### 4.2 Hypersurfaces with Nonnegative Mean Curvature

We shall now consider the formation of type II singularities for hypersurfaces which are mean convex, that is, with nonnegative mean curvature everywhere.

An important result for the analysis of singularities of mean convex hypersurfaces is the following estimate on the elementary symmetric polynomials of the curvatures  $S_k$  proved in [75], which holds in general for any mean curvature flow.

**Theorem 4.2.1** (Huisken–Sinestrari [75]). Let  $\varphi : M \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be the mean curvature flow of a compact, mean convex, immersed hypersurface, for  $n \ge 2$ . Then, for any  $\eta > 0$  there exists a positive constant  $C = C(\eta, \varphi_0)$  such that  $S_k \ge -\eta H^k - C$  for any k = 2, ..., n at every point of M and  $t \in [0, T)$ .

This estimate easily implies the following one, which has a more immediate interpretation.

**Corollary 4.2.2.** Under the same hypotheses of the previous theorem, for any  $\eta > 0$  there exists a positive constant  $C = C(\eta, \varphi_0)$  such that  $\lambda^{\min} \ge -\eta H - C$  at every point of M and  $t \in [0, T)$ , where  $\lambda^{\min}$  is the smallest eigenvalue of the second fundamental form.

The interest in the above estimates lies in the fact that  $\eta$  can be chosen arbitrarily small and C is a constant not depending on the curvatures and time. Thus, roughly speaking, we see that the negative curvatures become negligible with respect to the others when the singular time is reached, as H is going to  $+\infty$ . This implies that the second fundamental form of the hypersurface becomes asymptotically nonnegative definite at a singularity.

Let us observe that these results cannot be valid for general hypersurfaces, even in low dimension. Indeed, Angenent's homothetically shrinking torus in [17] has a behavior which is incompatible with these convexity estimates.

**Proposition 4.2.3.** If  $n \ge 2$  and the initial hypersurface is mean convex, the limit flow  $M_s^{\infty}$  obtained by the Hamilton's procedure described in the previous section, consists of convex hypersurfaces.

*Proof.* First, since we are taking the limit of hypersurfaces with  $H \ge 0$  the limit also is mean convex. By the strong maximum principle applied to the evolution equation for the mean curvature of the limit flow  $\partial_t H_{\infty} = \Delta H_{\infty} + H_{\infty} |A_{\infty}|^2$ , we actually have  $H_{\infty}(p,t) > 0$  for every point in space and time, otherwise  $H_{\infty}$  is identically zero and also  $A_{\infty}$  would be identically zero (by Proposition 2.4.1 and the pinching estimates in Corollary 2.4.3, which are invariant by rescaling and pass to the limit), in contradiction with the fact that the limit flow is nonflat.

Fixing any  $\eta > 0$  and a pair (p, s) with  $p \in M_s^{\infty}$ , if  $Q_k \to +\infty$  is the rescaling factor for the flow  $\varphi_k$  and  $q_k \in M$  is such that  $p_k = \varphi_k(q_k, s)$  converges to p as  $k \to \infty$ , we have  $H_k(q_k, s) = H(q_k, s/Q_k^2 + t_k)/Q_k \to H_{\infty}(p, t) > 0$  hence  $H(q_k, s/Q_k^2 + t_k) \to +\infty$ . Now, since by Corollary 4.2.2 there exists a constant C > 0 such that  $\lambda^{\min} \ge -\eta H - C$  for the original flow  $\varphi$  and  $H > \varepsilon$  at least for every  $t > \delta > 0$ , we have  $\lambda^{\min}/H \ge -\eta - C/H$  everywhere. When we rescale the hypersurfaces we get

$$\frac{\lambda_k^{\min}(q_k, s)}{\mathbf{H}_k(q_k, s)} = \frac{\lambda^{\min}(q_k, s/Q_k^2 + t_k)}{\mathbf{H}(q_k, s/Q_k^2 + t_k)} \ge -\eta - \frac{C}{\mathbf{H}(q_k, s/Q_k^2 + t_k)}$$

and sending  $k \to \infty$  we conclude  $\lambda_{\infty}^{\min}(p, s)/H_{\infty}(p, s) \ge -\eta$ .

Since  $\eta > 0$  was arbitrary and this argument holds for every pair (p, s) with  $p \in M_s^{\infty}$ , the second fundamental form is nonnegative definite on the whole limit flow, hence all the hypersurfaces are convex.

*Remark* 4.2.4. Instead of using Corollary 4.2.2, one can apply the same argument directly to the estimates of Theorem 4.2.1 obtaining that all the elementary symmetric polynomials of the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form are nonnegative at every point in space and time for the limit flow. By relations (2.5.1) the conclusion follows.

*Remark* 4.2.5. This result also holds if the Hamilton's procedure is applied type I singularities (see Exercise 4.1.5).

*Remark* 4.2.6. This proposition (in a slightly stronger form) has also been obtained by White [126] by completely different techniques. His approach also works for the subsequent singularities of "weak" mean curvature flows which continue after the first singular time.

The hypersurfaces of the limit flow are convex, but in general not strictly convex. However, if they are not strictly convex then they necessarily split as the product of a flat factor with a strictly convex one, as shown by the following result.

**Proposition 4.2.7** (Theorem 4.1 in [75]). If any of the convex hypersurfaces of the limit flow  $M_s^{\infty}$  is not strictly convex, then (up to a rigid motion)  $M_s^{\infty} = N_s^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ , where  $1 \le m \le n-1$  and  $N_s^m$  is a family of strictly convex, m-dimensional, complete hypersurfaces moving by mean curvature in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is based on Hamilton's strong maximum principle for tensors in [58, Section 8] (see Appendix C, Theorem C.1.3), which holds also if the manifold is not compact (as it is in our case).

If  $m(s) \in \mathbb{N}$  is the minimal rank of  $A_{\infty}$  on  $M_s^{\infty}$ , arguing as in Remark 2.5.6 this integer valued function is nondecreasing. Letting m < n be its global minimum which is realized at some point of  $M_{s_0}^{\infty}$ , it follows that m(s) = m for every  $s \leq s_0$ . Again by the argument in Remark 2.5.6, for every  $s \leq s_0$  the hypersurface  $M_s^{\infty}$  must contain an (n-m)-dimensional affine subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  which is invariant under parallel transport and in time. Clearly, such subspace is the same for all  $s \leq s_0$ .

Thus, the limit flow for  $s \in (-\infty, s_0]$  splits as a product of an (n - m)-dimensional flat part and a family of strictly convex *m*-dimensional hypersurfaces  $N_s^m \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  evolving by mean curvature. By uniqueness of the flow as  $A_\infty$  is bounded (see the discussion in Remark 1.5.4), this must hold also for every  $s > s_0$ .

**Exercise 4.2.8.** For a type I singularity of the mean curvature flow of a mean convex, *embedded* initial hypersurface the Hamilton's procedure (see Exercise 4.1.5) gives a flow  $M_s^{\infty}$  which is of the form  $\mathbb{S}_s^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ , for some  $1 \le m \le n$  where  $\mathbb{S}_s^m$  is an *m*-dimensional shrinking sphere.

In the case of the evolution of mean convex hypersurfaces in a time interval [0, T), by Proposition 2.4.2 and Corollary 2.4.3, the mean curvature H and |A| are comparable quantities, that is, there exists a constant  $\alpha$ , independent of time such that  $\alpha |A| \leq H \leq \sqrt{n}|A|$  for  $t \in [\delta, T)$ . This implies that we can modify Hamilton's blow up procedure, substituting H<sup>2</sup> in place of  $|A|^2$  in equation (4.1.1), with the same estimates on the second fundamental form and its covariant derivatives.

We then still get an eternal smooth limit flow, complete with bounded curvature and its covariant derivatives, with the only difference that this time it is the mean curvature H which gets a global maximum equal to one at time zero. This will be crucial to continue the analysis in the next sections.

Analogously, it is easy to see that the conclusions of Propositions 4.2.3 and 4.2.7 are not affected by this modification so also in this case the limit flow consists of convex hypersurfaces. We call this limit flow Hamilton's *modified* blow up limit.

*Remark* 4.2.9. Notice that for curves in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the two procedures coincide as |A| = |H| = |k|, where *k* is the usual curvature of a curve in the plane.

As the argument leading to Proposition 4.2.3 does not work in the one–dimensional case of curves, we deal with this latter separately in the next section.

# 4.3 The Special Case of Curves

Again, the case of a closed curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is special.

We suppose to deal with a generic initial closed curve, smoothly immersed in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and moving by mean curvature  $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^2$  where at time *T* we have a type II singularity. Setting  $\xi$  and *k* to be respectively the arclength and the curvature of  $\gamma_t$ , we have the evolution

equation  $\partial_t k = k_{\xi\xi} + k^3$ , then we define the function  $z(t) = \#\{p \in \gamma_t | k(p) = 0\}$  "counting" the number of points on  $\gamma_t$  such that k = 0.

We need the following result of Angenent in [16, Proposition 1.2] and [15, Section 2] (see [13] for the proof).

**Proposition 4.3.1.** *If we have a mean curvature flow of a (possibly unbounded) curve in*  $\mathbb{R}^2$  *which is not a line, in an open interval of time, at every fixed time the points where* k *is zero are isolated in space. In particular, this implies that for a closed curve, the function* z *is finite at every time.* 

The function z is nonincreasing during the flow, hence if at some time it is finite, it remains finite. Finally, if at some point  $p \in \gamma_t$  we have k(p) = 0 and  $k_{\xi}(p) = 0$  then the zero point p for k immediately vanishes. To be precise, this means that there exists a small space interval I around p and a small r > tsuch that k is never zero in  $I \times (t, r)$ .

We only mention that the proof is based on the application of the maximum principle to the above evolution equation for the curvature.

By this proposition, in our case we can define  $I_t$  to be the finite family of open intervals on  $\gamma_t$  where  $k \neq 0$  and the following computation is justified,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\gamma_t} |k| d\xi = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_t} \int_I \left[ (\operatorname{sign} k) (k_{\xi\xi} + k^3) - |k|^3 \right] d\xi$$
$$= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_t} \int_I (\operatorname{sign} k) k_{\xi\xi} d\xi$$
$$= -2 \sum_{\{p \in \gamma_t \mid k(p) = 0\}} |k_{\xi}(p)|.$$

Hence, the integral  $\int_{\gamma_t} |k| d\xi$ , which is positive and finite at every time by compactness, is not increasing during the flow so it converges to some value  $L \ge 0$  as  $t \to T$ , moreover it is scaling invariant.

Then we have, for every  $t_1 < t_2$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma_{t_1}} |k| \, d\xi - \int_{\gamma_{t_2}} |k| \, d\xi = 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sum_{\{p \in \gamma_t \mid k(p) = 0\}} |k_{\xi}(p)| \, dt \, .$$

If now we apply the Hamilton's procedure, calling  $\gamma_s^n$  the rescaled curves at step n with curvatures  $k_n$  and denoting by  $K_n \to +\infty$  the rescaling factor, we have for every interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ 

$$2\int_{a}^{b} \sum_{\{p \in \gamma_{s}^{n} \mid k_{n}(p)=0\}} |\partial_{\xi}k_{n}| \, ds = \int_{\gamma_{a}^{n}} |k_{n}| \, d\xi - \int_{\gamma_{b}^{n}} |k_{n}| \, d\xi \qquad (4.3.1)$$
$$= \int_{\gamma_{\frac{a}{K_{n}}+t_{n}}} |k| \, d\xi - \int_{\gamma_{\frac{b}{K_{n}}+t_{n}}} |k| \, d\xi \,,$$

since  $\int_{\gamma_t} |k| d\xi$  is scaling invariant and where, by simplicity, we used  $\xi$  also for the arclength of the rescaled curves.

It is easy to see that the integral  $\int_a^b \sum_{\{p \in \gamma_s \mid k(p)=0\}} |k_{\xi}| ds$  is lower semicontinuous under the smooth local convergence of curves, hence

$$\int_{a}^{b} \sum_{\{p \in \gamma_{s}^{\infty} \mid k_{\infty}(p)=0\}} |\partial_{\xi}k_{\infty}| \, ds \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} \sum_{\{p \in \gamma_{s}^{n} \mid k_{n}(p)=0\}} |\partial_{\xi}k_{n}| \, ds$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\gamma_{\frac{a}{K_{n}}+t_{n}}} |k| \, d\xi - \int_{\gamma_{\frac{b}{K_{n}}+t_{n}}} |k| \, d\xi \right)$$
$$= 0$$

for the limit flow  $\gamma_s^{\infty}$ , as both  $\frac{a}{K_n} + t_n$  and  $\frac{b}{K_n} + t_n$  converge to *T*, hence both integrals in equation (4.3.1) converge to *L*. As *a* and *b* were arbitrary, we conclude for almost every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\sum_{p \in \gamma_s^{\infty} \mid k_{\infty}(p) = 0} \left| \partial_{\xi} k_{\infty}(p) \right| = 0$$

that is,  $\partial_{\xi}k_{\infty}$  is zero at every point in space and time where  $k_{\infty}$  is zero. Again by means of Proposition 4.3.1, fixing  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and choosing any small r > s, the zero points of the curvature vanish for the curve  $\gamma_r^{\infty}$ , hence  $k_{\infty} > 0$  on  $\gamma_r^{\infty}$  for every r > s, as it is a condition which is preserved under the flow. Since we can draw this conclusion for almost every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , at every time the flow  $\gamma_s^{\infty}$  consists of curves such that  $k_{\infty}$  is never zero. Hence, we have the following one–dimensional analogue of Proposition 4.2.3.

**Proposition 4.3.2.** The limit flow  $\gamma_s^{\infty}$  obtained by the Hamilton's procedure at a type II singularity of the evolution by curvature of any initial closed curve, consists of curves such that  $k_{\infty}$  is never zero, in particular if the initial curve was embedded all such curves are strictly convex.

*Remark* 4.3.3. We underline that we did not assume that the initial curve was embedded. The above conclusion holds for the flow of any immersed closed curve in the plane (like the results of the previous section holding for general immersed–only hypersurfaces).

## 4.4 Hamilton's Harnack Estimate for Mean Curvature Flow

We have seen in the previous two sections that if a closed curve or a compact hypersurface with  $H \ge 0$  develops a type II singularity then the limit of the rescaled flows by the "modified" Hamilton's procedure is an eternal mean curvature flow of convex, complete, hypersurfaces such that H takes its maximum in space and time at some point. We want now to see that this implies that such limit flow is translating, this is obtained by means of the following two deep results of Hamilton in [64].

**Theorem 4.4.1** (Harnack Estimate for Mean Curvature Flow). Let  $\varphi : M \times (T_0, T) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be the mean curvature flow of a complete, convex hypersurface with bounded second fundamental form at every time.

Let X be a time dependent, smooth tangent vector field on M. Then the following inequality holds,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{H}}{2(t - T_0)} + 2\langle \nabla \mathbf{H} \, | \, X \rangle + h_{ij} X^i X^j \ge 0$$

for every  $t \in (T_0, T)$ .

**Theorem 4.4.2.** Let  $\varphi : M \times (-\infty, T) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be an ancient mean curvature flow of a complete, strictly convex hypersurface with bounded second fundamental form at every time and such that H takes its maximum in space and time. Then,  $\varphi$  is a translating flow with some constant velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , that is, it satisfies  $H = \langle v | v \rangle$  at every point in space and time.

The proofs of these two theorems involve some smart and heavy computations with a strong use of the maximum principle, we show the complete proof of Theorem 4.4.1 only in the one-dimensional, compact case and of Theorem 4.4.2 only in the one-dimensional case, referring the reader to the original paper [64] (see also [59]).

*Proof of Theorem* 4.4.1 – *One–Dimensional Compact Case.* As the evolving curves are compact, the curvature k and all its derivatives are bounded in  $(C, T - \varepsilon)$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $C \in (T_0, T - \varepsilon)$ . Moreover, by Proposition 2.4.1, in the same interval,  $k > k_0 > 0$  for some positive constant  $k_0$ . Since any tangent vector field X can be written as  $X = \lambda \tau$  for some function  $\lambda : \mathbb{S}^1 \times (T_0, T) \to \mathbb{R}$ , we define the Hamilton's quadratic

$$Z(\lambda) = \partial_t k + \frac{k}{2(t-C)} + 2\lambda k_s + k\lambda^2 = k_{ss} + k^3 + \frac{k}{2(t-C)} + 2\lambda k_s + k\lambda^2$$

which is clearly bounded from below by

$$Z = k_{ss} + k^3 - k_s^2 / k + \frac{k}{2(t-C)}.$$

We also define

$$W = k_{ss} + k^3 - k_s^2/k$$

and we start computing the evolution equation for this latter quantity by means of the evolution equations in Remark 2.3.2,

$$\begin{split} (\partial_t - \partial_{ss})W &= \partial_t k_{ss} - \frac{2k_s \partial_t k_s}{k} + \frac{k_s^2 k_t}{k^2} + 3k^2 k_t - k_{ssss} + \frac{2k_s k_{sss}}{k} + \frac{2k_{ss}^2}{k} \\ &\quad - \frac{5k_s^2 k_{ss}}{k^2} + \frac{2k_s^4}{k^3} - 6kk_s^2 - 3k^2 k_{ss} \\ &= \partial_s \partial_t k_s + k^2 k_{ss} - \frac{2k_s \partial_s k_t}{k} - 2kk_s^2 + \frac{k_s^2 k_{ss}}{k^2} + kk_s^2 + 3k^2 k_{ss} + 3k^5 \\ &\quad - k_{ssss} + \frac{2k_s k_{sss}}{k} + \frac{2k_{ss}^2}{k} - \frac{5k_s^2 k_{ss}}{k^2} + \frac{2k_s^4}{k^3} - 6kk_s^2 - 3k^2 k_{ss} \\ &= \partial_{ss}(k_{ss} + k^3) + 2k^2 k_{ss} - 5kk_s^2 - \frac{2k_s \partial_s(k_{ss} + k^3)}{k} \\ &\quad + \frac{k_s^2 k_{ss}}{k^2} + 3k^5 - k_{ssss} + \frac{2k_s k_{sss}}{k} + \frac{2k_{ss}^2}{k} - \frac{5k_s^2 k_{ss}}{k^2} + \frac{2k_s^4}{k^3} \\ &= k_{ssss} + 5k^2 k_{ss} - 5kk_s^2 - \frac{2k_s k_{sss}}{k} \\ &\quad - \frac{4k_s^2 k_{ss}}{k^2} + 3k^5 - k_{ssss} + \frac{2k_s k_{sss}}{k} + \frac{2k_{ss}^2}{k} + \frac{2k_s^4}{k^3} \\ &= -5kk_s^2 + 3k^5 - k_{ssss} + \frac{2k_s k_{sss}}{k} - \frac{4k_s^2 k_{ss}}{k^2} - \frac{4k_s^2 k_{ss}}{k^2} + \frac{2k_s^4}{k^3} \\ &= -5kk_s^2 + 3k^5 + \frac{2k_s^4}{k^3} + 5k^2 k_{ss} + \frac{2k_s^2 k_{ss}}{k} - \frac{4k_s^2 k_{ss}}{k^2} . \end{split}$$

As  $k_{ss} = (W + k_s^2/k - k^3)$ , substituting we get

$$(\partial_t - \partial_{ss})W = -5kk_s^2 + 3k^5 + \frac{2k_s^4}{k^3}$$

$$+ 5k^2(W + k_s^2/k - k^3)$$

$$+ \frac{2(W + k_s^2/k - k^3)^2}{k} - \frac{4k_s^2(W + k_s^2/k - k^3)}{k^2}$$

$$= -5kk_s^2 + 3k^5 + \frac{2k_s^4}{k^3}$$

$$+ 5k^2W + 5kk_s^2 - 5k^5$$

$$+ \frac{2W^2}{k} + \frac{2k_s^4}{k^3} + 2k^5 + \frac{4Wk_s^2}{k^2} - 4Wk^2 - 4kk_s^2$$

$$- \frac{4k_s^2(W + k_s^2/k - k^3)}{k^2}$$

$$= \frac{2W^2}{k} + Wk^2 .$$

$$(4.4.1)$$

We notice that, since  $k > k_0 > 0$ , by the maximum principle if W is positive at some time it remains positive.

As Z = W + k/(2(t - C)), we then get

$$\begin{split} (\partial_t - \partial_{ss})Z &= (\partial_t - \partial_{ss})W + \frac{k^3}{2(t-C)} - \frac{k}{2(t-C)^2} \\ &= \frac{2W^2}{k} + Wk^2 + \frac{k^3}{2(t-C)} - \frac{k}{2(t-C)^2} \\ &= \frac{2(Z-k/(2(t-C)))^2 + k^3(Z-k/(2(t-C)))}{k} + \frac{k^3}{2(t-C)} - \frac{k}{2(t-C)^2} \\ &= \frac{2Z^2 + k^2/(2(t-C)^2) - 2Zk/(t-C)}{k} + \frac{k^3Z - k^4/(2(t-C))}{k} \\ &+ \frac{k^3}{2(t-C)} - \frac{k}{2(t-C)^2} \\ &= \frac{2Z^2}{k} - \frac{2Z}{t-C} + k^2Z \,. \end{split}$$

As  $k > k_0 > 0$  the term k/(2(t - C)) diverges as  $t \to C^+$  and W is bounded from below, then we have that Z goes uniformly to  $+\infty$  as  $t \to C^+$ . Hence, Z is positive in  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times (C, C + \delta)$  for some  $\delta > 0$  and by the maximum principle it cannot get zero on  $\gamma_t$  for every  $t \in (C, T - \varepsilon)$ . As  $Z(\lambda) \ge Z > 0$  for every function  $\lambda : M \times (T_0, T) \to \mathbb{R}$ , sending  $\varepsilon \to 0$  and  $C \to T_0$  we have the thesis of the theorem.

*Remark* 4.4.3. When the curves  $\gamma_t$  are not compact there are two nontrivial technical points to take care of: the possible nonexistence of the minimum in space of  $Z(\cdot, t)$  and the fact that it is not granted that  $\lim_{t\to C^+} \inf_{\gamma_t} Z(\cdot, t) = +\infty$ , as k could go to zero at infinity (possibly, a value  $k_0 > 0$  such that  $k > k_0$  uniformly does not exist). This requires a perturbation of Z in space with a function growing enough at infinity and the addition to Z of another function assuring that the resulting term uniformly diverges as  $t \to C^+$  (see [59, 64] for the details).

*Remark* 4.4.4. The higher complexity of the proof in dimension larger than one is essentially due to the fact that the minimum of the quadratic

$$Z(X) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{H}}{2(t-C)} + 2\langle \nabla \mathbf{H} \,|\, X \rangle + h_{ij} X^i X^j \,,$$

which is given by

$$Z = \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{H}}{2(t-C)} - (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{pq} \nabla_p \mathbf{H} \nabla_q \mathbf{H},$$

is clearly more difficult to deal with than in the one–dimensional case (here  $(A^{-1})^{pq}$  denotes the *inverse matrix* of the second fundamental form  $h_{ij}$ , that is  $(A^{-1})^{pq}h_{qr} = \delta_r^p$ ). Anyway, after a quite long computation one can see that

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} - \Delta Z = 2g^{ij} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{kl} J_{ik} J_{jl} + \left( |\mathbf{A}|^2 - \frac{2}{t-C} \right) Z \ge \left( |\mathbf{A}|^2 - \frac{2}{t-C} \right) Z$$

where

$$J_{ik} = \nabla_{ik}^2 \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}h_{ik}^2 - (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{pq} \nabla_p \mathbf{H} \nabla_q h_{ik} + \frac{h_{ik}}{2(t-C)}$$

see [27, Chapter 15].

Actually, another possibility is to keep the vector field X generic and to compute the evolution equation for Z(X), like in the original proof of Hamilton.

*Proof of Theorem* 4.4.2 – *One–Dimensional Case.* Suppose that we have an ancient curvature flow  $\gamma_t$  of complete, connected curves in the plane with k > 0. By Theorem 4.4.1 we have

$$Z = \partial_t k - k_s^2 / k + k / (t - T_0) \ge 0$$

at every point and for every  $t, T_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $T_0 < t < T$ . Sending  $T_0 \to -\infty$  we get

$$W = \partial_t k - k_s^2/k \ge 0$$

As we computed in equation (4.4.1) that

$$(\partial_t - \partial_{ss})W = \frac{2W^2}{k} + Wk^2,$$

if *W* is zero at some point in space and time, it must be zero everywhere by the strong maximum principle. By hypothesis *k* takes a maximum at some point in space and time, hence at such point  $k_t = k_s = 0$  which implies W = 0.

Thus,  $k_t = k_s^2/k$  for all the curves of the flow, or equivalently  $k_{ss} + k^3 - k_s^2/k = 0$ . If we set  $v = -(k_s/k)\tau + k\nu$  as a vector field in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  along  $\gamma_t$ , obviously  $\langle v | \nu \rangle = k$ , then

$$\partial_s v = -(k_{ss}/k - k_s^2/k^2)\tau - (k_s/k)k\nu + k_s\nu - k^2\tau$$
$$= -(-k^2 + k_s^2/k^2 - k_s^2/k^2)\tau - k^2\tau = 0$$

and

$$\begin{split} \partial_t v &= (-k_{ts}/k + k_s k_t/k^2 - kk_s)\tau + (-k_s^2/k + k_t)\nu \\ &= (-k_{st}/k - kk_s + k_s^3/k^3 - kk_s)\tau \\ &= (-[\partial_s(k_s^2/k)]/k + k_s^3/k^3 - 2kk_s)\tau \\ &= (-2k_s k_{ss}/k^2 + 2k_s^2/k^3 - 2kk_s)\tau \\ &= -2\frac{k_s}{k}(k_{ss} - k_s^2/k + k^3)\tau = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

Hence, as the curves of the flow are connected, v is a vector field along  $\gamma_t$  constant in space and time.

Since  $k = \langle v | \nu \rangle$ , we have that the curves  $\gamma_t$  move by translation under the mean curvature flow.

Then, putting together Propositions 4.2.3, 4.2.7, 4.3.2 and Theorem 4.4.2, we have the following results.

**Theorem 4.4.5.** The blow up limit flow obtained by the Hamilton's modified procedure at a type II singularity of the motion of a initial hypersurface with  $H \ge 0$  is a translating mean curvature flow of complete, nonflat, convex hypersurfaces with bounded curvature and its covariant derivatives, that is, it satisfies  $H = \langle v | v \rangle$  at every point in space and time.

If any of the convex hypersurfaces of the limit flow is not strictly convex, then the limit flow splits as the product of an *m*-dimensional strictly convex, translating flow as above and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.6.** The blow up limit flow obtained by the Hamilton's procedure at a type II singularity of the motion of a closed curve in the plane is a translating curvature flow of complete, nonflat curves with bounded curvature and its covariant derivatives. Moreover, for all the curves k > 0. Hence, this flow is given (up to rigid motions) by the grim reaper (see Section 1.4).

*Remark* 4.4.7. For curves in the plane, possibly with self–intersections, such that the initial curvature is never zero, this result was obtained via a different method by Angenent [15] (see also [3]), studying directly the parabolic equation satisfied by the curvature function.

In [126], White was able to exclude the possibility of getting as a blow up limit the product of a grim reaper with  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , when  $n \ge 2$ .

In dimension two, by this result of White and the analysis of Wang [123], the only possible blow up limit flow is given (up to a rigid motion) by the unique rotationally symmetric, translating hypersurface which is the graph of an entire, strictly convex function described by the ODE (1.4.1), in Section 1.4.

In general, without assuming the condition  $H \ge 0$ , one could conjecture that blow up limits like the minimal catenoid surface M in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by

$$\Omega = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \mid \cosh |y| = |x| \right\}$$

cannot appear. See White [126], Ecker [38] for more details and the recent paper by Sheng and Wang [107].

## 4.5 Embedded Closed Curves in the Plane

In the special case of the evolution of an embedded closed curve in the plane, it is possible to exclude at all the type II singularities. This, together with the case of convex, compact, hypersurfaces (as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 3.4.11) are the only known cases in which this can be done in general.

By Theorem 4.4.6 and embeddedness, any blow up limit must a unit multiplicity grim reaper. We apply now a very geometric argument by Huisken in [72] in order to exclude also such possibility (see also [65] for another similar quantity).

Given the smooth flow  $\gamma_t$  of an initial embedded closed curve in some interval [0, T), we know that the curve stays embedded during the flow so we can see every  $\gamma_t$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . At every time  $t \in [0, T)$ , for every pair of points p and q in  $\gamma_t$  we define  $d_t(p, q)$  to be the *geodesic* distance in  $\gamma_t$  of p and q, |p - q| the standard distance in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $L_t$  the length of  $\gamma_t$ . We consider the function  $\Phi_t : \gamma_t \times \gamma_t \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$\Phi_t(p,q) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi |p-q|}{L_t} / \sin \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ 1 & \text{if } p = q, \end{cases}$$

which is a perturbation of the quotient between the extrinsic and the intrinsic distance of a pair of points on  $\gamma_t$ .

Since  $\gamma_t$  is smooth and embedded for every time, the function  $\Phi_t$  is well defined and positive. Moreover, it is easy to check that even if  $d_t$  is not  $C^1$  at the pairs of points such that  $d_t(p,q) = L_t/2$ , the function  $\Phi_t$  is  $C^2$  in the open set  $\{p \neq q\} \subset \gamma_t \times \gamma_t$  and continuous on  $\gamma_t \times \gamma_t$ . By compactness, the following minimum there exists,

$$E(t) = \min_{p,q \in \gamma_t} \Phi_t(p,q) \,.$$

We call this quantity *Huisken's embeddedness ratio*.

Since the evolution is smooth it is easy to see that the function  $E : [0, T) \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

*Remark* 4.5.1. The quantity E can be defined also for nonembedded closed curves, but in such case E = 0, indeed its positivity is equivalent to embeddedness.

**Lemma 4.5.2** (Huisken [72]). The function E(t) is monotone increasing in every time interval where E(t) < 1.

*Proof.* We start differentiating in time  $\Phi_t(p, q)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \Phi_t(p,q) &= \frac{\pi}{L_t} \frac{\langle p-q \,|\, k(p)\nu(p) - k(q)\nu(q) \rangle}{|p-q|} \Big/ \sin \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \\ &+ \left( \frac{\pi |p-q|}{L_t^2} \int_{\gamma_t} k^2 \, ds \right) \Big/ \sin \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \\ &- \frac{\pi^2 |p-q|}{L_t^2} \cos \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \left( \frac{d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \int_{\gamma_t} k^2 \, ds - \int_q^p k^2 \, ds \right) \Big/ \sin^2 \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \\ &= \left[ \frac{\langle p-q \,|\, k(p)\nu(p) - k(q)\nu(q) \rangle}{|p-q|^2} + \frac{1}{L_t} \int_{\gamma_t} k^2 \, ds \\ &- \frac{\pi}{L_t} \cot \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \left( \frac{d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \int_{\gamma_t} k^2 \, ds - \int_q^p k^2 \, ds \right) \right] \Phi_t(p,q) \\ &= \left[ \frac{\langle p-q \,|\, k(p)\nu(p) - k(q)\nu(q) \rangle}{|p-q|^2} + \frac{1}{L_t} \left( 1 - \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \cot \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \right) \int_{\gamma_t} k^2 \, ds \\ &+ \frac{\pi}{L_t} \cot \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \int_q^p k^2 \, ds \right] \Phi_t(p,q) \end{split}$$

where *s* is the arclength and *k* the curvature of  $\gamma_t$ . It is easy to see that being the function *E* the minimum of a family of uniformly locally Lipschitz functions, it is also locally Lipschitz, hence differentiable almost everywhere. Then, to prove the statement it is enough to show that  $\frac{dE(t)}{dt} > 0$  for every time *t* such that this derivative exists. We will do that as usual, by Hamilton's trick (Lemma 2.1.3).

Let (p,q) be a minimizing pair at a differentiability time t and suppose that E(t) < 1. By the very definition of  $\Phi_t$ , it must be  $p \neq q$ .

We set  $\alpha = \pi d_t(p,q)/L_t$  and notice that  $\alpha \cot \alpha < 1$  as  $\alpha \in (0,\pi/2]$ . Moreover,  $\int_{\gamma_t} k^2 ds \ge (\int_{\gamma_t} k ds)^2/L_t \ge 4\pi^2/L_t$ . Then, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \ge \left[\frac{\langle p-q \,|\, k(p)\nu(p) - k(q)\nu(q) \rangle}{|p-q|^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{L_t^2}(1-\alpha \cot \alpha) + \frac{\pi}{L_t} \cot \alpha \int_q^p k^2 \, ds\right]E(t)$$

that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log E(t) \ge \frac{\langle p-q \,|\, k(p)\nu(p) - k(q)\nu(q) \rangle}{|p-q|^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{L_t^2}(1-\alpha \cot \alpha) + \frac{\pi}{L_t}\cot \alpha \int_q^p k^2 \, ds \,, \qquad (4.5.1)$$

for any minimizing pair (p, q).

Assume that the curve is parametrized counterclockwise by arclength and that *p* and *q* are like in Figure 4.1.

We set  $p(s) = \gamma_t(s_1 + s)$  with  $p = \gamma_t(s_1)$ , then, by minimality we have

$$0 = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \Phi_t(p(s), q) \right|_{s=0} = \frac{\pi}{L_t} \frac{\langle p - q \,|\, \tau(p) \rangle}{|p - q| \sin \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t}} - \frac{\pi |p - q|}{L_t \sin^2 \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t}} \cdot \frac{\pi \cos \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t}}{L_t}$$

where we denoted by  $\tau(p)$  the oriented unit tangent vector to  $\gamma_t$  at p. By this equality we get

$$\cos\beta(p) = \frac{\langle p-q \mid \tau(p) \rangle}{|p-q|} = \frac{\pi |p-q|}{L_t \sin\frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t}} \cos\frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} = E(t) \cos\alpha$$

where  $\beta(p) \in [0, \pi/2]$  is the angle between the vectors p - q and  $\tau(p)$ . Repeating this argument for the point q we get

$$\cos\beta(q) = -E(t)\cos\alpha$$



Figure 4.1:

where, as before,  $\beta(q)$  is the angle between q - p and  $\tau(q)$ , see Figure 4.1. Clearly, it follows that  $\beta(p) + \beta(q) = \pi$ .

Notice that if one of the intersections of the segment [p,q] with the curve is tangential, we would have  $E(t) \cos \alpha = 1$  which is impossible as we assumed that E(t) < 1. Moreover, by the relation  $\cos \beta(p) = E(t) \cos \alpha < \cos \alpha$  it follows that  $\beta(p) > \alpha$ .

We look now at the second variation of  $\Phi_t$  at the same minimizing pair of points (p, q). With the same notation, if  $p = \gamma_t(s_1)$  and  $q = \gamma_t(s_2)$  we set  $p(s) = \gamma_t(s_1 + s)$  and  $q(s) = \gamma_t(s_2 - s)$ . After a straightforward computation, one gets

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \left. \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Phi_t(p(s), q(s)) \right|_{s=0} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{L_t} \left( \frac{\langle p-q \, | \, k(p)\nu(p) - k(q)\nu(q) \rangle}{|p-q|} + \frac{4\pi^2 |p-q|}{L_t^2} \right) \Big/ \sin \frac{\pi d_t(p,q)}{L_t} \\ &= \left[ \frac{\langle p-q \, | \, k(p)\nu(p) - k(q)\nu(q) \rangle}{|p-q|^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{L_t^2} \right] E(t) \,. \end{split}$$

Hence, getting back to inequality (4.5.1) we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \log E(t) &\geq \frac{\langle p-q \,|\, k(p)\nu(p) - k(q)\nu(q) \rangle}{|p-q|^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{L_t^2} (1 - \alpha \cot \alpha) + \frac{\pi}{L_t} \cot \alpha \int_q^p k^2 \, ds \\ &\geq -\frac{4\pi^2}{L_t^2} \alpha \cot \alpha + \frac{\pi}{L_t} \cot \alpha \int_q^p k^2 \, ds \\ &= \frac{\pi \cot \alpha}{L_t} \left( \int_q^p k^2 \, ds - \frac{4\pi}{L_t} \alpha \right), \end{split}$$

so it remains to show that this last expression is positive. As

$$\int_{p}^{q} k^{2} ds \geq \left(\int_{p}^{q} k ds\right)^{2} / d_{t}(p,q)$$

and noticing that  $\int_p^q k \, ds$  is the angle between the tangent vectors  $\tau(p)$  and  $\tau(q)$  we have  $\left(\int_p^q k \, ds\right)^2 = 4\beta(p)^2 < 4\alpha^2$ , as we concluded before.

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \log E(t) &\geq \frac{\pi \cot \alpha}{L_t} \left( \int_q^p k^2 \, ds - \frac{4\pi}{L_t} \alpha \right) \\ &> \frac{\pi \cot \alpha}{L_t} \left( \frac{4\alpha^2}{d_t(p,q)} - \frac{4\pi}{L_t} \alpha \right) \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

recalling that  $\alpha = \pi d_t(p,q)/L_t$ .

*Remark* 4.5.3. By its definition and this lemma, the function *E* is always nondecreasing. Actually, to be more precise, by means of a simple geometric argument it can be proved that if E(t) = 1 the curve  $\gamma_t$  must be a circle. Hence, in any other case *E* is strictly increasing in time.

An immediate consequence is that for every initial embedded, closed curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , there exists a positive constant *C* depending on the initial curve such that on all [0,T) we have  $E(t) \ge C$ . The same conclusion holds for any rescaling of such curves as the function *E* is scaling invariant by construction.

*Remark* 4.5.4. This lemma also provide an alternative proof of the fact that an initial embedded, closed curve stays embedded. Indeed, it cannot develop a self–intersection during its curvature flow, otherwise *E* would get zero.

We can then exclude type II singularities in the curvature flow of embedded closed curves. Any blow up limit flow  $\gamma^{\infty}$  is given (up to rigid motions) by a grim reaper, that is, the translating graph  $\Gamma$  of the function  $y = -\log \cos x$  in the interval  $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ . Assuming that  $\gamma_0^{\infty} = \Gamma$ , we consider the following four points  $p_1 = (-x_1, -\log \cos x_1)$ ,  $q_1 = (x_1, -\log \cos x_1)$ ,  $p_2 = (-x_2, -\log \cos x_2)$  and  $q_2 = (x_2, -\log \cos x_2)$  belonging to  $\Gamma$ , for  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \pi/2$  such that  $-\log \cos x_2 > \pi/2 - 3\log \cos x_1$ .

As the rescaled curves  $\gamma_0^k$  converge locally in  $C^1$  to  $\Gamma$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $x_2 + \varepsilon < \pi/2$  and k is large enough the curve  $\gamma_0^k$  will be  $C^1$ -close to  $\Gamma$  in the open rectangle  $R_{\varepsilon} = (-x_2 - \varepsilon, x_2 + \varepsilon) \times (-\varepsilon, -\log \cos x_2 + \varepsilon)$ , hence there will be a pair of points  $(p, q) \in \gamma_0^k$  arbitrarily close to  $(p_1, q_1)$  and another pair  $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \in \gamma_0^k$  arbitrarily close to  $(p_2, q_2)$ . As  $k \to \infty$ , the geodesic distance  $d_{\gamma_0^k}(p, q)$  on the closed curve  $\gamma_0^k$  between p and q is definitely given by the length of the part of the curve which is close to the vertex of  $\Gamma$ , indeed, this latter is smaller than  $\pi - 2\log \cos x_1$ , when k is large enough, instead the *other part* of the curve has a length which is at least the sum of the Euclidean distances  $|\tilde{p} - p| + |\tilde{q} - q|$  which is definitely larger than  $2(\log \cos x_1 - \log \cos x_2)$  and this last quantity is larger than  $\pi - 4\log \cos x_1$ , by construction.

Hence, when k is large enough, the Huisken's embeddedness ratio for the rescaled curve  $\gamma_0^k$  is not larger than

$$\begin{split} \frac{\pi |p-q|}{L} \Big/ \sin \frac{\pi d_{\gamma_0^k}(p,q)}{L} &\leq \frac{\pi (\pi + 2\varepsilon)}{L} \Big/ \sin \frac{\pi d_{\gamma_0^k}(p,q)}{L} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi (\pi + 2\varepsilon)}{L} \Big/ \frac{2 d_{\gamma_0^k}(p,q)}{L} \\ &= \frac{\pi (\pi + 2\varepsilon)}{2 d_{\gamma_0^k}(p,q)} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^2}{d_{\gamma_c^k}(p,q)} \,, \end{split}$$

where *L* is the total length of the curve  $\gamma_0^k$  and we used the inequality  $\sin x \ge 2x/\pi$  holding for every  $x \in [0, \pi/2]$ .

Finally, again by the  $C^1$ -convergence of  $\gamma_0^k$  to  $\Gamma$  in  $R_{\varepsilon}$ , we can also assume that  $d_{\gamma_0^k}(p,q)$  is larger than  $-\log \cos x_1$ .

Now we consider a sequence of pairs  $x_1^i < x_2^i$  as above such that  $x_1^i \to \pi/2$ , then we have a sequence of rescaled curves  $\gamma_0^{k_i}$  such that the associated Huisken's embeddedness ratio tends to zero, as  $d_{\gamma_0^{k_i}}(p,q) \to +\infty$  when  $i \to \infty$ .

This is in contradiction with the fact that the function E is scaling invariant and uniformly bounded from below by some positive constant C for all the curves of the flow.

As this argument does not change if we apply to  $\Gamma$  any rigid motion, in presence of a type II singularity in the embedded case, we would have a contradiction with the conclusion of Theorem 4.4.6.

**Theorem 4.5.5.** *Type II singularities cannot develop during the curvature flow of an embedded, closed curve in*  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Collecting together Theorem 3.5.1 about type I singularities and this last proposition, we obtain Theorem 3.4.10 by Gage and Hamilton and the following theorem due to Grayson [53], whose original proof is more geometric and direct, showing that the intervals of negative curvature vanish in finite time before any singularity. We underline that the success of the line of proof we followed is due to the bound from below on Huisken's embeddedness ratio implied by Lemma 4.5.2.

Modifying a little such quantity, Andrews and Bryan [12] were even able to give a simple and direct proof without passing through the classification of singularities.

**Theorem 4.5.6** (Grayson's Theorem). Let  $\gamma_t$  be the curvature flow of a closed, embedded, smooth curve in the plane, in the maximal interval of smooth existence [0, T).

Then, there exists a time  $\tau < T$  such that  $\gamma_{\tau}$  is convex.

As a consequence, the result of Gage and Hamilton 3.4.10 applies and subsequently the curve shrinks smoothly to a point as  $t \to T$ .

*Remark* 4.5.7. This result, extended by Grayson to curves moving inside general surfaces, allowed him to have a proof of the *three geodesics theorem* on the sphere [55] (first outlined by Lusternik and Schnirelman in [92]).

We add a final remark in this case of embedded closed curves.

Letting A(t) be the area enclosed by  $\gamma_t$  which moves by curvature, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}A(t) = -\int_{\gamma_t} k \, ds = -2\pi \,,$$

hence, as the evolution is smooth till the curve shrinks to a point at time T > 0 and clearly A(t) goes to zero, we have  $A(0) = 2\pi T$ . That is, the maximal time of existence is exactly equal to the initially enclosed area divided by  $2\pi$ .

### 4.6 An Example of Singularity Analysis

We give an example how the results of this and previous lectures can be used to fully understand the singularity formation in some cases (following a suggestion of Or Hershkovits).

We consider a torus of rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that H > 0, obtained rotating around the *z* axis a small circle in the *xz* plane with center on the *x* axis quite far from the origin. One clearly expects that the torus collapses on a circle.

Suppose that a type II singularity develops, by the Hamilton's modified procedure, every blow up limit flow is a complete, nonflat, convex, embedded surface  $M_{\infty}$ , translating by mean curvature with some constant velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$  (Theorem 4.4.5). Moreover, by the structural equation  $H = \langle v | \nu \rangle$ , it follows that if  $p \in M_{\infty}$  is the point where H takes its maximum, the velocity of the flow is given by  $v = H(p)\nu(p)$ . Depending on the behavior of the radius of the circumferences passing by the sequence of points of blow up in Hamilton's modified procedure, in the limit surface  $M_{\infty}$  we can find either a straight line or a circle of maximum points for H, by rotational symmetry around the *z* axis of the evolving tori. In this second case, since the unit normal

vectors in all the points of this circle must be parallel to the velocity v and the surface must be convex, the only possibility would be a plane, which is not admissible. Hence, in case of a type II singularity, every blow up limit must contain a straight line, then, by Proposition 4.2.7, it is the product of a straight line with a convex, embedded curve, translating by mean curvature, that is, a grim reaper (Theorem 4.4.6). By White's result in [126], mentioned at the end of Section 4.4, this cannot happen, thus we conclude that every possible singularity must be of type I.

The only possible type I blow up of a nonconvex, compact surface with positive mean curvature are cylinders, hence, by the rotational symmetry, it clearly means that the torus is collapsing on a circumferences and the rotating closed curves are becoming asymptotically circular at type I singularity rate.

Notice that it is not possible that such circumference (with all the torus) "vanishes" at a single point (which then must be the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) at the singular time, otherwise the type I blow up, again by the rotational symmetry, must be a "vertical" cylinder but this is excluded by the geometric structure of the torus that also share symmetry with respect to reflection on the *xy* plane and its intersection with such plane is given by two circles around the origin. Such property must clearly be satisfied also by every type I blow up limit surface, as it cannot have multiplicity larger than one, being embedded, by Proposition 3.2.10.