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#### Abstract

We discuss the problem of the regularity in time of the map $t \mapsto T_{t} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d} ; \sigma\right)$ where $T_{t}$ is a transport map (optimal or not) from a reference measure $\sigma$ to a measure $\mu_{t}$ which lies along an absolutely continuous curve $t \mapsto \mu_{t}$ in the space $\left(\mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), W_{p}\right)$. We prove that in most cases such a map is no more than $\frac{1}{p}$-Hölder continuous.


## 1 Introduction

Starting from the pioneering work of Otto [10], much is known today about the Riemannain structure of the Wasserstein space $\left(\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), W_{2}\right)$. One of the basic facts of the theory is that for any probability measure $\sigma$ with bounded second moment, there is a well defined 'exponential map' from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d} ; \sigma\right)$ to $\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ given by:

$$
v \mapsto(I d+v)_{\#} \sigma,
$$

where $I d$ is the identity map and $(I d+v)_{\#} \sigma$ the push forward of $\sigma$ through $I d+v$. The trivial inequality

$$
W_{2}\left((I d+v)_{\#} \sigma,(I d+w)_{\#} \sigma\right) \leq \sqrt{\int|v(x)-w(x)|^{2} d \sigma(x)},
$$

may be interpeted as the confirmation of the formal fact that $\left(\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), W_{2}\right)$ has non-negative curvature, since the exponential map is non expansive. If the measure $\sigma$ is absolutely continuous (this condition may be weakened, see for instance [2] or [12] for more general results), the exponential map has a natural right inverse: the function which associates to each $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, the vector field $T_{\sigma}^{\mu}-I d$, where $T_{\sigma}^{\mu}$ is the optimal transport map from $\sigma$ to $\mu$. The existence of such map is given by the celebrated theorem of Brenier ([3]).

A natural question which arises is then: which kind of regularity should we expect from the map $\mu \mapsto T_{\sigma}^{\mu}$ ?

[^0]A well known result in this direction is that, under the assumption $\sigma \ll \mathcal{L}^{d}$ which guarantees existence and uniqueness of the optimal transport map, from the so called 'stability of optimality' it follows that the function $\mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \ni \mu \mapsto T_{\sigma}^{\mu} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d} ; \sigma\right)$ is continuous.

It is then natural to ask whether there is more regularity or not. A typical question is the following: given an absolutely continuous curve $t \mapsto \mu_{t} \in \mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, which regularity does the $\operatorname{map} t \mapsto T_{\sigma}^{\mu_{t}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d} ; \sigma\right)$ have?

This question has been investigated by several authors, among which Loeper and Ambrosio. Loeper published a work on the subject ([9]) where he obtained a result of the following kind: he assumed $\mu_{t}=(X(t, \cdot))_{\#} \sigma$, with $\sigma=\left.\mathcal{L}^{d}\right|_{U}$ for some open set $U$, and $X(t, x):[0,1] \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with both $X$ and $\partial_{t} X \quad L^{\infty}$ in space and time, and he derived that the optimal transport maps $T_{t}$ from $\sigma$ to $\mu_{t}$ satisfies " $t \mapsto T_{t}$ is of bounded variation in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d}, \sigma\right)$ ".

The results of Ambrosio are unpublished. With his permission, we report here his result, which shows that when $p=2$, under certain conditions on $\sigma$ and $\left(\mu_{t}\right)$ (similar to those of Caffarelli's regularity theory for the solutions of the Monge Ampere equation), the map $t \mapsto$ $T_{\sigma}^{\mu_{t}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d} ; \sigma\right)$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder continuous.

The main result of this paper is that in the case $p=2, \frac{1}{2}-$ Hölder regularity is the most we can expect.

Actually, we prove much more: for every $1<p<\infty$, and any geodesic of the kind $t \mapsto$ $\mu_{t}:=((1-t) I d+t S)_{\#} \mu_{0} \in \mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for some optimal map $S$, and any family of maps $T_{t}$ (not necessarly optimal) satisfying $\left(T_{t}\right)_{\#} \sigma=\mu_{t}$ and

$$
T_{1} \neq S \circ T_{0}
$$

the map $t \mapsto T_{t} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d} ; \sigma\right)$ is at most $\frac{1}{p}$-Hölder continuous.

## 2 Preliminaries

For a given $1<p<\infty$, we will denote by $\mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the set of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with bounded $p$ moment, that is:

$$
\mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right):=\left\{\mu \in \mathscr{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right): \int|x|^{p} d \mu(x)<\infty\right\} .
$$

We endow $\mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with the distance $W_{p}$ defined as

$$
W_{p}(\mu, \nu):=\inf \sqrt[p]{\int|x-y|^{p} d \gamma}
$$

where the infimum is taken among all admissible plans $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathscr{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ satisfying $\pi_{\#}^{1} \gamma=\mu$ and $\pi_{\#}^{2} \gamma=\nu$, where $\pi^{1}, \pi^{2}$ are the projection onto the first and second coordinate respectively. A plan which realizes the minimum is called optimal.

The following theorem is a well known generalization of Brenier's theorem to the case of general exponent $p$. It is not stated in his maximum generality, and the conclusion may be strenghtened by a characterization of the optimal map: for a detailed discussion of the topic and for the proof see [2] or [12].

Theorem 2.1 (Existence and uniqueness of optimal transport map) Let $\mu, \nu \quad \in$ $\mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and assume that $\mu$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure. Then there exists a unique optimal plan $\gamma$ from $\mu$ to $\nu$, and this plan is induced by a map, i.e.: there exists (a unique) $T \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mu\right)$ such that $\gamma=(I d, T)_{\#} \mu$, where $I d$ is the identity map.

There is a well known characterization of geodesics in $\mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$; we recall the basics facts we will need in the following statement (see e.g. [2] or [12] for a proof).

Theorem $2.2\left(G e o d e s i c s ~ i n ~\left(\mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), W_{p}\right)\right)$ Let $\left(\mu_{t}\right) \subset \mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be a geodesic on $[0,1]$. Then:
i) there exists an optimal transport plan $\gamma$ from $\mu_{0}$ to $\mu_{1}$ such that for any $t \in[0,1]$ it holds

$$
\mu_{t}=\left((1-t) \pi^{1}+t \pi^{2}\right)_{\#} \gamma
$$

ii) for any $t \in(0,1), s \in[0,1]$ there exists only one optimal plan from $\mu_{t}$ to $\mu_{s}$ and such plan is induced by a Lipschitz map,
iii) for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $C_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $t \in[\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$, $s \in[0,1]$ the Lipschitz constant of the optimal transport map from $\mu_{t}$ to $\mu_{s}$ is less than $C_{\varepsilon}$.

## $3 \quad \frac{1}{2}-$ Hölder regularity is achievable

Here we report a proof, suggested to us by Ambrosio, that under appropriate hypothesis the $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder regularity of $t \mapsto T_{\sigma}^{\mu_{t}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d} ; \sigma\right)$ is achievable when $\left(\mu_{t}\right)$ is an absolutely continuous curve in $\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. The hypothesis we put on the measures involved ar far from being optimal: it is not the purpose here to look for maximum generality, but just to show that $\frac{1}{2}-$ Hölder continuity of the optimal transport map is achievable. In particular, the regularity result due to Caffarelli, which is the key ingredient of the proof, is not recalled here in its maximum generality.

Theorem 3.1 (Caffarelli's regularity result) Let $\mu, \sigma \in \quad \mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Assume that $\operatorname{supp}(\mu), \operatorname{supp}(\sigma)$ (i.e. the smallest closed sets on which $\mu$ and $\sigma$ are concentrated) are both $C^{2}$ and uniformly convex. Also assume that both $\mu$ and $\sigma$ are absolutely continuous with $C^{0, \alpha}$ densities on their supports, for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<c \leq\left\|\frac{d \sigma}{d \mathcal{L}^{d}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \\
& 0<\bar{c} \leq\left\|\frac{d \mu}{d \mathcal{L}^{d}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \bar{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the optimal transport map from $\mu$ to $\sigma$ is the gradient of a $C^{2, \alpha}$ function on $\operatorname{supp}(\mu)$.
Corollary 3.2 (Uniform convexity of the optimal transport map) With the same hypothesis of the previous theorem, let $\varphi \in C^{2, \alpha}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu))$ be the smooth function whose gradient is the optimal transport map from $\mu$ to $\sigma$. Then $\varphi$ is strictly uniformly convex.

Proof. From the bound on the densities of $\mu$ and $\sigma$ and the well known formula

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d \mathcal{L}^{d}}(\nabla \varphi(x))\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\nabla^{2} \varphi(x)\right)\right|=\frac{d \mu}{d \mathcal{L}^{d}}(x),
$$

we get

$$
\frac{\bar{c}}{C} \leq\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\nabla^{2} \varphi(x)\right)\right|, \quad \forall x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mu)
$$

By the Brenier's theorem, we know that $\varphi$ is convex, thus the modulus in the above expression can be dropped. Also, by Caffarelli's regularity result we know that

$$
\sup _{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mu)}\left\|\nabla^{2} \varphi(x)\right\|_{\mathrm{op}}<\infty
$$

From this uniform upper bound on the eigenvalues of $\nabla^{2} \varphi(x)$ plus the uniform lower bound on $\operatorname{det}\left(\nabla^{2} \varphi(x)\right)$ obtained before, we get the strict uniform convexity.

Proposition 3.3 Let $\mu, \sigma$ be as in theorem 3.1, $\varphi \in C^{2, \alpha}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu))$ be the smooth function whose gradient is the optimal transport map from $\mu$ to $\sigma$, let $\lambda>0$ be the modulus of uniform convexity of $\varphi$ (i.e. $\lambda$ is the supremum of $\lambda^{\prime}$ such that $x \mapsto \varphi(x)-\frac{\lambda^{\prime}|x|^{2}}{2}$ is convex on $\operatorname{supp}(\mu)$ ) and $T:=(\nabla \varphi)^{-1}$. Then for every transport map $S$ from $\sigma$ to $\mu$ it holds

$$
\|S-T\|_{\sigma}^{2} \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}\left(\|S-I d\|_{\sigma}^{2}-\|T-I d\|_{\sigma}^{2}\right)
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int \varphi(y) d \mu(y)-\int \varphi(y) d \mu(y)=\int \varphi(S(x))-\varphi(T(x)) d \sigma(x) \\
& \geq \int\langle\nabla \varphi(T(x)), S(x)-T(x)\rangle d \sigma(x)+\frac{\lambda}{2}\|S-T\|_{\sigma}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now observe that $\nabla \varphi(T(x))=x$ for every $x \in \operatorname{supp}(\sigma)$, thus it holds

$$
\int\langle\nabla \varphi(T(x)), S(x)-T(x)\rangle d \sigma(x)=\int\langle x, S(x)-T(x)\rangle d \sigma(x)=-\frac{1}{2}\|S-I d\|_{\sigma}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|T-I d\|_{\sigma}^{2}
$$

Corollary 3.4 ( $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder regularity) Let $\sigma \in \mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\left(\mu_{t}\right) \subset \mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ a Lipschitz curve of absolutely continuous measures. Assume that $\sigma$ and $\mu:=\mu_{0}$ satisfy the assuptions of Caffarelli's theorem 3.1 and let, for every $t \in[0,1], T_{t}$ be the optimal transport map from $\sigma$ to $\mu_{t}$. Then $t \mapsto T_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d} ; \sigma\right)$ satisfies

$$
\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left\|T_{t}-T_{0}\right\|_{\sigma}}{\sqrt{t}}<\infty
$$

Proof. Let $L$ be the Lipschitz cosntant of the curve $t \mapsto \mu_{t} \in \mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Apply Brenier's theorem to get the existence of optimal transport maps $S_{t}$ from $\mu_{t}$ to $\mu_{0}$. The map $S_{t} \circ T_{t}$ maps $\sigma$ into $\mu_{0}$, thus applying proposition 3.3 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{t} \circ T_{t}-T_{0}\right\|_{\sigma}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|S_{t} \circ T_{t}-I d\right\|_{\sigma}^{2}-\left\|T_{0}-I d\right\|_{\sigma}^{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t \in[0,1]$ and some constant $C$ independent on $t$.
Now observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S_{t} \circ T_{t}-I d\right\|_{\sigma} & \leq\left\|S_{t} \circ T_{t}-T_{t}\right\|_{\sigma}+\left\|T_{t}-I d\right\|_{\sigma}=\left\|S_{t}-I d\right\|_{\mu_{t}}+W_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \sigma\right) \\
& \leq 2 W_{2}\left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{t}\right)+W_{2}\left(\mu_{0}, \sigma\right) \leq 2 L t+W_{2}\left(\mu_{0}, \sigma\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\left\|S_{t} \circ T_{t}-T_{0}\right\|_{\sigma} \geq\left\|T_{t}-T_{0}\right\|_{\sigma}-\left\|S_{t} \circ T_{t}-T_{t}\right\|_{\sigma} \geq\left\|T_{t}-T_{0}\right\|_{\sigma}-L t .
$$

Using this two inequality in (3.1) and recalling that $\left\|T_{0}-I d\right\|_{\sigma}=W_{2}\left(\mu_{0}, \sigma\right)$ we get the thesis.

## 4 The basic idea of the result

Before turning to our main result on $\frac{1}{p}$-Hölder regularity, we discuss a simple example in dimension 2 and for $p=2$, that shows the main idea of our argument.

Let $A:=(-2,1), B:=(2,1), C:=(0,-2)$ and $O:=(0,0)$. Since the strict inequality

$$
|A-O|^{2}+|O-C|^{2}=5+4<13+0=|A-C|^{2}+|O-O|^{2}
$$

holds, where $|\cdot|$ is the euclidean norm, we have that for $r>0$ small enough it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A-O^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|O-C^{\prime}\right|^{2}<\left|A-C^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|O-O^{\prime}\right|^{2}, \quad \forall O^{\prime} \in B_{r}(O), C^{\prime} \in B_{r}(C) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix such an $r$ and define the measures

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{0} & :=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{A}+\delta_{O}\right), \\
\mu_{1} & :=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{B}+\delta_{O}\right), \\
\sigma & :=\left(2 \pi r^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\left.\mathcal{L}^{2}\right|_{B_{r}(O) \cup B_{r}(C)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequality (4.1) implies that the optimal transport map $T_{0}$ from $\sigma$ to $\mu_{0}$ satisfies $T_{0}\left(B_{r}(O)\right)=$ $\{A\}$ and $T_{0}\left(B_{r}(C)\right)=\{O\}$. Symmetrically, for the optimal transport map $T_{1}$ from $\sigma$ to $\mu_{1}$ it holds $T_{1}\left(B_{r}(O)\right)=\{B\}$ and $T_{1}\left(B_{r}(C)\right)=\{O\}$.

Now observe that since

$$
|A-O|^{2}+|O-B|^{2}=5+5<16+0=|A-B|^{2}+|O-O|^{2}
$$

there is a unique optimal plan between $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$ and this plan is induced by the map $S$, seen from $\mu_{0}$, given by $S(A)=O$ and $S(O)=B$. Observe that it holds $S\left(T_{0}\left(B_{r}(O)\right)\right) \neq T_{1}\left(B_{r}(O)\right)$.


Figure 1: Position of the masses

Let $\mu_{t}:=((1-t) I d+t S)_{\#} \mu_{0}$ and $T_{t}$ be the optimal transport map from $\sigma$ to $\mu_{t}$. Let $D_{t}:=(1-t) A$ and $E_{t}:=t B$, so that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{t}\right)=\left\{D_{t}, E_{t}\right\}$.

Here it comes the main idea of the example. We claim that the map $t \rightarrow T_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{2} ; \sigma\right)$ is not $C^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha>1 / 2$ : we will argue by contradiction. Suppose that for some $\alpha>1 / 2$ the map is $C^{\alpha}$, let $\chi$ be the characteristic function of $B_{r}(0)$ (i.e. $\chi\left(B_{r}(0)\right)=\{1\}$ and $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{r}(0)\right)=\{0\}$ ) and observe that from the inequality

$$
\int\left|T_{t}-T_{s}\right|^{2} \chi d \sigma \leq \int\left|T_{t}-T_{s}\right|^{2} d \sigma
$$

we get that 'any regularity of $t \mapsto T_{t}$ seen as curve in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{2} ; \sigma\right)$ is inherited by the curve $t \mapsto T_{t}$ seen as curve with values in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{2}, 2 \chi \sigma\right)^{\prime}$ (the factor 2 stands just for the renormalization of the mass). In particular the map $t \mapsto T_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{2} ; 2 \chi \sigma\right)$ is $C^{\alpha}$, too. Therefore defining the measures

$$
\nu_{t}:=\left(T_{t}\right)_{\#}(2 \chi \sigma),
$$

and using the inequality

$$
W^{2}\left(\nu_{t}, \nu_{s}\right) \leq \int\left|T_{t}-T_{s}\right|^{2} d(2 \chi \sigma)
$$

we get that the curve $t \mapsto \nu_{t} \in\left(\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), W_{2}\right)$ is $C^{\alpha}$. The contradiction comes from the fact that the mass of $\nu_{0}$ lies entirely on $D_{0}$, while the mass of $\nu_{1}$ is on $E_{1}$. To make the contradiction evident, define the function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ as $f(t):=\nu_{t}\left(D_{t}\right)$ and observe that it holds $f(0)=1$ and $f(1)=0$. Now we want to evaluate the distance $W\left(\nu_{t}, \nu_{s}\right)$ : roughly speaking, the best way to move the mass from $\nu_{t}$ to $\nu_{s}$ is to move as much mass as poissible from $D_{t}$ to $D_{s}$, as much mass as possible from $E_{t}$ to $E_{s}$ and then 'to adjust the rest'. More precisely, it can be easily
checked that the optimal transport plan between $\nu_{t}$ and $\nu_{s}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \{f(t), f(s)\} \delta_{\left(D_{t}, D_{s}\right)}+\min \{1-f(t), 1-f(s)\} \delta_{\left(E_{t}, E_{s}\right)} \\
& +(f(t)-f(s))^{+} \delta_{\left(D_{t}, E_{s}\right)}+(f(s)-f(t))^{+} \delta_{\left(E_{t}, D_{s}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

as its support is either $\left\{\left(D_{t}, D_{s}\right),\left(E_{t}, E_{s}\right),\left(D_{t}, E_{s}\right)\right\}$ or $\left\{\left(D_{t}, D_{s}\right),\left(E_{t}, E_{s}\right),\left(E_{t}, D_{s}\right)\right\}$ (depending on whether $f(t) \geq f(s)$ or viceversa, respectively) and both of these sets are cyclically monotone. Therefore we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{2}^{2}\left(\nu_{t}, \nu_{s}\right)= & \min \{f(t), f(s)\}\left|D_{t}-D_{s}\right|^{2}+\min \{1-f(t), 1-f(s)\}\left|E_{t}-E_{s}\right|^{2} \\
& +(f(t)-f(s))^{+}\left|D_{t}-E_{s}\right|^{2}+(f(s)-f(t))^{+}\left|E_{t}-D_{s}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering only the last two terms of the expression on the right, and choosing $|s-t|<1 / 2$ we get the bound

$$
W_{2}\left(\nu_{t}, \nu_{s}\right) \geq \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \sqrt{f(t)-f(s)}
$$

From the fact that $t \mapsto \nu_{t} \in\left(\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), W_{2}\right)$ is $C^{\alpha}$ we get

$$
\sqrt{f(t)-f(s)} \leq c|t-s|^{\alpha}, \quad \forall t, s \text { s.t. }|s-t|<1 / 2
$$

for some constant $c$. The contradiction follows: indeed the above inequality and the fact that $\alpha>1 / 2$ implies that $f$ is constant on $[0,1]$, while we know that $f(0)=1$ and $f(1)=0$.

## 5 The main result

Lemma 5.1 Let $\sigma, \mu \in \mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $T, S$ be two Borel transport maps from $\sigma$ to $\mu$. Assume that $T \neq S$ in $L_{\sigma}^{2}$. Then there exists a Borel set $E$ such that $\sigma(E)>0$ and $d(T(E), S(E))>0$. Proof. Since $T \neq S$ in $L_{\sigma}^{2}$ we know that there exists $c>0$ such that the Borel set

$$
A:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:|T(x)-S(x)|>c\right\}
$$

satisfies $\sigma(A)>0$. Let $r:=\frac{\sigma(A)}{4}$ and find $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that the ball $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\sigma\left(\left\{x \in A: T(x) \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}\right)>0
$$

(such a ball must exist, since a countable family of balls of radius $r$ covers $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ ). We claim that the Borel set

$$
E:=\left\{x \in A: T(x) \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

satisfies the thesis. We know that $\sigma(E)>0$, so we only have to prove that $\inf _{x, y \in E} \mid T(x)-$ $S(y) \mid>0$. This follows from

$$
|T(x)-S(y)|>|T(y)-S(y)|-|T(x)-T(y)|>r-2 \frac{r}{4}=\frac{r}{2}
$$

Theorem 5.2 Let $\left(\mu_{t}\right) \subset \mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be a geodesic induced by a Lipshitz map $S$ with Lipschitz inverse ${ }^{1}, \sigma \in \mathscr{P}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and, for any $t \in[0,1], T_{t} \in L_{\sigma}^{p}$ a transport map from $\sigma$ to $\mu_{t}$. Assume that $T_{1} \neq S \circ T_{0}$. Then the map $t \mapsto T_{t} \in L_{\sigma}^{p}$ has at most $\frac{1}{p}$-Hölder regularity.
Proof. Use lemma 5.1 to obtain the existence of a Borel set $E$ such that $\sigma(E)>0$ such that $d\left(S\left(T_{0}(E)\right), T_{1}(E)\right)>0$. Since $S$ has Lipschitz inverse, it also holds $d\left(T_{0}(E), S^{-1}\left(T_{1}(E)\right)\right)>0$. Define the measures

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\sigma} & :=\left.\frac{1}{\sigma(E)} \sigma\right|_{E}, \\
\nu_{t} & :=\left(T_{t}\right)_{\#} \bar{\sigma},
\end{aligned}
$$

the sets $A_{0}:=T_{0}(E), B_{0}:=S^{-1}\left(T_{1}(E)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{t}:=((1-t) I d+t S)\left(A_{0}\right), \\
& B_{t}:=((1-t) I d+t S)\left(B_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\nu_{0}$ is concentrated on $A_{0}$ and $\nu_{1}$ on $B_{1}$ and $\nu_{t}$ is concentrated on $A_{t} \cup B_{t}$ for any $t \in(0,1)$. From the choice of $E$, we know that $d\left(A_{0}, B_{0}\right)>0$ and $d\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right)>0$; therefore, since $S$ is Lipshitz, we get that $d:=\inf _{t} d\left(A_{t}, B_{t}\right)>0$. By continuity, for every $t$, there exists $\delta_{t}>0$ such that $d\left(A_{t}, B_{s}\right)>d / 2$ and $d\left(A_{s}, B_{t}\right)>d / 2$ for any $s \in\left[t-\delta_{t}, t+\delta_{t}\right]$.

Define $f(t):=\bar{\sigma}\left(T_{t}^{-1}\left(A_{t}\right)\right)$; observe that $f(0)=1, f(1)=0$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{p}\left(\nu_{t}, \nu_{s}\right) \geq \frac{d}{2}(|f(s)-f(t)|)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall s \in\left[t-\delta_{t}, t+\delta_{t}\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c>0$.
To prove this, assume $f(s) \leq f(t)$ (the other inequality is similar) and let $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}^{s}$ be an optimal transfer plan from $\nu_{t}$ to $\nu_{s}$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t) & =\nu_{t}\left(A_{t}\right)=\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}^{s}\left(A_{t} \times B_{s}\right)+\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}^{s}\left(A_{t} \times A_{s}\right) \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}^{s}\left(A_{t} \times B_{s}\right)+\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}^{s}\left(A_{t} \times A_{s}\right)+\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}^{s}\left(B_{t} \times A_{s}\right)=\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}^{s}\left(A_{t} \times B_{s}\right)+\nu_{s}\left(A_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we get $\gamma_{t}^{s}\left(A_{t} \times B_{s}\right) \geq f(t)-f(s)$. Arguing symmetrically for the case $f(t)<f(s)$ we can conclude that

$$
\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}^{s}\left(A_{t} \times B_{s} \cup A_{s} \times B_{t}\right) \geq|f(s)-f(t)|
$$

Therefore if $s \in\left[t-\delta_{t}, t+\delta_{t}\right]$ it holds

$$
W_{p}^{p}\left(\nu_{t}, \nu_{s}\right)=\int|x-y|^{p} d \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}^{s}(x, y) \geq \int_{A_{t} \times B_{s} \cup A_{s} \times B_{t}}|x-y|^{p} d \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}^{s}(x, y) \geq\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{p}|f(s)-f(t)|,
$$

which is equation (5.1).

[^1]To conclude the proof, we will argue by contradiction. Assume that $t \mapsto T_{t} \in L_{\sigma}^{2}$ is $\alpha-$ Hölder continuous for some $\alpha>p^{-1}$. Coupling the inequality

$$
W_{p}\left(\nu_{t}, \nu_{s}\right) \leq\left(\int\left|T_{t}-T_{s}\right|^{p} d \bar{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{1}{(\sigma(E))^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\int\left|T_{t}-T_{s}\right|^{p} d \sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C|s-t|^{\alpha}
$$

with (5.1), we get

$$
\frac{d}{2}(|f(s)-f(t)|)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C|s-t|^{\alpha}, \quad s \in\left[t-\delta_{t}, t+\delta_{t}\right]
$$

which may be written as

$$
\frac{|f(s)-f(t)|}{|s-t|} \leq \frac{2 C}{d}|s-t|^{\alpha p-1}, \quad s \in\left[t-\delta_{t}, t+\delta_{t}\right] .
$$

Since we assumed $\alpha>p^{-1}$, this equation implies that $f$ is constant. This is absurdum, as we know that $f(0)=1$ and $f(1)=0$.

We conclude with some comments on this result.
Remark 5.3 (Independence on the geometry) It is immediate to verify that the validity of theorem 5.2 does not rely on the fact that we are working on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, rather than on a generic Riemannian manifold. A similar result holds when the geodesic $\left(\mu_{t}\right)$ is contained on $\mathscr{P}_{c}(M)$, i.e. on the set of probability measures with compact support on a Riemannian manifold $M$.

The only thing we should take care of, is the meaning of Hölder regularity for the transport map, as in this setting the transport maps do not belong anymore to an Hilbert space. The natural generalization is to define the set $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}$ of all transport maps from $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{2}(M)$ as

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}:=\left\{T: M \rightarrow M: T \text { is Borel and } \int \mathrm{d}^{2}(x, T(x)) d \mu(x)<\infty\right\}
$$

d being the Riemannian distance on $M$, to identify two maps in this set if they coincide $\mu$-a.e. and to endow this space with the distance $D$ defined as

$$
D^{2}(T, S):=\int \mathrm{d}^{2}(T(x), S(x)) d \mu(x)
$$

Then the space $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}, D\right)$ is a metric space, and it makes sense to say that a map $t \mapsto T_{t} \in \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}$ is Hölder continuous.

It is known that theorem 2.2 is true also in this setting, thus it can be easily checked that the proof of theorem 5.2 can be generalized up to this level.

Remark 5.4 (Not only geodesics) The only step of the proof in which we used the fact that $\left(\mu_{t}\right)$ was (the restriction of) a geodesic, was the one in which we said that the optimal transport maps from $\mu_{t}$ to $\mu_{s}$ are uniformly Lipschitz. This was needed to be sure that the distance between the sets $A_{t}, B_{t}$ was bounded from below by a positive constant.

The result can therefore be generalized to the class of curves $\left(\mu_{t}\right)$ for which it exists a family of transport maps $\left\{S_{t}^{s}\right\}_{t, s \in[0,1]}$ uniformly Lipschitz, satisfying $\left(S_{t}^{s}\right)_{\#} \mu_{t}=\mu_{s}$ and $S_{t}^{s} \circ S_{r}^{s}=S_{r}^{t}$ for any $t, r, s \in[0,1]$. In this case the hypothesis reads as

$$
T_{1} \neq S_{0}^{1} \circ T_{0}
$$

and following step by step the proof it is simple to check that the conclusion still holds. (In [1] and $[8]$ it is proved that the family of curves having this kind of Lipschitz property is dense in the class of absolutely continuous curves w.r.t. the uniform convergence).
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ by theorem 2.2 , such a geodesic may be obtained by restriciton starting from any geodesic

