STRATIFICATION OF THE SINGLE BLOW-UP SET FOR RADON MEASURES

LUIGI DE MASI

Abstract. We show that the set of points where the blow-up, in the sense of Preiss, of a signed Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is unique and its invariant subspace has dimension k is k-rectifiable. As applications, we obtain simple proofs of a rectifiability criterion for Radon measures and of a theorem, due to Mattila, on measures having unique blow-up almost everywhere.

1. Introduction

The notion of blow-up of a geometric or analytic object, i.e. the limit of its enlargements around a point as the zooming factor goes to infinity, is of fundamental importance in many areas of Mathematics. The blow-up of a function or a manifold provides a simplified, but somewhat essential, description of the local behavior of the object "at infinitesimal scale". For this and other reasons, the classification of the blow-ups of various mathematical items has become a central theme in geometric and functional analysis.
For a Radon measure μ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, a natural definition of blow-up at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the one in the sense of Preiss, [Pre87]: the limit in the weak*-topology of Radon measures (in duality with $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$) of the rescalings

$$c_j(\tau_{x,r_j})_\# \mu,$$

for some sequences $c_j > 0$, $r_j \to 0$, where $\tau_{x,r}(y) \coloneqq \frac{y-x}{r}$ and $_\#$ is the push-forward of Radon measures. We say that μ has unique blow-up at $x \in \operatorname{supp}\mu$ if there exists a Radon measure μ_x with either $|\mu_x|(B_1(0)) = 1$ or $\mu_x = 0$ and such that, for every sequence $r_j \to 0^+$, there are a subsequence, not relabeled, and a constant $c \neq 0$ for which

$$\mu_{x,r_j} \coloneqq \frac{1}{|\mu|(B_{r_j}(x))}(\tau_{x,r_j})_\#\mu \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} c\mu_x, \tag{1.1}$$

where $|\mu|$ is the total variation measure of μ and $\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup}$ denotes the weak*-convergence of Radon measures. We will denote by $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ the set of points where μ has unique blow-up.
Mattila studied positive measures having a unique blow-up at almost every point: a way to rephrase his main result [Mat05, Theorem 3.2] is that, for μ-a.e. $x \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$, the blow-up of μ at x is a multiple of the k-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^k$ on a k-plane. Although this describes the blow-ups of μ at μ-a.e. point in $\mathcal{S}_\mu$, it can be interesting to study the behavior of μ in the remaining part of $\mathcal{S}_\mu$.
This problem has already received some attention: in [Del21] it is proved that, for a given $u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the set Σ_u of points x where $\frac{1}{r^n}u\left(\frac{\cdot - x}{r}\right)$ converges, in the strong $L^1(B_1(0))$-topology, to a non-constant function can be covered by a countable union of $(n-1)$-dimensional Lipschitz graphs.

- The results in [Del21] rely on the fact that the rescalings of u converge strongly in $L^1(B_1(0))$, which is more restrictive than the convergence in the weak*-topology of Radon measures. Therefore, for $\mu = u\mathcal{L}^n$ where $\mathcal{L}^n$ is the Lebesgue measure, Σ_u is in general strictly contained in the subset of $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ where the unique blow-up of μ is not a constant function. Is the latter set rectifiable as well? What can be said if μ is a general Radon measure?
- It is easily seen (Lemma 3.2) that the unique blow-up μ_x of μ at a point in $x \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$ has an *invariant linear subspace* D_x of $\mathbb{R}^n$, namely $(\tau_{y,1})_\#\mu_x = \mu_x$ for $y \in D_x$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{S}_\mu = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{S}^k_\mu, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \mathcal{S}^k_\mu \coloneqq \{x \in \mathcal{S}_\mu \colon \dim D_x = k\}. \tag{1.2}$$

  Do these $\mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ enjoy finer rectifiability properties?

The main result of this paper provides an answer to these questions.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A75, 28A33, 28C10.
Key words and phrases. Tangent measures; rectifiability; unique blow-up.

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ be a signed Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ is contained in a countable union of k-dimensional Lipschitz graphs. Moreover, the approximate tangent plane to $\mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ at x coincides with D_x for $\mathcal{H}^k$-a.e. $x \in \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$.*

Theorem 1.1 generalizes the results of [Del21], where the strong L^1-convergence of the rescalings can be actually replaced with the (weaker) weak*-convergence of the associated Radon measures. Indeed, for $u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mu = u\mathcal{L}^n$, the set Σ_u defined above is included in $\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$. Each $\mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ is covered by a countable union of k-dimensional (and thus $(n-1)$-dimensional) Lipschitz graphs, by Theorem 1.1.

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is that, for every $x \in \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$, there is a radius $r > 0$ for which the points in $\mathcal{S}^k_\mu \cap B_r(x)$ with similar properties are contained in a cone $x + C$ around $x + D_x$. Otherwise, by contradiction, one can find a sequence of such points $y_j \notin x + C$ with $r_j = |x - y_j| \to 0$ and such that the measures $c_j \mu_{y_j}$ and μ_{y_j, r_j} are as close as we want. Now, on one hand $c_j \mu_{y_j}$ converges to a measure ν whose invariant subspace is close to D_x; on the other hand μ_{y_j, r_j}, being translations of μ_{x, r_j} with respect to points outside C, converge to a translation of μ_x whose invariant subspace is not contained in C, contradicting the closeness of $c_j \mu_{y_j}$ and μ_{y_j, r_j}.

A first outcome of Theorem 1.1 is the proof a rectifiability criterion for signed Radon measures in terms of their blow-ups .

Corollary 1.2. *Let μ be a signed Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Let us assume that, for $|\mu|$-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a Radon measure ν_x whose invariant linear subspace V_x is k-dimensional and with the property that, for every sequence $r_j \to 0^+$ there are a subsequence, not relabeled, and a constant $c \neq 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{r_j^k} (\tau_{x, r_j})_\# \mu \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} c\nu_x.$$

Then μ is k-rectifiable: there exists a signed function $\theta \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{S}^k_\mu, \mathcal{H}^k)$ such that $\mu = \theta \mathcal{H}^k \llcorner \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$, where $\mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ is defined in (1.2) and is k-rectifiable by Theorem 1.1.

The above statement is a generalization of the well-known rectifiability criterion for positive Radon measures, see for instance [Sim83, Theorem 11.8], where one usually sets $\nu_x = \theta_0 \mathcal{H}^k \llcorner V_x$ for some k-dimensional linear subspace V_x and assumes the existence of the limit $r^{-k} (\tau_{x, r_j})_\# \mu \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \theta_0 \mathcal{H}^k \llcorner V_x$ as $r \to 0^+$.
Our proof is based on the fact that Theorem 1.1 and the hypotheses of Corollary 1.2 easily imply that μ is concentrated on the k-rectifiable set $\mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ and that the upper k-density of $|\mu|$ is finite almost everywhere, from which it is straighforward to obtain $\mu \ll \mathcal{H}^k \llcorner \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$. We nevertheless observe that the above criterion can be actually proved using results which are already present in literature: the almost-everywhere characterization of unique blow-ups given by [Mat05, Theorem 3.2], the Marstrand-Mattila rectifiability criterion [DeL08, Theorem 5.1] and the almost-everywhere locality of blow-ups given by [DeL08, Proposition 3.12] (which works for signed functions as well).

A further consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the following alternative proof of [Mat05, Theorem 3.2] for signed Radon measures. It is based on the intuitive idea that, since each $\mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ is k-rectifiable, it is reasonable to expect that its subset of points where the blow-up of μ is more diffused than a k-dimensional measure is $|\mu|$-negligible. As above, the result can be also obtained by applying the (signed version of) locality of blow-ups given by [DeL08, Proposition 3.12] to [Mat05, Theorem 3.2].

Corollary 1.3. *Let μ be a signed Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Then, for $|\mu|$-a.e. $x \in \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$, the unique blow-up of μ at x is a multiple of $\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner D_x$.*

We conclude this introduction with a comment on the definition of unique blow-up at a point. As already said above, we say that μ_x is the unique blow-up of μ at $x \in \operatorname{supp} \mu$ if, for every sequence $r_j \to 0$, *there exists* a subsequence for which (1.1) holds true. This differs from the definition used in [Mat05] for positive Radon measures, where one does not require the convergence of a subsequence for every choice of $\{r_j\}_j$: according to [Mat05], one says that μ has unique blow-upat $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if there exists ν such that any non-trivial weak*-limit (in case it exists) of $c_j (\tau_{x, r_j})_\# \mu$, where $c_j > 0$ and $r_j \to 0^+$, is of the form $c\nu$ for some $c > 0$.
[Mat05, Lemma 2.5] shows, provided μ is positive, that this condition actually implies $\mu_{x,r} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} c\mu_x$ as $r \to 0$ and, subsequently, our definition (they are actually equivalent). However, this does not hold in the

case of signed Radon measures: let us consider two sequences of positive real numbers a_j, ρ_j such that

$$\lim_{j\to+\infty} \frac{1}{a_j} \sum_{i=j+1}^{+\infty} a_i = 0, \qquad \lim_{j\to+\infty} \frac{\rho_{j+1}}{\rho_j} = 0.$$

Then the Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\mu \coloneqq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j \left(\delta_{2\rho_j+\rho_j^2} - \delta_{2\rho_j-\rho_j^2}\right)$$

has the null measure as unique blow-up at $x = 0$ in the sense of [Mat05], but $\mu_{0,\rho_j} = \frac{1}{|\mu|(B_{\rho_j}(0))}(\tau_{0,\rho_j})_\#\mu$ has no converging subsequences because $|\mu_{x,\rho_j}|\big(B_2(0)\big) \to +\infty$ as $j \to +\infty$.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Andrea Marchese and Gian Paolo Leonardi for inspiring conversations. He is moreover grateful to Paolo Bonicatto for the support, the careful reading of a preliminary version of the manuscript and for highlighting the fact that Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 can be also obtained by applying the locality of blow-ups to the existent literature.
The author has been supported by PRIN project 2022PJ9EFL "Geometric Measure Theory: Structure of Singular Measures, Regularity Theory and Applications in the Calculus of Variations", CUP: E53D23005860006 and by INdAM - GNAMPA Project, CUP E53C25002010001. Part of the work has been carried out during the thematic program on "Free boundary problems" at ESI (Wien), which the author wishes to thank.

2. Notation

Throughout the paper, μ denotes a fixed signed Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

General notation

$B_r(x)$	Open ball of radius r and center x in $\mathbb{R}^n$;
ω_k	Lebesgue measure of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^k$;
$C(z, V, \gamma)$	Open cone with center z, axis the k-dimensional linear subspace V and opening angle $\gamma \in \big(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\big]$: $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \operatorname{dist}(y, z+V) < (\sin\gamma)\lvert y-z\rvert\}$;
$\tau_{x,r}$	Translation with base x and scaling factor $\frac{1}{r}$: $\tau_{x,r}(y) \coloneqq \frac{y-x}{r}$ for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

Measures

$\mathcal{L}^n$	Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$;
$\mathcal{H}^s$	s-dimensional Hausdorff measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$;
$\lvert\mu\rvert$	Total variation measure of the signed Radon measure μ;
$\#$	Push-forward of Radon measures;
$\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup}$	Weak*-convergence of Radon measures, in duality with $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
d	Distance compatible with the weak*-convergence of Radon measures: $\nu_j \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \nu \iff d(\nu_j, \nu) \to 0$ and $\sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \lvert\nu_j\rvert(C) < +\infty$ for any bounded set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.
$\mu_{x,r}$	Normalized rescaling of μ at $x \in \operatorname{supp}\mu$ at scale r: $\mu_{x,r} \coloneqq \frac{1}{\lvert\mu\rvert(B_r(x))}(\tau_{x,r})_\#\mu$;
μ_x	Normalized unique blow-up of μ at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as in (1.1);
D_x	Invariant subspace of μ_x, given by Lemma 3.2;
$\mathcal{S}_\mu$	Set of points in $\mathbb{R}^n$ where the blow-up of μ is unique;
$\mathcal{S}_\mu^k$	Set of points in $\mathbb{R}^n$ where the invariant subspace of the unique blow-up of μ has dimension k;
$\operatorname{Hom}_k^\beta$	Set of measures ν such that for some $\alpha \in [0, \beta]$ it holds $(\tau_{0,\lambda})_\#\nu = \lambda^\alpha\nu$ for all $\lambda > 0$, whose invariant subspace has dimension at least k and $\lvert\nu\rvert\big(B_1(0)\big) \le 1$;

3. Proof of the results

We start with a simple remark on the definition of unique blow-up at a point: for every point $x \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$ such that $\mu_x \neq 0$, the constant c in (1.1) is bounded and away from 0.

Lemma 3.1. *Let μ be a signed Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\mu_x \neq 0$ be the unique blow-up of μ at $x \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$. Then there exists $\eta = \eta(x) > 0$ such that, for every sequence μ_{x,r_j} converging to $c\mu_x$ as $r_j \to 0^+$, it holds $\eta \le |c| \le 1$.*

Proof. The upper bound on $|c|$ trivially follows from the lower semi-continuity of the total variation measure of open sets under weak*-convergence and the fact that, by definition, it holds $|\mu_{x,r}|\big(B_1(0)\big) \le 1$ for every $r > 0$, while $|\mu_x|\big(B_1(0)\big) = 1$. It remains to show the lower bound.
By contradiction, let us assume that, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a sequence $r_j(m) \to 0$ such that $\mu_{x,r_j(m)} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} c_m\mu_x$ with $|c_m| \le \frac{1}{m}$. Since the distance d metrizes the weak-*convergence of Radon measures, there exists $M_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(\mu_{x,r_j(m)}, c_m\mu_x) < \frac{1}{m} \qquad \forall j \ge M_m.$$

We can thus define a sequence $\rho_m \downarrow 0$ such that $\rho_m \coloneqq r_{j_m}(m)$ for some $j_m \ge M_m$. Since the sequence of measures $c_m\mu_x$ converges strongly to 0, it holds

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty} d(\mu_{x,\rho_m}, 0) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, by definition of unique blow-up at x, the sequence μ_{x,ρ_m} must have a weakly*-converging subsequence, whose limit is 0 by (3.1), hence contradicting the assumption $c \neq 0$ in (1.1). □

We now show that the unique blow-up of μ at x is homogeneous and that it has an invariant subspace.

Lemma 3.2. *Let μ be a signed Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\mu_x \neq 0$ be the unique blow-up of μ at $x \in \mathcal{S}_\mu$. Then there exists $\alpha = \alpha(x) \ge 0$ such that μ_x and $|\mu_x|$ are $(\alpha-n)$-homogeneous,*[1] *namely*

$$(\tau_{0,\lambda})_\#\mu_x = \lambda^\alpha\mu_x, \quad (\tau_{0,\lambda})_\#|\mu_x| = \lambda^\alpha|\mu_x| \qquad \forall\lambda > 0.$$

For μ_x, and more generally for every $(\alpha-n)$-homogeneous Radon measure, the set

$$C_x \coloneqq \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon (\tau_{y,\lambda})_\#\mu_x = \lambda^\alpha(\tau_{y,1})_\#\mu_x \ \ \forall\lambda > 0\}$$

coincides with the invariant subspace D_x of μ_x, that is

$$D_x \coloneqq \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon (\tau_{y,1})_\#\mu_x = \mu_x\}.$$

Moreover D_x is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and, provided $\mu_x \neq 0$, it holds $\dim D_x \le \alpha$.

Proof. • *μ_x and $|\mu_x|$ are $(\alpha-n)$-homogeneous.* We have

$$(\tau_{0,\lambda})_\#\mu_{x,r} = \frac{1}{|\mu|\big(B_r(x)\big)}(\tau_{x,\lambda r})_\#\mu = \frac{|\mu|\big(B_{\lambda r}(x)\big)}{|\mu|\big(B_r(x)\big)}\mu_{x,\lambda r} \qquad \forall r,\lambda > 0.$$

Let us choose a sequence $r_j \to 0$ such that $\mu_{x,r_j} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} c\mu_x \neq 0$ and a subsequence such that $\mu_{x,\lambda r_{j_i}}$ converge as well to $c'\mu_x \neq 0$. Then the above equation implies

$$(\tau_{0,\lambda})_\#c\mu_x = (c'q)\mu_x, \qquad \text{where } \ q \coloneqq \lim_{i\to+\infty}\frac{|\mu|\big(B_{\lambda r_{j_i}}(x)\big)}{|\mu|\big(B_{r_{j_i}}(x)\big)}. \tag{3.2}$$

This in particular proves that the product $c'q$ does not depend on the subsequence r_{j_i} and the ratio $\frac{c'q}{c}$ depends only on λ; thus we can define the map $\lambda \mapsto \psi(\lambda) = \frac{c'q}{c}$ such that

$$(\tau_{0,\lambda})_\#\mu_x = \psi(\lambda)\mu_x \qquad \forall\lambda > 0.$$

The fact that $\psi(1) = 1$, $\psi(\lambda) \neq 0$ for every $\lambda > 0$ and the continuity of the map $\lambda \mapsto (\tau_{0,\lambda})_\#\mu_x$ with respect to the weak*-topology imply $\psi(\lambda) > 0$ for every $\lambda > 0$. Since for each open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau_{0,\lambda})_\#|\mu_x|(U) &= \sup\left\{\int f(y)\,\mathrm{d}(\tau_{0,\lambda})_\#\mu_x(y) \colon f \in C_c(U), \|f\|_{C^0} \le 1\right\}\\ &= \psi(\lambda)\sup\left\{\int f(y)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_x(y) \colon f \in C_c(U), \|f\|_{C^0} \le 1\right\}\\ &= \psi(\lambda)|\mu_x|(U),\end{aligned}$$

we infer $(\tau_{0,\lambda})_\#|\mu_x| = \psi(\lambda)|\mu_x|$ for all $\lambda > 0$ as well. As in [Mat05, Lemma 2.5 (2)], it is easily seen that $\psi(\lambda\rho) = \psi(\lambda)\psi(\rho)$, thus there exists $\alpha \ge 0$ such that $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda^\alpha$ for every $\lambda > 0$.

[1] According to this definition, the measure $f\mathcal{L}^n$, where f is p-homogenous and locally integrable, is p-homogeneous.

- $C_x = D_x$. We consider an $(\alpha - n)$-homogeneous Radon measure ν which is fixed throughout the rest of the proof and we prove the statements for the sets C, D corresponding to ν. By definition of push-forward and of $\tau_{y,\lambda}$, it follows
$$C = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \nu(y + \lambda A) = \lambda^\alpha \nu(y + A) \ \ \forall \lambda > 0, \ \forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ bounded and Borel}\},$$
$$D = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \nu(y + A) = \nu(A) \ \ \forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ bounded and Borel}\},$$
where $y + \lambda A \coloneqq \{y + \lambda z \colon z \in A\} = \tau^{-1}_{y,\lambda}(A)$. Clearly $0 \in C, D$ and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be any bounded Borel set. If $y \in C$, then
$$\nu(y + A) = \nu\left(2\left(y + \frac{-y + A}{2}\right)\right) \overset{(0\in C)}{=} 2^\alpha \nu\left(y + \frac{-y + A}{2}\right) \overset{(y\in C)}{=} \nu(y - y + A) = \nu(A),$$
thus we infer $y \in D$. On the other hand, if $y \in D$, we have
$$\nu(y + \lambda A) \overset{(y\in D)}{=} \nu(\lambda A) \overset{(0\in C)}{=} \lambda^\alpha \nu(A) \overset{(y\in D)}{=} \lambda^\alpha \nu(y + A) \qquad \forall \lambda > 0,$$
concluding $y \in C$.
- D_x *is a linear space.* For every $y, z \in D$, we have
$$\nu(y - z + A) \overset{(y\in D)}{=} \nu(-z + A) \overset{(z\in D)}{=} \nu(z - z + A) = \nu(A),$$
thus $y - z \in D$. For every $y \in D$ and $\lambda > 0$, it holds
$$\nu(\lambda y + A) = \nu\left(\lambda\left(y + \frac{A}{\lambda}\right)\right) \overset{(0\in C)}{=} \lambda^\alpha \nu\left(y + \frac{A}{\lambda}\right) \overset{(y\in D)}{=} \lambda^\alpha \nu\left(\frac{A}{\lambda}\right) \overset{(0\in C)}{=} \nu(A),$$
showing $\lambda y \in D$.
- *The dimension of* D_x *is at most* α. Let us assume $\nu \neq 0$ and $k \coloneqq \dim D$. Up to multiplying by a constant, we can assume $|\nu|\big(B_1(0)\big) = 1$, so that the homogeneity provides
$$|\nu|\big(B_r(0)\big) = r^\alpha \qquad \forall r > 0. \tag{3.3}$$
Let $Q \coloneqq [-1, 1]^n$ be the unit cube. By a dyadic decomposition, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the cube Q contains 2^{kj} disjoint open balls of radius 2^{-j} with centers on D. Thus (3.3) and the invariance of $|\nu|$ with respect translations on D yield
$$|\nu|(Q) \geq 2^{j(k-\alpha)} \qquad \forall j \in \mathbb{N},$$
which implies $k \leq \alpha$. □

Remark 3.3. In the first step of the above proof, (3.2), $|c|, |c'| \in [\eta(x), 1]$ and $\psi(\lambda) = \frac{c'q}{c} = \lambda^\alpha$ imply
$$\lambda^\alpha \eta(x) \leq \liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{|\mu|\big(B_{\lambda r}(x)\big)}{|\mu|\big(B_r(x)\big)} \leq \limsup_{r\to 0} \frac{|\mu|\big(B_{\lambda r}(x)\big)}{|\mu|\big(B_r(x)\big)} \leq \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\eta(x)} \qquad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{3.4}$$

We now prove that, if a Radon measure σ is homogeneous with respect to a point which is sufficiently distant from the invariant subspace of another homogeneous measure ν, then the two measures cannot be too close. See Section 2 for the relevant notations.

Lemma 3.4. *For every $\beta, M \geq 0$ and every $\eta, \gamma, \delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ with the following property. Let ν, σ be signed Radon measures in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let V be a k-dimensional linear subspace such that:*
- $|\nu|\big(B_1(0)\big) \leq 1$ *and* $|\sigma|\big(B_1(0)\big) \leq M$*;*
- ν *is $(\alpha - n)$-homogeneous for $\alpha \in [0, \beta]$ and V is its invariant subspace given by Lemma 3.2;*
- $d(c\nu, \omega) \geq \delta$ *for every $|c| \in [\eta, 1]$ and every $\omega \in \mathrm{Hom}^\beta_{k+1}$, that is the set of measures ω which are $(\alpha - n)$-homogeneous for some $\alpha \in [0, \beta]$, whose invariant subspace has dimension at least $k + 1$ and such that $|\omega|\big(B_1(0)\big) \leq 1$;*
- $(\tau_{y,\lambda})_\# \sigma = \lambda^{\alpha'}(\tau_{y,1})_\# \sigma$ *for every $\lambda > 0$, where $y \in \big(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)\big) \setminus C(0, V, \gamma)$ and $\alpha' \in [0, \beta]$.*

Then $d(c\nu, \sigma) > \varepsilon$ for every $c \in [\eta, 1]$.

Proof. Let us assume, by contradiction, that there exist $M, \beta \geq 0$ and $\eta, \gamma, \delta > 0$ such that, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there are measures ν_j, σ_j, k-dimensional subspaces V_j and $y_j \in \big(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)\big) \setminus C(0, V_j, \gamma)$ satisfying the hypotheses of the statement with $\alpha_j, \alpha'_j \leq \beta$ and $d(c_j\nu_j, \sigma_j) \leq \frac{1}{j}$ for $|c_j| \in [\eta, 1]$. Up to selecting a subsequence and without loss of generality, we can assume $c_j > 0$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

The assumptions on ν_j and σ_j imply that they are uniformly bounded on each bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$; thus, up to subsequences, we can assume

$$\nu_j \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \nu, \qquad \sigma_j \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \sigma,$$
$$\alpha_j \to \alpha \le \beta, \qquad \alpha'_j \to \alpha' \le \beta, \qquad c_j \to \bar c \in [\eta, 1], \qquad V_j \to V,$$
$$y_j \to y \in \big(\overline{B_{\frac12}(0)} \setminus B_{\frac14}(0)\big) \setminus C(0, V, \gamma),$$

where the convergence of V_j to V is intended locally in the Hausdorff distance. Since d is continuous with respect to weak*-convergence, it holds $d(\bar c\nu, \sigma) = 0$, that is $\bar c\nu = \sigma$. Moreover:

- The lower semicontinuity of the total variation measure of open sets with respect to weak*-convergence provides $|\nu|\big(B_1(0)\big) \le 1$ and $|\sigma|\big(B_1(0)\big) \le M$.
- Again the continuity of d with respect to weak*-convergence yields
$$d(c\nu, \omega) \ge \delta \qquad \forall |c| \in [\eta, 1], \ \ \forall \omega \in \mathrm{Hom}^\beta_{k+1}\,.$$
In particular $\nu \neq 0$.
- Since for each $\lambda > 0$ the functions $\tau_{y,\lambda}$ converge uniformly to $\tau_{z,\lambda}$ as $y \to z$, from $V_j \to V$ and $\nu_j \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \nu$, we have that ν is $(\alpha - n)$-homogeneous and that its invariant subspace D contains V, thus $\dim D \ge k$. On the other hand, the above point implies $\dim D \le k$, therefore $D = V$.
- Since for each $\lambda > 0$ the functions $\tau_{y_j,\lambda}$ converge uniformly to $\tau_{y,\lambda}$, we have $(\tau_{y,\lambda})_\#\nu = \lambda^{\alpha'}(\tau_{y,1})_\#\nu$. In order to infer $y \in V$, it remains to show that $\alpha' = \alpha$. To this aim, let us first observe that $|\nu|$ is $(\alpha - n)$-homogeneous with respect to the origin, as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, and $(\alpha' - n)$-homogeneous with respect to y. Thus $B_{\lambda - |y|}(0) \le B_\lambda(y) \subset B_{\lambda+|y|}(0)$ yields
$$(\lambda - |y|)^\alpha |\nu|\big(B_1(0)\big) \le \lambda^{\alpha'} |\nu|\big(B_1(y)\big) \le (\lambda + |y|)^\alpha |\nu|\big(B_1(0)\big) \qquad \forall \lambda > 0,$$
which, for large λ and taking into account $\nu \neq 0$, implies $\alpha = \alpha'$.

The last point yields $y \in D = V$, which however contradicts $y \notin C(0, V, \gamma)$. □

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The statement is trivial for $k = n$, thus we assume $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ be fixed throughout the proof. Let us moreover fix $\gamma \in \big(0, \frac{1}{16}\big)$. It is possible to choose a finite number m of k-dimensional linear subspaces $\{V_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$ such that every k-dimensional linear subspace V is contained in $\overline{C(0, V_\ell, \gamma)}$ for some $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. For every $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the set

$$E_{\ell,i} := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{S}^k \colon D_x \subset \overline{C(0, V_\ell, \gamma)}, \ \ \alpha(x) \le i, \ \ \eta(x) \ge \frac1i, \ \ d(c\mu_x, \omega) \ge \frac1i \ \ \forall |c| \in \left[\frac1i, 1\right], \forall \omega \in \mathrm{Hom}^i_{k+1} \right\},$$

where D_x and $\alpha(x)$ are respectively the invariant subspace and the homogeneity exponent of μ_x given by Lemma 3.2, $\eta = \eta(x)$ is given by Lemma 3.1, while d and Hom^i_{k+1} are respectively the distance and the set of $(\alpha - n)$-homogeneous measures described in Section 2. Since $\mathcal{S}^k = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\ell=1}^m E_{i,\ell}$, it is enough to prove that each $E_{i,\ell}$ can be covered by a countable union of Lipschitz graphs.
Let us fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then fix the value ε given by Lemma 3.4 for $\beta = i$, $\delta = \frac1i$, $M = i \cdot 2^\alpha$ and, for every $\rho > 0$, define the set

$$E_{i,\ell,\rho} := \left\{ x \in E_{i,\ell} \colon \inf_{|c| \in [\eta,1]} d(\mu_{x,r}, c\mu_x) < \varepsilon \ \ \forall r \in (0, \rho) \right\}.$$

If $x \in E_{i,\ell}$, then it belongs to $E_{i,\ell,\rho}$ for some $\rho > 0$, because the existence of a sequence $r_j \to 0$ such that $d(\mu_{x,r_j}, c\mu_x) \ge \varepsilon$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $|c| \in [\eta, 1]$ would contradict $x \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore

$$E_{i,\ell} = \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, +\infty)} E_{i,\ell,\rho}$$

and it suffice to prove that, for a fixed $\rho > 0$, the set $E_{i,\ell,\rho}$ can be covered with a countable union of Lipschitz graphs. In order to do so, we claim that, for every $x \in E_{i,\ell,\rho}$, there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$E_{i,\ell,\rho} \cap B_r(x) \setminus C(x, D_x, 3\gamma) = \{x\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let us fix $x \in E_{i,\ell,\rho}$, let μ_x be $(\alpha - n)$-homogeneous and let us assume, toward a contradiction, that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists

$$y_j \in E_{i,\ell,\rho} \cap \overline{B_{\frac{r_j}{2}}(x)} \setminus \Big(B_{\frac{r_j}{4}}(x) \cup C(x, D_x, 3\gamma) \Big), \tag{3.6}$$

for some $r_j \le \rho$ such that $r_j \downarrow 0$. This in particular implies the existence of constants c_j with $|c_j| \in \left[\frac{1}{i}, 1\right]$ such that

$$d(c_j \mu_{y_j}, \mu_{y_j, r_j}) < \varepsilon \qquad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.7}$$

Up to selecting a non relabeled subsequence and without loss of generality, we can assume $c_j > 0$ and that $\mu_{x,r_j} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} c\mu_x$ for some $c \in \left[\frac{1}{i}, 1\right]$. We now analyze the weak*-limit (up to a suitable subsequence) of the two sequences of measures in (3.7).

- The first component $c_j \mu_{y_j}$ of (3.7) satisfies the homogeneity of Lemma 3.2 with $c_j \in \left[\frac{1}{i}, 1\right]$, $\alpha(y_j) \le i$ and $|\mu_{y_j}|\big(B_1(0)\big) = 1$; therefore the sequence of measures μ_{y_j} is weakly relatively compact and converges, up to a subsequence, to a Radon measure ν, which, by the same arguments in the proof of Lemma 3.4, is $(\alpha' - n)$-homogeneous for some $\alpha' \le i$, its invariant subspace V has dimension k and

$$|\nu|\big(B_1(0)\big) \le 1, \qquad d(t\nu, \omega) > \frac{1}{i} \quad \forall |t| \in \left[\frac{1}{i}, 1\right], \ \forall \omega \in \mathrm{Hom}^i_{k+1}\,. \tag{3.8}$$

  Moreover

$$D_{\mu_{y_j}} \subset \overline{C(0, V_\ell, \gamma)} \subset \overline{C(0, D_x, 2\gamma)} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \implies V \subset \overline{C(0, D_x, 2\gamma)}.$$

- The second component μ_{y_j, r_j} of (3.7) satisfies

$$\mu_{y_j, r_j} = \frac{|\mu|\big(B_{r_j}(x)\big)}{|\mu|\big(B_{r_j}(y_j)\big)} \left(\tau_{\frac{y_j - x}{r_j}, 1}\right)_{\#} \mu_{x, r_j}. \tag{3.9}$$

  Since $B_{\frac{r_j}{2}}(x) \subset B_{r_j}(y_j)$, we have

$$\frac{|\mu|\big(B_{r_j}(x)\big)}{|\mu|\big(B_{r_j}(y_j)\big)} \le \frac{|\mu|\big(B_{r_j}(x)\big)}{|\mu|\big(B_{\frac{r_j}{2}}(x)\big)},$$

  where, by (3.4), it holds

$$\limsup_{j \to +\infty} \frac{|\mu|\big(B_{r_j}(x)\big)}{|\mu|\big(B_{\frac{r_j}{2}}(x)\big)} \le i \cdot 2^\alpha.$$

  Taking into account also (3.6), up to a subsequence we thus have

$$\frac{|\mu|\big(B_{r_j}(x)\big)}{|\mu|\big(B_{r_j}(y_j)\big)} \to q \le i \cdot 2^\alpha, \qquad \frac{y_j - x}{r_j} \to y \in \overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} \setminus \big(B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0) \cup C(0, D_x, 3\gamma)\big).$$

  Therefore, (3.9) and the convergence $\mu_{x,r_j} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} c\mu_x$ yield

$$\mu_{y_j, r_j} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} cq(\tau_{y,1})_\# \mu_x.$$

Passing to the limit in (3.7), we have

$$d\big(\nu, cq(\tau_{y,1})_\# \mu_x\big) \le \varepsilon. \tag{3.10}$$

We now observe that, by (3.8), ν satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.4 with $\beta = i$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{i}$, whereas the measure $\sigma := cq(\tau_{y,1})_\# \mu_x$ satisfies $|\sigma|\big(B_1(0)\big) \le i \cdot 2^\alpha$ and it is $(\alpha - n)$-homogeneous with respect to $-y$, because

$$(\tau_{-y,\lambda})_\# \sigma = c(\tau_{0,\lambda})_\# \mu_x = c\lambda^\alpha \mu_x = \lambda^\alpha (\tau_{-y,1})_\# \sigma.$$

We moreover point out that, since $y \notin C(0, D_x, 3\gamma)$ while $V \subset \overline{C(0, D_x, 2\gamma)}$, it holds $y \notin C(0, V, \gamma)$. Hence σ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.4 as well; however its conclusion is in contradiction with (3.10).

This proves the existence of r such that (3.5) holds true and [Mat95, Lemma 15.13] (see also the observation below its proof) implies that $E_{i,\ell,\rho}$ can be covered by a countable union of Lipschitz graphs.

From (3.5) we also infer that, for $\mathcal{H}^k$-a.e. $x \in E_{i,\ell,\rho}$, the approximate tangent plane $T_x E_{i,\ell,\rho}$ is contained in $\overline{C(0, D_x, 3\gamma)}$. Since γ can be chosen arbitrarily small, we have

$$T_x E_{i,\ell,\rho} = D_x \qquad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^k\text{-a.e. } x \in E_{i,\ell,\rho}.$$

By standard arguments it holds $T_x E_{i,\ell,\rho} = T_x \mathcal{S}^k$ for $\mathcal{H}^k$-a.e. $x \in E_{i,\ell,\rho}$, which proves the last assertion of the statement. □

We now prove Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. We first of all observe that, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the hypotheses of the statement, it holds

$$\sup_{r\in(0,1]} \frac{|\mu|\big(B_r(x)\big)}{r^k} =: s(x) < +\infty,$$

otherwise there would be a sequence $r_j \to 0$ for which the above ratio is unbounded, contradicting the existence of a converging subsequence of $r_j^{-k}(\tau_{x,r_j})_\#\mu$. Since this happens $|\mu|$-a.e., we have

$$|\mu| = |\mu| \llcorner \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$$

and $\mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ is k-rectifiable by Theorem 1.1. We now show that $|\mu| \ll \mathcal{H}^k \llcorner E$. Indeed, let us consider $A \subset \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ with $\mathcal{H}^k(A) = 0$. Then

$$A = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} A_i, \qquad A_i := \{x \in A \colon s(x) \le i\}$$

and let us fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathcal{H}^k(A_i) = 0$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a countable covering $\{B_{r_\ell}(x_\ell)\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}$ of A_i such that[2]

$$\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} r_\ell^k < \varepsilon \qquad \text{and} \qquad x_\ell \in A_i \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus we have

$$|\mu|(A_i) \le \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} |\mu|\big(B_{r_\ell}(x_\ell)\big) \le i \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} r_\ell^k < i \cdot \varepsilon.$$

The arbitrariness of ε implies $|\mu|(A_i) = 0$. Since this is true for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we infer $|\mu|(A) = 0$, hence $|\mu| \ll \mathcal{H}^k \llcorner \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$. Recalling that $|\mu|$ is a Radon measure and $\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ is σ-finite, the Radon-Nikodym Theorem implies the existence of a function $\theta \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{S}^k_\mu, \mathcal{H}^k)$ such that $\mu = \theta \mathcal{H}^k \llcorner \mathcal{S}^k_\mu$. □

We conclude with the proof of Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. From Theorem 1.1 we know that $\mathcal{S}^k_\mu$ is contained in the union of a family $\{M_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of k-dimensional Lipschitz graphs. Since $|\mu|$-a.e. $x \in M_i$ is a point of density 1 for M_i with respect to $|\mu|$, the blow-ups of μ and $\mu \llcorner M_i$ at x are the same, therefore

$$\mu_x = (\mu \llcorner M_i)_x \qquad \text{for } |\mu|\text{-a.e. } x \in M_i.$$

For such points, this implies that $\operatorname{supp}\mu_x$ is contained in a closed cone C around a k-dimensional linear subspace V; we observe that C does not contain any half-space of dimension larger than k. On the other hand, from Lemma 3.2 we infer the implication

$$y \in \operatorname{supp}\mu_x \implies \{\lambda y + z \colon \lambda > 0, z \in D_x\} \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\mu_x.$$

Then it must hold either $\mu_x = 0$ or $\operatorname{supp}\mu_x = D_x$. The invariance of μ_x on D_x implies the conclusion. □

Conflicts of interest and data

The author declares that he has no competing interests and that the manuscript does not have any associated data.

References

[DeL08] C. De Lellis. *Rectifiable sets, densities and tangent measures*. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008, pp. vi+127. ISBN: 978-3-03719-044-9.

[Del21] G. Del Nin. "Rectifiability of the jump set of locally integrable functions". In: *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 22.3 (2021), pp. 1233–1240. ISSN: 0391-173X,2036-2145.

[Mat05] P. Mattila. "Measures with unique tangent measures in metric groups". In: *Math. Scand.* 97.2 (2005), pp. 298–308. ISSN: 0025-5521,1903-1807.

[Mat95] P. Mattila. *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces*. Vol. 44. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Fractals and rectifiability. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. xii+343. ISBN: 0-521-46576-1; 0-521-65595-1.

[Pre87] D. Preiss. "Geometry of measures in $\mathbf{R}^n$: distribution, rectifiability, and densities". In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 125.3 (1987), pp. 537–643. ISSN: 0003-486X,1939-8980.

[2] According to the definition of $\mathcal{H}^k$, the balls which cover A are not necessarily centered on A, but $x \in A_i \cap B_r(y)$ implies $B_r(y) \subset B_{2r}(x)$.

[Sim83] L. Simon. *Lectures on geometric measure theory*. Vol. 3. Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University. Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra, 1983, pp. vii+272. isbn: 0-86784-429-9.

L. De Masi: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Trento, Via Sommarive 14, 38123 Povo, Trento, Italy
Email address: luigi.demasi@unitn.it