



ACADEMIC
PRESS

Available at
WWW.MATHEMATICSWEB.ORG
POWERED BY SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

J. Differential Equations 192 (2003) 47–69

**Journal of
Differential
Equations**

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jde>

The influence of nonlocal nonlinearities on the long time behavior of solutions of diffusion problems

Mohammed Aassila

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université Louis Pasteur et CNRS, 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg, Cedex, France

Received January 12, 2001

Abstract

In this paper we study a nonlocal diffusion problem. The existence is proved using the Schauder fixed point theorem. The convergence of the solution towards a steady state is investigated by using the dynamical systems point of view.

© 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

Keywords: Nonlocal diffusion problems; Global existence; Asymptotic behavior

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider a nonlocal diffusion problem. The main questions we here address are the global existence, uniqueness of a solution, and the convergence towards a steady state.

As typical examples of parabolic equations with nonlocal nonlinearities, let us mention the following:

- Equations with space integral term, of the form

$$u_t - \Delta u = g\left(\int_{\Omega} f(u(t, y)) dy\right). \quad (1.1)$$

E-mail address: aassila@math.u-strasbg.fr.

Some problems involving both local and nonlocal terms, of the type

$$u_t - \Delta u = \int_{\Omega} f(u(t, y)) dy + h(u(t, x)). \quad (1.2)$$

- Equations with localized source, of the form

$$u_t - \Delta u = f(u(t, x_0(t))). \quad (1.3)$$

- Equations with space–time integral, of the form

$$u_t - \Delta u = f\left(\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \beta(y)g(u(s, y)) dy ds\right). \quad (1.4)$$

Each equation is considered in a bounded domain with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Problems of these types arise in various models in physics and engineering have been studied by a number of authors. To cite just a few, problems of type (1.1) or (1.2) are related to some ignition models for compressible reactive gases. For problems of these types and some of their variants, the blow-up of solutions was studied, among others, by Bebernes et al. [1], Deng et al. [5], Chadam et al. [3], Wang and Wang [11].

Eq. (1.3) describes physical phenomena where the reaction is driven by the temperature at a single site. This equation was studied by Cannon and Yin [2], Chadam et al. [3], Wang and Chen [10], in the case $x_0(t) = \text{Const.}$, and by Souplet [8] for variable $x_0(t)$.

Last, problems of type (1.4) play an important role in the theory of nuclear reactor dynamics. The blow-up of solutions was studied by Souplet [8], Pao [7] and Guo and Su [6].

Recently, Souplet [9] determined the rate and profile of blow-up of solutions for large classes of nonlocal problems of each type above. He proved that the solutions have global blow-up, and that the blow-up rate is uniform in all compact subsets of the domain.

In any diffusion process, the diffusion velocity \vec{v} is given at the point x by the Fourier law $\vec{v}(x) = -a\nabla u(x)$ where u is the temperature and a is a constant depending on the medium where the process is taking place. The assumption a is constant is, in fact, a first approximation of the reality. For instance, in material science it is clear that physical constants attached to a material will depend on its state, its temperature for example. In this paper, we would like to address the case where the constant a depends on nonlocal quantities. Thus, a could depend on

$$g(u) = \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx.$$

So, let Ω be a connected bounded Lipschitz open set of \mathbb{R}^n . We denote by Γ the boundary of Ω and by $\{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ a partition of it. Set

$$V = H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\},$$

and $g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f \in V'$, where V' denotes the strong dual of V .

Consider the parabolic problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - a(g(u))\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(\cdot, t) \in V, & t \in (0, T), \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \in L^2(\Omega). \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution to (1.5). In Section 3, we introduce the steady-state problem, and in Section 4 we study the convergence of the solution towards a steady state.

2. Existence and uniqueness

Without loss of generality, we can assume that V is equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_V^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx$$

and we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the $V' - V$ duality bracket.

The existence result reads as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous, $0 < a_0 \leq a(x) \leq a_1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Then for any $f \in L^2(0, T; V')$ and $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ there exists u with*

$$u \in L^2(0, T; V) \cap C([0, T], L^2(\Omega)), \quad u_t \in L^2(0, T; V')$$

solution to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(u, v) + a(g(u)) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(0, T), \quad \forall v \in V, \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let $w \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, then thanks to Dautray–Lions [4], the problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in L^2(0, T; V) \cap C([0, T], L^2(\Omega)), \quad u_t \in L^2(0, T; V'), \\ \frac{d}{dt}(u, v) + a(g(w)) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(0, T), \quad \forall v \in V, \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

has a unique solution. Indeed, the mapping $t \mapsto g(w(\cdot, t))$ is measurable, and hence the mapping $t \mapsto a(g(w(\cdot, t))) = a(g(w))$ is also measurable and belongs to $L^\infty(0, T)$.

Whence, to prove that (2.1) has a solution, it suffices to prove that the mapping

$$h : L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$w \mapsto h(w) = u$$

has a fixed point. This will be done with the help of the Schauder fixed point theorem.

From (2.2) we have for $v = u$

$$\left\langle \frac{du}{dt}, u \right\rangle + a(g(w)) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \langle f, u \rangle, \quad t \in (0, T)$$

and consequently

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + a_0 \|u\|_V^2 \leq \|f\|_{V'} \|u\|_V, \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (2.3)$$

Now, since

$$\|f\|_{V'} \|u\|_V \leq \frac{1}{2a_0} \|f\|_{V'}^2 + \frac{a_0}{2} \|u\|_V^2,$$

we deduce from (2.3) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \frac{a_0}{2} \|u\|_V^2 \leq \frac{1}{2a_0} \|f\|_{V'}^2, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

Integration over $(0, T)$ yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{a_0}{2} \int_0^T \|u\|_V^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{2a_0} \int_0^T \|f\|_{V'}^2 dt,$$

and hence

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0, T; V)}, \quad \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq c_1, \quad (2.4)$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a positive constant independent of w .

Since $u_t - a(g(w))\Delta u = f$, we deduce the existence of a positive constant $c_2 > 0$ independent of w such that

$$\|u_t\|_{L^2(0, T; V')} \leq c_2. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence, h maps B into itself and $h(B)$ is relatively compact in B , where we set

$$B = \{w \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) : \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq c_1\}.$$

To apply the Schauder fixed point theorem, we just need to show that h is continuous. Let w_n be a sequence such that

$$w_n \rightarrow w \quad \text{in } B \text{ or } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$$

and $u_n = h(w_n)$.

For a subsequence, still denoted by the same symbol, we have

$$w_n(\cdot, t) \rightarrow w(\cdot, t) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \quad t \in (0, T)$$

and also

$$a(g(w_n)) \rightarrow a(g(w)), \quad t \in (0, T). \tag{2.6}$$

As a consequence of (2.4) and (2.5), there exists \tilde{u} such that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} & \text{in } L^2(0, T; V), \\ u_n \rightarrow \tilde{u} & \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ (u_n)_t \rightharpoonup (\tilde{u})_t & \text{in } L^2(0, T; V'). \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

For every $v \in V, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_n v \varphi' \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} a(g(w_n)) \nabla u_n \nabla v \varphi \, dx \, dt \\ & = \int_0^T \langle f, v \rangle \varphi \, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Thanks to (2.6) and to the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$a(g(w_n)) \varphi v \rightarrow a(g(w)) \varphi v \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; V)$$

and passing to the limit in (2.8) we deduce that for every $v \in V$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\tilde{u}, v) + a(g(w)) \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u} \nabla v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(0, T).$$

Now since

$$(u_n(t), v) - (u_0, v) = \int_0^t \langle (u_n)_t, v \rangle, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad \forall v \in V, \tag{2.9}$$

$$u_n(t) \rightarrow \tilde{u}(t) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \quad t \in (0, T),$$

then by passing to the limit in (2.9) we get

$$(\tilde{u}(t), v) - (u_0, v) = \int_0^t \langle \tilde{u}_t, v \rangle = (\tilde{u}(t), v) - (\tilde{u}(0), v), \quad t \in (0, T), \quad \forall v \in V$$

and consequently

$$\tilde{u}(0) = u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{u} = u.$$

Thus, u_n converges toward u in B , which completes the proof. \square

Now, we are interested to know whether the solution given in Theorem 2.1 is unique. We have

Theorem 2.2. *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, assume that a and g are locally Lipschitz continuous, then the solution u is unique.*

Proof. If u_1, u_2 are two solutions, then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u_1 - u_2) - a(g(u_1))\Delta(u_1 - u_2) = -(a(g(u_2)) - a(g(u_1)))\Delta u_2,$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{d}{dt}(u_1 - u_2), u_1 - u_2 \right\rangle + a(g(u_1)) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx \\ & = a(g(u_2)) - a(g(u_1)) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_2 \nabla(u_1 - u_2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_1, u_2 \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$, then there exists a bounded set $S \subset L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_1(t), u_2(t) \in S, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

and thus for some $A > 0$

$$(g(u_1(t)), g(u_2(t))) \in [-A, A] \times [-A, A].$$

As a and g are locally Lipschitz continuous, and if we denote by $c(S), c(A)$ the Lipschitz constants, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_1 - u_2\|^2 + a_0 \|u_1 - u_2\|_V^2 & \leq c(A)c(S) \|u_1 - u_2\| \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2| |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)| dx \\ & \leq c(A)c(S) \|u_1 - u_2\| \|u_2\|_V \|u_1 - u_2\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality we infer

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_1 - u_2\|^2 + a_0 \|u_1 - u_2\|_V^2 \leq \frac{a_0}{2} \|u_1 - u_2\|_V^2 + \frac{c^2(S)c^2(A)\|u_2\|_V^2}{2a_0} \|u_1 - u_2\|^2$$

which implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_1 - u_2\|^2 \leq \frac{c^2(S)c^2(A) \|u_2\|_V^2}{a_0} \|u_1 - u_2\|^2$$

and also

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \exp \left(- \int_0^t \frac{c^2(S)c^2(A) \|u_2\|_V^2}{a_0} ds \right) \|u_1 - u_2\|^2 \right\} \leq 0.$$

Since the above function is nonincreasing and vanishes at 0, it vanishes in fact everywhere. Hence we have uniqueness. \square

Remark 2.3. If $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, V')$, then we can consider cases where a is not defined on the whole real line.

Indeed, we have from the proof of Theorem 2.1.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + c_3 \|u\|^2 \leq \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + a_0 \|u\|_V^2 \leq \frac{1}{a_0} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, V')}^2,$$

where $c_3 > 0$ is a positive constant.

Hence, by integration we obtain

$$\|u\|^2 \leq \|u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{a_0 c_4} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, V')}^2,$$

$c_4 > 0$ is a positive constant. Thus u remains a priori bounded.

3. Steady states

Assume, for simplicity, that f is independent of time, that is $f \in V'$ the dual of V and that g is linear. In this section we are interested in finding the weak solutions to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(a(g(u))u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in V. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

The main result here is

Theorem 3.1. Let $a(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Then, problem (3.1) has as much solutions as the problem

$$a(\phi)\phi = g(\psi) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.2}$$

where ψ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\psi = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \psi \in V. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. If u is a solution to (3.1), then we have

$$-\Delta(a(g(u))u) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Hence, by (3.3) we get

$$a(g(u))u = \psi.$$

Applying g to both sides yields

$$a(g(u))g(u) = g(\psi).$$

This means that $g(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ is solution to (3.2).

Now, if ϕ solves (3.2). Then, there exists a unique weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} -a(\phi)\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in V. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Since the solution of (3.3) is unique, we have

$$a(\phi)u = \psi$$

and then by applying g to both sides we get

$$a(\phi)g(u) = g(\psi) = a(\phi)\phi.$$

Consequently, as $a > 0$, we get $g(u) = \phi$. Going back to (3.4), we obtain that u is solution to (3.1).

Corollary 3.2. (i) If $g(\psi) = 0$, then the only solution to (3.1) is $u = \frac{\psi}{a(0)}$.

(ii) If a is a continuous function such that $0 < a_0 \leq a(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then problem (3.1) admits always a solution.

Proof. (i) If $g(\psi) = 0$, the only solution to (3.2) is $\phi = 0$, and hence the only solution to (3.1) is $u = \frac{\psi}{a(0)}$.

(ii) The mapping $\phi \mapsto a(\phi)\phi$ has \mathbb{R} for range, and thanks to the intermediate value theorem, there exists always a solution to (3.1).

If $g(\psi) > 0$, then any ϕ such that $a(\phi) = \frac{g(\psi)}{\phi}$ gives a solution to (3.1). Roughly speaking, any point at the intersection of the graph of a and the hyperbola $\phi \mapsto \frac{g(\psi)}{\phi}$ provides a solution to (3.1). \square

4. Convergence results

In this section we assume that a is locally Lipschitz continuous so that by Theorem 2.2 problem (2.1) has a unique weak solution.

We begin by proving two preliminary results.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $u_0^n \in L^2(\Omega)$ be a sequence such that*

$$u_0^n \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{4.1}$$

If, u^n, u are the solutions to (2.1) with initial data u_0^n, u_0 , respectively. Then,

$$u^n(t) \rightharpoonup u(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. By (4.1), u_0^n is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ and thanks to (2.4) and (2.5) there exists a positive constant $c > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\|u^n\|_{L^2(0,T;V)} \leq c,$$

$$\|u^n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq c,$$

$$\|u_t^n\|_{L^2(0,T;V')} \leq c.$$

Consequently, for a subsequence, still denoted by the same symbol, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} u^n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} & \text{in } L^2(0, T; V), \\ u^n \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ u^n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \text{ weak-star,} \\ u_t^n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}_t & \text{in } L^2(0, T; V'). \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

For every $v \in V$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$ we have by definition

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_\Omega u^n v \varphi'(t) \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega a(g(u^n)) (\nabla u^n \cdot \nabla v) \varphi(t) \, dx \, dy \\ & = \int_0^T \langle f, v \rangle \varphi(t) \, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.3) we have for almost every $t \in (0, T)$

$$g(u^n) \rightarrow g(\tilde{u}) \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T)$$

and then by the Lebesgue theorem it holds that

$$a(g(u^n))\varphi \nabla v \rightarrow a(g(\tilde{u}))\varphi \nabla v \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$$

and passing to the limit in (4.4), we get that for every $v \in V$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\tilde{u}, v) + a(g(\tilde{u})) \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(0, T).$$

Now, for every $v \in V$:

$$(u^n(t), v) - (u_0^n, v) = \int_0^t \langle u_t^n, v \rangle \, dt$$

for almost every t , and since $u^n(t) \rightarrow \tilde{u}(t)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$(\tilde{u}(t), v) - (u_0, v) = \int_0^t \langle \tilde{u}_t, v \rangle \, dt = (\tilde{u}(t), v) - (\tilde{u}(0), v).$$

Thus, $\tilde{u}(0) = u_0$ and then $\tilde{u} = u$. Consequently,

$$u^n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \quad \text{weak-star}$$

and in particular for every $v \in V$

$$(u^n(t), v) \rightharpoonup (u(t), v) \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T) \quad \text{weak-star.}$$

The sequence of functions $((u^n(t), v))_n$ is equicontinuous and thus relatively compact in $C([0, T])$. Indeed, for every $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$, $t_2 > t_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u^n(t_2), v) - (u^n(t_1), v) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle u_t^n, v \rangle \, dt \\ &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t^n\|_{V'} \|v\|_V \, dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{t_2 - t_1} \|v\|_V \|u_t^n\|_{L^2(0, T; V')}, \\ &\leq c \sqrt{t_2 - t_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$(u^n(t), v) \rightarrow (u(t), v) \quad \text{in } C([0, T]), \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Since V is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $u^n(t)$ is bounded, then we deduce that

$$(u^n(t), v) \rightarrow (u(t), v) \quad \forall v \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. *If $a(\cdot) \geq a_0 > 0$ is continuous in \mathbb{R}_+ , and if w is solution to*

$$\begin{cases} w \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; V), \quad w_t \in L^2(0, T; V'), \\ w(0) \leq 0, \quad w(0) \neq 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt}(w, v) + a(t) \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla v \, dx \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(0, T), \quad \forall v \in V, \quad v \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Then, we have

$$w(x, t) < 0 \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Let Ω' be a smooth subdomain of Ω large enough so that $\int_{\Omega'} |v(0)| \, dx = \int_{\Omega'} |w(0)| \, dx > 0$, where v is the weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} v_t - \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega' \times (0, T^*), \\ v(0) = w(0) & \text{in } \Omega', \\ v(\cdot, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega') \quad \forall t \in (0, T^*). \end{cases}$$

Thanks to Dautray–Lions [4], we know that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$v \in C^\infty((\varepsilon, T^*) \times \Omega')$$

and

$$v(x, t) < 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega' \times (0, T^*]. \quad (4.7)$$

Next, by the weak maximum principle, we have

$$w(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, setting

$$\bar{v}(\cdot, t) = v\left(\cdot, \int_0^t a(s) \, ds\right) \quad (4.8)$$

we have, in a weak sense:

$$\bar{v}_t = v_t \cdot a(t) = a(t)\Delta v = a(t)\Delta \bar{v},$$

$$\bar{v}(0) = v(0) = w(0)|_{\Omega'}.$$

The first above equation holds in $\Omega' \times (0, T)$ with $\int_0^T a(s) ds = T^*$. But T^* and thus T can be chosen arbitrarily, hence by the weak maximum principle we get

$$w \leq \bar{v} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega', \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Hence, (4.6) follows from (4.7) to (4.8). \square

Now, we study the asymptotic behavior of the solution u to (2.1). We suppose that g satisfies

$$\begin{cases} g(v) \geq 0 & \forall v \geq 0, \quad v \in L^2(\Omega), \\ g \neq 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

and that

$$\begin{cases} f \in V', \quad \langle f, v \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \geq 0, \quad v \in V, \\ f \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

We define ϕ_1, ϕ_2 as the two intersection points of the graph of a with the graph of the hyperbola $\frac{g(\psi)}{\phi}$. To ϕ_1, ϕ_2 correspond two stationary points given by

$$\phi_1 = \frac{\psi}{a(\phi_1)} < \phi_2 = \frac{\psi}{a(\phi_2)}.$$

There are two cases to be distinguished:

Case 1:

$$a(\phi_2) \leq a(\phi) \leq a(\phi_1), \quad \forall \phi \in [\phi_1, \phi_2]. \tag{4.11}$$

In this case, we have two subcases

Subcase 1:

$$\frac{g(\psi)}{\phi} < a(\phi) \quad \forall \phi \in (\phi_1, \phi_2), \quad \text{cf. Theorem 4.6.} \tag{4.12}$$

Subcase 2:

$$\frac{g(\psi)}{\phi} = a(\phi) \quad \forall \phi \in (\phi_1, \phi_2), \quad \text{cf. Theorem 4.8.} \tag{4.13}$$

Case 2:

$$a(\phi_1) \leq a(\phi) < \frac{g(\psi)}{\phi}, \quad \forall \phi \in \left[\frac{g(\psi)}{\max_{\phi \in [\phi_1, \phi_2]} a(\phi)}, \phi_1 \right), \quad \max_{\phi \in [\phi_1, \phi_2]} a(\phi) > a(\phi_1), \tag{4.14}$$

cf. Theorem 4.9.

First, we consider case 1, and study the asymptotic behavior of u the solution to (2.1). We restrict ourselves to the case where

$$u_1 \leq u_0 \leq u_2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{4.15}$$

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that (4.9)–(4.11), (4.15) hold. Then, the weak solution u of (2.1) satisfies*

$$u_1 \leq u(\cdot, t) \leq u_2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. We assume first that $u_1 < u_0 < u_2$ a.e. in Ω , then by (4.9) we have

$$\phi_1 = g(u_1) < g(u_0) < g(u_2) = \phi_2.$$

We claim that

$$0 < t^* := \sup\{t > 0: g(u(\cdot, s)) \in [\phi_1, \phi_2], \forall s \in [0, t]\} = +\infty. \tag{4.17}$$

Indeed, if this is not the case, and since $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\Omega))$ we have

$$g(u(\cdot, t^*)) = \phi_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \phi_2.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $g(u(\cdot, t^*)) = \phi_2$. Then, for almost every t we have

$$\frac{du}{dt} - a(g(u))\Delta u = f = -a(\phi_2)\Delta u_2 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, t^*; V')$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u - u_2) - a(g(u))\Delta(u - u_2) = \frac{a(\phi_2) - a(g(u))}{a(\phi_2)} \cdot f \quad \text{in } L^2(0, t^*; V').$$

Since $g(u) \in [\phi_1, \phi_2]$, we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u - u_2) - a(g(u))\Delta(u - u_2) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } V', \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, t^*).$$

Hence, if we set $w = u - u_2$ we get

$$\frac{dw}{dt} - a(g(u))\Delta w \leq 0,$$

$$w(0) = u_0 - u_2 < 0$$

and then by Proposition 4.2

$$w(t^*) < 0,$$

which contradicts $g(u(\cdot, t^*)) = \phi_2$. Thus, $t^* = +\infty$ and

$$u_1 < u(t) < u_2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Now, if u_0 satisfies

$$\frac{\psi}{a(\phi_1)} = u_1 \leq u_0 \leq u_2 = \frac{\psi}{a(\phi_2)}$$

then as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, it holds that

$$u_1 < u_0^n = \max\left(\min\left(u_1 + \frac{\psi}{n}, u_0\right), \left(u_2 - \frac{\psi}{n}\right)\right) < u_2$$

and thus if u^n is the solution to (2.1) with initial data u_0^n , then for any t

$$u^n(t) \in X := \{v \in L^2(\Omega) : u_1 \leq v \leq u_2 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}.$$

The set X is closed and convex in $L^2(\Omega)$, and by Lemma 4.1 is also weakly closed and

$$u(t) \in X, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Proposition 4.4. (i) For $u_0 \in X$, we define $S(t)u_0 = u(t)$ where $u(t) = u(\cdot, t)$ denotes the solution of (2.1). Then $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a dynamical system on X .

(ii) The mapping $u \mapsto (\Phi, u)$ is a Lyapounov function on X , where Φ is the weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\Phi = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Phi \in V. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (i) From Theorem 4.3 we deduce that $S(t)$ maps X into X . It is easy to check that it is a dynamical system thanks to Lemma 4.1 and since $u \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$.

(ii) Since $g \in L^2(\Omega) \subset V'$, the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\Phi = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Phi \in V \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

has a unique solution, and by (4.8) it satisfies $\Phi > 0$ a.e. in Ω .

If we choose $v = \Phi$ in (2.1), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi, u) + a(g(u)) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \Phi \, dx = \langle f, \Phi \rangle.$$

Hence by (4.18) we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi, u) = g(\psi) - a(g(u))g(u) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{4.19}$$

If we choose $u_0 \in X$, then by Theorem 4.3 we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi, u) \leq 0$$

and in the case of (4.12) and (4.13)

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi, u) = 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let u be the weak solution to (2.1). Then, if*

$$g(u(t)) \rightarrow \phi_i \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

then

$$u(t) \rightarrow u_i \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. By definition we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u - u_i) - a(g(u))\Delta u = -a(\phi_1)\Delta u_i \quad \text{in } V' \text{ for a.e. } t \geq 0$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(u - u_i) - a(g(u))\Delta(u - u_i) &= -(a(\phi_1) - a(g(u)))\Delta u_i \\ &\text{in } V' \text{ for a.e. } t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, when multiplying with $u - u_i$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u - u_i\|^2 + a_0 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u - u_i)|^2 \, dx \\ \leq |a(\phi_1) - a(g(u))| \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i| |\nabla(u - u_i)| \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i| |\nabla(u - u_i)| dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u - u_i)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 dx$$

we obtain by choosing $\varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} |a(\phi_i) - a(g(u))| = a_0$ that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u - u_i\|^2 + \frac{a_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u - u_i)|^2 dx \leq c_1 |a(\phi_i) - a(g(u))|$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a positive constant.

Hence, from the Poincaré inequality we deduce that

$$(\|u - u_i\|^2)'(t) + c_2 \|u - u_i\|^2(t) \leq c_1 |a(\phi_i) - a(g(u))|$$

and then integration between t_0 and t yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_i\|^2(t) &\leq \|u - u_i\|^2(t_0) e^{c_2(t_0-t)} + c_1 \int_{t_0}^t |a(\phi_i) \\ &\quad - a(g(u))|(s) e^{c_2(s-t)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon > 0$ is a given positive real, let t_0 be such that

$$|a(\phi_i) - a(g(u))|(s) \leq \frac{c_2 \varepsilon}{c_1 2} \quad \forall s \geq t_0,$$

then for $t > t_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_i\|^2(t) &\leq \|u - u_i\|^2(t_0) e^{c_2(t_0-t)} + c_2 \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{t_0}^t e^{c_2(s-t)} ds \\ &\leq \|u - u_i\|^2(t_0) e^{c_2(t_0-t)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if t is large enough we get

$$\|u - u_i\|^2(t) \leq \varepsilon$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.6. Assume that (4.11) and (4.12) hold. Then, for $u_0 \in X$, $u_0 \neq u_2$, we have

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 \rightarrow u_1 \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. From (4.19) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi, u) = g(\psi) - a(g(u))g(u) \leq 0 \tag{4.20}$$

and hence by Theorem 4.3 we get

$$(\Phi, u(t)) \geq (\Phi, u_1).$$

Moreover, since by (4.20) the function (Φ, u) is nonincreasing, there exists $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi, u(t)) = c_3 = (\Phi, w)$$

for any $w \in \omega(u_0)$ the ω -limit set of u_0 .

From (4.20) and for any $w \in \omega(u_0)$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi, S(t)w) = 0 = g(\psi) - a(g(S(t)w))g(S(t)w)$$

that is, by the continuity of $t \mapsto g(S(t)w)$, that

$$g(S(t)w) = \phi_1 \quad \text{for all } t \text{ or } g(S(t)w) = \phi_2 \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Hence,

$$g(w) = \phi_1 \text{ or } \phi_2 \quad \text{for all } w \in \omega(u_0).$$

We have $\omega(u_0) = \omega_1 \cup \omega_2$ where

$$\omega_i = \{w \in \omega(u_0) : g(w) = \phi_i\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Consequently, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$g(u(t)) \rightarrow \phi_1 \quad \text{or} \quad g(u(t)) \rightarrow \phi_2.$$

Thus, if $g(u(t)) \rightarrow \phi_2$, we have $u(t) \rightarrow u_2$. Since $(\Phi, u(t))$ is nonincreasing and $\Phi > 0$ this implies that

$$(\Phi, u(t)) = (\Phi, u_2) \quad \forall t.$$

Since $\Phi > 0$ a.e. in Ω , $u(t) = u_2$ for all t which contradicts $u_0 \neq u_2$. Thus,

$$g(u(t)) \rightarrow \phi_1$$

and Lemma 4.5 permits us to conclude. \square

Now, let us turn to the stability if the solution $u(t)$ to (2.1) under hypotheses (4.11) and (4.13). For any $\phi \in [\phi_1, \phi_2]$, then $\frac{\psi}{a(\phi)}$ is a stationary point and by (4.19) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi, u) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (\Phi, u(t)) = (\Phi, u_0) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Hence, a natural candidate for the limit of $u(t)$ is

$$u_\infty = \frac{(\Phi, u_0)}{(\Phi, \psi)} \psi.$$

Since $\omega(u_0)$ is compact, then there exists $w_0 \in \omega(u_0)$ such that

$$a(g(w_0)) = \min_{w \in \omega(u_0)} a(g(w)). \tag{4.21}$$

First, we need a preliminary result:

Lemma 4.7. *For any $w \in \omega(u_0)$, it holds that*

$$w \leq \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Proof. Let $w \in \omega$, $v(t) = S(t)w$. Now

$$-a(g(w_0))\Delta\left(\frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right) = -\Delta\psi = f$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}\left(v - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right) - a(g(v))\Delta\left(v - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right) \\ &= -(a(g(w_0)) - a(g(v)))\Delta\left(\frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have, since $v \in \omega(u_0)$, $-\Delta\left(\frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right) \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \left(v - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right)^+ \right\|^2 + a(g(v)) \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left(v - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right)^+ \right|^2 dx \\ &= (a(g(w_0)) - a(g(v))) \left\langle -\Delta\left(\frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right), \left(v - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right)^+ \right\rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a positive constant $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| \left(v - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right)^+ \right\|^2 + c_3 \left\| \left(v - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right)^+ \right\|^2 \leq 0$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(S(t)w - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \right)^+ \right\|^2 &\leq e^{-c_3 t} \left\| \left(w - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \right)^+ \right\|^2 \\ &\leq c_4 e^{-c_3 t}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $z \in \omega(u_0)$, we can write it as $z = S(t)w$, and hence

$$\left(z - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \right)^+ = 0 \quad \forall z \in \omega(u_0).$$

Hence, the proof is by now complete. \square

Theorem 4.8. *Assume that (4.11) and (4.13) hold. Then, for $u_0 \in X$, we have*

$$u(t) \rightarrow u_\infty \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, that $\frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} > u_\infty$.

Let $w \in \omega(u_0)$ and set $w(t) = S(t)w$, then we have

$$(\Phi, w) = (\Phi, u_0) = (\Phi, u_\infty) < \left(\Phi, \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \right).$$

Since $a(g(w)) \geq a(g(w_0))$, then we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(w - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \right) - a(g(w)) \Delta \left(w - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$\left(w - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \right)(0) \leq 0,$$

$$\left(w - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \right)(0) \neq 0.$$

For any $w \in \omega(u_0)$, by Theorem 4.3 we have

$$w(t) - \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} < 0 \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Since $S(t)\omega(u_0) = \omega(u_0)$, it follows that

$$g(w) < g \left(\frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \right) \quad \forall w \in \omega(u_0)$$

which contradicts

$$g\left(\frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}\right) = \frac{g(\psi)}{a(g(w_0))} = g(w_0)$$

and proves that $\frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \leq u_\infty$.

Thus, for any $w \in \omega(u_0)$ it holds

$$w \leq \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \leq u_\infty.$$

Since $(\Phi, w) = (\Phi, u_\infty)$ this imposes $w = u_\infty$ for all $w \in \omega(u_0)$, i.e., $\omega(u_0) = \{u_\infty\}$.

Thus

$$u(t) \rightarrow u_\infty \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega),$$

$$a(g(u(t))) \rightarrow a(g(u_\infty)),$$

which, by the help of Lemma 4.5, proves the theorem. \square

Now, we study case (4.14). The result of convergence is

Theorem 4.9. *Under hypothesis (4.14), for*

$$\frac{\psi}{\max_{\phi \in [\phi_1, \phi_2]} a(\phi)} \leq u_0 \leq u_2, \quad u_0 \neq u_2,$$

we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) = u_1 \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Proof. Let

$$X = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : \frac{\psi}{\max_{\phi \in [\phi_1, \phi_2]} a(\phi)} \leq v \leq u_2 \right\},$$

then first note that $u \mapsto (\Phi, u)$ is no more a priori a Lyapounov function, and proceeding exactly as in Theorem 4.3 we can show that

$$\frac{\psi}{\max_{\phi \in [\phi_1, \phi_2]} a(\phi)} \leq u(t) \leq u_2 \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

We distinguish two cases:

Case 1: $a(g(w_0)) \geq a(g(u_1))$. Then it holds

$$\frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \leq \frac{\psi}{a(g(u_1))} = u_1.$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.7 we have

$$\frac{\psi}{\max_{\phi \in [\phi_1, \phi_2]} a(\phi)} \leq w \leq \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))} \leq u_1 \quad \forall w \in \omega(u_0). \tag{4.22}$$

Then, for any $w \in \omega(u_0)$, the function $w(t) = S(t)w$ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}(w(t), \Phi) = g(\psi) - a(g(w(t)))g(w(t)) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{4.23}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ x \in \omega(u_0) : (\Phi, x) = \inf_{w \in \omega(u_0)} (w, \Phi) = m \right\}$$

which is nonempty since $\omega(u_0)$ is weakly compact in $L^2(\Omega)$.

For any $x \in \omega(u_0) \setminus \mathcal{S}$, we have thanks to (4.23)

$$(\Phi, S(t)x) \geq (\Phi, x) > m, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{4.24}$$

Assume, by contradiction, that $u_1 \notin \mathcal{S}$, then for any $x \in \mathcal{S}$ and $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(t)x < u_1 &\Rightarrow g(S(t)x) < g(u_1) \\ &\Rightarrow a(g(S(t)x))g(S(t)x) < g(\psi) \\ &\Rightarrow (\Phi, S(t)x) > (\Phi, x) = m \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts $S(t)\omega(u_0) = \omega(u_0)$. Hence, $u_1 \in \mathcal{S}$.

Thus, for any $x \in \omega(u_0)$ we have

$$x \leq u_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\Phi, x) \geq (\Phi, u_1).$$

Consequently

$$x = u_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(u_0) = \{u_1\}.$$

The conclusion follows from Lemma 4.5.

Case 2: $a(g(w_0)) < a(g(u_1))$. By Lemma 4.7 and since $g(w_0) > 0$ we get

$$w_0 \leq \frac{\psi}{a(g(w_0))}$$

and hence

$$a(g(w_0)) \leq \frac{g(\psi)}{g(w_0)}.$$

But, since $a(g(w_0)) < a(g(u_1))$, then we have

$$g(w_0) = g(u_2) = \phi_2.$$

As $S(t)\omega(u_0) = \omega(u_0)$, then we claim that $u_2 \in \omega(u_0)$, because otherwise we would have $w \leq u_2$ and $w \neq u_2$. Thus $S(t)w < u_2$ for any $t > 0$ and then $g(S(t)w) < g(u_2)$. Thus $u_2 \in \omega(u_0)$.

The rest of the proof consists in proving that u_2 cannot belong to $\omega(u_0)$ and that case 2 is in fact impossible.

The mapping $t \mapsto (\Phi, S(t)u_0)$ is nonincreasing in a neighborhood t_1 whenever $g(S(t_1)u_0) \in (\phi_1, \phi_2]$ and therefore

$$\sup_{w \in \mathcal{C}(u_0)} (\Phi, w) = \max \left((\Phi, u_0), \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{C}(u_0) \\ g(x) \leq \phi_1}} (\Phi, x) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{C}(u_0)$ is the weak closure of the trajectory of u_0 in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Now, the set $\mathcal{C}(u_0) \cap \{x : g(x) \leq \phi_1\}$ is weakly compact in $L^2(\Omega)$, and consequently there exists $x_* \in \mathcal{C}(u_0) \cap \{x : g(x) \leq \phi_1\}$ such that

$$(\Phi, x_*) = \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{C}(u_0) \\ g(x) \leq \phi_1}} (\Phi, x). \tag{4.25}$$

Since $g(x_*) \leq \phi_1 < g(u_2)$, we get $x_* \leq u_2$ and $x_* \neq u_2$. Thus $(\Phi, x_*) < (\Phi, u_2)$ and by (4.25) we have

$$\sup_{w \in \mathcal{C}(u_0)} (\Phi, w) < (\Phi, u_2)$$

and hence

$$u_2 \notin \mathcal{C}(u_0) \supset \omega(u_0).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

References

[1] J. Bebernes, A. Bressan, A. Lacey, Total blow-up versus single-point blow-up, *J. Differential Equations* 73 (1988) 30–44.

- [2] J.R. Cannon, H.-M. Yin, A class of nonlinear on-classical parabolic equations, *J. Differential Equations* 79 (1989) 266–288.
- [3] J.M. Chadam, A. Pierce, H.-M. Yin, The blow-up property of solutions to some diffusion equations with localized nonlinear reactions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 169 (1992) 313–328.
- [4] R. Dautray, J.-L. Lions, *Analyse Mathématique et calcul Numérique pour les Sciences et les Techniques*, Masson, Paris, 1988.
- [5] K. Deng, M.K. Kwang, H.A. Levine, The influence of nonlocal nonlinearities on the long time behaviour of solutions of Burgers equation, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 5 (1992) 1237–1245.
- [6] J.-S. Guo, H.-W. Su, The blow-up behaviour of the solution of an integrodifferential equation, *Differential Integral Equations* 5 (1992) 1237–1245.
- [7] C.V. Pao, Nonexistence of global solutions for an integrodifferential system in reactor dynamics, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 11 (1980) 559–564.
- [8] P. Souplet, Blow-up in nonlocal reaction–diffusion equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 29 (1998) 1301–1334.
- [9] P. Souplet, Uniform blow-up profiles and boundary behaviour for diffusion equations with nonlocal nonlinear source, *J. Differential Equations* 153 (1999) 374–406.
- [10] L. Wang, Q. Chen, The asymptotic behaviour of blow-up solution of localized non-linear equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 200 (1996) 315–321.
- [11] M. Wang, Y. Wang, Properties of positive solutions for nonlocal reaction–diffusion problems, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 19 (1996) 1141–1156.