]. \square

APPROXIMATION ON A BALL. Let $y(\tilde{x}) := (r - |\tilde{x}|)$ denote the distance of \tilde{x} from the boundary of the $(n-2)$ -disk D_r and

$$\phi_\delta(x) := (\tilde{x}, \varphi_\delta(y(\tilde{x})) \hat{x}), \quad x \in D_r \times \overline{B}^2, \quad \varphi_\delta(y) := \min\{y, \delta\}, \quad (3.11)$$

so that $\Omega_\delta := \phi_\delta(D_r \times \overline{B}^2)$ is a small neighborhood of the interior of the disk $D_r \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}^2}\}$ in B_R^n . Also, let

$$\tilde{\Omega}_\delta := \phi_\delta(D_r \times \overline{B}_{1/2}^2) = \{(\tilde{x}, \hat{x}) \mid \tilde{x} \in D_r, \rho \leq \varphi_\delta(y(\tilde{x}))/2\}, \quad (3.12)$$

where in the sequel $\rho := |\hat{x}| = \sqrt{x_{n-1}^2 + x_n^2}$, and

$$\Omega_{(r,\delta)} := \Omega_\delta \setminus (D_r \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}^2}\}).$$

In the proof of Theorem 3.4 we shall make use of the following

Proposition 3.7 *Let $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(B_r^n \times \mathcal{Y})$ be such that $T = G_u + \sum_{q \in H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y})} \mathbb{L}_q \times R_q$. Assume that $\text{spt } T \subset \overline{B_r^n} \times B_{\mathcal{Y}}(y, \varepsilon_\sigma)$, where $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\varepsilon_\sigma = c \cdot \sigma^{2/3}$, with $\sigma > 0$ small, and that $D_r \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}^2}\} \subset \text{set}(\mathbb{L}_{q_0})$ for some $q_0 \in H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y})$. For $\delta > 0$ small enough, we can find a current $\tilde{T} \in \text{cart}^{2,1}((B_r^n \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \times \mathcal{Y})$ satisfying the following properties:*

- i) $\partial(\tilde{T} \llcorner (B_r^n \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \times \mathcal{Y}) = \partial(T \llcorner B_r^n \times \mathcal{Y}) - \llbracket \tilde{\Omega}_\delta \rrbracket \times \delta_y - \llbracket \partial D_r \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}^2}\} \rrbracket \times R_{q_0}$;
- ii) $\mathbf{D}_g(\tilde{T}, (B_r^n \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq \mathbf{D}_g(u, (B_r^n \setminus \Omega_\delta)) + c\sigma r^{n-2} + c\mu_T(\Omega_{(r,\delta)})$;
- iii) $\mathbf{F}((\tilde{T} - T) \llcorner (B_r^n \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq c\sigma r^{n-2}$.

PROOF: Let $\psi_\delta : \Omega_\delta \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta \rightarrow \Omega_{(r,\delta)}$ be the bijective map

$$\psi_\delta(\tilde{x}, \hat{x}) := \left(\tilde{x}, \left(2 - \frac{\varphi_\delta(y(\tilde{x}))}{\rho} \right) \hat{x} \right).$$

Similarly to [19, Sec. 5.5], we infer that the current

$$\bar{T} := ((\psi_\delta)^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N}) \# (T \llcorner (\text{int}(\Omega_{(r,\delta)}) \times \mathcal{Y}))$$

belongs to $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\text{int}(\Omega_\delta \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \times \mathcal{Y})$, its underlying $W^{1,2}$ -function is $v := u_T \circ \psi_\delta : (\Omega_\delta \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{Y}}(y, \varepsilon_\sigma)$, where $u_T : B_r^n \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{Y}}(y, \varepsilon_\sigma)$ is the $W^{1,2}$ -function corresponding to T , and

$$\mu_{\bar{T}}(\text{int}(\Omega_\delta \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta)) \leq \mu_T(\text{int}(\Omega_{(r,\delta)})).$$

Setting then $w : (\Omega_\delta \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ by

$$w(x) := \left(\frac{2\rho}{\varphi_\delta(y(\tilde{x}))} - 1 \right) \cdot v(x) + \left(2 - \frac{2\rho}{\varphi_\delta(y(\tilde{x}))} \right) \cdot y,$$

by using the bound (2.7) and the fact that the oscillation of v is small with $\sigma > 0$, we infer that the energy $\mathbf{D}_g(w, \Omega_\delta \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta)$ is small if δ and σ are small. Moreover, by projecting w into the manifold \mathcal{Y} , we may and will assume that w belongs to $W^{1,2}(\Omega_\delta \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta, \mathcal{Y})$.

We then may and do define a current $\hat{T} \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\text{int}(\Omega_\delta \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \times \mathcal{Y})$, with underlying $W^{1,2}$ -function w , that satisfies the boundary condition

$$\partial \hat{T} = \partial(T \llcorner \Omega_\delta \times \mathcal{Y}) - \llbracket \partial \tilde{\Omega}_\delta \rrbracket \times \delta_y - \llbracket \partial D_r \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}^2}\} \rrbracket \times R_{q_0}$$

and, taking δ small, the energy estimate

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\hat{T}, \text{int}(\Omega_\delta \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq c\sigma r^{n-2} + c\mu_T(\Omega_{(r,\delta)}).$$

We finally set

$$\tilde{T} := T \llcorner (B_r^n \setminus \text{int}(\Omega_\delta)) \times \mathcal{Y} + \hat{T} \llcorner (\text{int}(\Omega_\delta) \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \times \mathcal{Y}.$$

Property iii) readily follows, for $\delta > 0$ small. □

THE DIPOLE CONSTRUCTION. We shall finally make use of the following theorem, the proof of which is postponed to the next section.

Theorem 3.8 *Let $C \in \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{Y})$ be an integral 2-cycle of spherical type and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ be a given point. For every $\sigma > 0$ there exists a function $v_\sigma \in W^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}_\delta, \mathcal{Y})$, with $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, such that $G_{v_\sigma} \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\text{int}(\tilde{\Omega}_\delta) \times \mathcal{Y})$,*

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\delta} e_g(0, Dv_\sigma) dx \leq \sigma r^{n-2} + |\tau|_{g(0)} \cdot \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(D_r) \cdot \mathbf{M}(C), \quad (3.13)$$

where $\tau := e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n-2} \in \Lambda_{n-2}\mathbb{R}^n$, and

$$\partial G_{v_\sigma} = \partial[\tilde{\Omega}_\delta] \times \delta_y + [\partial D_r \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}^2}\}] \times C. \quad (3.14)$$

Moreover, $v_{\sigma\#}[\tilde{\Omega}_\delta] \rightarrow C$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{Y})$, as $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$.

We are now ready to give the

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.4: Applying arguments as for instance in the proof of Federer [7, 4.2.19], by [7, 3.2.29] there exists a countable family \mathcal{G} of $(n-2)$ -dimensional C^1 -submanifolds \mathcal{M}_j of \mathcal{X} such that μ_T -almost all of \mathcal{X} is covered by \mathcal{G} .

Let $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ to be fixed. By the Vitali-Besicovitch theorem, and by the properties of the class $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, we can find a number $t = t_\sigma \in (1/2, 1)$, a countable disjoint family of closed geodesic balls $B_j := \overline{B}(p_j, r_j)$, contained in \mathcal{X} and centered at points p_j in \mathcal{L}_T , satisfying the properties listed below. In the sequel we will denote by $c > 0$ an absolute constant, possibly varying from line to line, which is independent of σ and of the radii r_j of the balls B_j .

i) $\mu_T(\mathcal{X} \setminus \bigcup_j B_j) = 0$.

ii) For every j there is a manifold \mathcal{M}_j of \mathcal{G} such that the center p_j of B_j belongs to \mathcal{M}_j .

iii) Since $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathcal{L}_T) < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j^{n-2} \leq c \cdot \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathcal{L}_T) < \infty. \quad (3.15)$$

iv) We have

$$\mu_T(B(p_j, r_j) \setminus (B(p_j, tr_j) \cap \mathcal{M}_j)) \leq \sigma \cdot \mu_T(B(p_j, r_j)) \quad \forall j. \quad (3.16)$$

v) We have $\mathcal{M}_j \subset \text{set}(\mathbb{L}_q)$ for some $q = q_j \in H_2^{\text{sph}}(\mathcal{Y})$.

vi) All the p_j 's are Lebesgue points of $u = u_T$ and of Du , with Lebesgue values $u(p_j) = z_j$, and by a slicing argument

$$\int_{\partial B(p_j, tr_j)} |u(x) - z_j|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq c \cdot \sigma r_j^{n-1}. \quad (3.17)$$

vii) Using a blow-up argument at p_j in the x -variables, we may and do assume that the current $S_j := \llbracket B_j \rrbracket \times \delta_{z_j} + \llbracket \mathcal{M}_j \rrbracket \times R_{q_j}$ has small flat distance from T on $B_j \times \mathcal{Y}$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{F}((S_j - T) \llcorner B_j \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq c \cdot \sigma \cdot r_j^{n-2}. \quad (3.18)$$

viii) By a slicing argument, we may and will assume that for some $R \in (tr_j, 2tr_j)$ the current $\langle T, d_{p_j}, tr_j \rangle$ belongs to $\text{cart}^{2,1}$ and satisfies

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\langle T, d_{p_j}, tr_j \rangle, \partial B(p_j, tr_j) \setminus \mathcal{M}_j) \leq \frac{c}{r_j} \cdot \mathbf{D}_g(T, B(p_j, R) \setminus \mathcal{M}_j).$$

Moreover, by the construction, and by the bound (2.7), we may assume that both (3.16) and

$$\mu_T(B(p_j, \rho)) \leq c \theta_T(p_j) \rho^{n-2}, \quad \mathbf{D}_g(u, B(p_j, \rho)) \leq c |Du(p_j)|^2 \rho^n \quad (3.19)$$

hold true for any $0 < \rho < 2r_j$. Therefore, taking r_j small so that $|Du(p_j)|^2 r_j^2 \leq \sigma \theta_T(p_j)$, we readily obtain that

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\langle T, d_{p_j}, tr_j \rangle, \partial B(p_j, tr_j) \setminus \mathcal{M}_j) \leq c \sigma \theta_T(p_j) r_j^{n-3}. \quad (3.20)$$

ix) Using a similar slicing argument and (3.19), we also may and do assume that

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\langle T, d_{p_j}, tr_j \rangle) \leq c \theta_T(p_j) r_j^{n-3}. \quad (3.21)$$

x) By the continuity property (3.2), we may take the radii r_j sufficiently small so that for every $x \in B_j$

$$|e_g(x, G) - e_g(p_j, G)| \leq \sigma |G|^2 \quad \forall G \in M(N, n). \quad (3.22)$$

xi) Since $\theta_T(p_j)$ is the $(n-2)$ -dimensional density of μ_T at p_j , and $p_j \in \text{set}(\mathbb{L}_q)$, we also may and will assume that

$$|\mu_T(B_j) - \mathbf{M}(R_q) \cdot \omega_{n-2} r_j^{n-2}| \leq \sigma \cdot \omega_{n-2} r_j^{n-2}. \quad (3.23)$$

xii) There exists a bilipschitz homeomorphism ψ_σ from \mathcal{X} onto itself, with $\text{Lip } \psi_\sigma \leq 2$ and $\text{Lip } \psi_\sigma^{-1} \leq 2$, such that ψ_σ maps bijectively B_j onto B_j , with $\psi_\sigma|_{\partial B_j} = \text{Id}|_{\partial B_j}$, for all j , and ψ_σ is equal to the identity outside the union of the balls B_j .

xiii) For every j , $\psi_\sigma(B(p_j, t_\sigma r_j) \cap \mathcal{M}_j) = B(p_j, \rho_j) \cap (p_j + \text{Tan}(\mathcal{M}_j, p_j))$ and $\psi_\sigma(\partial B(p_j, t_\sigma r_j)) = \partial B(p_j, \rho_j)$, where $\rho_j \in (r_j/2, r_j)$ and $\text{Tan}(\mathcal{M}_j, p_j)$ is the $(n-2)$ -dimensional tangent space to \mathcal{M}_j at p_j .

Setting now for any j

$$T_j^\sigma := (\psi_\sigma \bowtie \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \# T \llcorner \text{int}(B_j) \times \mathcal{Y},$$

T_j^σ belongs to $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\text{int}(B_j) \times \mathcal{Y})$, with underlying function $u_j^\sigma := (u_T \circ \psi_\sigma^{-1})|_{\text{int}(B_j)}$ in $W^{1,2}(\text{int}(B_j), \mathcal{Y})$, and $\mu_{T_j^\sigma} = \psi_\sigma \# (\mu_T \llcorner \text{int}(B_j))$. Moreover, by (3.20), (3.21), and (3.17) we readily infer that T_j^σ satisfies (3.8), where $y = z_j \in \mathcal{Y}$ is the Lebesgue value of u_T at p_j , with $p_j = 0$, $d = r_j$ and $R = \rho_j$, i.e.,

$$B_j = \overline{B}_d^n, \quad B(p_j, \rho_j) = B_R^n, \quad B(p_j, \rho_j) \cap (p_j + \text{Tan}(\mathcal{M}_j, p_j)) = D_R \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Proposition 3.6 yields a Cartesian current $\tilde{T}_j \in \text{cart}^{2,1}((B(p_j, \rho_j) \setminus \overline{B}(p_j, \delta_j)) \times \mathcal{Y})$, where $\delta_j := \rho_j(1-1/q)$. Set now $\beta(n) := 1/(12(n-2)) > 0$. Since $1/q \leq c \sigma^{1/(6(n-2))}$, by (3.9), (3.8), and (3.21), taking $\sigma > 0$ small so that $\sigma^{\beta(n)} < 1/C_2$, see (3.5), we readily obtain that

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\tilde{T}_j, B(p_j, \rho_j) \setminus \overline{B}(p_j, \delta_j)) \leq c \sigma^{\beta(n)} \rho_j^{n-2}, \quad (3.24)$$

whereas by (3.10)

$$\mathbf{F}((\tilde{T}_j - G_{z_j}) \llcorner (B(p_j, \rho_j) \setminus \overline{B}(p_j, \delta_j)) \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq c \cdot \sigma \cdot r_j^{n-1}. \quad (3.25)$$

Setting now

$$\check{T}_j^\sigma := (\psi_j \bowtie \Psi_{(z_j, \varepsilon_\sigma)}) \# (T_j^\sigma \llcorner \overline{B}(p_j, \rho_j) \times \mathcal{Y}),$$

where $\psi_j(x) := p_j + \frac{\delta_j}{\rho_j}(x - p_j)$, we have $\text{spt } \check{T}_j^\sigma \subset \overline{B}(p_j, \delta_j) \times B_{\mathcal{Y}}(z_j, \varepsilon_\sigma)$, whence \check{T}_j^σ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 3.7, with $B(p_j, \delta_j)$ instead of B_r^n , $y = z_j$, and $q_0 = q_j$, that yields a current $\hat{T}_j^\sigma \in \text{cart}^{2,1}((B(p_j, \delta_j) \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\delta^j) \times \mathcal{Y})$, where $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta^j$ is defined similarly to (3.12), but in correspondence of $B(p_j, \delta_j)$.

Moreover, by applying Theorem 3.8, with $B(p_j, \delta_j)$ instead of B_r^n and $C = R_{q_j}$, we find a suitable function $v_j^\sigma \in W^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}_\delta^j, \mathcal{Y})$. Setting then

$$\overline{T}_j^\sigma := \hat{T}_j^\sigma + G_{v_j^\sigma},$$

(3.14) and i) in Proposition 3.7 yield that $\overline{T}_j^\sigma \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(B(p_j, \delta_j) \times \mathcal{Y})$ and that

$$\partial(\overline{T}_j^\sigma \llcorner B(p_j, \delta_j) \times \mathcal{Y}) = \partial(\check{T}_j^\sigma \llcorner B(p_j, \delta_j) \times \mathcal{Y}). \quad (3.26)$$

Moreover, according to Remark 2.7, by (3.13) we have

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\delta^j} e_g(p_j, Dv_j^\sigma) dx \leq \sigma \delta_j^{n-2} + \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(D_{r_j}) \cdot \mathbf{M}(R_q).$$

Therefore, since $\delta_j \in (r_j/2, r_j)$, by (3.23) we obtain that

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\delta} e_g(p_j, Dv_j^\sigma) dx \leq c\sigma r_j^{n-2} + \mu_T(B_j). \quad (3.27)$$

On the other hand, as $0 < \sigma < 1$, by (3.22), (2.7), and (3.27) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\delta} e_g(x, Dv_j^\sigma) dx - \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\delta} e_g(p_j, Dv_j^\sigma) dx \right| \leq \\ & \leq \sigma \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\delta} |Dv_j^\sigma|^2 dx \leq c\sigma \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\delta} e_g(p_j, Dv_j^\sigma) dx \\ & \leq c\sigma (\mu_T(B_j) + r_j^{n-2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant. Therefore, if $\delta > 0$ is small, (3.27) yields

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\delta} e_g(x, Dv_j^\sigma) dx \leq c\sigma r_j^{n-2} + (1 + c\sigma) \mu_T(B_j).$$

Finally, using (3.16) to estimate the last term in the right-hand side of ii) in Proposition 3.7, we obtain

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\bar{T}_j^\sigma, B(p_j, \delta_j) \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq \mathbf{D}_g(u_j^\sigma, B(p_j, \delta_j)) + c\sigma r_j^{n-2} + (1 + c\sigma) \mu_T(B(p_j, \delta_j)). \quad (3.28)$$

We now set

$$\tilde{T}_j^\sigma := \bar{T}_j^\sigma + \tilde{T}_j + T_j^\sigma \llcorner (B(p_j, r_j) \setminus B(p_j, \rho_j)) \times \mathcal{Y}.$$

Property (a) in Proposition 3.6, the definition of \tilde{T}_j^σ , and (3.26) yield that \tilde{T}_j^σ belongs to $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\text{int}(B_j) \times \mathcal{Y})$. Moreover, by (3.24) and (3.28) we obtain that

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\tilde{T}_j^\sigma, B_j \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq \mathbf{D}_g(T_j^\sigma, B_j \times \mathcal{Y}) + c\sigma^{\beta(n)} r_j^{n-2} + c\sigma \mu_{T_j^\sigma}(B_j). \quad (3.29)$$

Finally, arguing as in [19, Sec. 5.5, Step 3], by (3.10), property iii) in Proposition 3.7, and by the dipole construction, Theorem 3.8, we obtain that for ε, δ small enough

$$\mathbf{F}((\tilde{T}_j^\sigma - T_j^\sigma) \llcorner B_j \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq c \cdot \sigma \cdot r_j^{n-2}.$$

Setting now

$$T_j^{(\sigma)} := (\psi_\sigma^{-1} \bowtie \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N}) \# \tilde{T}_j^{(\sigma)} \llcorner \text{int}(B_j) \times \mathcal{Y},$$

by (3.29) we infer that for every j

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_g(T_j^{(\sigma)}, \text{int}(B_j) \times \mathcal{Y}) & \leq \mathbf{D}_g(u_T, B_j) \\ & + (1 + c\sigma) \mu_T(B_j) + c\sigma^{\beta(n)} r_j^{n-2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

whereas

$$\mathbf{F}((T_j^{(\sigma)} - T) \llcorner B_j \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq c \cdot \sigma \cdot r_j^{n-2}. \quad (3.31)$$

In conclusion, setting $T^\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ by

$$T^\sigma := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j^{(\sigma)} + T \llcorner (\mathcal{X} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{int}(B_j)) \times \mathcal{Y},$$

we have $T^\sigma \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$. By (3.30) and the hypothesis $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j^{n-2} \leq c \cdot \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathcal{L}_T)$ we obtain that

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T^\sigma) \leq \mathbf{D}_g(u_T) + (1 + c\sigma) \mu_T(\mathcal{X}) + c\sigma^{\beta(n)} \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathcal{L}_T),$$

so that if $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon, k, \mathcal{L}_T, \mu_T) > 0$ is small, we have

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T^\sigma) \leq \mathbf{D}_g(T) + \varepsilon^k.$$

Moreover, by (3.16), taking σ small, the above construction yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{T^\sigma}(\mathcal{X}) &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_T(B(p_j, r_j) \setminus (B(p_j, tr_j) \cap \mathcal{M}_j)) + \mu_T(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{L}_T) \\ &\leq c\sigma \mu_T(\mathcal{X}) < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu_T(\mathcal{X}).\end{aligned}$$

Also, by (3.31) and (3.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}(T^\sigma - T) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{F}((T_j^{(\sigma)} - T) \llcorner B_j \times \mathcal{Y}) \\ &\leq c \cdot \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j^{n-2} < \varepsilon^k,\end{aligned}$$

if $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon, k, \mathcal{L}_T, \mu_T) > 0$ is small. Taking $\tilde{T} = T^\sigma$ for $\sigma > 0$ small, the proof is complete. \square

4 The dipole construction

In this section we shall prove Theorem 3.8.

Set $\Omega := D_r \times B_{1/2}^2$, and assume that $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathcal{Y})$ only depends on the last two variables,

$$u = u(\hat{x}), \quad x = (\tilde{x}, \hat{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

By Fubini's theorem, for every $0 < \rho < r$ we have

$$\int_{D_\rho \times B_{1/2}^2} e_g(0, Du(x)) dx = \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(D_\rho) \cdot \int_{B_{1/2}^2} e_g(0, Du(\hat{x})) d\hat{x}.$$

Now, writing $u := \tilde{u} \circ L^{-1}$, $L = L(0)$, by (1.7) we have

$$e_g(0, Du(\hat{x})) = \frac{1}{2} |D\tilde{u}(z)|^2, \quad z := L^{-1}x.$$

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $g(0)$ -orthogonal basis given by eigenvectors of the matrix $g(0)$, and let $S \in M(n, n)$ be given by $S_j^i := v_j^i$, where $v_j := (v_j^1, \dots, v_j^n)$. Since τ orients the $(n-2)$ -disk D_r , it turns out that $v \in W^{1,2}(L^{-1}(\Omega), \mathcal{Y})$ only depends on the orthogonal directions to $S^T \tau$. Setting $\tilde{e}_i := S^T e_i$, this means that

$$\tilde{u}(z) = F(z^{n-1}, z^n), \quad z = \sum_{i=1}^n z^i \tilde{e}_i \tag{4.1}$$

for some function $F \in W^{1,2}(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{Y})$, where $\tilde{D} := L^{-1}(\{0\} \times B_{1/2}^2)$. On the other hand, since $\hat{x} = \hat{L}z$, where $\hat{L} \in M(2, n)$ is the matrix of the last two rows of L , by a change of variable we find that

$$\int_{B_{1/2}^2} e_g(0, Du(\hat{x})) d\hat{x} = |M_{(2)} \hat{L}| \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{D}} |DF|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2, \tag{4.2}$$

where $|M_{(2)} \hat{L}|$ is the 2-dimensional Jacobian of \hat{L} . In addition, we obtain:

Lemma 4.1 *We have $|M_{(2)} \hat{L}| = |\tau|_g$, where $g = g(0)$.*

PROOF: Setting $\alpha_0 := (1, \dots, n-2) \in I(n-2, n)$, we have

$$|M_{(2)} \hat{L}|^2 = \sum_{|\gamma|=n-2} M_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\alpha_0}(L)^2,$$

whereas by (1.9) and Proposition 1.5

$$|\tau|_g = (\det L) |\Lambda_{n-2} L^{-1}(\tau)|, \quad L = L(0), \quad g = g(0).$$

Since $\Lambda_{n-2} L^{-1}(\tau) = L^{-1} e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge L^{-1} e_{n-2}$, we compute

$$\Lambda_{n-2} L^{-1}(\tau) = \sum_{|\gamma|=n-2} M_{\alpha_0}^\gamma(L^{-1}) e_\gamma.$$

Moreover, Lemma 1.1 yields

$$(\det L) M_{\alpha_0}^\gamma(L^{-1}) = \sigma(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}) \sigma(\alpha_0, \bar{\alpha}_0) M_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\alpha}_0}(L),$$

so that we obtain

$$|\tau|_g^2 = \sum_{|\gamma|=n-2} (\det L)^2 M_{\alpha_0}^\gamma(L^{-1})^2 = \sum_{|\gamma|=n-2} M_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\alpha}_0}(L)^2$$

and hence the assertion. \square

We now make use of following proposition, that was essentially proved in [13], see also [19, Sec. 5.1]. As before, we let $\tilde{D} := L^{-1}(\{0\} \times B_{1/2}^2)$.

Proposition 4.2 *Let $C \in \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{Y})$ be an integral 2-cycle of spherical type and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ be a given point. There exists a family of Lipschitz functions $F_\varepsilon^y : \tilde{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $F_\varepsilon^y|_{\partial\tilde{D}} \equiv y$ and*

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{D}} |DF_\varepsilon^y|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq \mathbf{M}(C) + \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, the 2-cycle $C_\varepsilon := F_{\varepsilon\#}[\tilde{D}]$ in $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{Y})$ does not depend on the choice of $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and $C_\varepsilon \rightarrow C$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{Y})$ with $\mathbf{M}(C_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(C)$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

As a consequence, taking $F = F_\varepsilon^y$ in (4.1), by (4.2) and Lemma 4.1 we obtain $u_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathcal{Y})$ such that for every $\rho \in (0, r]$

$$\int_{D_\rho \times B_{1/2}^2} e_g(0, Du_\varepsilon) dx \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(D_\rho) \cdot |\tau|_{g(0)} \cdot (\mathbf{M}(C) + \varepsilon). \quad (4.3)$$

The following lemma is proved in a way similar e.g. to the one in [19, Sec. 5.5], by using the bound (2.7).

Lemma 4.3 *Let $0 < \delta < 1$ and $u_\delta^\varepsilon := u_\varepsilon \circ \phi_\delta^{-1} : \tilde{\Omega}_\delta \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, where ϕ_δ is given by (3.11). Then we have*

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\delta} e_g(0, Du_\delta^\varepsilon) dx \leq \int_{D_r \times B_{1/2}^2} e_g(0, Du_\varepsilon) dx + c \int_{(D_r \setminus D_{r-\delta}) \times B_{1/2}^2} e_g(0, Du_\varepsilon) dx,$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.8: On account of (4.3), we obtain the energy estimate

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\delta} e_g(0, Du_\delta^\varepsilon) dx \leq (\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(D_r) + c \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(D_r \setminus D_{r-\delta})) \cdot |\tau|_{g(0)} \cdot (\mathbf{M}(C) + \varepsilon)$$

and hence, setting $v_\sigma := u_\delta^\varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, and for δ sufficiently small in dependence of ε and of the Lipschitz constant of F_ε^y , we get (3.13), whereas (3.14) and the last assertion in Theorem 3.8 trivially follow. \square

5 The relaxed Dirichlet energy

In this section, as an application of the density theorem from Sec. 3, we give a representation formula for the relaxed energy (0.9), Propositions 5.5 and 5.6. Of course, we shall assume that the manifolds \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1.

To our purpose, we may and do consider *equivalence classes* of Cartesian currents. More precisely, if $T, \tilde{T} \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, see Definition 2.2, we say that

$$T \sim \tilde{T} \iff T(\omega) = \tilde{T}(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{Z}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}), \quad (5.1)$$

the forms in $\mathcal{Z}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ being defined as in (2.2). We also say that $T_k \rightharpoonup T$ *weakly in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$* if $T_k(\omega) \rightarrow T(\omega)$ for every $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}^{n,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$. It is easily checked that equivalent currents have the same underlying $W^{1,2}$ -function, i.e.,

$$T \sim \tilde{T} \implies u_T = u_{\tilde{T}} \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}). \quad (5.2)$$

Moreover, if T and \tilde{T} are decomposed as in (2.4), then

$$T \sim \tilde{T} \implies \mathbb{L}_s(T) = \mathbb{L}_s(\tilde{T}) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X}) \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}.$$

Definition 5.1 Denote by $\text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ the family of all the equivalence classes of Cartesian currents in $\text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, where the equivalence relation is given by (5.1).

On account of (2.10), we also set:

Definition 5.2 Let $T \in \text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, one of its representatives being decomposed as in (2.4), where $\mathbb{L}_s(T) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$. For every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ we define the Dirichlet energy of T by

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T, \Omega \times \mathcal{Y}) := \mathbf{D}_g(u_T, \Omega) + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T) \llcorner \Omega) \cdot M_s,$$

where M_s is the mass of the mass minimizing integral spherical 2-cycle in the homology class $[\gamma_s]$, i.e.,

$$M_s := \min\{\mathbf{M}(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{Y}), \quad C \in [\gamma_s]\}. \quad (5.3)$$

Remark 5.3 For the sake of simplicity, in this section we denote by T an equivalence class of currents. We also notice that the weak convergence $T_k \rightharpoonup T$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$ is well-defined for Cartesian currents in $\text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ as the weak $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$ -convergence of any representative of T_k to any representative of T .

One checks:

- i) the class $\text{CART}^{2,1}$ is closed under the weak convergence in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$ with equibounded Dirichlet energies;
- ii) the Dirichlet energy is lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$ -convergence in $\text{CART}^{2,1}$;
- iii) if $\{T_k\} \subset \text{CART}^{2,1}$ satisfies $\sup_k \mathbf{D}_g(T_k) < \infty$, possibly passing to a subsequence, T_k weakly converges in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$ to some current T in $\text{CART}^{2,1}$;
- iv) if $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{S}^2$ or, more generally, \mathcal{Y} has dimension $m = 2$, we have $\text{CART}^{2,1} = \text{cart}^{2,1}$.

A REPRESENTATION FORMULA. Arguing as in Theorem 3.1, we readily prove the following.

Theorem 5.4 For every $T \in \text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ there exists a sequence of smooth maps $\{u_k\} \subset C^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ such that $G_{u_k} \rightharpoonup T$ weakly in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$ and $\mathbf{D}_g(u_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_g(T)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

For every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, we now denote by

$$\mathcal{T}_u^{2,1} := \{T \in \text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid u_T = u\} \quad (5.4)$$

the class of Cartesian current in $\text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ such that the underlying $W^{1,2}$ -function u_T in the decomposition (2.4) is equal to u , compare (5.2). As a consequence of Theorems 0.3 and 5.4, we obtain:

Proposition 5.5 *For every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ the class $\mathcal{T}_u^{2,1}$ is non-empty. Moreover, for every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ we have*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega) = \inf\{\mathbf{D}_g(T, \Omega \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{2,1}\} < \infty. \quad (5.5)$$

PROOF: Let $\{u_k\} \subset C^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1,2}$, see Theorem 0.3. Since by (2.7)

$$\tilde{C} \int_{\mathcal{X}} |Du_k|^2 dx \leq \mathbf{D}_g(u_k) \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{C}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} |Du_k|^2 dx,$$

the relaxed energy $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u)$ is always finite. By closure-compactness, possibly passing to a subsequence $G_{u_k} \rightharpoonup T$ weakly in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$ to some current $T \in \text{CART}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ such that $u_T = u$, whence $\mathcal{T}_u^{2,1}$ is non-empty. As to the second assertion, since $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u) < \infty$, by closure-compactness, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we find a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset C^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1,2}$, with energies $\mathbf{D}_g(u_k) \leq \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u) + \varepsilon$ for every k , such that the graphs G_{u_k} weakly converge in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$ to a current $T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{2,1}$. Since by lower semicontinuity

$$\mathbf{D}_g(T, \Omega \times \mathcal{Y}) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{D}_g(u_k, \Omega), \quad \mathbf{D}_g(u_k, \Omega) = \mathbf{D}_g(G_{u_k}, \Omega \times \mathcal{Y}),$$

we readily obtain the inequality “ \geq ” in (5.5). Moreover, by applying Theorem 5.4, for every $T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{2,1}$ we find a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset C^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ such that $G_{u_k} \rightharpoonup T$ weakly in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$ and $\mathbf{D}_g(u_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_g(T)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since the weak convergence $G_{u_k} \rightharpoonup T$ yields the convergence $u_k \rightharpoonup u_T$ weakly in $W^{1,2}$ -sense, and $u_T = u$, we find that $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega) \leq \mathbf{D}_g(T, \Omega \times \mathcal{Y})$, which yields the inequality “ \leq ” in (5.6), by the arbitrariness of $T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{2,1}$. \square

As a consequence, on account of Definition 5.2 we immediately obtain the following

Proposition 5.6 *For every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega) &= \mathbf{D}_g(u, \Omega) + \inf\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} M_s \cdot \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T) \llcorner \Omega) \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{2,1}\right\} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} e_g(x, Du) dx + \inf\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} M_s \cdot \int_{\mathcal{L}_s \cap \Omega} \theta_s(x) |\tau_s(x)|_g d\mathcal{H}^{n-2} \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{2,1}\right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where M_s is given by (5.3) and $\mathbb{L}_s(T) = \tau(\mathcal{L}_s, \theta_s, \tau_s) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$ in the decomposition (2.4) of T , for $s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$.

Remark 5.7 If the second homology group $H_2(\mathcal{Y})$ is trivial, e.g., if \mathcal{Y} is 2-connected, from (5.6) we readily infer that in any dimension n

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} e_g(x, Du) dx \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}).$$

THE SINGULAR SET. To write more explicitly the formula (5.6), we recall the following facts from [11, Sec. 5.4.2] or [19, Sec. 4.3]. In the sequel we shall denote by $\pi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ the orthogonal projections onto the first and second factor, respectively. Following Sec. 2, we set

Definition 5.8 *Let $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. For every $s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$, we set $\mathbb{P}_s(u) := \pi_{\#}((\partial G_u) \llcorner \hat{\pi}^{\#} \sigma^s) \in \mathcal{D}_{n-3}(\mathcal{X})$, and $\mathbb{D}_s(u) := \pi_{\#}(G_u \llcorner \hat{\pi}^{\#} \sigma^s) \in \mathcal{D}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$, so that, in local coordinates*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_s(u)(\phi) &= \partial G_u(\hat{\pi}^{\#} \sigma^s \wedge \pi^{\#} \phi) \\ &= G_u(\hat{\pi}^{\#} \sigma^s \wedge \pi^{\#} d\phi) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} u^{\#} \sigma^s \wedge d\phi \end{aligned}$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-3}(\mathcal{X})$, and similarly

$$\mathbb{D}_s(u)(\gamma) = G_u(\hat{\pi}^{\#} \sigma^s \wedge \pi^{\#} \gamma) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} u^{\#} \sigma^s \wedge \gamma \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{D}^{n-2}(\mathcal{X}).$$

It turns out that $\mathbb{P}_s(u)$ does not depend on the representative in the cohomology class $[\sigma^s]$, and that for every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$

$$\mathbb{P}_s(u) \lrcorner \Omega = (\partial \mathbb{D}_s(u)) \lrcorner \Omega \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, \bar{s}.$$

Moreover, since by Bethuel's theorem [2] the so called class $R_2^\infty(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is strongly dense in $W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, it turns out that

$$\mathbb{P}_s(u) = 0 \quad \forall s = \tilde{s} + 1, \dots, \bar{s}.$$

Therefore, the *homological singular set* of u is well-defined by the current $\mathbb{P}(u) \in \mathcal{D}_{n-3}(\mathcal{X}; H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{R}))$, where $H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{R}) := H_2^{sph}(\mathcal{Y}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$\mathbb{P}(u) := \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} \mathbb{P}_s(u) \otimes [\gamma_s],$$

and it satisfies $\partial \mathbb{P}(u) = 0$. In general, $\mathbb{P}(u) \neq 0$.

Example 5.9 If $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{S}^2$, we let $\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ denote the *volume 2-form* on \mathbb{S}^2 ,

$$\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} := y^1 dy^2 \wedge dy^3 + y^2 dy^3 \wedge dy^1 + y^3 dy^1 \wedge dy^2,$$

so that

$$\llbracket \mathbb{S}^2 \rrbracket(\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} = 4\pi.$$

The current $\mathbb{P}_s(u)$ simply reduces to the $(n-3)$ -current $4\pi \mathbb{P}(u) := \pi_\#((\partial G_u) \lrcorner \widehat{\pi}^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \in \mathcal{D}_{n-3}(\mathcal{X})$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(u)(\phi) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial G_u(\widehat{\pi}^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \wedge \pi^\# \phi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{X}} u^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \wedge d\phi$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-3}(\mathcal{X})$, and $\mathbb{D}_s(u)$ to the $(n-2)$ -current $4\pi \mathbb{D}(u) := \pi_\#(G_u \lrcorner \widehat{\pi}^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \in \mathcal{D}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{D}(u)(\gamma) := \frac{1}{4\pi} G_u(\widehat{\pi}^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \wedge \pi^\# \gamma) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{X}} u^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \wedge \gamma$$

for every $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}^{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$, so that for every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$

$$\mathbb{P}(u) \lrcorner \Omega = (\partial \mathbb{D}(u)) \lrcorner \Omega. \quad (5.7)$$

In the particular case $n = 3$, the above can be stated in terms of the so called *D-field* of Brezis-Coron-Lieb, see [6], defined by

$$D(u) := (u \cdot u_{x_2} \times u_{x_3}, u \cdot u_{x_3} \times u_{x_1}, u \cdot u_{x_1} \times u_{x_2}),$$

where

$$u \cdot u_{x_i} \times u_{x_j} := \det \begin{pmatrix} u^1 & u^2 & u^3 \\ u_{x_i}^1 & u_{x_i}^2 & u_{x_i}^3 \\ u_{x_j}^1 & u_{x_j}^2 & u_{x_j}^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It turns out that the vector $D(u)(x)$ is tangent to the naturally oriented level lines $\{z \in \mathcal{X} \mid u(z) = u(x)\}$, if u is smooth. More precisely, when normalized, the vector $D(u)(x)$ orients the slices of $\llbracket \mathcal{X} \rrbracket$ by the map u at $u(x) \in \mathbb{S}^2$. Moreover, by (5.7) we have

$$\mathbb{P}(u) = 0 \quad \iff \quad \operatorname{div} D(u) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{X}.$$

In higher dimension $n \geq 4$, the smooth $(n-2)$ -vector field $D(u)$ can be defined as the dual to $u^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$,

$$\langle \eta, D(u)(x) \rangle dx := u^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x) \wedge \eta \quad \forall \eta \in \Lambda^{n-2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

More precisely, $D(u)$ may be identified with $\star u^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$, where \star is the *Hodge operator*. Of course, we have

$$\mathbb{D}(u)(\gamma) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \langle \gamma, D(u) \rangle dx \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{D}^{n-2}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Moreover, the $(n-2)$ -vector $D(u)(x)$ is tangent to the naturally oriented level $(n-2)$ -surfaces $\{z \in \mathcal{X} \mid u(z) = u(x)\}$, if u is smooth. Finally, we have:

Proposition 5.10 For every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{S}^2)$ and every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$

$$(\mathbb{P}(u) \llcorner \Omega) \times \llbracket \mathbb{S}^2 \rrbracket = ((\partial \mathbb{D}(u)) \llcorner \Omega) \times \llbracket \mathbb{S}^2 \rrbracket = (\partial G_u) \llcorner \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^2.$$

Also, defining the differential $du^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ in the weak sense, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(u) \llcorner \Omega = 0 &\iff (\partial \mathbb{D}(u)) \llcorner \Omega = 0 \\ &\iff (\partial G_u) \llcorner \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^2 = 0 \iff du^\# \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

MINIMAL CONNECTIONS. Let $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ be an open set. Extending the well-known definition for the standard mass, we set:

Definition 5.11 Let $0 \leq k \leq n-2$. For every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}_k(\Omega)$ we denote by

$$m_{i,\Omega}^g(\Gamma) := \inf \{ \mathbf{M}_g(L) \mid L \in \mathcal{R}_{k+1}(\Omega), \quad (\partial L) \llcorner \Omega = \Gamma \}$$

the integral g -mass of Γ relative to Ω . In case $m_{i,\Omega}^g(\Gamma) < \infty$, an i.m. rectifiable current $L \in \mathcal{R}_{k+1}(\Omega)$ is an integral minimal connection for the g -mass of Γ allowing connections to the boundary of Ω if $(\partial L) \llcorner \Omega = \Gamma$ and $\mathbf{M}_g(L) = m_{i,\Omega}^g(\Gamma)$. Finally, we denote by $m_{i,\Omega}(\Gamma)$ the standard integral mass of Γ relative to Ω .

Now, if $T \in \text{cart}^{2,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ is decomposed as in (2.4), the null-boundary condition (2.3) reads as

$$(\partial \mathbb{L}_s(T)) \llcorner \Omega = -\mathbb{P}_s(u) \llcorner \Omega \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s},$$

i.e., $\mathbb{L}_s(T)$ yields (up to the sign) an integral connection of $\mathbb{P}_s(u_T)$. As a consequence, we infer that for every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$

$$\mathcal{T}_u^{2,1} = \left\{ G_u + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} L_s \times \gamma_s \mid L_s \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X}), \quad (\partial L_s) \llcorner \Omega = -\mathbb{P}_s(u) \llcorner \Omega \quad \forall s, \forall \Omega \subset \mathcal{X} \text{ open} \right\}. \quad (5.8)$$

In particular, as $\mathcal{T}_u^{2,1}$ is non-empty, see Proposition 5.5, it turns out that for every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$

$$m_{i,\Omega}^g(\mathbb{P}_s(u)) < \infty \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}, \quad \forall \Omega \subset \mathcal{X} \text{ open}.$$

On account of (5.8), by Proposition 5.6 we readily obtain the following formula, already obtained in [14] in the case of the standard Dirichlet integrand $e_g(G) := \frac{1}{2} |G|^2$, i.e., when g is the Euclidean metric.

Corollary 5.12 For every $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \Omega) = \mathbf{D}_g(u, \Omega) + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} M_s \cdot m_{i,\Omega}^g(\mathbb{P}_s(u)),$$

where M_s is given by (5.3) and $m_{i,\Omega}^g(\mathbb{P}_s(u))$ is the integral g -mass of the singular set $\mathbb{P}_s(u)$ allowing connections to the boundary of Ω , see Definitions 5.8 and 5.11.

THE CASE OF CONSTANT METRICS. Assume now that the metric g is constant on \mathcal{X} . Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.11, it turns out that an integral minimal connection L_s for the g -mass of $\mathbb{P}_s(u)$, allowing connections to the boundary of Ω , is also an integral minimal connection for the mass of $\mathbb{P}_s(u)$, and

$$m_{i,\Omega}^g(\mathbb{P}_s(u)) = C(g) \cdot m_{i,\Omega}(\mathbb{P}_s(u)) \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s},$$

where the constant $C(g) > 0$ is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{M}_g(L) = C(g) \mathbf{M}(L) \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{R}_{n-3}(\mathcal{X}). \quad (5.9)$$

BOUNDARY DATA. Let $\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}$ be open sets in \mathcal{X} such that $\Omega \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$ and $\varphi : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a given smooth $W^{1,2}$ -function. For $X = W^{1,2}, C^1$, let

$$X_\varphi(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y}) := \{u \in X(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y}) \mid u = \varphi \quad \text{on } \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}\}.$$

For $u \in W_\varphi^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y})$, let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{g,\varphi}(u, \tilde{\Omega}) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{D}_g(u_k, \tilde{\Omega}) \mid \{u_k\} \subset C_\varphi^1(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y}), \right. \\ \left. u_k \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y}) \right\}$$

denote the relaxed energy functional *with prescribed boundary data*. Moreover, let

$$\text{CART}_\varphi^{2,1}(\tilde{\Omega} \times \mathcal{Y}) := \left\{ T \in \text{CART}^{2,1}(\tilde{\Omega} \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid \right. \\ \left. (T - G_\varphi) \llcorner (\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}) \times \mathcal{Y} = 0 \right\}.$$

Similarly to Theorem 5.4, it can be shown that *for every* $T \in \text{CART}_\varphi^{2,1}(\tilde{\Omega} \times \mathcal{Y})$ *there exists a sequence of smooth maps* $\{u_k\} \subset C_\varphi^1(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y})$ *such that* $G_{u_k} \rightharpoonup T$ *weakly in* $\mathcal{Z}_{n,2}$ *and* $\mathbf{D}_g(u_k, \tilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_g(T, \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathcal{Y})$ *as* $k \rightarrow \infty$. As a consequence, setting

$$\mathcal{T}_{u,\varphi}^{2,1} := \{T \in \text{CART}_\varphi^{2,1}(\tilde{\Omega} \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid u_T = u\},$$

arguing as in Proposition 5.5 we obtain that for every $u \in W_\varphi^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y})$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{g,\varphi}(u, \tilde{\Omega}) = \inf \{ \mathbf{D}_g(T, \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_{u,\varphi}^{2,1} \}.$$

Since every Cartesian current $T \in \mathcal{T}_{u,\varphi}^{2,1}$ can be written as in (2.4), this time on forms in $\mathcal{Z}^{n,2}(\tilde{\Omega} \times \mathcal{Y})$, where $u_T = u$ and $\mathbb{L}_s(T) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\tilde{\Omega})$, similarly to Proposition 5.6 we obtain that for every $u \in W_\varphi^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y})$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{g,\varphi}(u, \tilde{\Omega}) &= \mathbf{D}_g(u, \tilde{\Omega}) + \inf \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} M_s \cdot \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T)) \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_{u,\varphi}^{2,1} \right\} \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} e_g(x, Du(x)) dx + \inf \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} M_s \cdot \int_{\mathcal{L}_s} \theta_s(x) |\tau_s(x)|_g d\mathcal{H}^{n-2} \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_{u,\varphi}^{2,1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where M_s is given by (5.3) and $\mathbb{L}_s(T) = \tau(\mathcal{L}_s, \theta_s, \tau_s) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\tilde{\Omega})$, for $s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$.

For $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}_k(\tilde{\Omega})$ with $\text{spt } \Gamma \subset \bar{\Omega}$, we let

$$m_i^g(\Gamma) := \inf \{ \mathbf{M}_g(L) \mid L \in \mathcal{R}_{k+1}(\tilde{\Omega}), \text{ spt } L \subset \bar{\Omega}, \partial L = \Gamma \}$$

denote the *integral g-mass* of Γ . Also, in case $m_i^g(\Gamma) < \infty$, an i.m. rectifiable current $L \in \mathcal{R}_{k+1}(\tilde{\Omega})$ is said to be an *integral minimal connection for the g-mass* of Γ if $\text{spt } L \subset \bar{\Omega}$, $\partial L = \Gamma$, and $\mathbf{M}_g(L) = m_i^g(\Gamma)$. Finally, we denote by $m_i(\Gamma)$ the standard integral mass of Γ , i.e., when g is the Euclidean metric or, equivalently, $\mathbf{M}_g(L) = \mathbf{M}(L)$.

For every $u \in W_\varphi^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$, setting $\mathbb{P}_s(u) := \pi_\#((\partial G_u) \llcorner \hat{\pi}^\# \sigma^s) \in \mathcal{D}_{n-3}(\tilde{\Omega})$, i.e., by Definition 5.8, with $\tilde{\Omega}$ instead of \mathcal{X} , we infer that $\text{spt } \mathbb{P}_s(u) \subset \bar{\Omega}$, as $u = \varphi$ on $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$, with $\mathbb{P}_s(u) = 0$ for $s = \tilde{s} + 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$. Moreover, if $T \in \mathcal{T}_{u,\varphi}^{2,1}$ the i.m. rectifiable currents $\mathbb{L}_s(T) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\tilde{\Omega})$ have support $\text{spt } \mathbb{L}_s(T) \subset \bar{\Omega}$ and boundary $\partial \mathbb{L}_s(T) = -\mathbb{P}_s(u_T)$. Therefore, similarly to Corollary 5.12 we find that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{g,\varphi}(u, \tilde{\Omega}) = \mathbf{D}_g(u, \tilde{\Omega}) + \sum_{s=1}^{\tilde{s}} M_s \cdot m_i^g(\mathbb{P}_s(u)) \quad \forall u \in W_\varphi^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y}).$$

Moreover, if the metric g is constant on $\tilde{\Omega}$, again we have that an integral minimal connection L_s for the g -mass is also an integral minimal connection for the mass, and

$$m_i^g(\mathbb{P}_s(u)) = C(g) \cdot m_i(\mathbb{P}_s(u)) \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s},$$

where the constant $C(g) > 0$ is given by the formula (5.9).

THE CASE $n = 3$ AND $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{S}^2$. Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{X})$ be such that $\Gamma = (\partial D) \llcorner \Omega$ for some current $D \in \mathcal{D}_{k+1}(\Omega)$ with finite g -mass; moreover, let $\tilde{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{D}_k(\tilde{\Omega})$, with support in $\bar{\Omega}$, be such that $\tilde{\Gamma} = \partial \tilde{D}$ for some $\tilde{D} \in \mathcal{D}_{k+1}(\tilde{\Omega})$ with $\text{spt } \tilde{D} \subset \bar{\Omega}$. By Federer's theorem [8], if $k = 0$ we have

$$m_{i,\Omega}^g(\Gamma) = m_{r,\Omega}^g(\Gamma), \quad m_i^g(\tilde{\Gamma}) = m_r^g(\tilde{\Gamma}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_{r,\Omega}^g(\Gamma) &:= \inf\{\mathbf{M}_g(D) \mid D \in \mathcal{D}_{k+1}(\Omega), \quad (\partial D) \llcorner \Omega = \Gamma\} \\ m_r^g(\tilde{\Gamma}) &:= \inf\{\mathbf{M}_g(\tilde{D}) \mid \tilde{D} \in \mathcal{D}_{k+1}(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad \text{spt } \tilde{D} \subset \bar{\Omega}, \quad \partial \tilde{D} = \tilde{\Gamma}\} \end{aligned}$$

denote the *real g -mass* of Γ relative to Ω and the *real g -mass* of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, respectively. Moreover, for every k we have

$$m_{r,\Omega}^g(\Gamma) = F_\Omega^g(\Gamma), \quad m_r^g(\tilde{\Gamma}) = F_{\tilde{\Omega}}^g(\tilde{\Gamma}),$$

where $F_\Omega^g(\Gamma)$ is the *flat g -norm* of Γ relative to Ω

$$F_\Omega^g(\Gamma) := \sup\{\Gamma(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{D}^k(\Omega), \quad \|d\xi(x)\|_{g(x)} \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in \Omega\}$$

and $F_{\tilde{\Omega}}^g(\tilde{\Gamma})$ is the *flat g -norm* of $\tilde{\Gamma}$

$$F_{\tilde{\Omega}}^g(\tilde{\Gamma}) := \sup\{\tilde{\Gamma}(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{D}^k(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad \max\{\|\xi(x)\|_{g(x)}, \|d\xi(x)\|_{g(x)}\} \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}\}.$$

Assume now that $n = 3$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{S}^2$. Taking $\Gamma = \mathbb{P}(u) \llcorner \Omega$ for some $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{S}^2)$, by Example 5.9 we infer that *the integral g -mass $m_{i,\Omega}^g(\mathbb{P}(u))$ of $\mathbb{P}(u)$ relative to Ω agrees with*

$$L_g(u) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \sup\left\{ \int_\Omega D(u) \cdot D\phi \, dx \mid \phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \quad \|d\phi(x)\|_{g(x)} \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

Similarly, taking $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathbb{P}(u)$ for some $u \in W_\varphi^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y})$, if the boundary $\partial\tilde{\Omega}$ is smooth we infer that *the integral g -mass $m_i^g(\mathbb{P}(u))$ agrees with*

$$\tilde{L}_g(u) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \sup_{\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^0(\tilde{\Omega})} \left\{ \int_\Omega D(u) \cdot D\phi \, dx - \int_{\partial\tilde{\Omega}} D(\varphi) \cdot \nu \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \right\},$$

where ν is the outward unit normal to $\partial\tilde{\Omega}$ and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^0(\tilde{\Omega}) := \{\phi \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}) \mid \max\{\|\phi(x)\|_{g(x)}, \|d\phi(x)\|_{g(x)}\} \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}\}.$$

This was proved in [6] in the case of the Euclidean metric and $\mathcal{X} = B^3$ or \mathbb{S}^3 , where $L_g(u)$ is called the *length of the minimal connection of the singularities of u* .

Remark 5.13 We finally notice that for any $u \in W_\varphi^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{Y})$ we clearly have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_g(u, \tilde{\Omega}) \leq \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{g,\varphi}(u, \tilde{\Omega}),$$

and that the strict inequality may occur, in general. For example, in the case of a constant metric g , the strict inequality holds if for some $s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$ we have

$$m_{i,\tilde{\Omega}}(\mathbb{P}_s(u)) < m_i(\mathbb{P}_s(u)),$$

i.e., if the mass of an integral minimal connection $L \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\tilde{\Omega})$ of $\mathbb{P}_s(u)$ allowing connections to the boundary of $\tilde{\Omega}$, i.e., such that $(\partial L) \llcorner \tilde{\Omega} = \mathbb{P}_s(u)$, see Definition 5.11, is strictly lower than the mass of an integral minimal connection $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{R}_{n-2}(\tilde{\Omega})$ of $\mathbb{P}_s(u)$, i.e., such that $\text{spt } \tilde{L} \subset \bar{\Omega}$ and $\partial \tilde{L} = \mathbb{P}_s(u)$. This happens e.g. if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{P}_s(u) = \delta_{a_+} - \delta_{a_-}$ for some points $a_\pm \in \Omega$ such that the line segment connecting them is not contained in Ω .

6 The case of $W^{1/2}$ -maps

In this section we shall briefly consider the analogous problem for manifold constrained $W^{1/2}$ -maps. We refer to [12] [16] [19, Ch. 6] for details on the definitions and properties involved.

For the sake of simplicity, *in the sequel we let* $\mathcal{X}^n = B^n$ or \mathbb{S}^n , the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Moreover, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}^m$ is a smooth compact boundaryless connected oriented Riemannian submanifold of \mathbb{R}^N . We shall also assume that *the first homology group* $H_1(\mathcal{Y})$ *is torsion-free*. Setting

$$W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) := \{u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}^N) \mid u(x) \in \mathcal{Y} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

see [1], every map $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is the *trace* on $\mathcal{X} \times \{0\}$ of Sobolev functions U in $W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^N)$, say $\mathbf{T}(U) = u$, where \mathcal{C}^{n+1} is the cylinder

$$\mathcal{C}^{n+1} := \mathcal{X} \times [0, 1].$$

Moreover, the classical norm $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{X})}^2 + |u|_{1/2, \mathcal{X}}$ is equivalent to the standard Dirichlet integral $\mathbf{D}(U) := \mathbf{D}(U, \mathcal{C}^{n+1})$ of the *extension* $U = U(x, t) := \text{Ext}(u)$ of u , i.e., of the harmonic function that minimizes $\mathbf{D}(U, \mathcal{C}^{n+1})$ among all functions in $W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\mathbf{T}(U) = u$.

THE ENERGY ON MAPS. As above, we assume that for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ the metric $g(x)$ on \mathcal{X} satisfies the bound (2.7) and is continuous in \mathcal{X} . We equip \mathcal{C}^{n+1} with the metric \widehat{g} given by the product of the metric g on \mathcal{X} times the Euclidean metric on $[0, 1]$. This yields that $\widehat{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \widehat{g}_{\beta\alpha}$ and

$$\widehat{g}_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \widehat{g}_{(n+1)\beta} = 0, \quad \widehat{g}_{(n+1)(n+1)} = 1, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n.$$

The Dirichlet energy of a map $U \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is then given by

$$\mathbf{D}_g(U) := \int_{\mathcal{C}^{n+1}} e_g(x, DU(x, t)) dx dt, \quad (6.1)$$

where this time the quadratic integrand $e_g : \mathcal{X} \times M(N, n+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$2e_g(x, G) := \widehat{g}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \delta_{ij} G_\alpha^i G_\beta^j \sqrt{\det \widehat{g}(x)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad G \in M(N, n+1).$$

Therefore, if g is the Euclidean metric on \mathcal{X} , the energy (6.1) agrees with the standard Dirichlet integral $\mathbf{D}(U)$.

GRAPHS OF $W^{1/2}$ -MAPS. To any map $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ we can associate an $(n, 1)$ -current G_u in $\mathcal{D}_{n,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, compare Sec. 2. If u is “smooth”, G_u agrees with the current carried by the graph of u . Moreover, if $U := \text{Ext}(u)$, by Stokes’ theorem, and by a density argument, we infer that

$$(-1)^{n-1} \partial G_U = G_u \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}^{n,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \{0\} \times \mathcal{Y}). \quad (6.2)$$

Definition 6.1 *We say that an i.m. 1-cycle* $C \in \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{Y})$ *is an integral flat cycle if there exists an i.m. rectifiable current* $R \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *such that* $\partial R = C$.

It turns out that an element q in $H_1(\mathcal{Y}, \emptyset; \mathbb{Z})$, the *relative integral homology*, see [8], is an equivalence class of integral flat 1-cycles of \mathcal{Y} , where

$$C \sim Z \iff \exists W \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{Y}) : C - Z = \partial W.$$

In each homology class q in $H_1(\mathcal{Y}, \emptyset; \mathbb{Z})$ there exists a homological mass minimizer, i.e., an integral flat cycle $\widetilde{C} \in \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{Y})$ with finite mass such that

$$\mathbf{M}(\widetilde{C}) = \inf\{\mathbf{M}(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{Y}, \emptyset; \mathbb{Z}), [C] = \gamma\} < \infty.$$

Moreover, $H_1(\mathcal{Y}, \emptyset; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H_1(\mathcal{Y})$, that is assumed to be torsion-free. Therefore, we may and will denote by $[\widetilde{\gamma}_1], \dots, [\widetilde{\gamma}_{\bar{s}}]$ a family of generators of $H_1(\mathcal{Y}, \emptyset; \mathbb{Z})$, i.e., the $\widetilde{\gamma}_s$ ’s are integral flat cycles, and by $[\widetilde{\sigma}^1], \dots, [\widetilde{\sigma}^{\bar{s}}]$ a dual basis in $H_{dR}^1(\mathcal{Y})$ so that $\widetilde{\gamma}_s(\widetilde{\sigma}^r) = \delta_{sr}$. We will then denote by R_s the i.m. rectifiable current of least mass among all currents in $\mathcal{R}_2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that ∂R_s is in the homology class $\widetilde{\gamma}_s$. Notice that a priori the mass of ∂R_s is not finite. Moreover, for $s = 1, \dots, \bar{s}$, we set

$$\widetilde{M}_s := \mathbf{M}(R_s) = \inf\{\mathbf{M}(R) \mid R \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathbb{R}^N), \partial R \in [\widetilde{\gamma}_s]\} < \infty. \quad (6.3)$$

Definition 6.2 Let $T \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$. We say that T is in $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ -graph($\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$) if

$$\partial T = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{Z}^{n-1,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \quad (6.4)$$

and T can be decomposed as

$$T = G_{u_T} + S_T, \quad S_T := \sum_{s=1}^{\bar{s}} \mathbb{L}_s(T) \times \tilde{\gamma}_s, \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{Z}^{n,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \quad (6.5)$$

where $u_T \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and the $\mathbb{L}_s(T)$'s are i.m. rectifiable current in $\mathcal{R}_{n-1}(\mathcal{X})$.

Remark 6.3 Currents in $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ -graph($\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$) are defined in a homological sense, compare Remark. 5.3, as the decomposition (6.5) does not depend on the choice of the representative $\tilde{\gamma}_s$ in the homology class $[\tilde{\gamma}_s]$.

Definition 6.4 Let $T \in \mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ -graph($\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$) be such that (6.5) holds. We define its extension $\tilde{T} := \text{Ext}(T)$ in $\mathcal{D}_{n+1,2}(\mathcal{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ by

$$\tilde{T} = (-1)^{n-1} \left(G_{U_T} + \sum_{s=1}^{\bar{s}} \mathbb{L}_s(T) \times R_s \right), \quad U_T := \text{Ext}(u_T). \quad (6.6)$$

Remark 6.5 From Definition 6.4 and (6.2) we infer that the boundary of \tilde{T} over $\mathcal{X} \times \{0\} \times \mathcal{Y}$ is equal to T on $\mathcal{Z}^{n,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$.

THE \mathcal{E}_g -ENERGY. If $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{D}_{n+1,2}(\mathcal{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (6.6), where $\mathbb{L}_s(T) = \tau(\mathcal{L}_s, \theta_s, \tau_s)$, arguing as in Sec. 2 we infer that its Dirichlet energy is given by

$$\mathbf{D}_g(\tilde{T}) = \int_{\mathcal{C}^{n+1}} e_g(x, DU_T(x, t)) dx dt + \sum_{s=1}^{\bar{s}} \tilde{M}_s \cdot \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T)), \quad (6.7)$$

where \tilde{M}_s is given by (6.3), with $\mathbf{D}_g(G_U) = \mathbf{D}_g(U)$ if $\tilde{T} = G_U$ for some $U \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^N)$. In fact, since $\mathcal{L}_s \subset \mathcal{X} \times \{0\}$, the orienting $(n-1)$ -vector $\tau_s \in \Lambda_{n-1} \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ does not depend on the t -direction, whence $|\tau_s(x)|_{\hat{g}(x)} = |\tau_s(x)|_{g(x)}$, and the \hat{g} -mass of $\mathbb{L}_s(T)$ agrees with its g -mass,

$$\mathbf{M}_{\hat{g}}(\mathbb{L}_s(T)) = \int_{\mathcal{L}_s} |\tau_s(x)|_{g(x)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T)).$$

Remark 6.6 If g is the Euclidean metric, the energy of \tilde{T} agrees with the Dirichlet energy

$$\mathbf{D}(\tilde{T}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}^{n+1}} |DU_T|^2 dx dt + \sum_{s=1}^{\bar{s}} \tilde{M}_s \cdot \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{L}_s(T)).$$

Moreover, see Remark 0.4, in the simple case $n = 2$ the g -norm of the tangent vector $\tau_s(x)$ is given by

$$|\tau_s(x)|_g^2 = \tau_s(x)^T (\text{cof } A(x)) \tau_s(x),$$

where $A \in M(n, n)$ is given by (0.12).

Definition 6.7 Let T be in $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ -graph($\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$), so that (6.5) holds. The \mathcal{E}_g -energy $\mathcal{E}_g(T)$ of T is defined as the Dirichlet energy $\mathbf{D}_g(\tilde{T})$ of the extension $\tilde{T} := \text{Ext}(T)$, see (6.6) and (6.7).

Therefore, if $e_g(x, G) = \frac{1}{2}|G|^2$, the \mathcal{E}_g -energy $\mathcal{E}_g(T)$ reduces to the $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ -energy studied in [16]. Moreover, if $T = G_u$ for some $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $U = \text{Ext}(u)$, we let

$$\text{Ext}(G_u) := (-1)^{n-1} G_U, \quad \mathcal{E}_g(u) := \mathcal{E}_g(G_u) = \mathbf{D}_g(G_U) = \mathbf{D}_g(U),$$

see (6.1), and by the bound (2.7) we have

$$\mathbf{D}_g(U) \simeq \mathbf{D}(U) \simeq |u|_{1/2}.$$

Finally, for every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ we let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_g(T, \Omega \times \mathcal{Y}) &:= \mathbf{D}_g(\tilde{T}, \Omega \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^N), & \tilde{T} &:= \text{Ext}(T) \\ \mathcal{E}_g(u, \Omega) &:= \mathbf{D}_g(U, \Omega \times [0, 1]), & U &:= \text{Ext}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6.8 A current $T \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ is said to be in $\text{cart}^{1/2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ if T belongs to the class $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}\text{-graph}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ and the $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ -energy $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}(T)$ of T is finite, see Definitions 6.2 and 6.7.

We also say that $T_k \rightharpoonup T$ weakly in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,1}$ if $T_k(\omega) \rightarrow T(\omega)$ for every $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}^{n,1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$.

DENSITY RESULTS. It is well-known that if $n = 1$ maps in $C^1(\mathcal{X}^1, \mathcal{Y})$ are dense in $W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}^1, \mathcal{Y})$, compare e.g. [5]. For $n \geq 2$, let $R_{1/2}^\infty(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be the set of all maps $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ which are smooth except on a singular set $\Sigma(u)$ of the type

$$\Sigma(u) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i, \quad r \in \mathbb{N},$$

where Σ_i is a smooth $(n-2)$ -dimensional subset of \mathcal{X} with smooth boundary, if $n \geq 3$, and Σ_i is a point if $n = 2$. In [15] we proved that in any dimension $n \geq 2$ the class $R_{1/2}^\infty(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is dense in $W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. On account of the dominated convergence theorem, we then obtain:

Proposition 6.9 For every $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ there exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset R_{1/2}^\infty(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{E}_g(u_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_g(u)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

We recall that if the first homotopy group of the target manifold is nontrivial, $\pi_1(\mathcal{Y}) \neq 0$, there exist functions $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, for $n \geq 2$, which cannot be approximated strongly in $W^{1/2}$ by smooth maps in $W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. In [15] we showed that the converse holds true. As a consequence, by the dominated convergence theorem, in any dimension $n \geq 2$ we obtained the following.

Proposition 6.10 Let $\pi_1(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$. For every $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ there exists a sequence of smooth maps $\{u_k\} \subset C^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{E}_g(u_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_g(u)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, we have:

Theorem 6.11 Let $n \geq 1$ and let $\pi_1(\mathcal{Y})$ be commutative. For every $T \in \text{cart}^{1/2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ there exists a sequence of smooth maps $\{u_k\} \subset C^\infty(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ such that $G_{u_k} \rightharpoonup T$ weakly in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,1}$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_g(u_k) = \mathcal{E}_g(T).$$

This theorem was proved in [16] in the case of the $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ -energy, i.e., when $e_g(x, G) = \frac{1}{2}|G|^2$. In the case of dimension $n = 1$, the commutativity hypothesis on the first homotopy group can be removed, compare [19, Sec. 6.6]. However, even in the case of dimension $n = 2$, and $e_g(x, G) = \frac{1}{2}|G|^2$, if $\pi_1(\mathcal{Y})$ is non-commutative there exist currents T in $\text{cart}^{1/2}(B^2 \times \mathcal{Y})$ of the type $T = G_u$ which cannot be approximated weakly in $\mathcal{Z}_{n,1}$ by graphs of smooth maps $u_k : B^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}(G_{u_k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1/2}(G_u)$, compare [16].

PROOF OF THEOREM 6.11: Since the metric \hat{g} is continuous in \mathcal{C}^{n+1} , we infer that (3.2) holds true, this time for every $G \in M(N, n+1)$. The proof can be obtained by an adaptation of the one given for the $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ -energy in [16], by using arguments similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 3.8 for the dipole construction. For this reason, we omit any further comment. \square

THE RELAXED \mathcal{E}_g -ENERGY. We now introduce the relaxed \mathcal{E}_g -energy with respect to the weak $W^{1/2}$ -convergence, defined for every $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_g(u, \Omega) &:= \inf \left\{ \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_g(u_k, \Omega) \mid \{u_k\} \subset C^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. u_k \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for every $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ we denote by

$$\mathcal{T}_u^{1/2} := \{T \in \text{cart}^{1/2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid u_T = u\}$$

the class of Cartesian current in $\text{cart}^{1/2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ such that the underlying $W^{1/2}$ -function u_T in the decomposition (6.5) is equal to u .

By the strong density of smooth maps, in case of dimension $n = 1$ we clearly have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_g(u, \Omega) = \mathcal{E}_g(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega \times [0,1]} e_g(x, DU(x, t)) dx dt \quad \forall u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}^1, \mathcal{Y}),$$

where $U = \text{Ext}(u)$. In dimension $n \geq 2$, as a consequence of Theorem 6.11, using Theorem 0.3 and the closure-compactness of the class $\text{cart}^{1/2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, and arguing as in the proof of Proposition 5.5, we obtain the following

Proposition 6.12 *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6.11, for every $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ we have*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_g(u, \Omega) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}_g(T, \Omega \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{1/2}\} < \infty.$$

By Definition 6.7 we then obtain:

Proposition 6.13 *For every $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ we have*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_g(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega \times [0,1]} e_g(x, DU(x, t)) dx dt + \inf\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{\bar{s}} \tilde{M}_s \cdot \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{L}_s(T) \llcorner \Omega) \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{1/2}\right\},$$

where $U := \text{Ext}(u)$, \tilde{M}_s is given by (6.3), and $\mathbb{L}_s(T) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1}(\mathcal{X})$ is given by the decomposition (6.5) of T , for $s = 1, \dots, \bar{s}$.

Remark 6.14 If the first homotopy group $\pi_1(\mathcal{Y})$ is trivial, e.g., if $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{S}^p$ for some $p \geq 2$, by the Hurewicz theorem we have $H_1(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$. As a consequence, we readily infer that in any dimension n

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_g(u, \Omega) = \mathcal{E}_g(u, \Omega) \quad \forall u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}).$$

In order to write more explicitly the relaxed energy, for every $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and every s we set $\mathbb{P}_s(u) := -\pi_{\#}((\partial G_u) \llcorner \hat{\pi}^{\#} \tilde{\sigma}^s) \in \mathcal{D}_{n-2}(\mathcal{X})$, so that

$$\mathbb{P}_s(u)(\phi) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} u^{\#} \tilde{\sigma}^s \wedge d\phi, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-2}(\mathcal{X}). \quad (6.8)$$

By the null-boundary condition (6.4), we infer that for every $T \in \mathcal{T}_u^{1/2}$ and every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\partial(\mathbb{L}_s(T)) \llcorner \Omega = (-1)^n \mathbb{P}_s(u) \llcorner \Omega \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, \bar{s}.$$

Remark 6.15 Notice that $m_{i,\Omega}^g(\mathbb{P}_s(u)) < \infty$ for every map $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$, see Definition 5.11. Moreover, by the definition of the metric \hat{g} it turns out that when minimizing the \hat{g} -mass $\mathbf{M}_{\hat{g}}(L)$ among all i.m. rectifiable currents L in $\mathcal{R}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}^{n+1})$ such that $(\partial L_s) \llcorner (\Omega \times \{0\}) = \mathbb{P}_s(u) \llcorner \Omega$, there exists a solution L_s such that $\text{spt } L_s \subset \mathcal{X} \times \{0\}$, so that $\mathbf{M}_{\hat{g}}(L_s) = \mathbf{M}_g(L_s)$.

Similarly to Corollary 5.12, using Definition 5.11 with $k = n - 2$ we then obtain the following formula, that goes back to [16] in the case of the Euclidean metric g , i.e., when $\mathcal{E}_g = \mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ and $m_{i,\Omega}^g = m_{i,\Omega}$.

Corollary 6.16 *For every $u \in W^{1/2}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and every open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ we have*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_g(u, \Omega) = \mathcal{E}_g(u, \Omega) + \sum_{s=1}^{\bar{s}} \tilde{M}_s \cdot m_{i,\Omega}^g(\mathbb{P}_s(u))$$

where \tilde{M}_s is given by (6.3) and $\mathbb{P}_s(u)$ by (6.8).

We finally mention that the case with prescribed boundary data can be treated in a similar way.

Acknowledgement. We acknowledge the support of the MIUR under the grant *Calcolo delle Variazioni-COFIN 2004*. The third author also thanks the Research Center Ennio De Giorgi of the Scuola Normale Superiore of Pisa and the Department of Applied Mathematics of the University of Firenze for the hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] ADAMS R. A., *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] BETHUEL F., The approximation problem for Sobolev maps between manifolds. *Acta Math.* **167** (1992) 153–206.
- [3] BETHUEL F., Approximations in trace spaces defined between manifolds, *Nonlinear Analysis* **24** (1995), 121–130.
- [4] BETHUEL F., CORON J.M., DEMENGEL F., HELEIN F., A cohomological criterium for density of smooth maps in Sobolev spaces between two manifolds. In *Nematics, Mathematical and Physical Aspects*, edited by Coron J.M., Ghidaglia J.M., Helein F., NATO ASI Series C, 332, pp. 15–23. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1991).
- [5] BOURGAIN J., BREZIS H., MIRONESCU P., On the structure of the Sobolev space $H^{1/2}$ with values into the circle, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **331** (2000), 119–124.
- [6] BREZIS H., CORON J.M., LIEB E.H., Harmonic maps with defects, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **107** (1986), 649–705.
- [7] FEDERER H., *Geometric measure theory*, Grundlehren math. wissen. 153, Springer, New York, 1969.
- [8] FEDERER H., Real flat chains, cochains and variational problems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **24** (1974), 351–407.
- [9] GIAQUINTA M., MODICA G., On sequences of maps with equibounded energies, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **12** (2001) 213–222.
- [10] M. GIAQUINTA, G. MODICA, J. SOUČEK, The Dirichlet integral for mappings between manifolds: Cartesian currents and homology, *Math. Ann.* **294** (1992), 325–386.
- [11] GIAQUINTA M., MODICA G., SOUČEK J., *Cartesian currents in the calculus of variations*, I, II. Ergebnisse Math. Grenzgebiete (III Ser), 37, 38, Springer, Berlin (1998).
- [12] GIAQUINTA M., MODICA G., SOUČEK J., On sequences of maps into \mathbb{S}^1 with equibounded $W^{1/2}$ energies, *Selecta Math.* (N. S.) **10** (2004), 359–375.
- [13] GIAQUINTA M., MUCCI D., Weak and strong density results for the Dirichlet energy, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **6** (2004), 95–117.
- [14] GIAQUINTA M., MUCCI D., The relaxed Dirichlet energy of mappings into a manifold, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **24** (2005), 155–166.
- [15] GIAQUINTA M., MUCCI D., Density results for the $W^{1/2}$ energy of maps into a manifold, *Math. Z.* **251** (2003), 535–549.
- [16] GIAQUINTA M., MUCCI D., On sequences of maps into a manifold with equibounded $W^{1/2}$ -energies, *J. Funct. Anal.* **225** (2005), 94–146.
- [17] GIAQUINTA M., MUCCI D., Density results relative to the Dirichlet energy of mappings into a manifold. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **59** (2006), 1791–1810.
- [18] GIAQUINTA M., MUCCI D., The BV -energy of maps into a manifold: relaxation and density results. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **5** (2006), 483–548.
- [19] GIAQUINTA M., MUCCI D., *Maps into manifolds and currents: area and $W^{1,2}$ -, $W^{1/2}$ -, BV -energies*. Edizioni della Normale, C.R.M. Series, Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa (2006).
- [20] GIAQUINTA M., MUCCI D., Erratum and addendum to: The BV -energy of maps into a manifold: relaxation and density results. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **6** (2007), 185–194.
- [21] HANG F., LIN F., Topology of Sobolev mappings. II, *Acta Math.* **191** (2003), 55–107.
- [22] HANG F., LIN F., Topology of Sobolev mappings. IV, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **13** (2005), 1097–1124.
- [23] MILLOT V., PISANTE A., Relaxed energies for $H^{1/2}$ -maps with values into the circle and measurable weights. *Preprint C.N.A., Carnegie Mellon* (2006).

- [24] PAKZAD M.R., RIVIÈRE T., Weak density of smooth maps for the Dirichlet energy between manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13** (2001) 223–257.
- [25] SCHOEN R., UHLENBECK, K., Boundary regularity and the Dirichlet problem for harmonic maps. *J. Diff. Geom.* **18** (1983) 253–268.
- [26] TARP-FICENC U., On the minimizers of the relaxed energy functionals of mappings from higher dimensional balls into S^2 , *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **23** (2005), 451–467.

M. GIAQUINTA: SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI 7, I-56100 PISA,
E-MAIL: GIAQUINTA@SNS.IT

G. MODICA: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA APPLICATA “G. SANSONE”, VIA S. MARTA 3, I-50139
FIRENZE, E-MAIL: GIUSEPPE.MODICA@UNIFI.IT

D. MUCCI: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA DELL’UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA, VIALE G. P. USBERTI 53/A,
I-43100 PARMA, E-MAIL: DOMENICO.MUCCI@UNIPR.IT