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#### Abstract

We prove the existence and uniqueness for a semilinear elliptic problem with memory, both in the weak and the classical setting. This problem describes the effective behaviour of a biological tissue under the injection of an electrical current in the radiofrequency range.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded subset of $\boldsymbol{R}^{N}$ with regular boundary and let $T>0$. We study the existence, uniqueness and regularity for the solution of the semilinear problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(A(x) \nabla_{x} u+\int_{0}^{t} B(x, t-\tau) \nabla_{x} u(x, \tau) d \tau\right)=g(x, t, u) & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T)  \tag{1.1}\\ u=f & \text { in } \partial \Omega \times(0, T)\end{cases}
$$

where $A(x)$ is a simmetric and positive definite matrix, $B(x, t)$ is a simmetric matrix, $g: \Omega \times(0, T) \times \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow$ $\boldsymbol{R}$ and $f: \bar{\Omega} \times(0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ are given functions. More precisely, in Section 2 we prove the well-posedness of problem (1.1) in a weak sense, by using a fixed point technique:

Theorem 1.1 Let $A \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \boldsymbol{R}^{N^{2}}\right)$ be such that $\lambda|\xi|^{2} \leq A(x) \xi \cdot \xi \leq \Lambda|\xi|^{2}$, for suitable $0<\lambda<\Lambda<+\infty$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and every $\xi \in \boldsymbol{R}^{N}$; let $B \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \boldsymbol{R}^{N^{2}}\right)\right.$ ), and let $f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Assume that $g: \Omega \times(0, T) \times \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\cdot, \cdot, 0) \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right) \tag{G1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(G2) $\quad\left|g(x, t, s)-g\left(x, t, s^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq L\left|s-s^{\prime}\right| \quad$ for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T), \quad$ and every $s, s^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{R}$,
where $L \leq \frac{\lambda}{3 C}$, if $C$ is the best constant in the classical Poincaré inequality on $\Omega$.
Then, there exists a unique function $u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ satisfying in the sense of distributions problem (1.1).

In Section 3 we prove that, under further regularity assumptions on the data, existence and uniqueness of classical solutions of (1.1) hold true, by using a delay technique. This regularity is instrumental in applications (see [2]).

Theorem 1.2 Let $m \geq 0$ be any fixed integer and let also $0<\alpha<1$. Let $A \in C^{1+\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; \boldsymbol{R}^{N^{2}}\right)$ satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.1 and $B \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; C^{1+\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; \boldsymbol{R}^{N^{2}}\right)\right)$ be such that $B^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1, \infty}\left(\Omega ; \boldsymbol{R}^{N^{2}}\right)\right)$. Assume that $g \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; C^{m+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{R})\right)$ satisfies (G2) of Theorem 1.1, with $\gamma L<1$, where $\gamma$ is a structural constant depending only on $\lambda, \Lambda, N, \Omega, \beta, A, B$, and that there exists $L_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x, t, s)|,\left|\nabla_{x} g(x, t, s)\right|,\left|g_{t}(x, t, s)\right| \leq L|s|+L_{0} \tag{G3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; C^{m+2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$, with $f_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; C^{m+2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$. Then there exists a unique function $u \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; C^{m+2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$ solving (1.1) in the classical sense.

In the linear case, our problem can be compared to the ones studied in the context of linear elasticity in $[4,5]$, where (1.1) is reduced to a Volterra equation and solved, under suitable hypotheses, by means of the spectral theory in $C^{0}\left([0, T] ; C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$. Problem (1.1), again in the linear case, is also studied in [3], in the context of weak solvability. There, the Fourier trasform technique is applied, under some assumptions on the asymptotic behaviour of the kernel $B$, in order to obtain the existence in the space $L^{2}\left(-\infty,+\infty ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

From the physical point of view, problem (1.1) describes the effective behaviour of a biological tissue under the injection of an electrical current in the radiofrequency range ( $[1,2]$ ). Here, the unknown $u$ represents the electrical potential and the driven electrical current $-A(x) \nabla_{x} u-\int_{0}^{t} B(x, t-\tau) \nabla_{x} u(x, \tau) d \tau$ depends on the history of the electrical field $-\nabla_{x} u$, therefore it is non local in time.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We note that, possibly replacing $u$ with $v=u-f$ and $g$ with

$$
\tilde{g}(x, t, u)=g(x, t, u)-\operatorname{div}\left(A(x) \nabla_{x} f+\int_{0}^{t} B(x, t-\tau) \nabla_{x} f(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)
$$

there is no loss of generality in assuming $f \equiv 0$. Consider the Banach space $X=L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; H_{o}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, endowed with the usual norm

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; H_{o}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}:=\left(\int_{0}^{T_{1}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla_{x} u\right|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $T_{1}$ will be chosen later. Let us introduce an operator $H$ acting on $X$ by means of $H(u)=w$, where $w$ is the solution of

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(A(x) \nabla_{x} w\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(\int_{0}^{t} B(x, t-\tau) \nabla_{x} u(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)+g(x, t, u)
$$

with null trace on $\partial \Omega$, and $t$ fixed almost everywhere in $(0, T)$. Clearly, the operator $H$ is well defined; moreover, multiplying the previous equation by $w$ and integrating by parts, we obtain that $H(X) \subset X$.

Given $u_{1}, u_{2} \in X$, we have that $w=H\left(u_{1}\right)-H\left(u_{2}\right)$ has null trace on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ and solves

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(A(x) \nabla_{x} w\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(\int_{0}^{t} B(x, t-\tau) \nabla_{x} u(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)+g\left(x, t, u_{1}\right)-g\left(x, t, u_{2}\right)
$$

where $u=u_{1}-u_{2}$. Again multiplying the previous equation by $w$ and integrating by parts, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; H_{o}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}= & \left\|H\left(u_{1}\right)-H\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; H_{o}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{\gamma}{\delta} T_{1}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; H_{o}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}+\gamma \delta\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; H_{o}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2} \\
& +\frac{C L}{2 \lambda}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; H_{o}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}+\frac{C L}{2 \lambda}\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; H_{o}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma$ depends only on $\lambda$ and $B$. Now, recalling that $L \leq \frac{\lambda}{3 C}$ and choosing $\delta=\frac{1}{6 \gamma}$ and $T_{1}<\frac{1}{36 \gamma^{2}}$, we can absorb the second and the fourth term of the last inequality into the left hand side, obtaining that $H$ is a contraction. So, it admits a unique fixed point, i.e., a solution of (1.1) exists in $X$. Noting that the width $T_{1}$ of the time interval is independent of the iteration step, we may conclude the proof by iterating this argument over $(0, T)$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will prove that the unique weak solution found in Theorem 1.1 actually belongs to $C^{0}\left([0, T] ; C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right) \cap$ $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; C^{m+2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$.

Assume $m=0$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{(2+\alpha)}^{t}:=\underset{0 \leq \tau \leq t}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{(2+\alpha)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{(m+\alpha)}$ is the norm in $C^{m+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. Analogously, if we indicate with $\|\cdot\|_{2, q}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ the norms in $W^{2, q}(\Omega)$ and $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, respectively, we can consider the corresponding norms $\|\cdot\|_{2, q}^{t}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}^{t}$ in the sense of (3.1).

Let us introduce a sequence of approximating problems

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-\operatorname{div}\left(A(x) \nabla_{x} u_{h}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{h}} B(x, t-\tau) \nabla_{x} u_{h}(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)+g\left(x, t, u_{h}\left(x, t_{h}\right)\right) & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T)  \tag{3.2}\\
u_{h}(x, t)=f(x, t) & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, T)
\end{array}
$$

where $t_{h}=\max (0, t-h)$, for $0<t<T$ and any fixed $h>0$, and $u_{h}(x, 0)=: u_{0}(x)$ is given by the unique solution of the standard elliptic nonlinear equation

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(A(x) \nabla u_{0}(x)\right)=g\left(x, 0, u_{0}(x)\right)
$$

which coincides with $f(\cdot, 0)$ on the boundary $\partial \Omega$.
Existence of a solution $u_{h} \in C^{0}([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{R}) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$ is elementary, moreover, by standard elliptic estimates, using also (G3), we have that, for every $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{2+\alpha}^{t} & \leq \gamma\left(\int_{0}^{t_{h}}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{2+\alpha}^{\tau} d \tau+L\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{2+\alpha}^{t}+\left\|\nabla_{x} g\left(u_{h}\right)\right\|_{\infty}^{t}+\left\|g\left(u_{h}\right)\right\|_{\infty}^{t}+\|f\|_{2+\alpha}^{t}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leq \gamma\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{2+\alpha}^{\tau} d \tau+3 L\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{2+\alpha}^{t}+2 L_{0}+\|f\|_{2+\alpha}^{T}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a structural constant depending on $\lambda, \Lambda, N, \Omega, \beta, A, B$. Taking into account that $L$ is small and using Gronwall's Lemma, it follows that $\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{2+\alpha}^{T} \leq \gamma$, where $\gamma$ now depends also on $L, L_{0},\|f\|_{2+\alpha}^{T}$. Now let us consider the following problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(A(x) \nabla_{x} u_{h}^{\prime}\right) & =\operatorname{div}\left(B(x, h) \frac{\partial t_{h}}{\partial t} \nabla_{x} u_{h}(x, t)+\int_{0}^{t_{h}} B^{\prime}(x, t-\tau) \nabla_{x} u_{h}(x, \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& +g_{s}\left(x, t, u_{h}\left(x, t_{h}\right)\right) u_{h}^{\prime}\left(x, t_{h}\right) \frac{\partial t_{h}}{\partial t}+g_{t}\left(x, t, u_{h}\left(x, t_{h}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $u_{h}^{\prime}=f_{t}$ on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $u^{\prime}$ stands for the temporal derivative of $u$.
This is a standard linear elliptic problem in $u_{h}^{\prime}$ (where $u_{h}$ and $u_{h}^{\prime}\left(x, t_{h}\right)$ are regarded as known functions), with a non zero source term. Hence (see e.g. [6], Chp. 9) for every $q \geq 2$, we have existence and uniqueness of a solution $u_{h}^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W^{2, q}(\Omega)\right)$; moreover,

$$
\left\|u_{h}^{\prime}\right\|_{2, q}^{t} \leq \gamma\left(\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{2, q}^{t}+L\left\|u_{h}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{q}}^{t_{h}}+\left\|g_{t}\left(u_{h}\right)\right\|_{\infty}^{t}+\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{2, q}^{t}\right)
$$

Therefore, since $L$ is sufficiently small, using again (G3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{h}^{\prime}\right\|_{2, q}^{T} \leq \gamma\left(\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{2, q}^{T}+L_{0}+\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{2, q}^{T}\right) \leq \gamma \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.5) and taking into account that (3.3) implies $\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{2+\alpha}^{T} \leq \gamma$, it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{h},\left(u_{h}\right)_{x_{i}},\left(u_{h}\right)_{x_{i} x_{j}} & \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \\
\left(u_{h}\right)_{t},\left(u_{h}\right)_{t x_{i}},\left(u_{h}\right)_{t x_{i} x_{j}} & \in L^{q}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)
\end{array}
$$

uniformly with respect to $h$, where $\Omega_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T)$. This implies that, if we choose $q>N$ sufficiently large, the sequences $\left\{u_{h}\right\},\left\{\left(u_{h}\right)_{x_{i}}\right\}$ are compactly embedded in $C^{0}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{T}\right)$, being uniformly Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha$. Now, up to a subsequence, we can pass to the limit for $h \rightarrow 0^{+}$in the weak formulation of (3.2), obtaining that $u_{h} \rightarrow u \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$, where $u$ is a solution of (1.1). Moreover, $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$, as follows by applying the calculations in (3.3) to the original problem solved by $u$.

Now let $m \in \boldsymbol{N}$. By classical elliptic estimates, it follows

$$
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{(m+2+\alpha)} \leq \gamma_{1}\|g(u)\|_{(m+\alpha)}^{t}+\gamma_{1}\|f\|_{(m+2+\alpha)}^{t}+\gamma_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{(m+2+\alpha)} \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

where $g(u)=g(x, t, u(x, t))$. After an application of Gronwall's lemma, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{(m+2+\alpha)}^{T} \leq \gamma_{1}\|g(u)\|_{(m+\alpha)}^{T}+\gamma_{1}\|f\|_{(m+2+\alpha)}^{T} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; C^{m+2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$, whenever $\|g(u)\|_{(m+\alpha)}^{T}$ is bounded. If $m=1$, by the first part of the proof we have that $\|g(u)\|_{(1+\alpha)}^{T}$ is bounded, which implies that $u$ actually belongs to $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; C^{3+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$. The proof is then concluded by induction over $m$ in (3.6).
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