

Γ -LIMITS OF CONVOLUTION FUNCTIONALS

LUCA LUSSARDI AND ANNIBALE MAGNI

ABSTRACT. We compute the Γ -limit of a sequence of non-local integral functionals depending on a regularization of the gradient term by means of a convolution kernel. In particular, as Γ -limit, we obtain free discontinuity functionals with linear growth and with anisotropic surface energy density.

Keywords: Free discontinuities, Γ -convergence, anisotropy.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49Q20, 49J45, 49M30.

1. INTRODUCTION

As it is well known, many variational problems which are recently under consideration, arising for instance from image segmentation, signal reconstruction, fracture mechanics and liquid crystals, involve a *free discontinuity set* (according to a terminology introduced in [19]). This means that the variable function u is required to be smooth outside a surface K , depending on u , and both u and K enter the structure of the functional, which takes the form given by

$$\mathcal{F}(u, K) = \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \phi(|\nabla u|) \, dx + \int_{K \cap \Omega} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_K) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

being Ω an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , K is a $(n-1)$ -dimensional compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $|u^+ - u^-|$ the jump of u across K , ν_K the normal direction to K , while ϕ and θ given positive functions, whereas \mathcal{H}^{n-1} denotes the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

The classical weak formulation for such problems can be obtained considering K as the set of the discontinuities of u and thus working in the space of functions with bounded variation. More precisely, the aforementioned weak form of \mathcal{F} takes on $BV(\Omega)$ the general form

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \phi(|\nabla u|) \, dx + \int_{S_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c_0 |D^c u|(\Omega),$$

where $Du = \nabla u \mathcal{L}^n + (u^+ - u^-) \mathcal{H}^{n-1} + D^c u$ is the decomposition of the measure derivative of u in its absolutely continuous, jump and Cantor part, respectively, S_u denotes the set of discontinuity points of u , and ν_u is a choice of the unit normal at S_u .

The main difficulty in the actual minimization of \mathcal{F} comes from the surface integral

$$\int_{S_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

which makes it necessary to use suitable approximations guaranteeing the convergence of minimum points and naturally leads to Γ -convergence.

As pointed out in [10], it is not possible to obtain a variational approximation for \mathcal{F} by the typical integral functionals

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u) = \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon(\nabla u) \, dx$$

defined on some Sobolev spaces. Indeed, when considering the lower semicontinuous envelopes of these functionals, we would be lead to a convex limit, which conflicts with the non-convexity of \mathcal{F} .

Heuristic arguments suggest that, to get rid of the difficulty, we have to prevent that the effect of *large* gradients is concentrated on *small* regions. Several approximation methods fit this requirements. For instance in [7], [12], [24] the case where the functionals \mathcal{F}_ε are restricted to

finite elements spaces on regular triangulations of size ε is considered. In [1], [2], [23] the implicit constraint on the gradient through the addition of a higher order penalization is investigated. Moreover, it is important to mention the AMBROSIO & TORTORELLI approximation (see [4] and [5]) of the Mumford-Shah functional via elliptic functionals.

The study of non-local models, where the effect of a large gradient is spread onto a set of size ε , was first introduced by BRAIDES & DAL MASO in order to approximate the Mumford-Shah functional (see [10] and also [11], [13], [14], [15], [16]) by means of the family

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\varepsilon \int_{B_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dy\right) dx, \quad u \in H^1(\Omega),$$

where, for instance, $f(t) = t \wedge 1/2$ and $B_\varepsilon(x)$ denotes the ball of centre x and radius ε . A variant of the method proposed in [10] has been used in [22] to deal with the approximation of a functional \mathcal{F} of the form (1.1), with ϕ having linear growth and θ independent on the normal ν_u (see also [20] and [21]). More precisely, in [22] the Γ -limit of the family

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\varepsilon \int_{B_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Omega} |\nabla u| dy\right) dx, \quad u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega),$$

for a suitable concave function f , is computed.

In [25] (see also [13]) the case of an anisotropic variant of (1.2), i.e. the presence of a convolution between the gradient and a not radially symmetrical kernel, has been considered. In particular it is proven that the family

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} f(\varepsilon |\nabla u|^p * \rho_\varepsilon) dx, \quad u \in H^1(\Omega), \quad p > 1,$$

Γ -converges to an anisotropic version of the Mumford-Shah functional.

In this paper we investigate the Γ -convergence of the family

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon(\varepsilon |\nabla u| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx, \quad u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega),$$

where the family $(f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ satisfies some conditions. The main difficulty to overcome is the estimate from below for the lower Γ -limit in terms of the surface part, while the contribution arising from the volume and Cantor parts has been treated along the same line of the argument already exploited in [25]. The estimate from above has been achieved by density and relaxation arguments. We prove that the Γ -limit, in the strong L^1 -topology, is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{S_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c_0 |D^c u|(\Omega),$$

where $\phi(t) \sim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon(\varepsilon t)$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, is a convex and non-decreasing function with $\phi(0) = 0$ and with $\phi(t)/t \rightarrow c_0 > 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$; moreover,

$$\theta(s, \nu) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{Q_\nu} f(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dx : (u_j) \in W_\nu^{0,s}, \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+ \right\},$$

being f the uniform limit, on compact subsets of $[0, +\infty)$, of f_ε , $W_\nu^{a,b}$ the space of all sequences on the cylinder

$$Q_\nu = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, \nu \rangle| \leq 1, \text{ the orthogonal projection of } x \text{ onto } \nu^\perp \text{ belongs to the unit ball}\}.$$

which converge, shrinking onto the interface, to the function that jumps from a to b around the origin (see paragraph 3.1 for details).

In section 7 we have been able to show that the method used in [22] to write θ in a more explicit form works only if $n = 1$. In the case $n > 1$ such an argument does not work. Let us briefly discuss the reason. Without loss of generality we can suppose $\nu = \mathbf{e}_1$. Let P_C^\perp be the orthogonal projection of C onto $\{x_1 = 0\}$. Denote by X the space of all functions $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R} \times P_C^\perp)$ which are non-decreasing in the first variable and such that there exist $\xi_0 < \xi_1$ with $v(x) = 0$ if $x_1 < \xi_0$ and

$v(x) = s$ if $x_1 > \xi_1$. Then, exploiting the same argument as in [22], we have $\theta(s, \mathbf{e}_1) \geq \inf_X G$, where

$$G(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f \left(\int_{C(s\mathbf{e}_1)} \partial_1 v(z) \rho(z - t\mathbf{e}_1) dz \right) dt.$$

The estimate $\theta(s, \mathbf{e}_1) \geq \inf_X G$ turns out to be optimal if $\inf_X G = \inf_Y G$, where Y is the space of all functions $v \in X$ such that v depends only on the first variable. This is due to the fact that proving the inequality $\theta(s, \mathbf{e}_1) \geq \inf_X G$ we lose control on all the derivatives $\partial_i v$ for any $i = 2, \dots, n$. In the case $C = B_1$ and $\rho = \frac{1}{\omega_n} \chi_{B_1}$, treated in [22], one is able to prove that $\inf_X G = \inf_Y G$ computing directly $\inf_X G$ by a discretization argument (see Prop. 5.7 in [22]). In general, $\inf_X G = \inf_Y G$ does not hold. Indeed proceeding at first as in the proof of Prop. 5.6 in [22], one is able to show that for any $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ open, bounded, convex and symmetrical set (i.e. $C = -C$) and for $\rho = \frac{1}{|C|} \chi_C$, it holds

$$(1.3) \quad \inf_Y G = \int_{-h_1}^{h_1} f \left(\frac{s}{|C|} \mathcal{H}^1(C \cap \{z_1 = t\}) \right) dt.$$

Now if C is the parallelogram $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -2 \leq y \leq 2, x - 1 \leq y \leq x + 1\}$ applying (1.3), we get

$$\inf_Y G' = 2f \left(\frac{2s}{|C|} \right) + 2 \int_0^2 f \left(\frac{sr}{|C|} \right) dr.$$

If we compute G on the function w given by

$$w(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y > x - 1 \\ s & \text{if } y \leq x - 1 \end{cases},$$

(to do this we notice that the functional G makes sense also on $BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times (-2, 2))$ writing $D_1 v$ instead of $\partial_1 v dz$) we obtain

$$G(w) = 2f \left(\frac{4s}{|C|} \right).$$

If f is strictly concave then

$$G(w) < 2f \left(\frac{2s}{|C|} \right) + 2f \left(\frac{2s}{|C|} \right) < 2f \left(\frac{2s}{|C|} \right) + 2 \int_0^2 f \left(\frac{sr}{|C|} \right) dr = \inf_Y G.$$

By a density argument we deduce that $\inf_X G < \inf_Y G$.

As a conclusion, it seems that for a generic anisotropic convolution kernel ρ_ε the expression for θ can not be further simplified when $n > 1$.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

We will denote by $L^p(\Omega)$ and by $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 1$, and for $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, respectively the classical Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces on Ω . The Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ will be denoted by $|A|$, whereas the Hausdorff measure of A of dimension $m < n$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}^m(A)$. The ball centered in x with radius r will be denoted by $B_r(x)$, while B_r stands for $B_r(0)$; moreover, we will use the notation \mathbb{S}^{n-1} for the boundary of B_1 in \mathbb{R}^n . The volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n will be denoted by ω_n , with the convention $\omega_0 = 1$. Finally $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ denotes the set of all open subsets of Ω .

2.1. Functions of bounded variation. For a thorough treatment of BV functions we refer the reader to [3]. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We recall that the space $BV(\Omega)$ of real *functions of bounded variation* is the space of the functions $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ whose distributional derivative is representable by a measure in Ω , i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} dx = - \int_{\Omega} \varphi dD_i u, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \forall i = 1, \dots, n,$$

for some \mathbb{R}^n -valued measure $Du = (D_1u, \dots, D_nu)$ on Ω . We say that u has *approximate limit* at $x \in \Omega$ if there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r(x)} |u(y) - z| dy = 0.$$

The set S_u where this property fails is called *approximate discontinuity set* of u . The vector z is uniquely determined for any point $x \in \Omega \setminus S_u$ and is called the *approximate limit* of u at x and denoted by $\tilde{u}(x)$. We say that x is an *approximate jump point* of the function $u \in BV(\Omega)$ if there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that $a \neq b$ and

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r^+(x, \nu)} |u(y) - a| dy = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r^-(x, \nu)} |u(y) - b| dy = 0,$$

where $B_r^+(x, \nu) = \{y \in B_r(x) : \langle y - x, \nu \rangle > 0\}$ and $B_r^-(x, \nu) = \{y \in B_r(x) : \langle y - x, \nu \rangle < 0\}$. The set of approximate jump points of u is denoted by J_u . The triplet (a, b, ν) , which turns out to be uniquely determined up to a permutation of a and b and a change of sign of ν , is usually denoted by $(u^+(x), u^-(x), \nu_u(x))$. On $\Omega \setminus S_u$ we set $u^+ = u^- = \tilde{u}$. It turns out that for any $u \in BV(\Omega)$ the set S_u is countably $(n-1)$ -rectifiable and $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u \setminus J_u) = 0$. Moreover,

$$Du \llcorner J_u = (u^+ - u^-)\nu_u \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \llcorner J_u$$

and $\nu_u(x)$ gives the approximate normal direction to S_u for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $x \in S_u$.

For a function $u \in BV(\Omega)$ let $Du = D^a u + D^s u$ be the Lebesgue decomposition of Du into absolutely continuous and singular part. We denote by ∇u the density of $D^a u$; the measures $D^j u := D^s u \llcorner J_u$ and $D^c u := D^s u \llcorner (\Omega \setminus S_u)$ are called the *jump part* and the *Cantor part* of the derivative, respectively. It holds $Du = \nabla u \mathcal{L}^n + (u^+ - u^-)\nu_u \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \llcorner J_u + D^c u$. Let us recall the following important compactness Theorem in BV (see Th. 3.23 and Prop. 3.21 in [3]):

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary. Every sequence (u_h) in $BV(\Omega)$ which is bounded in $BV(\Omega)$ admits a subsequence converging in $L^1(\Omega)$ to a function $u \in BV(\Omega)$.*

We say that a function $u \in BV(\Omega)$ is a *special function of bounded variation*, and we write $u \in SBV(\Omega)$, if $|D^c u|(\Omega) = 0$. We say that a function $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ is a *generalized function of bounded variation*, and we write $u \in GBV(\Omega)$, if $u^T := (-T) \vee u \wedge T$ belongs to $BV(\Omega)$ for every $T \geq 0$. If $u \in GBV(\Omega)$, the function ∇u given by

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla u = \nabla u^T \quad \text{a.e. on } \{|u| \leq T\}$$

turns out to be well-defined. Moreover, the set function $T \mapsto S_{u^T}$ is monotone increasing; therefore, if we set $S_u = \bigcup_{T>0} J_{u^T}$, for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $x \in S_u$ we can consider the functions of T given by $(u^T)^-(x)$, $(u^T)^+(x)$, $\nu_{u^T}(x)$. It turns out that

$$(2.3) \quad u^-(x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} (u^T)^-(x), \quad u^+(x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} (u^T)^+(x), \quad \nu_u(x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \nu_{u^T}(x)$$

are well-defined for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $x \in S_u$. Finally, for a function $u \in GBV(\Omega)$, let $|D^c u|$ be the supremum, in the sense of measures, of $|D^c u^T|$ for $T > 0$. It can be proved that for any Borel subset B of Ω

$$(2.4) \quad |D^c u|(B) = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} |D^c u^T|(B).$$

2.2. Slicing. In order to obtain the estimate from below of the lower Γ -limit (see next paragraph) we need some basic properties of one-dimensional sections of BV -functions. We first introduce some notation. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and let ξ^\perp be the vector subspace orthogonal to ξ . If $y \in \xi^\perp$ and $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we set $E_{\xi, y} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : y + t\xi \in E\}$. Moreover, for any given function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define $u_{\xi, y} : \Omega_{\xi, y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $u_{\xi, y}(t) = u(y + t\xi)$. For the results collected in the following Theorem see [3], section 3.11.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $u \in BV(\Omega)$. Then $u_{\xi,y} \in BV(\Omega_{\xi,y})$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $y \in \xi^\perp$. For such values of y we have $u'_{\xi,y}(t) = \langle \nabla u(y+t\xi), \xi \rangle$ for a.e. $t \in \Omega_{\xi,y}$ and $J_{u_{\xi,y}} = (J_u)_{\xi,y}$, where $u'_{\xi,y}$ denotes the absolutely continuous part of the measure derivative of $u_{\xi,y}$. Moreover, for every open subset A of Ω we have*

$$\int_{\xi^\perp} |D^c u_{\xi,y}|(A_{\xi,y}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) = |\langle D^c u, \xi \rangle|(A).$$

2.3. Γ -convergence. For the general theory see [9] and [18]. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let (\mathcal{F}_j) be a sequence of functions $X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. We say that (\mathcal{F}_j) Γ -converges, as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, to $\mathcal{F}: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, if for all $u \in X$ we have:

a) For every sequence (u_j) converging to u it holds

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_j(u_j).$$

b) There exists a sequence (u_j) converging to u such that

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_j(u_j).$$

The lower and upper Γ -limits of (\mathcal{F}_j) in $u \in X$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{F}'(u) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_j(u_j) : u_j \rightarrow u \right\}, \quad \mathcal{F}''(u) = \inf \left\{ \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_j(u_j) : u_j \rightarrow u \right\}$$

respectively. We extend this definition of convergence to families depending on a real parameter. Given a family $(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ of functions $X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we say that it Γ -converges, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, to $\mathcal{F}: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ if for every positive infinitesimal sequence (ε_j) the sequence $(\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j})$ Γ -converges to \mathcal{F} . If we define the lower and upper Γ -limits of $(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon)$ as

$$\mathcal{F}'(u) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) : u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u \right\}, \quad \mathcal{F}''(u) = \inf \left\{ \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) : u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u \right\}$$

respectively, then $(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon)$ Γ -converges to \mathcal{F} in u if and only if $\mathcal{F}'(u) = \mathcal{F}''(u) = \mathcal{F}(u)$. It turns out that both \mathcal{F}' and \mathcal{F}'' are lower semicontinuous on X . In the estimate of \mathcal{F}' we shall use the following immediate consequence of the definition:

$$\mathcal{F}'(u) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j) : \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+, u_j \rightarrow u \right\}.$$

It turns out that the infimum is attained.

An important consequence of the definition of Γ -convergence is the following result about the convergence of minimizers (see, e.g., [18], Cor. 7.20):

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}_j: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a sequence of functions which Γ -converges to some $\mathcal{F}: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$; assume that $\inf_{v \in X} \mathcal{F}_j(v) > -\infty$ for every j . Let (σ_j) be a positive infinitesimal sequence, and for every j let $u_j \in X$ be a σ_j -minimizer of \mathcal{F}_j , i.e.*

$$\mathcal{F}_j(u_j) \leq \inf_{v \in X} \mathcal{F}_j(v) + \sigma_j.$$

Assume that $u_j \rightarrow u$ for some $u \in X$. Then u is a minimum point of \mathcal{F} , and

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_j(u_j).$$

Remark 2.4. *The following property is a direct consequence of the definition of Γ -convergence: if $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{F}$ then $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G}$ whenever $\mathcal{G}: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is continuous.*

2.4. Supremum of measures. In order to prove the Γ -liminf inequality we recall the following useful tool, which can be found in [8].

Lemma 2.5. *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and denote by $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ the family of its open subsets. Let λ be a positive Borel measure on Ω , and $\mu: \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ a set function which is superadditive on open sets with disjoint compact closures, i.e. if $A, B \subset \subset \Omega$ and $\overline{A} \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$, then*

$$\mu(A \cup B) \geq \mu(A) + \mu(B).$$

Let $(\psi_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of positive Borel functions. Suppose that

$$\mu(A) \geq \int_A \psi_i \, d\lambda \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \text{ and } i \in I.$$

Then

$$\mu(A) \geq \int_A \sup_i \psi_i \, d\lambda \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega).$$

2.5. A density result. The right bound for the upper Γ -limit from above will be first obtained for a suitable dense subset of $SBV(\Omega)$. More precisely, let $\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ be the space of all functions $w \in SBV(\Omega)$ such that

- (a) $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\overline{S}_w \setminus S_w) = 0$;
- (b) \overline{S}_w is the intersection of Ω with the union of a finite member of $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplexes;
- (c) $w \in W^{k,\infty}(\Omega \setminus \overline{S}_w)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.1 in [17] gives us the density property of $\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ we need; here

$$SBV^2(\Omega) = \{u \in SBV(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(\Omega), \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u) < +\infty\}.$$

Theorem 2.6. Assume that $\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz. Let $u \in SBV^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence (w_h) in $\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ such that $w_h \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$, $\nabla w_h \rightarrow \nabla u$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\limsup_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \|w_h\|_\infty \leq \|u\|_\infty$ and such that

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{S_{w_h}} \psi(w_h^+, w_h^-, \nu_{w_h}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \int_{S_u} \psi(u^+, u^-, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

for every upper semicontinuous function ψ such that $\psi(a, b, \nu) = \psi(b, a, -\nu)$ whenever $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

2.6. A relaxation result. To conclude this section we prove a relaxation result which will be used in the sequel. Recall that given X be a topological space and $\mathcal{F}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$, the *relaxed functional* of \mathcal{F} , denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$, is the largest lower semicontinuous functional which is smaller than \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 2.7. Let $\phi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a convex, non-decreasing and lower semicontinuous function with $\phi(0) = 0$ and with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} = c \in (0, +\infty).$$

Let $\theta: [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a lower semicontinuous function such that $\theta(s, \nu) \leq c's$ for any $(s, \nu) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, for some $c' > 0$. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ let

$$\mathcal{F}(u, A) = \begin{cases} \int_A \phi(|\nabla u|) \, dx + \int_{S_u \cap A} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} & \text{if } u \in SBV^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } L^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Then the relaxed functional of \mathcal{F} with respect to the strong L^1 -topology satisfies

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}(u) \leq \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|) \, dx + \int_{S_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c|D^c u|(\Omega)$$

for any $u \in BV(\Omega)$.

Proof. Combining a standard convolution argument with a well known relaxation result (see, for instance, Th. 5.47 in [3]) we can say that the relaxed functional of

$$\mathcal{G}(u, A) = \begin{cases} \int_A \phi(|\nabla u|) \, dx & \text{if } u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } L^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}(u, A) = \begin{cases} \int_A \phi(|\nabla u|) dx + c|D^s u|(A) & \text{if } u \in BV(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } L^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Since $C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \subseteq SBV^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ then we get $\mathcal{F}(u, A) \leq \bar{\mathcal{G}}(u, A)$. Hence for any $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and for any $u \in BV(\Omega)$

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}(u, A) \leq \int_A \phi(|\nabla u|) dx + c|D^s u|(A).$$

We can now conclude using the fact that for every $u \in BV(\Omega)$ the set function $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(u, \cdot)$ is the trace on $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of a regular Borel measure μ . This can be proven exactly along the same line of Prop. 3.3 in [6]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{F}}(u) &= \mu(\Omega) = \mu(\Omega \setminus S_u) + \mu(\Omega \cap S_u) \\ &\leq \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|) dx + c|D^c u|(\Omega) + \int_{S_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove. \square

3. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary. Let $\phi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a convex and non-decreasing function with $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} = c_0 \in (0, +\infty).$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ let $f_\varepsilon: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be such that:

A1) f_ε is non-decreasing, continuous, with $f_\varepsilon(0) = 0$.

A2) It holds $\lim_{(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (0, 0)} \frac{f_\varepsilon(t)}{\varepsilon \phi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)} = 1$.

A3) f_ε converges uniformly on the compact subsets of $[0, +\infty)$ to a concave function f .

Example 3.1. Given f and ϕ as above, a possible choice for f_ε satisfying A1-A3 is given by

$$f_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon \phi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t_\varepsilon \\ f(t - t_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \phi\left(\frac{t - t_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right) & \text{if } t > t_\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

where $t_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $t_\varepsilon/\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$. The only non-trivial assumption to verify is A2. Since $\varepsilon/t\phi(t/\varepsilon) \rightarrow c_0$ as $(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (0, 0)$, with $t \geq t_\varepsilon$, the check amounts to verify that

$$\lim_{\substack{(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (0, 0) \\ t \geq t_\varepsilon}} \frac{f(t - t_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \phi\left(\frac{t - t_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)}{t} = c_0.$$

This follows immediately from $f(t - t_\varepsilon)/(t - t_\varepsilon) \rightarrow c_0$ and $\varepsilon/t_\varepsilon\phi(t_\varepsilon/\varepsilon) \rightarrow c_0$ as $(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (0, 0)$, and $t \geq t_\varepsilon$.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, bounded, and connected with $0 \in C$. Let $\rho: C \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a continuous and bounded convolution kernel with

$$\int_C \rho dx = 1.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we will denote by $C_\varepsilon(x)$ the set $x + \varepsilon C$. For any $x \in \varepsilon C$ let

$$\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

We consider the family $(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ of functionals $L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ defined by

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon(\varepsilon|\nabla u| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx & \text{if } u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } L^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

where, for any $x \in \Omega$,

$$(3.3) \quad |\nabla u| * \rho_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{C_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Omega} |\nabla u(y)| \rho_\varepsilon(y-x) dy$$

is a regularization by convolution of $|\nabla u|$ by means of the kernel ρ_ε .

Remark 3.2. Notice that with the choice $C = B_1$ and $\rho = \frac{1}{\omega_n} \chi_{B_1}$ we get

$$|\nabla u| * \rho_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{B_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Omega} |\nabla u| dy$$

and thus the family $(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ reduces to the case already investigated in [20], [21] and [22].

In order to prove the Γ -convergence of \mathcal{F}_ε it is convenient to introduce a localized version of \mathcal{F}_ε : more precisely, for each $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ we set

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u, A) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_A f_\varepsilon(\varepsilon|\nabla u| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx & \text{if } u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } L^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \Omega)$ coincides with the functional \mathcal{F}_ε defined in (3.2). The lower and upper Γ -limits of $(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(\cdot, A))$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}'(\cdot, A)$ and $\mathcal{F}''(\cdot, A)$, respectively.

3.1. The anisotropy. In this paragraph we define the surface density

$$\theta: [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$$

which will appear in the expression of the Γ -limit of \mathcal{F}_ε .

Given $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ let us denote by $u_\nu^{a,b}$ the function $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$u_\nu^{a,b}(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \langle x, \nu \rangle < 0 \\ b & \text{if } \langle x, \nu \rangle \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ let $P_\nu^\perp(x)$ be the orthogonal projection of x onto the subspace $\nu^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, \nu \rangle = 0\}$. We define the cylinder

$$Q_\nu = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, \nu \rangle| \leq 1, P_\nu^\perp(x) \in B_1 \cap \nu^\perp\}.$$

Given $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $Q_\nu \subset \subset \Omega'$ denote by $W_\nu^{a,b}$ the space of all sequences (u_j) in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega')$ such that $u_j \rightarrow u_\nu^{a,b}$ in $L^1(\Omega')$, and such that there exist two positive infinitesimal sequences $(a_j), (b_j)$ with $u_j(x) = a$ if $\langle x, \nu \rangle < -a_j$ and $u_j = b$ if $\langle x, \nu \rangle > b_j$. Let

$$(3.5) \quad \theta(s, \nu) = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{Q_\nu} f(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dx : (u_j) \in W_\nu^{0,s}, \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+ \right\}.$$

Notice that $\theta(s, \nu)$ does not depend on the choice of Ω' . Let us collect some easy properties of θ which immediately descend from the definition.

Lemma 3.3. *The following properties hold:*

$$(3.6) \quad \theta \text{ is continuous.}$$

$$(3.7) \quad \theta(s, \nu) = \theta(s, -\nu), \quad \forall s \geq 0, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

$$(3.8) \quad \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{Q_\nu} f(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) \, dx : (u_j) \in W_\nu^{0,s}, \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+ \right\} \\ = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{Q_\nu} f(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) \, dx : (u_j) \in W_\nu^{a,b}, \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+ \right\} \\ \text{whenever } |a - b| = s.$$

Moreover, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $s \geq 0$ we have

$$(3.9) \quad \theta(s, \nu) = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{x_0 + Q_\nu} f(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) \, dx : (u_j(\cdot - x_0)) \in W_\nu^{0,s}, \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+ \right\}.$$

3.2. Main results. We are now in position to state the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathcal{F}_ε be as in (3.2), with f_ε satisfying conditions A1-A3. Then \mathcal{F}_ε Γ -converges, with respect to the strong L^1 -topology, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, to $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ given by*

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \begin{cases} \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|) \, dx + \int_{S_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c_0 |D^c u|(\Omega) & \text{if } u \in GBV(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } L^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.5. *Notice that for any $u \in GBV(\Omega)$ the expression $\theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u)$ turns out to be well defined \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $x \in S_u$, since (3.7) holds.*

The proof of Theorem 3.4 will descend combining Proposition 5.10 (the Γ -liminf inequality) with Proposition 6.3 (the Γ -limsup inequality).

As a typical consequence of a Γ -convergence result, we are able to prove a result of convergence of minima by means of the following compactness result for equibounded (in energy) sequences, which will be proved in §4.

Theorem 3.6. *Let (ε_j) be a positive infinitesimal sequence, and let (u_j) be a sequence in $L^1(\Omega)$ such that $\|u_j\|_\infty \leq M$, and such that $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j) \leq M$ for some positive constant M independent of j . Then the sequence (u_j) converges, up to a subsequence, in $L^1(\Omega)$ to a function $u \in BV(\Omega)$.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let (ε_j) be a positive infinitesimal sequence and let $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. For every $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let*

$$\mathcal{I}_j(u) = \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u) + \int_\Omega |u - g| \, dx, \quad \mathcal{I}(u) = \mathcal{F}(u) + \int_\Omega |u - g| \, dx.$$

For every j let $u_j \in L^1(\Omega)$ be such that

$$\mathcal{I}_j(u_j) \leq \inf_{L^1(\Omega)} \mathcal{I}_j + \varepsilon_j.$$

Then the sequence (u_j) converges, up to a subsequence, to a minimizer of \mathcal{I} in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. Since $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and since $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}$ decreases by truncation, we can assume that (u_j) is equibounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$; for instance $\|u_j\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty$. Applying Theorem 3.6 there exists $u \in BV(\Omega)$ such that (up to a subsequence) $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. By Theorem 2.3, since (\mathcal{I}_j) Γ -converges to \mathcal{I} (see Th. 3.4 and Remark 2.4), u is a minimum point of \mathcal{I} on $L^1(\Omega)$. \square

4. COMPACTNESS

In this section we prove Theorem 3.6. Let us first recall a useful technical Lemma which can be found in [10], Prop. 4.1. Actually such a Proposition has been proved for $|\nabla u|^2$, but, up to simple modifications, the same proof works for $|\nabla u|$.

For every $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $\sigma > 0$ we set

$$A_\sigma = \{x \in A : d(x, \partial A) > \sigma\}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $g: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a non-decreasing continuous function such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(t)}{t} = c$$

for some $c > 0$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ with $A \subset\subset \Omega$, and let $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. For any $\delta > 0$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists a function $v \in SBV(A) \cap L^\infty(A)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \delta) \int_A |\nabla v| \, dx &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_A g\left(\varepsilon \int_{B_\varepsilon(x)} |\nabla u| \, dy\right) \, dx, \\ \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_v \cap A_{6\varepsilon}) &\leq \frac{c'}{\varepsilon} \int_A g\left(\varepsilon \int_{B_\varepsilon(x)} |\nabla u| \, dy\right) \, dx, \\ \|v\|_{L^\infty(A)} &\leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(A)} \\ \|v - u\|_{L^1(A_{6\varepsilon})} &\leq c' \|u\|_{L^\infty(A)} \int_A g\left(\varepsilon \int_{B_\varepsilon(x)} |\nabla u| \, dy\right) \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where c' is a constant depending only on n, δ and g .

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ with $A \subset\subset \Omega$ and ∂A smooth. Let $r > 0$ such that $B_r \subset C$, and let $m = \inf_{B_r} \rho > 0$. Then for any $x \in A$ we have $B_{r\varepsilon_j}(x) \subset C_{\varepsilon_j}(x)$ and thus for j sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x) &= \int_{C_{\varepsilon_j}(x)} |\nabla u_j(y)| \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(y-x) \, dy \geq \frac{m}{\varepsilon_j^n} \int_{B_{r\varepsilon_j}(x)} |\nabla u_j(y)| \, dy \\ &= mr^n \omega_n \int_{B_{r\varepsilon_j}(x)} |\nabla u_j(y)| \, dy \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in A$. Fix $\delta > 0$. By A2 there exist $t_\delta > 0$ and j_δ such that $f_{\varepsilon_j}(t) \geq (1 - \delta)\varepsilon_j \phi(t/\varepsilon_j)$ for any $t \in [0, t_\delta]$ and $j > j_\delta$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta < 0$, be such that $\phi(t) \geq \alpha t + \beta$ everywhere. Then, since f_{ε_j} is non-decreasing, we have $f_{\varepsilon_j}(t) \geq g_{\varepsilon_j}^\delta(t)$ for any $t \geq 0$, being

$$g_{\varepsilon_j}^\delta(t) = \begin{cases} (1 - \delta)\alpha t + \varepsilon_j \beta & \text{if } t \in [0, t_\delta] \\ (1 - \delta)\alpha t_\delta + \varepsilon_j \beta & \text{if } t > t_\delta. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, letting $h_\delta(t) = g_{\varepsilon_j}^\delta(t) - \varepsilon_j \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, A) &\geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_A h_\delta(|\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) \, dx + \beta |A| \\ (4.1) \quad &\geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_A h_\delta\left(mr^n \omega_n \varepsilon_j \int_{B_{r\varepsilon_j}(x)} |\nabla u_j| \, dy\right) \, dx + \beta |A|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta_j = r\varepsilon_j$ and $g_{\delta,m,r}(t) = \frac{1}{r} g_\delta(mr^{n-1} \omega_n t)$. Notice that, by construction,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_{\delta,m,r}(t)}{t}$$

exists and is finite. Then inequality (4.1) becomes

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, A) - \beta |A| \geq \frac{1}{\eta_j} \int_\Omega g_{\delta,m,r}\left(\eta_j \int_{B_{\eta_j}(x)} |\nabla u_j| \, dy\right) \, dx.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 we find a sequence (v_j) in $SBV(A)$ and a constant C independent of A such that $\|v_j\|_{BV(A)} \leq C$ and $\|v_j\|_{L^\infty(A)} \leq C$. Moreover,

$$(4.2) \quad \|v_j - u_j\|_{L^1(A)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.1, the sequence (v_j) converges, up to a subsequence not relabeled, to some $u \in BV(A)$, with $\|u\|_{BV(A)} \leq C$. By (4.2) also u_j converges to u in $L^1(A)$. The arbitrariness of A and a diagonal argument allow to find a subsequence (u_{j_k}) which converges in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ to a function $u \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, and the uniform bound of $\|u_j\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ implies the convergence is strong in $L^1(\Omega)$. \square

5. THE Γ-LIMINF INEQUALITY

In this section we will prove that for any $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ the inequality

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j)$$

holds for any $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. First we will investigate two particular situations.

5.1. A preliminary estimate from below in terms of the volume and Cantor parts. In this paragraph we will take into account a simpler family of functionals. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and let $g: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ given by $g(t) = \alpha t \wedge \beta$. Let $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon: L^1(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(u, A) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_A g(\varepsilon |\nabla u| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx & \text{if } u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } L^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

We wish to estimate from below the lower Γ -limit $\mathcal{G}'(\cdot, A)$ in terms of the volume and the Cantor parts of Du . To this sake, we apply a slicing procedure, so that at first we will establish a suitable one-dimensional inequality. The idea of the proof is the same as in [25], where the superlinear growth case is treated.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ odd, let A be an open interval in \mathbb{R} , and let (ε_j) be a positive infinitesimal sequence. Let $A_j = \{x \in \varepsilon_j \mathbb{Z} : x \in A\}$. For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $x \in A_j$ we define the interval

$$I_j(x) = \left[x - \frac{m\varepsilon_j}{2}, x + \frac{m\varepsilon_j}{2} \right].$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\alpha', \beta' > 0$ and let $h_j: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ given by $h_j(t) = \alpha' t \wedge \frac{\beta'}{\varepsilon_j}$. Let $u \in BV(A)$ and let $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(A)$ with $u_j \in W^{1,1}(A)$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(5.1) \quad \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon_j \sum_{x \in A_j} h_j \left(\int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| dy \right) \geq \alpha' \int_A |u'| dy + \alpha' |D^c u|(A).$$

Proof. For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ let $A_j^i = (i\varepsilon_j + m\varepsilon_j \mathbb{Z}) \cap A$. Obviously A_j is the disjoint union of A_j^i for $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Then

$$\sum_{x \in A_j} h_j \left(\int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| dy \right) \geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{x \in A_j^i} m h_j \left(\int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| dy \right).$$

Now let

$$\overline{A_j^i} = \left\{ x \in A_j^i : \int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| dx \leq \frac{\beta'}{\alpha' \varepsilon_j} \right\}$$

and let $v_j \in SBV(A)$ given by

$$v_j(x) = \begin{cases} u_j(x) & \text{if } x \in \bigcup_{y \in \overline{A_j^i}} I_j(y) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise in } A. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in A_j^i} m \varepsilon_j h_j \left(\int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| dy \right) &\geq \sum_{x \in \overline{A_j^i}} m \varepsilon_j h_j \left(\int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| dy \right) = \alpha' \sum_{x \in \overline{A_j^i}} \int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| dy \\ &= \alpha' \int_A |v'_j| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that since we can suppose, without loss of generality, that

$$\varepsilon_j \sum_{x \in A_j} h_j \left(\int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| dy \right) \leq M$$

for some $M \geq 0$, we deduce that

$$M \geq \varepsilon_j \sum_{x \in A_j \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} \overline{A_j^i}} h_j \left(\int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| \, dy \right) = \varepsilon_j \frac{\beta'}{\varepsilon_j} \# \left(A_j \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} \overline{A_j^i} \right)$$

from which necessarily we have

$$\varepsilon_j \# \left(A_j \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} \overline{A_j^i} \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This implies that $\|u_j - v_j\|_{L^1(A)} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, $v_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(A)$. Finally, by the superadditivity of the \liminf and by the lower semicontinuity of the total variation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon_j \sum_{x \in A_j} h_j \left(\int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| \, dy \right) &\geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{x \in \overline{A_j^i}} m \varepsilon_j h_j \left(\int_{I_j(x)} |u'_j| \, dy \right) \\ &\geq \alpha' \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_A |v'_j| \, dy \geq \alpha' |Du|(A) \\ &\geq \alpha' \int_A |u'| \, dy + \alpha' |D^c u|(A) \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. \square

Now, by applying the slicing Theorem 2.2, we will reduce the n -dimensional inequality to the one-dimensional inequality 5.1. Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$; consider an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$ with $\mathbf{e}_n = \xi$. Let

$$Q_\delta^\xi = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}, \quad Q_\delta^\xi(x) = x + Q_\delta^\xi$$

and the lattice $Z_\delta^\xi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle \in \delta\mathbb{Z}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. In what follows we will denote by $g_j(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} g(\varepsilon_j t)$; in particular it holds $g_j(t) = \alpha t \wedge \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon_j}$ and

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_j}(u, A) = \int_A g_j(|\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) \, dx, \quad u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega).$$

Finally fix $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and let $A_\delta^\xi = \{x \in Z_\delta^\xi : Q_\delta^\xi(x) \subset A\}$. The following Lemma is a standard easy application of the mean value Theorem (see also Lemma 4.2 in [10]).

Lemma 5.2. *Let $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$. Then there exists $\tau \in Q_\delta^\xi$ such that*

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_j}(u, A) \geq \sum_{x \in A_\delta^\xi} \delta^n g_j(|\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x + \tau)).$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_j}(u, A) \geq \sum_{x \in A_\delta^\xi} \int_{Q_\delta^\xi(x)} g_j(|\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(y)) \, dy = \int_{Q_\delta^\xi} \sum_{x \in A_\delta^\xi} g_j(|\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(y + x)) \, dy.$$

Applying the mean value Theorem we get

$$\int_{Q_\delta^\xi} \sum_{x \in A_\delta^\xi} g_j(|\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(y + x)) \, dy = \sum_{x \in A_\delta^\xi} g_j(|\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(\tau + x))$$

for some $\tau \in Q_\delta^\xi$, which concludes the proof. \square

We are in position to apply the slicing procedure.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $u \in BV(\Omega)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{G}'(u, A) \geq \alpha \int_A |\nabla u| \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}'(u, A) \geq \alpha |D^c u|(A).$$

Proof. Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. For any $\eta > 0$ let P_η^ξ be the union of the squares $Q_\eta^\xi(y_i) \subset C$ with $y_i \in Z_\eta^\xi$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on η and ξ . Let ρ_η be a non-negative constant function on the squares $Q_\eta^\xi(y_i)$ with $0 < \rho_\eta \leq \rho$ and such that

$$c_\eta = \int_C \rho_\eta \, dx \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $c_i = \rho_\eta(y_i)$; then we can rewrite c_η as $c_\eta = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \eta^n$. Let $P_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi$ be the union of the squares $Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi(y_i) \subseteq C_{\varepsilon_j}$, with $y_i \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $A_j^\xi = A_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi$; applying Lemma 5.2, since we can suppose, without loss of generality, that $u_j \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$, there exists $\tau_j \in Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, A) \geq \sum_{x \in A_j^\xi} (\eta\varepsilon_j)^n g_j(|\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x + \tau_j)).$$

Let $B \subset\subset A$, and, for any j sufficiently large, let $v_j(y) = u_j(y + \tau_j)$. Then we get $v_j \in W^{1,1}(B)$ and $v_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(B)$. Thus

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, A) \geq \sum_{x \in B_j^\xi} (\eta\varepsilon_j)^n g(|\nabla v_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x))$$

being $B_j^\xi = \{x \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi : Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi \subseteq B\}$. Now, for each $x \in B_j^\xi$, we estimate the term $|\nabla v_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x)$; we have, for j large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla v_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x) &= \int_{C_{\varepsilon_j}} |\nabla v_j(y+x)| \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(y) \, dy \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j^n} \int_{P_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi} |\nabla v_j(y+x)| \rho_\eta\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon_j}\right) \, dy \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j^n} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi(y_i)} |\nabla v_j(y+x)| \, dy = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{c_i \eta^n}{c_\eta} \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi(y_i)} c_\eta |\nabla v_j(y+x)| \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{c_i \eta^n}{c_\eta} = 1$ and since g_j is concave we get, for every $x \in B_j^\xi$,

$$g_j(|\nabla v_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{c_i \eta^n}{c_\eta} g_j\left(c_\eta \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi(y_i)} |\nabla v_j(y+x)| \, dy\right).$$

Thus, reordering the terms, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, A) \geq \sum_{x \in D_j^\xi} (\eta\varepsilon_j)^n g_j\left(c_\eta \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi(x)} |\nabla v_j| \, dz\right)$$

for any $D \subset\subset B$ and j sufficiently large, being, as usual, $D_j^\xi = \{x \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi : Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi \subseteq D\}$. For convenience we can suppose $\nabla v_j = 0$ on

$$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi \subseteq D} Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi.$$

Let $\langle \xi \rangle$ be the one-dimensional space generated by ξ . Let us denote by $Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_{\parallel}}$ and by $Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_{\perp}}$ the orthogonal projections of $Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi$ respectively on $\langle \xi \rangle$ and ξ^\perp . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, A) &\geq \sum_{x \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi} (\eta\varepsilon_j)^n g_j\left(c_\eta \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi(x)} |\nabla v_j| \, dz\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{x_\perp \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\perp}} \sum_{x_\parallel \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}} (\eta\varepsilon_j)^n g_j\left(c_\eta \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi(x_\perp + x_\parallel)} |\nabla v_j| \, dz\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $x = x_\parallel + x_\perp$ turns out to be the unique decomposition of any $x \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi$ with $x_\parallel \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_{\parallel}}$ and $x_\perp \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_{\perp}}$. Moreover, denoting by $Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_{\parallel}}$ and by $Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_{\perp}}$ the projections of $Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^\xi$ respectively on $\langle \xi \rangle$

and on ξ^\perp , applying Jensen's inequality we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, A) &\geq \sum_{x_\perp \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi^\perp}} \sum_{x_\parallel \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}} (\eta\varepsilon_j)^n g_j \left(c_\eta \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi^\perp}(x_\perp)} \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}(x_\parallel)} |\langle \nabla v_j(z_\perp + z_\parallel), \xi \rangle| dz_\parallel dz_\perp \right) \\
&\geq \sum_{x_\perp \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi^\perp}} \sum_{x_\parallel \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}} (\eta\varepsilon_j)^n \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi^\perp}(x_\perp)} g_j \left(c_\eta \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}(x_\parallel)} |\langle \nabla v_j(z_\perp + z_\parallel), \xi \rangle| dz_\parallel \right) dz_\perp \\
&\geq \sum_{x_\perp \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi^\perp}} \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi^\perp}(x_\perp)} \sum_{x_\parallel \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}} \eta\varepsilon_j g_j \left(c_\eta \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}(x_\parallel)} |\langle \nabla v_j(z_\perp + z_\parallel), \xi \rangle| dz_\parallel \right) dz_\perp \\
&\geq \int_{\xi^\perp} \sum_{x_\parallel \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}} \eta\varepsilon_j g_j \left(c_\eta \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}(x_\parallel)} |\langle \nabla v_j(z_\perp + z_\parallel), \xi \rangle| dz_\parallel \right) dz_\perp.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\sigma > 0$ small let $D_\sigma = \{x \in D : d(x, \partial D) > \sigma\}$ and $D_\sigma^{x_\perp} = \{x \in D_\sigma : x = x_\perp + x_\parallel \xi, x_\parallel \in \mathbb{R}\}$, for $x_\perp \in \xi^\perp$. For j sufficiently large, $v_j(x_\perp + \cdot) \in W^{1,1}(D_\sigma^{x_\perp})$. Furthermore, $v_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(D_\sigma^{x_\perp})$ for a.e. $x_\perp \in \xi^\perp$. Let $h_j(t) = g_j(c_\eta t)$; then, by the very definition of g , it is easy to see that $h_j(t) = \alpha c_\eta t \wedge \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon_j}$. We are in position to apply Lemma 5.1 with choice $\alpha' = \alpha c_\eta$ and $\beta' = \beta$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{x_\parallel \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}} \eta\varepsilon_j g_j \left(c_\eta \int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}(x_\parallel)} |\langle \nabla v_j(z_\perp + z_\parallel), \xi \rangle| dz_\parallel \right) \\
&= \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{x_\parallel \in Z_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}} \eta\varepsilon_j h_j \left(\int_{Q_{\eta\varepsilon_j}^{\xi_\parallel}(x_\parallel)} |\langle \nabla v_j(z_\perp + z_\parallel), \xi \rangle| dz_\parallel \right) \\
&\geq \alpha c_\eta \int_{D_\sigma^{z_\perp}} |\langle \nabla u(z_\perp + z_\parallel), \xi \rangle| dz_\parallel + \alpha c_\eta |\langle D^c u(z_\perp + \cdot), \xi \rangle|(D_\sigma^{z_\perp}).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account Theorem 2.2 and Fatou's Lemma we conclude that

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, A) \geq c_\eta \alpha \int_{D_\sigma} |\langle \nabla u(z), \xi \rangle| dz + c_\eta \alpha |\langle D^c u, \xi \rangle|(D_\sigma).$$

Since $c_\eta \rightarrow 1$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$, let $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ and $D \nearrow A$. Then

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{G}'(u, A) \geq \alpha \int_A |\langle \nabla u(z), \xi \rangle| dz \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}'(u, A) \geq \alpha |\langle D^c u, \xi \rangle|(A)$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. From the first inequality, using the superadditivity of \mathcal{G}' and Lemma 2.5 we easily deduce that

$$\mathcal{G}'(u, A) \geq \alpha \int_A |\nabla u| dz.$$

Now if $\psi_\xi = \langle \frac{dD^c u}{d|D^c u|}, \xi \rangle$ the second inequality in (5.2) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{G}'(u, A) \geq \alpha \int_A |\psi_\xi| d|D^c u|.$$

Another application of Lemma 2.5 yields

$$\mathcal{G}'(u, A) \geq \alpha \int_A \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\psi_\xi| d|D^c u| \geq \alpha \int_A \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \psi_\xi d|D^c u| = \alpha |D^c u|(A).$$

This concludes the proof. \square

5.2. A preliminary estimate in terms of the surface part. In this section we will consider the family of functionals $L^1(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u, A) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_A h(\varepsilon |\nabla u| * \rho_\varepsilon) \, dx & \text{if } u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } L^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

where $h: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a non-decreasing concave function with $h(0) = 0$ and with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t)}{t} = c' > 0.$$

The aim of this section is to estimate from below the lower Γ -limit of \mathcal{E}_ε in terms of a surface integral; to do this the main idea, as in [22], is to estimate from below the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the lower Γ -limit \mathcal{E}' with respect to the Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}^{n-1} by means of a blow-up argument around a jump point; then the result follows applying Besicovitch's Differentiation Theorem in a standard way.

Given $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, when considering \mathcal{E}' for the blow up $u_{x_0}^{\nu, a, b} = u_\nu^{a, b}(\cdot - x_0)$ (see paragraph 3.1 for the definition of $u_\nu^{a, b}$) on a unit ball B_1 as below (or on a cylinder Q_ν as in the sequel), we will assume as Ω any set Ω' strictly containing B_1 (or Q_ν): the lower Γ -limit of $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\cdot, A)$ does not change by replacing Ω with any $\Omega' \supset \supset A$.

For every $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ let $\mathcal{E}'_-(\cdot, A)$ be the inner regular envelope of \mathcal{E}' , i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}'_-(\cdot, A) = \sup\{\mathcal{E}'(\cdot, B) : B \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega), B \subset \subset A\}.$$

Proposition 5.4. *Let $u \in BV(\Omega)$ and let $x_0 \in J_u$. Then*

$$\liminf_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}'_-(u, B_\varrho(x_0))}{\varrho^{n-1}} \geq \mathcal{E}'(u_{x_0}^{\nu_u(x_0), u^+(x_0), u^-(x_0)}, B_1(x_0)).$$

Proof. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then $\mathcal{E}'_-(u, B_\varrho(x_0)) \geq \mathcal{E}'(u, B_{\delta\varrho}(x_0))$ for every $\varrho > 0$. Thus

$$(5.3) \quad \liminf_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}'_-(u, B_\varrho(x_0))}{\varrho^{n-1}} \geq \delta^{n-1} \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}'(u, B_r(x_0))}{r^{n-1}}.$$

Let us now estimate the lower limit in the right-hand side. Without loss of generality we can assume $x_0 = 0$; moreover, for the sake of simplicity, we will denote by u_0 the function $u_0^{\nu_u(0), u^+(0), u^-(0)}$.

Let (r_k) be a decreasing infinitesimal sequence; for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $u_j \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ such that $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, B_{r_k}) \leq \mathcal{E}'(u, B_{r_k}) + \frac{r_k^{n-1}}{2k}.$$

Let $\bar{j} = j(k)$ be such that $\varepsilon_{\bar{j}}/r_k \leq 1/k$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_{\bar{j}}}(u_{\bar{j}}, B_{r_k}) \leq \mathcal{E}'(u, B_{r_k}) + \frac{r_k^{n-1}}{k},$$

$\|u_{\bar{j}} - u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{k}$ and such that

$$\int_{B_2} |u_{\bar{j}}(r_k x) - u(r_k x)| \, dx \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$

Let $v_k = u_{j(k)}$. We can suppose that the sequence $j(k)$ is increasing, and we set $\sigma_k = \varepsilon_{j(k)}$. Hence, $v_k \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$,

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_k}(v_k, B_{r_k}) \leq \mathcal{E}'(u, B_{r_k}) + \frac{r_k^{n-1}}{k}$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{B_2} |v_k(r_k x) - u(r_k x)| \, dx \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$

Inequality (5.4) gives

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathcal{E}'(u, B_{r_k})}{r_k^{n-1}} \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_k}(v_k, B_{r_k})}{r_k^{n-1}}$$

while from (5.5) we get

$$\int_{B_2} |v_k(r_k x) - u_0(r_k x)| dx \leq \frac{1}{k} + \int_{B_2} |v(r_k x) - u_0(r_k x)| dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $w_k(t) = v_k(r_k t)$. Then $w_k \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^1(B_2)$; moreover, for every $x \in B_{r_k}$ we have, setting $y = r_k t$ and observing that $|\nabla w_k(t)| = r_k |\nabla v_k(r_k t)|$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla v_k| * \rho_{\sigma_k}(x) &= \int_{C_{\sigma_k}(x)} |\nabla v_k(y)| \rho_{\sigma_k}(y-x) dy = \frac{1}{\sigma_k^n} \int_{C_{\sigma_k}(x)} |\nabla v_k(y)| \rho\left(\frac{y-x}{\sigma_k}\right) dy \\ &= \frac{r_k^{n-1}}{\sigma_k^n} \int_{C_{\sigma_k/r_k}(x/r_k)} |\nabla w_k(t)| \rho\left(\frac{t}{\sigma_k/r_k} - \frac{x}{\sigma_k}\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, setting $x = r_k z$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_k}(v_k, B_{r_k})}{r_k^{n-1}} &= \frac{1}{r_k^{n-1} \sigma_k} \int_{B_{r_k}} h(\sigma_k |\nabla v_k| * \rho_{\sigma_k}(x)) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{r_k^{n-1} \sigma_k^n} \int_{B_{r_k}} h\left(\frac{r_k^{n-1}}{\sigma_k^{n-1}} \int_{C_{\sigma_k/r_k}(x/r_k)} |\nabla w_k(t)| \rho\left(\frac{t}{\sigma_k/r_k} - \frac{x}{\sigma_k}\right) dt\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_k/r_k} \int_{B_1} h\left(\frac{\sigma_k}{r_k} \frac{r_k^n}{\sigma_k^n} \int_{C_{\sigma_k/r_k}(z)} |\nabla w_k(t)| \rho\left(\frac{t-z}{\sigma_k/r_k}\right) dt\right) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_k/r_k} \int_{B_1} h\left(\frac{\sigma_k}{r_k} |\nabla w_k| * \rho_{\sigma_k/r_k}(z)\right) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_k/r_k \rightarrow 0$, and $w_k \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^1(B_2)$, by the arbitrariness of (r_k) and the definition of \mathcal{E}' , we conclude combining (5.3) with the arbitrariness of $\delta \in (0, 1)$. \square

Now we estimate from below $\mathcal{E}'(u_{x_0}^{\nu, a, b}, B_1(x_0))$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $x_0 = 0$ and $\nu = \mathbf{e}_1$; we will denote, for the sake of simplicity, by $u^{a, b}$ the function $u_0^{\mathbf{e}_1, a, b}$. In order to estimate from below $\mathcal{E}'(u^{a, b}, B_1)$ first we need to consider the problem on a suitable cylinder.

Recall that (see paragraph 3.1) $Q_{\mathbf{e}_1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_1| < 1, P_{\mathbf{e}_1}^\perp(x) \in B_1 \cap \mathbf{e}_1^\perp\}$, being $P_{\mathbf{e}_1}^\perp(x)$ the orthogonal projection of x onto the subspace \mathbf{e}_1^\perp ; for simplicity of notation we will use Q instead of $Q_{\mathbf{e}_1}$.

Lemma 5.5. *For any A open subset of Q there exist a positive infinitesimal sequence (ε_j) and a sequence u_j in $W^{1,1}(\Omega')$ converging to $u^{a, b}$ in $L^1(\Omega')$ such that*

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, A) = \mathcal{E}'(u^{a, b}, A)$$

and such that

$$(5.7) \quad u_j(x) = a, \quad \text{if } x_1 \leq -a_j \quad \text{and} \quad u_j(x) = b, \quad \text{if } x_1 \geq b_j$$

for some positive infinitesimal sequences (a_j) and (b_j) .

Proof. We divide the proof in two steps.

Step 1. Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}(Q)$ with $A \subset\subset Q$, $\varepsilon, \sigma > 0$ sufficiently small. Let φ given by

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x_1 \leq -2\varepsilon - \sigma \\ \text{affine} & -2\varepsilon - \sigma < x_1 < -2\varepsilon \\ 1 & x_1 \geq -2\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Obviously we have $|\nabla \varphi| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma}$. Let

$$A_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 < -2\varepsilon - k_1 \varepsilon - \sigma\}, \quad B_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 > -2\varepsilon + \varepsilon k_2\}$$

$$S_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : -2\varepsilon - \varepsilon k_1 - \sigma < x_1 < -2\varepsilon + \varepsilon k_2\}$$

where $k_1 = \sup_{x \in C} \langle x, \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle$ and $k_2 = -\inf_{x \in C} \langle x, \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle$. Let $u_1, u_2 \in W^{1,1}(\Omega')$ and $v = \varphi u_1 + (1-\varphi)u_2$. Then

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(v, A) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A \cap A_\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon |\nabla u_2| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A \cap B_\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon |\nabla u_1| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A \cap S_\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon |\nabla v| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx.$$

Taking into account the subadditivity of h we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A \cap S_\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon |\nabla v| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A \cap S_\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon (\varphi |\nabla u_1|) * \rho_\varepsilon) dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A \cap S_\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon ((1-\varphi) |\nabla u_2|) * \rho_\varepsilon) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A \cap S_\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon (|\nabla \varphi| |u_1 - u_2|) * \rho_\varepsilon) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(v, A) \leq \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u_1, A \cap (B_\varepsilon \cup S_\varepsilon)) + \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u_2, A \cap (A_\varepsilon \cup S_\varepsilon)) + \frac{c'}{\sigma} \int_{A \cap S_\varepsilon} |u_1 - u_2| * \rho_\varepsilon dx$$

where we have used $h(t) \leq c't$ for each $t \geq 0$.

Step 2. Now let (ε_j) be a positive infinitesimal sequence and let (v_j) be a sequence in $W^{1,1}(\Omega')$ such that $v_j \rightarrow u^{a,b}$ in $L^1(\Omega')$ and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(v_j, A) = \mathcal{E}'(u^{a,b}, A).$$

Choosing $u_1 = v_j$ and $u_2 = a$ we have, since $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_2, A) = 0$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(\varphi v_j + (1-\varphi)u_2, A) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(v_j, A) + \frac{c'}{\sigma} \int_{\{x_1 < 0\}} |v_j - u_2| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j} dx.$$

By standard properties of the convolution,

$$\int_{\{x_1 < 0\}} |v_j - u_2| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j} dx \leq \|v_j - u_2\|_{L^1(\{x_1 < 0\})} \rightarrow 0$$

as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, by a diagonal argument, if $\sigma_h \rightarrow 0$ we can find $j_h \rightarrow +\infty$ be such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_h} \int_{\{x_1 < 0\}} |v_{j_h} - u_2| * \rho_{\varepsilon_{j_h}} dx = 0.$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_{j_h}}(\varphi v_{j_h} + (1-\varphi)u_2, A) \leq \limsup_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_{j_h}}(v_{j_h}, A) = \mathcal{E}'(u^{a,b}, A).$$

Setting

$$u_{j_h} = \begin{cases} a & x_1 \leq -2\varepsilon_{j_h} - \sigma_h \\ v_{j_h} & x_1 \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

we easily have $u_{j_h} \rightarrow u^{a,b}$ in $L^1(\Omega')$ and $u_{j_h} = a$ for $x_1 \leq -a_j$ for a suitable positive infinitesimal sequence (a_j) . With the same argument one can prove that $u_{j_h} = b$ for $x_1 \geq b_j$ for another suitable positive infinitesimal sequence (b_j) . Thus (u_{j_h}) is optimal and (5.7) hold. \square

Proposition 5.6. *We have $\mathcal{E}'(u^{a,b}, B_1) \geq \mathcal{E}'(u^{a,b}, Q)$.*

Proof. Fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Let (u_j) be given by the previous Lemma, applied with $A = B_1$. Then $u_j(x) = a$ if $x_1 \leq -a_j$, and $u_j(x) = b$ if $x_1 \geq b_j$, where (a_j) and (b_j) are suitable positive infinitesimal sequences. Let $S_j = (-a_j, b_j) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. For j sufficiently large, we have $\delta Q \cap S_j \subset \subset B_1$, from which $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, \delta Q \cap B_1) = \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, \delta Q)$. Then

$$(5.8) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, B_1) \geq \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, B_1 \cap \delta Q) = \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, \delta Q).$$

Let $v_j(x) = u_j(\delta x)$. Then by a simple scaling argument we have $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, \delta Q) = \delta^{n-1} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j/\delta}(v_j, Q)$. Passing to the limit in (5.8) we get

$$\mathcal{E}'(u^{a,b}, B_1) \geq \delta^{n-1} \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j/\delta}(v_j, Q) \geq \delta^{n-1} \mathcal{E}'(u^{a,b}, Q).$$

We conclude by taking the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 1^-$. \square

Now, by an application of the Besicovitch's Differentiation Theorem, we are able to prove the correct estimate from below for the lower Γ -limit of $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_j}$. In order to apply such a Theorem, let us consider the set function $\mathcal{E}'_-(u, \cdot)$. It is well known that an increasing set function $\alpha: \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ which satisfies $\alpha(\emptyset) = 0$, which is subadditive, superadditive and inner regular, can be extended to a Borel measure on Ω (for instance see [18], Th. 14.23). This result can be applied to $\mathcal{E}'_-(u, \cdot)$, the subadditivity of $\mathcal{E}'_-(u, \cdot)$ being the only condition which is not easy to prove, but it can be recovered as in the proof of Prop. 4.3 and Th. 4.6 of [13]; these results are established in the case $p > 1$, but the same arguments work if $p = 1$.

Denote by μ_u the Borel measure on Ω which extends $\mathcal{E}'_-(u, \cdot)$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $u \in BV(\Omega)$. Then μ_u is a finite measure.*

Proof. Let (u_h) be a sequence in $L^1(\Omega)$ converging weakly* converging to u in $BV(\Omega)$. By definition

$$|Du_h| * \rho_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{C_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Omega} \rho_\varepsilon(x-y) d|Du_h|(y).$$

Since $Du_h \xrightarrow{*} Du$ as measures, by Fatou's Lemma and taking into account that f is non-decreasing and continuous, we get

$$(5.9) \quad \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} h(\varepsilon |Du_h| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} h(\varepsilon \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} |Du_h| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} h(\varepsilon |Du| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx.$$

Now let $u \in BV(\Omega)$ and let (u_h) be a sequence in $L^1(\Omega)$ strictly converging to u . In particular, $|Du_h| \rightarrow |Du|$ weakly* as measures (see, for instance, Prop. 3.15 in [3]). Note that that $D^c u$ vanishes on the sets with finite \mathcal{H}^{n-1} measure. Moreover, if S is σ -finite with respect to \mathcal{H}^{n-1} , then $\{x \in \Omega : \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S \cap \partial C_\varepsilon(x)) > 0\}$ is at most countable. Then (see, for instance, Prop. 1.62 in [3]), we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} |Du_h| * \rho_\varepsilon(x) = |Du| * \rho_\varepsilon(x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain

$$(5.10) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} h(\varepsilon |Du_h| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} h(\varepsilon |Du| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx.$$

Combining (5.9) with (5.10) and taking into account that \mathcal{E}'_- is lower semicontinuous, we have

$$\mathcal{E}'_-(u) \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} h(\varepsilon |Du| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx.$$

Notice that there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $|C_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Omega| \leq \gamma \varepsilon^n$ for any $x \in \Omega$. Denoting by $M = \sup_C \rho$ and taking into Fubini's Theorem, we get that for sufficiently small ε ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} h(\varepsilon |Du| * \rho_\varepsilon) dx &\leq c' \int_{\Omega} \int_{C_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Omega} \rho_\varepsilon(y-x) d|Du|(y) dx = c' \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \rho_\varepsilon(y-x) \chi_{C_\varepsilon(x)} dx d|Du|(y) \\ &\leq c' M \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|C_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Omega|}{\varepsilon^n} d|Du|(y) \leq c' M \gamma |Du|(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

and this yields the conclusion. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let $u \in BV(\Omega)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}'(u, A) \geq \int_{S_u \cap A} \psi(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

where

$$\psi(s, \nu) = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{Q_\nu} h(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dx : (u_j) \in W_\nu^{0,s}, \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+ \right\}.$$

Proof. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $S_k = \{x \in S_u : |u^+(x) - u^-(x)| > 1/k\}$. Clearly we have $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_k) < +\infty$; let $\lambda_k = \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \llcorner S_k$. Applying the Besicovitch's Differentiation Theorem we deduce that the limit

$$g(x) = \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_u(B_\varrho(x))}{\lambda_k(B_\varrho(x))}$$

exists and is finite for λ_k -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and is λ_k -measurable. Moreover, the Radon-Nikodym decomposition of μ_u is given by $\mu_u = g\lambda_k + \mu^s$, with $\mu^s \perp \lambda_k$. By rectifiability for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $x \in S_k$ we get

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda_k(B_\varrho(x))}{\omega_{n-1}\varrho^{n-1}} = 1.$$

Thus, for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $x_0 \in S_k$ we have, applying Proposition 5.4, Proposition 5.6 and taking into account (5.7),

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_0) &= \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_u(B_\varrho(x_0))}{\omega_{n-1}\varrho^{n-1}} = \liminf_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}'_-(u, B_\varrho(x_0))}{\omega_{n-1}\varrho^{n-1}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{x_0+Q_\nu} h(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dx : (u_j(\cdot - x_0)) \in W_{\nu_u(x_0)}^{u^+(x_0), u^-(x_0)}, \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+ \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (3.8) and (3.9) (which obviously hold for h instead of f) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{x_0+Q_\nu} h(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dx : (u_j(\cdot - x_0)) \in W_{\nu_u(x_0)}^{u^+(x_0), u^-(x_0)}, \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+ \right\} \\ = \psi(|u^+(x_0) - u^-(x_0)|, \nu_u(x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Since μ^s is non-negative, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{E}'_-(u, A) \geq \int_A \psi(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\lambda_k = \int_{S_k \cap A} \psi(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

By considering the supremum for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we easily obtain

$$\mathcal{E}'_-(u, A) \geq \int_{S_u \cap A} \psi(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

and the conclusion follows by definition of \mathcal{E}'_- . \square

5.3. Proof of the Γ -liminf inequality. We are ready to prove the Γ -liminf inequality for the family $(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$. The main step of the proof consists in combining Proposition 5.3 with Proposition 5.8 and then using a supremum of measures argument.

Lemma 5.9. *Let μ be as in Lemma 2.5. Let λ_1, λ_2 be mutually singular Borel measures, and ψ_1, ψ_2 positive Borel functions. Assume that*

$$\mu(A) \geq \int_A \psi_i d\lambda_i$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then it holds

$$\mu(A) \geq \int_A \psi_1 d\lambda_1 + \int_A \psi_2 d\lambda_2$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $E \subseteq \Omega$ be such that $\lambda_1(\Omega \setminus E) = 0$ and $\lambda_2(E) = 0$. Then we can suppose that $\psi_1 = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus E$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ on E . Then $\max\{\psi_1, \psi_2\} = \psi_1 + \psi_2$. We conclude by applying the Lemma 2.5 with the choice $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$. \square

Proposition 5.10. *Let $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}'(u, A) \geq \int_A \phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{S_u \cap A} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c_0 |D^c u|(A).$$

Proof. First notice that we can suppose $u \in GBV(\Omega)$. Indeed, if $(\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j))$ is bounded and $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ then $u \in GBV(\Omega)$: it suffices to apply Theorem 3.6 to $u_j^T = -T \vee u_j \wedge T$, hence we get $u^T \in BV(\Omega)$ which means $u \in GBV(\Omega)$.

Now the key point of the proof is the construction of a suitable family of functions below f_{ε_j} .

Step 1. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$. We claim that there exists $t_\delta > 0$ and for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $c_h^\delta > 0$, $d_h^\delta < 0$ and $g_h^\delta: [t_\delta, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that if we let

$$f_\varepsilon^{h,\delta}(t) = \begin{cases} c_h^\delta t + \varepsilon d_h^\delta & \text{if } t \in [0, t_\delta] \\ c_h^\delta t_\delta + \varepsilon d_h^\delta + g_h^\delta(t) & \text{if } t > t_\delta \end{cases}$$

we have:

$$(5.11) \quad \sup_h (c_h^\delta t + d_h^\delta) = (1 - \delta)\phi(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

$$(5.12) \quad f_\varepsilon(t) \geq f_\varepsilon^{h,\delta}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall h \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for } \varepsilon \text{ sufficiently small.}$$

$$(5.13) \quad f_\varepsilon^{h,\delta} \text{ is continuous, non-decreasing and concave for any } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and any } h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$(5.14) \quad f_\varepsilon^{h,\delta} - \varepsilon d_h^\delta \text{ converges to } (1 - \delta)f \text{ uniformly on compact sets of } [0, +\infty) \text{ as } h \rightarrow +\infty.$$

First of all we point out that

$$(5.15) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{t} = c_0.$$

Indeed, by A2 for any $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ there exist $t_\sigma, \varepsilon_\sigma > 0$ such that $f_\varepsilon(t) \leq (1 + \sigma)\varepsilon\phi(t/\varepsilon)$ for each $t \in [0, t_\sigma]$ and for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_\sigma]$. Since $\phi(s) \leq c_0 s$ for any $s \geq 0$, we have $f_\varepsilon(t)/t \leq (1 + \sigma)c_0$. By A3 the previous inequality reduces to $f(t)/t \leq (1 + \sigma)c_0$. On the other hand there exist $t'_\sigma, \varepsilon'_\sigma > 0$ such that $f_\varepsilon(t) \geq (1 - \sigma)\varepsilon\phi(t/\varepsilon)$ for each $t \in [0, t'_\sigma]$ and for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_\sigma]$. Since $\phi(s) \geq c_0 s - q$, for a suitable $q > 0$, we have $f_\varepsilon(t)/t \geq (1 - \sigma)(c_0 t - \varepsilon q)$. We thus get $f(t)/t \geq (1 - \sigma)c_0$. By the arbitrariness of $\sigma > 0$ we have (5.15).

Formula (5.15) is useful in order to construct the family $(f_\varepsilon^{h,\delta})$ as follows. By A2 there exists $t_\delta > 0$ such that $f_\varepsilon(t) \geq (1 - \delta)\varepsilon\phi(t/\varepsilon)$ for each $t \in [0, t_\delta]$ and for each ε sufficiently small. Fix $h \in \mathbb{N}$ with $h > 0$ and let $(\ell_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of affine functions such that $\sup_h \ell_h(t) = \phi(t)$ for any $t \geq 0$ (recall that ϕ is convex); we let $\ell_h(t) = c_h t + d_h$. Let $c_h^\delta = (1 - \delta)c_h$ and $d_h^\delta = (1 - \delta)d_h$. Then (5.11) holds and we obtain $f_\varepsilon(t) \geq c_h^\delta t + \varepsilon d_h^\delta$ for all $t \in [0, t_\delta]$. Now it is easy to conclude the construction of $f_\varepsilon^{h,\delta}$ in such a way (5.12), (5.13) and (5.14) hold: for instance connecting the graphic of the affine piece with a suitable rotation and truncation of the graph of f (see also (5.15)).

Step 2. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and let $(f_{\varepsilon_j}^{h,\delta})$ be the family constructed in step 1. Let $\psi_h^\delta = f_{\varepsilon_j}^{h,\delta} - \varepsilon_j d_h^\delta$. Then we get

$$(5.16) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u, A) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_A \psi_h^\delta(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x)) \, dx + d_h^\delta |A|$$

for any $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. Let A', A'' be open disjoint subsets of A such that $|A''| < \delta$, $S_u \subset A''$. Therefore,

$$(5.17) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u, A) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{A'} \psi_h^\delta(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x)) \, dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{A''} \psi_h^\delta(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x)) \, dx + d_h^\delta |A'| + \delta d_h^\delta.$$

In particular we get

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u, A) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{A'} \psi_h^\delta(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x)) \, dx + d_h^\delta |A'|$$

Notice that ψ_h^δ is linear in $[0, t_\delta]$. Applying Proposition 5.3 with the choice $g = \psi_h^\delta \wedge \psi_h^\delta(t_\delta)$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}'(u, A) \geq c_h^\delta \int_{A'} |\nabla u| \, dx + c_h^\delta |D^c u|(A) + d_h^\delta |A'| = (1 - \delta) \int_{A'} \ell_h(|\nabla u|) \, dx + (1 - \delta)c_h |D^c u|(A').$$

Since $\mathcal{F}'(u, \cdot)$ is a superadditive function on open sets of Ω with disjoint compact closures, by applying Lemma 2.5 and (5.11) we get, by the arbitrariness of A' and δ ,

$$(5.18) \quad \mathcal{F}'(u, A) \geq \int_A \phi(|\nabla u|) dx + c_0 |D^c u|(A).$$

Now (5.17) implies also

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u, A) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{A''} \psi_h^\delta(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(x)) dx.$$

Applying now Proposition 5.8 with the choice $h = \psi_h^\delta$ we deduce that

$$\mathcal{F}'(u, A) \geq \int_{S_u \cap A''} \theta_h^\delta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

being

$$\theta_h^\delta(s, \nu) = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{Q_\nu} \psi_h^\delta(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dx : (u_j) \in W_\nu^{0,s}, \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+ \right\}.$$

Using (5.14) and the arbitrariness of δ , it follows that $\theta_h^\delta \rightarrow \theta$ as $h \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Applying once more Lemma 2.5, by the arbitrariness of A'' , we have

$$(5.19) \quad \mathcal{F}'(u, A) \geq \int_{S_u \cap A} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Applying Lemma 5.9 choosing $\lambda_1 = \mathcal{L}^n$, $\lambda_2 = \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \llcorner J_u$, $\lambda_3 = |D^c u|$ and taking into account (5.18) and (5.19), we immediately obtain $\mathcal{F}'(u) \geq \mathcal{F}(u)$ for any $u \in BV(\Omega)$.

Let us now consider the case $u \in GBV(\Omega)$. Let (u_j) be a sequence in $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ converging to u in $L^1(\Omega)$ and such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j) = \mathcal{F}'(u).$$

Define $u_j^T = (-T) \vee u_j \wedge T$, and $u^T = (-T) \vee u \wedge T$. Since $u_j^T \rightarrow u^T$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, and $u^T \in BV(\Omega)$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}'(u) = \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j) \geq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j^T) \geq \mathcal{F}(u^T).$$

Applying (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) and taking into account the continuity of θ we obtain

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u^T|) dx + \int_{S_{u^T}} \theta((u^T)^+ - (u^T)^-, \nu_{u^T}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c_0 |D^c u^T|(\Omega) \right) = \mathcal{F}(u)$$

so we are done. \square

6. THE Γ-LIMSUP INEQUALITY

In this section we will prove that $\mathcal{F}''(u) \leq \mathcal{F}(u)$ for any $u \in L^1(\Omega)$; since, by definition, $\mathcal{F}(u) = +\infty$ for any $u \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus GBV(\Omega)$, it is sufficient to consider the case $u \in GBV(\Omega)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let (ε_j) be a positive infinitesimal sequence, $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $s \geq 0$. Let $(u_j) \in W_\nu^{0,s}$ be such that*

$$\omega_{n-1} \theta(s, \nu) = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{Q_\nu} f(\varepsilon_j |\nabla u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dx.$$

Then for any $r > 0$ there exists a positive infinitesimal sequence σ_j and $(v_j) \in W_\nu^{0,s}$ such that for any $\sigma > 0$ it holds

$$\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1} \theta(s, \nu) = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \int_{rQ_\nu^\sigma} f(\sigma_j |\nabla v_j| * \rho_{\sigma_j}) dx,$$

where $Q_\nu^\sigma = \{x \in Q_\nu : |\langle x, \nu \rangle| < \sigma\}$.

Proof. Let $\sigma_j = r\varepsilon_j$ and $v_j(x) = u_j(rx)$. Then by the change of variables $x = rz$ and $y = rt$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \int_{rQ_\nu} f(\sigma_j |\nabla v_j| * \rho_{\sigma_j}) dx &= \frac{r^n}{\sigma_j} \int_{Q_\nu} f\left(\frac{\sigma_j}{r} \int_{C_{\sigma_j/r}} |\nabla v_j(rz - rt)| \rho_{\sigma_j/r}(t) dt\right) dz \\ &= \frac{r^{n-1}}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{Q_\nu} f\left(\varepsilon_j \int_{C_{\varepsilon_j}} |\nabla u_j(z - t)| \rho_{\varepsilon_j}(t) dt\right) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ we get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \int_{rQ_\nu} f(\sigma_j |\nabla v_j| * \rho_{\sigma_j}) dx = r^{n-1} \theta(s, \nu).$$

Since the transition set of the optimal sequence (u_j) shrinks onto the interface (see (5.7) or the definition of $W_\nu^{0,s}$) we deduce that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \int_{rQ_\nu} f(\sigma_j |\nabla v_j| * \rho_{\sigma_j}) dx = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \int_{rQ_\nu^\sigma} f(\sigma_j |\nabla v_j| * \rho_{\sigma_j}) dx$$

for any $\sigma > 0$, hence we conclude. \square

Proposition 6.2. *For any $u \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ it holds $\mathcal{F}''(u) \leq \mathcal{F}(u)$.*

Proof. By the very definition of $\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ (see paragraph 2.5) the set S_u is contained in the union of a finite collection K_1, \dots, K_m of $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplexes; it will not be restrictive to assume $m = 1$ and $K = K_1 \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 = 0\}$. Fix $h \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \geq 1$. Let $\Omega_h = \{x \in \Omega \setminus K : d(x, K) > 1/h\}$. Let S be the relative boundary of K ; obviously it holds $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S) = 0$. Let $K_h = \{x \in K : d(x, S) > 1/h\}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_k \in K_h$ and $r \geq 0$ be such that $B_r(x_i)$ are pairwise disjoint, $B_r(x_i) \cap \{x_1 = 0\} \subseteq K_h$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$ and

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\left(K_h \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_r(x_i) \cap \{x_1 = 0\}\right)\right) < \frac{1}{h}.$$

Let $Q_h = \{x \in rQ_{e_1} : |x_1| < 1/h\}$ and $Q_h(x) = x + Q_h$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, let $Q_h^+ = Q_h \cap \{x_1 > 0\}$ and $Q_h^- = Q_h \cap \{x_1 < 0\}$. At this point we divide the proof in two steps.

Step 1. Take a function $v \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ with $S_v \subseteq K$ and such that v is constant in any $x_i + Q_h^+$ and in any $x_i + Q_h^-$. Denote by v_i^+ the value of v in $x_i + Q_h^+$ and by v_i^- the value of v in $x_i + Q_h^-$. We claim that

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{F}''(v) \leq \int_{\Omega} \phi(|\nabla v|) dx + \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{K \cap B_r(x_i)} \theta(|v_i^+ - v_i^-|, \mathbf{e}_1) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c|Dv|(\Omega'_h),$$

for some $c > 0$, where

$$\Omega'_h = \Omega \setminus \left(\Omega_h \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k (x_i + Q_h)\right).$$

Let (ε_j) be a positive infinitesimal sequence and let $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Accordingly to Lemma 6.1, let us define $v_j \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ be such that we have

$$(6.3) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_j}(v_j, x_i + \delta Q_h) = (\delta r)^{n-1} \theta(|v_i^+ - v_i^-|, \mathbf{e}_1),$$

where $\sigma_j = r\varepsilon_j$. Otherwise in Ω we set $v_j = v$. Then, using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.7, we deduce that

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \int_{\Omega} f_{\sigma_j}(\sigma_j |\nabla v_j| * \rho_{\sigma_j}) dx \leq \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_j}(v, \Omega_h) + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_j}(v_j, x_i + \delta Q_h) + c|Dv|(\Omega'_{h,\delta}),$$

being

$$\Omega'_{h,\delta} = \Omega \setminus \left(\Omega_h \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k (x_i + \delta Q_h)\right).$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (6.4) is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_j} \int_{\Omega_h} f_{\sigma_j}(\sigma_j |\nabla v| * \rho_{\sigma_j}) dx.$$

By standard properties of the convolution we have $|\nabla v| * \rho_{\sigma_j} \rightarrow |\nabla v|$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω . From A2 we deduce that

$$(6.5) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_\varepsilon(\varepsilon t_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} = \phi(t)$$

whenever $t_\varepsilon \rightarrow t$, for each $t \geq 0$. By the Dominated Convergence Theorem we get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \int_{\Omega_h} f_{\sigma_j}(\sigma_j |\nabla v| * \rho_{\sigma_j}) dx = \int_{\Omega_h} \phi(|\nabla v|) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \phi(|\nabla v|) dx.$$

Passing to the limsup in (6.4), using (6.3) and using the arbitrariness of $\delta \in (0, 1)$ we get (6.2).

Step 2. For any $i = 1, \dots, k$ let

$$u_i^+ = \int_{B_r(x_i) \cap K} u^+ d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \quad u_i^- = \int_{B_r(x_i) \cap K} u^- d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

and

$$u_i(x) = \begin{cases} u_i^+ & \text{if } (x_i)_1 - x_1 > 0 \\ u_i^- & \text{if } (x_i)_1 - x_1 \leq 0 \end{cases}, \quad x \in B_r(x_i).$$

For any $h \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \geq 1$, let $u_h = u_i$ on $Q_h(x_i)$ and $u_h = u$ otherwise in Ω . Applying step 1 with the choice $v = u_h$ we get

$$\mathcal{F}''(u_h) \leq \int_{\Omega} \phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{K \cap B_r(x_i)} \theta(|u_i^+ - u_i^-|, \mathbf{e}_1) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c|Du|(\Omega'_h).$$

Now $|\Omega'_h| \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, taking into account (6.1) we deduce that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u \cap \Omega'_h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h, k \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence $|Du|(\Omega'_h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h, k \rightarrow +\infty$. Exploiting the uniform continuity of the traces of u and the continuity of θ , we also get

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \int_{K \cap B_r(x_i)} \theta(|u_i^+ - u_i^-|, \mathbf{e}_1) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{h, k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{S_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \mathbf{e}_1) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

and the lower semicontinuity of \mathcal{F}'' yields the conclusion. \square

Proposition 6.3. *Let $u \in GBV(\Omega)$. Then it holds $\mathcal{F}''(u) \leq \mathcal{F}(u)$.*

Proof. First let $u \in SBV^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. We can apply Theorem 2.6, choosing

$$\psi(a, b, \nu) = \theta(|a - b|, \nu)$$

(see (3.6) and (3.7)). Then there exists a sequence $w_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, with $w_j \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$, such that $\nabla w_j \rightarrow \nabla u$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(6.6) \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{S_{w_j}} \theta(|w_j^+ - w_j^-|, \nu_{w_j}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \int_{S_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

By the lower semicontinuity of \mathcal{F}'' and by Proposition 6.2 we deduce that, applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem and (6.6),

$$\mathcal{F}''(u) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}''(w_j) \leq \int_{\Omega} \phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{S_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Using relaxation Theorem 2.7 we get

$$\mathcal{F}''(u) \leq \int_{\Omega} \phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{J_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c_0 |D^c u|(\Omega)$$

for each $u \in BV(\Omega)$. Finally, let $u \in GBV(\Omega)$ and, for any $T > 0$, $u^T = -T \vee u \wedge T$. Then $u^T \in BV(\Omega)$ for each $T > 0$ and $u^T \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $T \rightarrow +\infty$. Taking into account (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we obtain, exploiting again the lower semicontinuity of \mathcal{F}'' and the continuity of θ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}''(u) &\leq \limsup_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} \phi(|\nabla u^T|) dx + \int_{S_{u^T}} \theta(|(u^T)^+ - (u^T)^-|, \nu_{u^T}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c_0 |D^c u^T|(\Omega) \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{S_u} \theta(|u^+ - u^-|, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + c_0 |D^c u|(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove. \square

7. COMPUTATION OF θ IN THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL CASE

In this section we are able to give an explicit formula for θ if $n = 1$ along the same line of the discretization argument used in [22].

Let $n = 1$, then we can set $\Omega = (a, b)$, $C = I$ to be an open interval around 0, $\rho: I \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ continuous and bounded with

$$\int_I \rho dt = 1.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\rho_\varepsilon(t) = 1/\varepsilon \rho(t/\varepsilon)$ and $I_\varepsilon(x) = x + \varepsilon I$.

Theorem 7.1. *It holds*

$$\theta(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(s\rho(t)) dt.$$

Proof. In the one-dimensional setting the expression for θ given by (3.5) reads

$$\theta(s) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{-1}^1 f(\varepsilon_j |u'_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dt : (u_j) \in W^{0,s}, \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+ \right\},$$

being $W^{0,s}$ the space of all sequences (u_j) in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega')$, $(-1, 1) \subset \Omega'$, such that $u_j \rightarrow s\chi_{(0,+\infty)}$ in $L^1(\Omega')$, and such that there exist two positive infinitesimal sequences $(a_j), (b_j)$ with $u_j(t) = 0$ if $t < -a_j$ and $u_j = s$ if $t > b_j$. Let $(u_j) \in W^{0,s}$ and

$$v_j(t) = \int_{-1}^t (u'_j(r))^+ dr.$$

Moreover, let $w_j = 0 \vee v_j \wedge s$. Then $(w_j) \in W^{0,s}$ and by the change of variables $y = \varepsilon_j z$ and $t = \varepsilon_j r$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{-1}^1 f(\varepsilon_j |u'_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dt &\geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{-1}^1 f\left(\int_{I_{\varepsilon_j}} w'_j(t+y) \rho\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon_j}\right) dt\right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{-1}^1 f\left(\varepsilon_j \int_I w'_j(t + \varepsilon_j z) \rho(z) dz\right) dt = \int_{-1/\varepsilon_j}^{1/\varepsilon_j} f\left(\varepsilon_j \int_I w'_j(\varepsilon_j r + \varepsilon_j z) \rho(z) dz\right) dr \\ &= \int_{-1/\varepsilon_j}^{1/\varepsilon_j} f\left(\int_I \tilde{w}'_j(r+z) \rho(z) dz\right) dr, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{w}_j(t) = w_j(\varepsilon_j t)$. Since $(w_j) \in W^{0,s}$ then the previous inequality becomes

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{-1}^1 f(\varepsilon_j |u'_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dt \geq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f\left(\int_I \tilde{w}'_j(t+z) \rho(z) dz\right) dt.$$

Denoting by X the space of all functions $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ which are non-decreasing and such that there exist $\xi_0 < \xi_1$ with $v(t) = 0$ if $t < \xi_0$ and $v = s$ if $t > \xi_1$, we are led to solve the minimization problem $\inf_X G$, being

$$G(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f\left(\int_{I(t)} v'(x) \rho(x-t) dx\right) dt, \quad v \in X.$$

By a simple regularization argument it is not restrictive to assume $f \in C^2(0, +\infty)$ and f strictly concave. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $k \geq 1$, we now consider a discrete version G_k of G defined on the space of the functions on \mathbb{R} which are constant on each interval of the form

$$J_i^k = \left[\frac{i}{k}, \frac{i+1}{k} \right), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We define X_k as the set of the functions $v: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, s]$, such that:

- a) v is constant on any J_i^k ; denote by v^i the value of v on J_i^k .
- b) $v^i \leq v^{i+1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- c) $v^i = 0$ if $i < i_0$ and $v^i = s$ if $i > i_1$ for some $i_0 < i_1$.

Let $I^k = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : J_i^k \subset I\}$. Finally, let $G_k: X_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$G_k(v) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f \left(\sum_{h \in I^k} (v^{i+h+1} - v^{i+h}) \rho_h^k \right), \quad \rho_h^k = \int_{J_h^k} \rho(z) dz.$$

Obviously G_k admit minimizers on X_k . We claim that each minimizer of G_k on X_k takes only the values 0 and s .

Let v be a minimizer of G_k on X_k . Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $v^{i_0} = c \in (0, s)$. We can assume that for a suitable $r \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

$$v^{i_0-1} < c, \quad c = v^{i_0} = v^{i_0+1} = \dots = v^{i_0+r}, \quad v^{i_0+r+1} > c.$$

Given $t \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently small, we define $v_t \in X_k$ letting $v_t^{i_0+l} = c + t$, if $0 \leq l \leq r$, and $v_t = v$ otherwise. It is easy to see that for some $\alpha_i^k, \beta_i^k \neq 0$ which do not depend on t , we have

$$G_k(v_t) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i \in J} f(\alpha_i^k + t\beta_i^k)$$

for some finite set $J \subset \mathbb{Z}$. The function $t \mapsto G_k(v_t)$ is twice continuously differentiable in $t = 0$, due to the smoothness of f and we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} G_k(v_t) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i \in J} f''(\alpha_i^k) (\beta_i^k)^2 < 0$$

by the strict concavity of f . This contradicts the fact that v is a minimizer for G_k on X_k .

Since G_k is invariant under translation, we have already shown that

$$\min_{X_k} G_k = G_k(\hat{v})$$

where $v = s\chi_{(0, +\infty)}$. Since

$$G_k(\hat{v}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f(s\rho_{-i}^k).$$

by the definition of the Riemann integral as the limit of the Riemann sums, we deduce that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{X_k} G_k \geq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(s\rho(t)) dt.$$

Given $\sigma > 0$ let $v_\sigma \in X$ be such that $\inf_X G \geq G(v_\sigma) - \sigma$. Let $w_\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, s]$ given by

$$w_\sigma(t) = w_\sigma^i = \int_{J_i^k} v_\sigma(r) dr, \quad t \in J_i^k.$$

Notice that $w_\sigma \in X_k$. Let k be sufficiently large such that $G(v_\sigma) \geq G_k(w_\sigma) - \sigma$. Hence

$$G(v_\sigma) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{X_k} G_k - \sigma \geq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(s\rho(t)) dt - \sigma.$$

By the arbitrariness of $\sigma > 0$ we obtain

$$\theta(s) \geq \inf_X G \geq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(s\rho(t)) dt.$$

If we let

$$u_j(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq -\varepsilon_j \\ \frac{s}{\varepsilon_j}t + s & \text{if } t \in (-\varepsilon_j, 0) \\ s & \text{if } t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

for $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0^+$, we have $(u_j) \in W^{0,s}$ and a straightforward computation shows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{-1}^1 f(\varepsilon_j |u_j| * \rho_{\varepsilon_j}) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(s\rho(t)) dt$$

and this yields the conclusion. \square

Remark 7.2. Observe that when $I = (-1, 1)$ and $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\chi_{(-1,1)}$ we get

$$\theta(s) = 2f\left(\frac{s}{2}\right).$$

Hence we recover the case investigated in [21].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A. Magni have been supported by the research group ‘‘Forschergruppe 718 der Deutschen Forschungsgemeinschaft: Analysis and Stochastics in Complex Physical Systems’’. Both the authors would like to thank the Biomathematic group of the University of Dortmund, which made the collaboration possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Alicandro, A. Braides, and M.S. Gelli: *Free-discontinuity problems generated by singular perturbation*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **6** (1998), 1115-1129.
- [2] R. Alicandro and M. S. Gelli: *Free discontinuity problems generated by singular perturbation: the n-dimensional case*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **130**(3) (2000), 449-469.
- [3] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco and D. Pallara: ‘‘Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems’’. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [4] L. Ambrosio and V.M. Tortorelli: *Approximation of functionals depending on jumps by elliptic functionals via Γ -convergence*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **XLIII** (1990), 999-1036.
- [5] L. Ambrosio and V.M. Tortorelli: *On the approximation of free discontinuity problems*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7), **VI**(1) (1992), 105-123.
- [6] G. Bouchitté, A. Braides and G. Buttazzo: *Relaxation results for some free discontinuity problems*. J. Reine Angew. Math., **458** (1995) 1-18.
- [7] B. Bourdin and A. Chambolle: *Implementation of an adaptive finite-element approximation of the Mumford-Shah functional*. Numer. Math., **85**(4) (2000), 609-646.
- [8] A. Braides: *Approximation of free-discontinuity problems*. Volume 1694 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [9] A. Braides: ‘‘ Γ -convergence for beginners’’. Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [10] A. Braides and G. Dal Maso: *Non-local approximation of the Mumford-Shah functional*. Calc. Var., **5**(4) (1997) 293-322.
- [11] A. Braides and A. Garroni: *On the non-local approximation of free-discontinuity problems*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **23**(5-6) (1998), 817-829..
- [12] A. Chambolle and G. Dal Maso: *Discrete approximation of the Mumford-Shah functional in dimension two*. ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal., **33**(4) (1999), 651-672.
- [13] G. Cortesani: *Sequence of non-local functionals which approximate free-discontinuity problems*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **144** (1998), 357-402.
- [14] G. Cortesani: *A finite element approximation of an image segmentation problem*. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., **9**(2) (1999), 243-259.
- [15] G. Cortesani and R. Toader: *Finite element approximation of non-isotropic free-discontinuity problems*. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., **18**(9-10) (1997), 921-940.
- [16] G. Cortesani and R. Toader: *Nonlocal approximation of nonisotropic free-discontinuity problems*. SIAM J. Appl. Math., **59**(4) (1999), 1507-1519.
- [17] G. Cortesani and R. Toader: *A density result in SBV with respect to non-isotropic energies*. Nonlinear Anal., **38**(5) (1999) 585-604.
- [18] G. Dal Maso: ‘‘An Introduction to Γ -Convergence’’. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

- [19] E. De Giorgi: *Free discontinuity problems in calculus of variations*. In Robert Dautray, editor, *Frontiers in pure and applied mathematics. A collection of papers dedicated to Jacques-Louis Lions on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday*. June 6–10, Paris 1988, pages 55–62, Amsterdam, 1991. North-Holland Publishing Co.
- [20] L. Lussardi: *An approximation result for free discontinuity functionals by means of non-local energies*. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **31**(3) (2008) 2133-2146.
- [21] L. Lussardi and E. Vitali: *Non local approximation of free-discontinuity functionals with linear growth: the one dimensional case*. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **186**(4) (2007) 722-744.
- [22] L. Lussardi and E. Vitali: *Non local approximation of free-discontinuity problems with linear growth*. *ESAIM Contr. Optim. Calc. Var.*, **13**(1) (2007) 135-162.
- [23] M. Morini: *Sequences of singularly perturbed functionals generating free-discontinuity problems*. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **35**(3) (2003), 759-805.
- [24] M. Negri: *The anisotropy introduced by the mesh in the finite element approximation of the Mumford-Shah functional*. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, **20**(9-10) (1999), 957-982.
- [25] M. Negri: *A non-local approximation of free discontinuity problems in SBV and SBD*. *Calc. Var.*, **25**(1) (2006) 33-62.

(L. Lussardi) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA “N. TARTAGLIA”, UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE, VIA DEI MUSEI 41, I-25121, BRESCIA (ITALY)
E-mail address: `l.lussardi@dmf.unicatt.it`

(A. Magni) MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT ABT. FÜR REINE MATHEMATIK, ALBERT-LUDWIGS UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, ECKERSTRASSE 1, D-79104 FREIBURG IM BREISGAU (GERMANY)
E-mail address: `annibale.magni@math.uni-freiburg.de`