MAGNETIC BV FUNCTIONS AND THE BOURGAIN-BREZIS-MIRONESCU FORMULA

ANDREA PINAMONTI, MARCO SQUASSINA, AND EUGENIO VECCHI

ABSTRACT. We prove a general magnetic Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu formula which extends the one obtained in [37] in the Hilbert case setting. In particular, after developing a rather complete theory of magnetic bounded variation functions, we prove the validity of the formula in this class.

Contents

| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
|------------|--------------------------|----|
| 2. | Magnetic Sobolev spaces | 4 |
| 3. | Magnetic BV spaces | 5 |
| 4. | Proof of the main result | 16 |
| 5. | Proof of Theorem 4.2 | 19 |
| 6. | Proof of Theorem 4.1 | 22 |
| References | | 29 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The celebrated Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu formula, (BBM) in short, appeared for the first time in [8,9], and provided a new characterization for functions in the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, with $p \ge 1$ and for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ being a smooth bounded domain. To this aim, the authors of [8,9] perform a careful study of the limit properties of the Gagliardo semi-norm defined for the fractional Sobolev spaces $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ with 0 < s < 1. In particular, they considered the limit as $s \nearrow 1$. To be more precise, for any $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ it holds

$$(BBM) \qquad \qquad \lim_{s \nearrow 1} (1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy = Q_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx,$$

where $Q_{p,N}$ is defined by

(1.1)
$$Q_{p,N} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{h}|^p d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\boldsymbol{h})$$

where $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ denotes the unit sphere and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is an arbitrary unit vector of \mathbb{R}^N . This also allows to get the stability of (variational) eigenvalues for the fractional *p*-Laplacian operator as $s \nearrow 1$, see [10]. We recall that characterizations similar to (BBM) when $s \searrow 0$ were obtained in [30, 31].

In the following years, a huge effort in trying to extend the results proved in [8] has been made. One of the first extension was achieved by Nguyen in [32], where he provided a new characterization for functions in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As we already mentioned, the (BBM)-formula proved in [8] covered the case of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ being a smooth and bounded domain, therefore it was quite natural to try to

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 49A50, 26A33, 82D99.

Key words and phrases. Fractional magnetic spaces, Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu formula, BV functions.

The authors are members of *Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni* (GNAMPA) of the *Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica* (INdAM). E.V. receives funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013/ under REA grant agreement No. 607643 (ERC Grant MaNET 'Metric Analysis for Emergent Technologies').

relax the assumptions on the open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$: this kind of problem was recently addressed in [25] and [26], where Leoni and Spector were able to provide a generalization of the (BBM)-formula to any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. The interest resulted from [8] led also to related new characterizations of Sobolev spaces in non-Euclidean contexts like the Heisenberg group (see [7, 18]).

One of the most challenging problems left open in [8] was to provide similar characterizations for functions of bounded variation. A positive answer to this question has been given by Davila in [20] and by Ponce in [34]. They completed the picture by showing that,

$$\lim_{s \nearrow 1} (1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{N+s}} dx dy = Q_{1,N} |Du|(\Omega),$$

for every bounded Lipschitz set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and every $u \in BV(\Omega)$. We also recall that the extension to any open set proved in [25, 26] concerns BV functions as well, see also [35].

In order to try to give a more complete overview of the subject, we have to mention that, parallel to the fractional theory of Sobolev spaces, there exists a quite developed theory of fractional *s*-perimeters (e.g. [16]), and also in this framework there have been several contributions concerning their analysis in the limits $s \nearrow 1$ and $s \searrow 0$ (see e.g. [2, 17, 22, 23, 28, 29]).

Very recently the results we have mentioned have been discovered to have interesting applications in image processing, see for instance [12–15]. One of the latest generalizations of (BBM) appeared very recently in [37] in the context of magnetic Sobolev spaces $W_A^{1,2}(\Omega)$. In fact, an important role in the study of particles which interact with a magnetic field $B = \nabla \times A$, $A : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$, is assumed by another *extension* of the Laplacian, namely the *magnetic Laplacian* $(\nabla - iA)^2$ (see [6, 27, 36]), yielding to nonlinear Schrödinger equations like

(1.2)
$$-(\nabla - iA)^2 u + u = f(u),$$

which have been extensively studied (see e.g. [5] and references therein), where $(\nabla - iA)^2$ is defined in weak sense as the differential of the integral functional

(1.3)
$$W_A^{1,2}(\Omega) \ni u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - iA(x)u|^2 dx$$

If $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth function and $s \in (0, 1)$, a non-local magnetic counterpart of (1.2), i.e.

$$(-\Delta)_{A}^{s}u(x) = c(N,s)\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}^{c}(x)} \frac{u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy, \qquad \lim_{s \nearrow 1} \frac{c(N,s)}{1-s} = \frac{4N\Gamma(N/2)}{2\pi^{N/2}} dx$$

was introduced in [19,24] for complex-valued functions. We point out that $(-\Delta)_A^s$ coincides with the usual fractional Laplacian for A = 0. The motivations for the introduction of this operator are carefully described in [19,24] and fall into the framework of the general theory of Lévy processes. It is thus natural wondering about the consistency of the norms associated with the above fractional magnetic operator in the singular limit $s \nearrow 1$, with the energy functional (1.3). We point out that the case $s \nearrow 0$ has been studied in [33].

The aim of this paper is to continue the study of the validity of a magnetic counterpart of (BBM), extending the results of [37] to arbitrary magnetic fractional Sobolev spaces and to magnetic BV functions. We refer the reader to Sections 2 and 3 for the definitions. On the other hand, while for $p \ge 1$ the spaces $W_A^{1,p}(\Omega)$ have a wide background, to the best of our knowledge no notion of magnetic bounded variations space containing $W_A^{1,1}(\Omega)$ seems to be previously available in the literature.

As already recalled, this indeed holds for the Hilbert case p = 2, as stated in the following

Theorem (M. Squassina, B. Volzone [37]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open and bounded set with Lipschitz boundary and let $A \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, for every $u \in W^{1,2}_A(\Omega)$, we have

$$\lim_{s \neq 1} (1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = Q_{2,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - iA(x)u|^2 dx,$$

where $Q_{2,N}$ is the positive constant defined in (1.1) with p = 2.

The goal of this paper is twofold: first we aim to extend this formula to the case of general magnetic spaces $W_A^{1,p}$ for $p \ge 1$, and secondly we introduce a suitable notion of magnetic bounded variation $|Du|_A(\Omega)$ and we prove that a (BBM)- formula holds also in that case.

In order to state the main result we need to introduce some notation: let $p \ge 1$ be fixed and let us consider the normed space $(\mathbb{C}^N, |\cdot|_p)$, with

(1.4)
$$|z|_p := (|(\Re z_1, \dots, \Re z_N)|^p + |(\Im z_1, \dots, \Im z_N)|^p)^{1/p},$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm of \mathbb{R}^N and $\Re a, \Im a$ denote the real and imaginary parts of $a \in \mathbb{C}$ respectively. Notice that $|z|_p = |z|$ whenever $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, which makes our next statements consistent with the case A = 0 and u being a real valued function [8, 11, 20, 34].

Theorem 1.1 (General magnetic Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu limit). Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be of class C^2 . Then, for any bounded extension domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\lim_{s \neq 1} (1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y)|_1}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy = Q_{1,N} |Du|_A(\Omega),$$

for all $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$, where $Q_{p,N}$ is defined in (1.1). Furthermore, for any $p \ge 1$ and any Lipschitz bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\lim_{s \neq 1} (1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y)|_{p}^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} dx dy = Q_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - iA(x)u|_{p}^{p} dx,$$

for all $u \in W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)$.

We refer to Definition 3.11 for a precise explanation of extension domain. We stress that the definitions of both the magnetic Sobolev spaces $W_A^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and of the magnetic BV spaces $BV_A(\Omega)$ made in Sections 2 and 3 are consistent, in the case of zero magnetic potential A, with the classical spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $BV(\Omega)$, respectively. Moreover, it holds $|Du|_A(\Omega) = |Du|(\Omega)$, so that Theorem 1.1 is consistent with the classical formulas of [8, 20, 34].

In particular, in the spirit of [11], as a byproduct of Theorem 1.1, if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth bounded domain, $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is of class C^2 and we have

$$\lim_{s \nearrow 1} (1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y)|_p^p}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} dx dy = 0, \quad u \in W_A^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

then we get

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \Re u = -\Im uA, \\ \nabla \Im u = \Re uA, \end{cases}$$

namely the direction of $\nabla \Re u, \nabla \Im u$ is that of the magnetic potential A. In the particular case A = 0, consistently with the results of [11], this implies that u is a constant function.

We finally notice that for a Borel set $E \subset \Omega$, denoting $E^c = \Omega \setminus E$, the quantity

$$\begin{split} P_s(E;A) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_E \int_E \frac{|1 - e^{\mathbf{i}(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}|_1}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_E \int_{E^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{E^c} \int_E \frac{|e^{\mathbf{i}(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}|_1}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy, \end{split}$$

plays the role of a nonlocal s-perimeter of E depending on A, which reduces for A = 0 to the classical notion of fractional s-perimeter of E in Ω

$$P_s(E) = \int_E \int_{E^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy.$$

Then, the main result Theorem 1.1 reads as

$$\lim_{s \nearrow 1} (1-s) P_s(E,A) = Q_{1,N} | D\mathbf{1}_E |_A(\Omega).$$

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce magnetic Sobolev spaces $W_A^{1,p}(\Omega)$. In Section 3 we define the magnetic BV space $BV_A(\Omega)$ and we prove that several classical results for BV functions hold also for functions belonging to $BV_A(\Omega)$. In particular, we prove a structure result (Lemma 3.6), a result about the extension to \mathbb{R}^N for Lipschitz domains (Lemma 3.12), the semi-continuity of the variation (Lemma 3.7), a magnetic counterpart of the classical Anzellotti-Giaquinta approximation Theorem (Lemma 3.10) and, finally, a compactness result (Lemma 3.14). In Sections 4, 5 and 6 we finally prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Magnetic Sobolev spaces

In order to avoid confusion with the different uses of the symbol $v \cdot w$, we define

$$v \cdot w := \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\Re v_i + i \Im v_i) (\Re w_i + i \Im w_i), \quad \text{if } v, w \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^N . For any $p \geq 1$ we denote by $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ the Lebesgue space of complex valued functions $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$||u||_{L^p(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|_p^p dx\right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where $|\cdot|_p$ is as in (1.4). For a locally bounded function $A: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$, we consider the semi-norm

$$[u]_{W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - \mathbf{i}A(x)u|_p^p dx\right)^{1/p},$$

and define $W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)$ as the space of functions $u \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ such that $[u]_{W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)} < \infty$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}_{A}(\Omega)} := \left(\|u\|^{p}_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + [u]^{p}_{W^{1,p}_{A}(\Omega)}\right)^{1/p}.$$

The space $W_{0,A}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ will denote the closure of the space $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W_A^{1,p}(\Omega)$. For any $s \in (0,1)$ and $p \geq 1$, the magnetic Gagliardo semi-norm is defined as

$$[u]_{W^{s,p}_{A}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y)|_{p}^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} dx dy\right)^{1/p}.$$

We denote by $W^{s,p}_A(\Omega)$ the space of functions $u \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ such that $[u]_{W^{s,p}_A(\Omega)} < \infty$ normed with

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}_{A}(\Omega)} := \left(\|u\|^{p}_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + [u]^{p}_{W^{s,p}_{A}(\Omega)}\right)^{1/p}.$$

For A = 0 this is consistent with the usual space $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}$.

3. Magnetic BV spaces

In this section we introduce a suitable notion of magnetic bounded variation functions. Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^N . We recall that a real-valued function $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ is of bounded variation, and we shall write $u \in BV(\Omega)$, if

$$|Du|(\Omega) = \sup\left\{\int_{\Omega} u(x) \operatorname{div}\varphi(x) dx \mid \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N), \ \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le 1\right\} < \infty$$

The space $BV(\Omega)$ is endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{BV(\Omega)} := ||u||_{L^1(\Omega)} + |Du|(\Omega)$$

The space of complex-valued bounded variation functions $BV(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ is defined as the class of Borel functions $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re u, \Im u \in BV(\Omega)$. The \mathbb{C} -total variation of u is defined by

$$|Du|(\Omega) := |D\Re u|(\Omega) + |D\Im u|(\Omega).$$

More generally, it is possible to define a notion of variation for functions $u: \Omega \to E$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open set and (E, d) is a locally compact metric space. We refer the interested reader to [1].

We are now ready to define the magnetic BV functions.

Definition 3.1 (A-bounded variation functions). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ a locally bounded function. A function $u \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ is said to be of A-bounded variation and we write $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$, if

$$|Du|_A(\Omega) := C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) + C_{2,A,u}(\Omega) < \infty,$$

where we have set

$$C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div}\varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, \Im u(x) dx \mid \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N), \ \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le 1 \right\},$$

$$C_{2,A,u}(\Omega) := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \Im u(x) \operatorname{div}\varphi(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, \Re u(x) dx \mid \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N), \ \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le 1 \right\}.$$

A function $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ is said to be of locally A-bounded variation and we write $u \in BV_{A, loc}(\Omega)$, provided that it holds

 $|Du|_A(U) < \infty$, for every open set $U \subseteq \Omega$.

We stress that for $A \equiv 0$, the previous definition is consistent with the one of $BV(\Omega)$. In order to justify our definition, we will collect in the following some properties of the space $BV_A(\Omega)$. These properties are the natural generalization to the magnetic setting of the classical theory [3,21,38].

Lemma 3.2 (Extension of $|Du|_A|$). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open and bounded set, $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ locally bounded and $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$. Let $E \subset \Omega$ be a Borel set then

$$|Du|_A(E) := \inf\{C_{1,A,u}(U) \mid E \subset U, \ U \subset \Omega \ open\} + \inf\{C_{2,A,u}(U) \mid E \subset U, \ U \subset \Omega \ open\}$$

extends $|Du|_A(\cdot)$ to a Radon measure in Ω . For any open set $U \subset \Omega$, $C_{1,A,u}(U)$ and $C_{2,A,u}(U)$ are defined requiring the test functions to be supported in U and $|Du|_A(\emptyset) := 0$.

Proof. We note that

$$\nu_1(E) := \inf\{C_{1,A,u}(U) \mid E \subset U, \ U \subset \Omega \text{ open}\}$$

is the variation measure associated with

$$\varphi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \mathrm{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, \Im u(x) \, dx,$$

and by [21, Theorem 1.38] it is a Radon measure. The same argument applies to

$$\nu_2(E) := \inf\{C_{2,A,u}(U) \mid E \subset U, \ U \subset \Omega \text{ open}\}$$

and the thesis follows.

Lemma 3.3 (Local inclusion of Sobolev functions). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set. Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be locally bounded. Then

$$W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \subset BV_{A,\text{loc}}(\Omega)$$

Proof. Let $u \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, $U \Subset \Omega$ open and consider $\varphi \in C^{\infty}_{c}(U, \mathbb{R}^{N})$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{U} \Re u(x)\operatorname{div}\varphi(x) - A(x)\cdot\varphi(x)\,\Im u(x)\,dx + \int_{U} \Im u(x)\operatorname{div}\varphi(x) + A(x)\cdot\varphi(x)\,\Re u(x)\,dx \\ &= -\int_{U} (\nabla \Re u(x) + A(x)\,\Im u(x))\cdot\varphi(x)\,dx - \int_{U} (\nabla \Im u(x) - A(x)\,\Re u(x))\cdot\varphi(x)\,dx \\ &\leq \int_{\bar{U}} |\nabla \Re u(x) + A(x)\,\Im u(x)|\,dx + \int_{\bar{U}} |\nabla \Im u(x) - A(x)\,\Re u(x)|\,dx \\ &\leq \int_{\bar{U}} |\nabla \Re u(x)|\,dx + \int_{\bar{U}} |\nabla \Im u(x)|\,dx + \|A\|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{U})} \left(\int_{\bar{U}} (|\Re u(x)| + |\Im u(x)|)\,dx\right) < \infty, \\ \text{n, taking the supremum over }\varphi, \text{ concludes the proof.} \end{split}$$

which, taking the supremum over φ , concludes the proof.

Next we prove that for $W_A^{1,1}(\Omega)$ functions the magnetic bounded variation semi-norm $|Du|_A(\Omega)$ boils down to the usual local magnetic semi-norm.

Lemma 3.4 (BV_A norm on $W_A^{1,1}$). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set. Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be locally bounded. Assume that $u \in W_A^{1,1}(\Omega)$. Then $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$ and it holds

$$|Du|_A(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - iA(x)u|_1 dx.$$

Furthermore, if $u \in BV_A(\Omega) \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then $u \in W^{1,1}_A(\Omega)$.

Proof. If $u \in W^{1,1}_A(\Omega)$, then we have

$$\nabla \Re u + A \Im u \in L^1(\Omega), \qquad \nabla \Im u - A \Re u \in L^1(\Omega).$$

For every $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, \Im u(x) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Re u(x) \cdot \varphi(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, \Im u(x) dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Re u + A \Im u| dx, \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \Im u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, \Re u(x) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Im u(x) \cdot \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, \Re u(x) dx \right| \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Im u - A \Re u| dx, \end{aligned}$$

which, taking the supremum over φ , proves $u \in BV_A(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ and

(3.1)
$$|Du|_A(\Omega) \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - iA(x)u|_1 dx.$$

Defining now $f,g\in L^\infty(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$ by setting

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} -\frac{\nabla \Re u(x) + A(x)\Im u(x)}{|\nabla \Re u(x) + A(x)\Im u(x)|}, & \text{if } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \nabla \Re u(x) + A(x)\Im u(x) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} -\frac{\nabla \Im u(x) - A(x) \Re u(x)}{|\nabla \Im u(x) - A(x) \Re u(x)|}, & \text{if } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \nabla \Im u(x) - A(x) \Re u(x) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have that $||f||_{\infty}, ||g||_{\infty} \leq 1$. By a standard approximation result, there exist two sequences $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \{\psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\varphi_n \to f$ and $\psi_n \to g$ pointwise as $n \to \infty$, with $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \|\psi_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition of $C_{1,A,u}(\Omega)$, after integration by parts, it follows that, for every $n \geq 1$,

$$C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) \ge -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_{x_i} \Re u(x) + A^{(i)}(x) \Im u(x) \right) \varphi_n^{(i)}(x) dx.$$

By the Dominated Convergence Theorem and the definition of f, letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain

$$C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) \ge \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Re u(x) + A(x)\Im u(x)| dx.$$

Similarly, using the sequence $\{\psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and arguing in a similar fashion yields

$$C_{2,A,u}(\Omega) \ge \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Im u(x) - A(x) \Re u(x)| dx,$$

which, on account of (1.4), proves the opposite of inequality (3.1), concluding the proof of the first statement. If $u \in BV_A(\Omega) \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, fix a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ with nonempty interior and consider

$$f := f \chi_{\text{int}(K)}, \qquad \tilde{g} := g \chi_{\text{int}(K)}$$

Then, as above, one can find two sequences $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \{\psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^{\infty}(\operatorname{int}(K), \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\varphi_n \to f$ and $\psi_n \to g$ pointwise and $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{int}(K))}, \|\psi_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{int}(K))} \leq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have

$$C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) \ge \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi_n(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi_n(x) \Im u(x) dx$$

=
$$\int_{K} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi_n(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi_n(x) \Im u(x) dx$$

=
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{K} \left(\partial_{x_i} \Re u(x) + A^{(i)}(x) \Im u(x) \right) \varphi_n^{(i)}(x) dx$$

Since $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have $\nabla \Re u + A \Im u \in L^1(K)$. Thus, by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) \ge \int_{K} |\nabla \Re u(x) + A(x) \Im u(x)| dx.$$

The conclusion follows using an exhaustive sequence of compacts via monotone convergence. \Box We endow the space $BV_A(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ with the following norm:

$$||u||_{BV_A(\Omega)} := ||u||_{L^1(\Omega)} + |Du|_A(\Omega).$$

Lemma 3.5 (Norm equivalence). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open and bounded set. Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be locally bounded. Then $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$ if and only if $u \in BV(\Omega)$. Moreover, for every $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$, there exists a positive constant $K = K(A, \Omega)$ such that

$$K^{-1} \|u\|_{BV(\Omega)} \le \|u\|_{BV_A(\Omega)} \le K \|u\|_{BV(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Denoting by \sup_{φ} the supremum over functions $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{split} |Du|(\Omega) &= |\Re u|(\Omega) + |\Im u|(\Omega) = \sup_{\varphi} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) \, dx + \sup_{\varphi} \int_{\Omega} \Im u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) \, dx \\ &= \sup_{\varphi} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \ \Im u(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \ \Im u \, dx \\ &+ \sup_{\varphi} \int_{\Omega} \Im u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \ \Re u(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \ \Re u(x) \, dx \\ &\leq \sup_{\varphi} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \ \Im u(x) \, dx + \sup_{\varphi} \int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \ \Im u(x) \, dx \\ &+ \sup_{\varphi} \int_{\Omega} \Im u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \ \Re u(x) \, dx + \sup_{\varphi} \int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot (-\varphi)(x) \ \Re u(x) \, dx \\ &\leq C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) + C_{2,A,u}(\Omega) + \|A\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have that

$$||u||_{BV(\Omega)} \le (1 + ||A||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}) ||u||_{BV_{A}(\Omega)}$$

For the second inequality, we have

$$C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) \leq \sup_{\varphi} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) \, dx + \sup_{\varphi} \int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot (-\varphi)(x) \, \Im u(x) \, dx$$
$$\leq |D \Re u|(\Omega) + ||A||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |\Im u| dx,$$

and similarly for $C_{2,A,u}(\Omega)$. Therefore, we conclude

$$||u||_{BV_A(\Omega)} \le (1 + ||A||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}) ||u||_{BV(\Omega)}.$$

Calling $K := (1 + ||A||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)})$, concludes the proof.

Lemma 3.6 (Structure Theorem for BV_A functions). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open and bounded set, $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ locally bounded and $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$. There exists a unique \mathbb{R}^{2N} -valued finite Radon measure $\mu_{A,u} = (\mu_{1,A,u}, \mu_{2,A,u})$ such that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} u(x) \operatorname{div}\varphi(x) + \mathrm{i}A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, u(x) \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div}\varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, \Im u(x) \, dx \\ &+ \mathrm{i} \int_{\Omega} \Im u(x) \operatorname{div}\varphi(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \Re u(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \cdot d(\mu_{1,A,u} + \mathrm{i}\mu_{2,A,u})(x), \end{split}$$

for every $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$|Du|_A(\Omega) = |\mu_{1,A,u}|(\Omega) + |\mu_{2,A,u}|(\Omega).$$

Proof. Of course, we have

$$\left|\int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, \Im u(x) \, dx\right| \le C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}).$$

Then, a standard application of the Hahn-Banach theorem yields the existence of a linear and continuous extension L of the functional $\Psi: C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\langle \Psi, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \operatorname{\Im} u(x) \, dx$$

to the normed space $(C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N), \|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)})$ such that

$$||L|| = ||\Psi|| = C_{1,A,u}(\Omega).$$

On the other hand, by the Riesz representation Theorem (cf. [3, Corollary 1.55]) there exists a unique \mathbb{R}^N -valued finite Radon measure $\mu_{1,A,u}$ with

$$L(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \cdot d\mu_{1,A,u}(x), \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$$

and such that $|\mu_{1,A,u}|(\Omega) = ||L||$. Thus $|\mu_{1,A,u}|(\Omega) = C_{1,A,u}(\Omega)$. The same argument can be repeated verbatim for the functional

$$\varphi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \Im u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \, \Re u(x) \, dx,$$

which concludes the proof.

Lemma 3.7 (Lower semicontinuity of $|Du|_A(\Omega)$). Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be locally bounded. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset BV_A(\Omega)$ a sequence converging locally in $L^1(\Omega)$ to a function u. Then

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} |Du_k|_A(\Omega) \ge |Du|_A(\Omega).$$

Proof. Fix $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 1$. By the definitions of $C_{i,A,u_k}(\Omega)$, we have

$$C_{1,A,u_k}(\Omega) \ge \int_{\Omega} \Re u_k(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \Im u_k(x) dx,$$

$$C_{2,A,u_k}(\Omega) \ge \int_{\Omega} \Im u_k(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \Re u_k(x) dx.$$

By the convergence of $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})$ to u, we get

$$\begin{split} & \liminf_{k \to \infty} C_{1,A,u_k}(\Omega) \geq \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \Im u(x) dx, \\ & \liminf_{k \to \infty} C_{2,A,u_k}(\Omega) \geq \int_{\Omega} \Im u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \Re u(x) dx. \end{split}$$

The assertion follows by the definition of $|Du|_A(\Omega)$ and the arbitrariness of such functions φ . \Box

Lemma 3.8. The space $(BV_A(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{BV_A(\Omega)})$ is a real Banach space.

Proof. It is readily seen that $\|\cdot\|_{BV_A(\Omega)}$ is a norm (to this aim, it is enough to check that the map $u \mapsto |Du|_A(\Omega)$ defines a semi-norm over $BV_A(\Omega)$, which is left to the reader). Let us prove that the space is complete. Let $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset BV_A(\Omega)$ be a Cauchy sequence, namely for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n - u_k|_1 dx + |D(u_n - u_k)|_A(\Omega) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n, k \ge n_0.$$

In particular, $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $(L^1(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{L^1(\Omega)})$, which implies that there exists $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ with $\|u_n - u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, in light of Lemma 3.7, we get

$$|D(u-u_k)|_A(\Omega) \le \liminf_n |D(u_n-u_k)|_A(\Omega) \le \varepsilon, \quad \forall k \ge n_0,$$

namely $|D(u_n - u)|_A(\Omega) \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$, which concludes the proof.

Lemma 3.9 (Multiplication by Lipschitz functions). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set. Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be locally bounded and $u \in BV_{A,\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Then for every locally Lipschitz $\psi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ the function $u\psi \in BV_{A,\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and

$$\mu_{1,A,\psi u} = \psi \mu_{1,A,u} - \Re u \cdot \nabla \psi \mathcal{L}^N,$$

$$\mu_{2,A,\psi u} = \psi \mu_{2,A,u} - \Im u \cdot \nabla \psi \mathcal{L}^N$$

where \mathcal{L}^N denotes the N-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Proof. Consider $U \in \Omega$ open and let $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(U, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} \leq 1$. By Rademacher's theorem we have $\psi \operatorname{div} \varphi = \operatorname{div}(\psi \varphi) - \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi$ a.e. in U. Therefore, up to smoothing ψ , we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{U} \Re(u\psi)(x)\operatorname{div}\varphi(x) - A(x)\cdot\varphi(x)\,\Im(u\psi)(x)dx \\ &= \int_{U} \psi(x)\Re u(x)\operatorname{div}\varphi(x) - A(x)\cdot\varphi(x)\psi(x)\Im u(x)dx \\ &= \int_{U} \Re u(x)\operatorname{div}(\psi\varphi)(x) - A(x)\cdot\varphi(x)\psi(x)\Im u(x)dx - \int_{U} \Re u(x)\varphi(x)\cdot\nabla\psi(x)dx \\ &\leq C_{1,A,u}(U)\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\overline{U})} + \operatorname{Lip}(\psi)\|u\|_{L^{1}(U)}. \end{split}$$

A similar estimate holds for the second term, proving $u\psi \in BV_{A,\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. By Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \cdot d\mu_{1,A,u\psi} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) \Re u(x) \mathrm{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \psi(x) \Im u(x) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \mathrm{div}(\psi\varphi)(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \psi(x) \Im u(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \varphi(x) \cdot \nabla \psi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \psi(x) d\mu_{1,A,u} - \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \varphi(x) \cdot \nabla \psi(x) dx. \end{split}$$

and the thesis follows. A similar argument holds also for $\mu_{2,A,u\psi}$, and this concludes the proof. Let $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a radial nonnegative function with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta(x) dx = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\eta) \subset B_1(0)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$, extended to zero out of Ω , we define the usual regularization

(3.2)
$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \eta\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right) u(y) dy = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N}} \int_{B(x,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right) u(y) dy.$$

Next we have the magnetic counterpart of the classic Anzellotti-Giaquinta Theorem [4].

Lemma 3.10 (Approximation with smooth functions). Suppose that $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is locally Lipschitz. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open and bounded set and let $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C^{\infty}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_k - u|_1 \, dx = 0 \quad and \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} |Du_k|_A(\Omega) = |Du|_A(\Omega).$$

Proof. We follow closely the proof of [21, Theorem 5.3]. In light of the semicontinuity property (Lemma 3.7), it is enough to prove that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a function $v_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

(3.3)
$$\int_{\Omega} |u - v_{\varepsilon}|_1 dx < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad |Dv_{\varepsilon}|_A(\Omega) < |Du|_A(\Omega) + \varepsilon$$

Let $\{\Omega_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of domains defined, for $m\in\mathbb{N}$, as follows

$$\Omega_j := \left\{ x \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) > \frac{1}{m+j} \right\} \cap B(0, k+m), \qquad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where B(0, k + m) denotes the open ball of center 0 and radius k + m. Since $|Du|_A$ is a Radon measure, given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that

$$(3.4) |Du|_A(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) < \varepsilon$$

We want to stress that the sequence of open domains $\{\Omega_i\}$ is built in such a way that

$$\Omega_j \subset \Omega_{j+1} \subset \Omega$$
, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \Omega_j = \Omega$.

We now define another sequence of open domains $\{U_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, by setting

$$U_0 := \Omega_0, \qquad U_j := \Omega_{j+1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{j-1}, \quad \text{for } j \ge 1.$$

By standard results, there exists a partition of unity related to the covering $\{U_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, which means that there exists $\{f_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \in C_c^{\infty}(U_j)$ such that $0 \leq f_j \leq 1$ for every $j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j = 1$ on Ω . We stress that the last property, in particular, implies that

(3.5)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \nabla f_j = 0, \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Recalling the definition of the norm $|\cdot|_1$ given by (1.4), and the classical properties of the convolution, we easily get that for every $j \ge 0$ there exists $0 < \varepsilon_j < \varepsilon$ such that

(3.6)
$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{supp}\left((f_{j}u)_{\varepsilon_{j}}\right) \subset U_{j}, \\ \int_{\Omega}\left|(f_{j}u)_{\varepsilon_{j}} - f_{j}u\right|_{1} dx < \varepsilon \, 2^{-(j+1)}, \\ \int_{\Omega}\left|(u\nabla f_{j})_{\varepsilon_{j}} - u\nabla f_{j}\right|_{1} dx < \varepsilon \, 2^{-(j+1)}. \end{cases}$$

We can now define $v_{\varepsilon} := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (uf_j)_{\varepsilon_j}$. Since the sum is locally finite, we have that $v_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, and that $u = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} uf_j$ pointwise. Let us start considering the real part of the linear functional

$$C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) \ni \varphi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} v_{\varepsilon}(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) + iA(x) \cdot \varphi(x) v_{\varepsilon}(x) dx.$$

We have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \Re v_{\varepsilon}(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \Im v_{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(\Re u f_{j} \right) * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}} \right)(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \left(\left(\Im u f_{j} \right) * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}} \right)(x) \, dx =: \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}. \end{split}$$

Now

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{j}^{N}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(y) f_{j}(y) \eta\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon_{j}}\right) \operatorname{div}\varphi(x) \, dy dx = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(y) f_{j}(y) \operatorname{div}(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}})(y) \, dy \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(y) \operatorname{div}\left(f_{j}\left(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}}\right)\right)(y) \, dy - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(y) \nabla f_{j}(y) \cdot (\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}})(y) \, dy \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(y) \operatorname{div}\left(f_{j}\left(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}}\right)\right)(y) \, dy - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \left[\left((\Re u \nabla f_{j}) * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}}\right)(y) - \Re u(y) \nabla f_{j}(y)\right] \cdot \varphi(y) \, dy \\ &=: \mathcal{I}' - \mathcal{I}'', \end{split}$$

where in the last equality we used (3.5). For \mathcal{II} , we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{II} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{j}^{N}} \int_{\Omega} \Im u(y) f_{j}(y) \eta \left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon_{j}} \right) \, dy \right] \, dx \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{j}^{N}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} A(y) \cdot \varphi(x) \Im u(y) f_{j}(y) \eta \left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon_{j}} \right) \, dx dy \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{j}^{N}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (A(x) - A(y)) \cdot \varphi(x) \Im u(y) f_{j}(y) \eta \left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon_{j}} \right) \, dx dy \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} A(y) \cdot \left(f_{j}(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}}) \right) (y) \Im u(y) \, dy \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{j}^{N}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (A(x) - A(y)) \cdot \varphi(x) \Im u(y) f_{j}(y) \eta \left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon_{j}} \right) \, dx dy. \end{split}$$

Denoting $f_j(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_j}) := (f_j(\varphi_1 * \eta_{\varepsilon_j}), \dots, f_j(\varphi_n * \eta_{\varepsilon_j}))$, we note that $|f_j(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_j})| \le 1$ for any $j \ge 0$, whenever $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le 1$. We also stress that $|\mathcal{I}''| < \varepsilon$, because of (3.6). Therefore,

$$(3.7) \qquad \left| \int_{\Omega} \Re v_{\varepsilon}(x) \operatorname{div}\varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \Im v_{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx \right| \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(y) \operatorname{div} \left(f_{j} \left(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}} \right) \right) (y) - A(y) \cdot \left(f_{j} \left(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}} \right) \right) (y) \Im u(y) \, dy \right| \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{j}^{N}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left(A(x) - A(y) \right) \cdot \varphi(x) \Im u(y) f_{j}(y) \eta \left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon_{j}} \right) \, dx \, dy \right| + \varepsilon.$$

Now,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(y) \operatorname{div} \left(f_j \left(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_j} \right) \right) (y) - A(y) \cdot \left(f_j \left(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_j} \right) \right) (y) \Im u(y) \, dy$$

can be treated as in [21, Theorem 2, Section 5.2.2.]. Indeed, recalling that by construction every point $x \in \Omega$ belongs to at most three of the sets U_j , we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(y) \operatorname{div} \left(f_{j} \left(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}} \right) \right) (y) - A(y) \cdot \left(f_{j}(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}}) \right) (y) \Im u(y) \, dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \Re u(y) \operatorname{div} \left(f_{0} \left(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{0}} \right) \right) (y) - A(y) \cdot \left(f_{0}(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{0}}) \right) (y) \Im u(y) \, dy \right| \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Re u(y) \operatorname{div} \left(f_{j} \left(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}} \right) \right) (y) - A(y) \cdot \left(f_{j}(\varphi * \eta_{\varepsilon_{j}}) \right) (y) \Im u(y) \, dy \right| \\ &\leq C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{1,A,u}(U_{j}) \leq C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) + 3 C_{1,A,u}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{0}) \\ &\leq C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) + 3 \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from (3.4). It remains to estimate

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j^N} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (A(x) - A(y)) \cdot \varphi(x) \Im u(y) f_j(y) \eta\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon_j}\right) dx dy \right| =: \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{III}_j|.$$

Recalling that A is locally Lipschitz, $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 1$ and that $\operatorname{supp}(\eta) \subset B_1(0)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{III}_j| \le \operatorname{Lip}(A, \Omega) \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta(z) dz \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j(y) |\Im u(y)| \, dy$$
$$= \varepsilon \operatorname{Lip}(A, \Omega) \, \|\Im(u)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} =: C \, \varepsilon.$$

Going back to (3.7), taking the supremum over φ and by the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$ we get precisely (3.3) for the real part. An analogous argument provides (3.3) also for the imaginary part and this concludes the proof.

Definition 3.11 (Extension domains). Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be a locally bounded function. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set. We say that Ω is an extension domain if its boundary $\partial\Omega$ is bounded and for any open set $W \supset \overline{\Omega}$, there exists a linear and continuous extension operator $E : BV_A(\Omega) \to BV_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$Eu = 0$$
, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus W$, and $|DEu|_A(\partial \Omega) = 0$,

for every $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.12 (Lipschitz extension domains). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open bounded set with Lipschitz boundary and $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ locally Lipschitz. Then Ω is an extension domain.

Proof. Given an arbitrary open set $W \supset \overline{\Omega}$, by virtue of [3, Proposition 3.21] there exists a linear and continuous extension operator $E_0: BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \to BV(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, such that

$$E_0 u = 0$$
, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus W$, and $|DE_0 u|(\partial \Omega) = 0$,

for all $u \in BV(\Omega)$. Given $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$, we have from Lemma 3.5 that $u \in BV(\Omega)$, which means that both $\Re u$ and $\Im u$ are elements of $BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. Let us define

$$Eu := E_0 \Re u + i E_0 \Im u, \quad u \in BV_A(\Omega)$$

Then $|DE_0\Re u|(\partial\Omega) = |DE_0\Im u|(\partial\Omega) = 0$ and there exists a positive constant C_W depending on W and Ω with

$$||E_0 \Re u||_{BV(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C_W ||\Re u||_{BV(\Omega)}, \qquad ||E_0 \Im u||_{BV(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C_W ||\Im u||_{BV(\Omega)}.$$

Taking into account Lemma 3.5, we have that

$$\begin{split} \|Eu\|_{BV_{A}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} &= C_{1,A,Eu}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) + C_{2,A,Eu}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) + \|E_{0}\Re u\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} + \|E_{0}\Im u\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &\leq |DE_{0}\Re u|(\mathbb{R}^{N}) + \|A\|_{L^{\infty}(W)} \|E_{0}\Im u\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} + \|E_{0}\Re u\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} + \|E_{0}\Im u\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &+ |DE_{0}\Im u|(\mathbb{R}^{N}) + \|A\|_{L^{\infty}(W)} \|E_{0}\Re u\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} + \\ &\leq (1 + \|A\|_{L^{\infty}(W)})(\|E_{0}\Re u\|_{BV(\mathbb{R}^{N})} + \|E_{0}\Im u\|_{BV(\mathbb{R}^{N})}) \\ &\leq (1 + \|A\|_{L^{\infty}(W)})C_{W}(\|\Re u\|_{BV(\Omega)} + \|\Im u\|_{BV(\Omega)}) \\ &= (1 + \|A\|_{L^{\infty}(W)})C_{W} \|u\|_{BV(\Omega)} \\ &\leq (1 + \|A\|_{L^{\infty}(W)})C_{W}K\|u\|_{BV_{A}(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, there exists $C = C(A, \Omega, W) > 0$ such that

$$||Eu||_{BV_A(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C ||u||_{BV_A(\Omega)}, \text{ for all } u \in BV_A(\Omega).$$

We have to prove that $|DEu|_A(\partial\Omega) = 0$. We have

$$|DEu|_A(\partial\Omega) := \inf\{C_{1,A,Eu}(U) \mid \partial\Omega \subset U, U \text{ open}\} + \inf\{C_{2,A,Eu}(U) \mid \partial\Omega \subset U \text{ open}\}$$

Then, for arbitrary U, U', U'' open with $\partial \Omega \subset U \subset U' \subset U'' \subset W$, we have

$$|DEu|_A(\partial\Omega) \le |DEu|_A(U) \le |DE_0 \Re u|(U) + |DE_0 \Im u|(U) + ||A||_{L^{\infty}(W)} ||Eu||_{L^1(U)} \le |DE_0 \Re u|(U) + |DE_0 \Im u|(U') + ||A||_{L^{\infty}(W)} ||Eu||_{L^1(U'')}.$$

Taking the infimum over U and recalling that $|DE_0\Re u|(\partial\Omega) = 0$ yields

$$|DEu|_A(\partial\Omega) \le |DE_0\Im u|(U') + ||A||_{L^{\infty}(W)} ||Eu||_{L^1(U'')}.$$

Taking the infimum over U' and recalling that $|DE_0\Im u|(\partial\Omega) = 0$ yields

$$|DEu|_A(\partial\Omega) \le ||A||_{L^{\infty}(W)} ||Eu||_{L^1(U'')}.$$

Finally, taking as U'' a sequence $\{U''_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of open sets such that $\partial\Omega \subset U''_j \subset W$ and with $\mathcal{L}^N(U''_j) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, we conclude that $|DEu|_A(\partial\Omega) = 0$.

Lemma 3.13 (Convolution). Assume that $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is locally Lipschitz. Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open set with $U \subseteq \Omega$ and let $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$. Then, for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there holds

$$|Du_{\varepsilon}|_{A}(U) \leq |Du|_{A}(\Omega) + \varepsilon \operatorname{Lip}(A, \Omega) ||u||_{L^{1}(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Fix $\varphi \in C_c^1(U, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} \leq 1$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N | d(x, U) < \delta\} \subset \Omega$. Then we have $\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N | d(x, U) < \delta\}$ for all small $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{U} \Re u_{\varepsilon}(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \Im u_{\varepsilon}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\Re u \right)_{\varepsilon}(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \left(\Im u \right)_{\varepsilon}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) (\operatorname{div} \varphi)_{\varepsilon}(x) - (A(x) \cdot \varphi(x))_{\varepsilon} \Im u(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) \Im u(x) dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \eta\left(\frac{x - y}{\varepsilon} \right) (A(y) - A(x)) \cdot \varphi(y) dy \Im u(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \Re u(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) - A(x) \cdot \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) \Im u(x) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N}} \int_{B(x,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{x - y}{\varepsilon} \right) |A(y) - A(x)| \, dy \, |\Im u(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq C_{1,A,u}(\Omega) + \varepsilon \operatorname{Lip}(A, \Omega) ||u||_{L^{1}(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

Similarly, for every $\varphi \in C_c^1(U, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} \leq 1$, we get

$$\int_{U} \Im u_{\varepsilon}(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) + A(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \Re u_{\varepsilon}(x) dx \le C_{2,A,u}(\Omega) + \varepsilon \operatorname{Lip}(A,\Omega) \|u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}.$$

By the definition of $|Du|_A(\Omega)$ and taking the supremum over all φ we get the assertion.

Lemma 3.14 (Compactness for $BV_A(\Omega)$ functions). Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary and that $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is locally bounded. Let $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $BV_A(\Omega)$. Then, up to a subsequence, it converges strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$ to some function $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$.

Proof. By the approximation Lemma 3.10, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $v_k \in BV_A(\Omega) \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

(3.8)
$$\int_{\Omega} |u_k - v_k|_1 dx < \frac{1}{k}, \qquad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |Dv_k|_A(\Omega) = C,$$

for some C > 0. In particular, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_k|_1 dx \le \int_{\Omega} |u_k - v_k|_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_k|_1 dx \le C' + 1, \qquad C' := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_k\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

Now, Lemma 3.4 yields $v_k \in W_A^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k - iAv_k|_1 dx = |Dv_k|_A(\Omega).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|_1 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k - iAv_k|_1 dx + C_1 \int_{\Omega} |Av_k|_1 dx$$
$$\leq |Dv_k|_A(\Omega) + C_1 ||A||_{L^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})} ||v_k||_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C''$$

for some C'' > 0. Hence we infer that $\{v_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$. Since $\partial \Omega$ is smooth, from Rellich compact embedding theorem there exists a subsequence $\{v_{k_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{v_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$

and $w \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $v_{k_j} \to w$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. Then from (3.8) we get $u_{k_j} \to w$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. By the semi-continuity Lemma 3.7 we obtain

$$|Dw|_A(\Omega) \le \liminf_{k_j} |Dv_{k_j}|_A(\Omega) \le C_j$$

which shows that $w \in BV_A(\Omega)$ and concludes the proof.

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

We now state two results that will be proven in the next section. In the following $Q_{p,N}$ is as in definition (1.1).

Theorem 4.1 (BV_A-case). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open bounded set with Lipschitz boundary and $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2 . Let $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$ and consider a sequence $\{\rho_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-negative radial functions with

(4.1)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \rho_m(r) r^{N-1} dr = 1$$

and such that, for every $\delta > 0$,

(4.2)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \rho_m(r) r^{N-1} dr = 0.$$

Then, we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy = Q_{1,N} |Du|_A(\Omega).$$

Theorem 4.2 $(W_A^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ case})$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open bounded set with Lipschitz boundary and $A \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$. Let $p \ge 1$, $u \in W_A^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\{\rho_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ as in Theorem 4.1. Then, we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)|_p^p}{|x-y|^p} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy = p Q_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - \mathrm{i}Au|_p^p dx.$$

Remark 4.3. In the notation of Theorem 4.1, assuming (4.1) and (4.2) automatically implies that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^{\sigma} \rho_m(r) r^{N-1+\beta} dr = 0, \quad \text{for every } \beta > 0 \text{ and for every } \delta > 0.$$

In fact, fixed $\delta > 0$, taking an arbitrary $0 < \tau < \delta$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{\delta} \rho_{m}(r) r^{N-1+\beta} dr = \int_{0}^{\tau} \rho_{m}(r) r^{N-1+\beta} dr + \int_{\tau}^{\delta} \rho_{m}(r) r^{N-1+\beta} dr$$
$$\leq \tau^{\beta} \int_{0}^{\tau} \rho_{m}(r) r^{N-1} dr + \delta^{\beta} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \rho_{m}(r) r^{N-1} dr \leq C\tau^{\beta} + \delta^{\beta} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \rho_{m}(r) r^{N-1} dr,$$

from which the assertion follows by letting $m \to \infty$ first, using (4.2), and finally letting $\tau \searrow 0$.

• Proof of the main result (Theorem 1.1) completed. Let r_{Ω} denote the diameter of Ω . Then we consider a function $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\psi(x) = \psi_0(|x|)$ with $\psi_0(t) = 1$ for $t < r_{\Omega}$ and $\psi_0(t) = 0$ for $t > 2r_{\Omega}$. Then $\psi_0(|x-y|) = 1$, for every $x, y \in \Omega$. Let $\{s_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0,1)$ with $s_m \nearrow 1$. For a $p \ge 1$ consider the sequence of radial functions in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$

(4.3)
$$\rho_m(|x|) := \frac{p(1-s_m)}{|x|^{N+ps_m-p}} \psi_0(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Notice that both conditions (4.1) and (4.2) hold, since

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^{r_\Omega} \rho_m(r) r^{N-1} dr = \lim_{m \to \infty} p(1 - s_m) \int_0^{r_\Omega} r^{-ps_m + p - 1} dr = \lim_{m \to \infty} r_\Omega^{p(1 - s_m)} = 1,$$

and

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{r_{\Omega}}^{2r_{\Omega}} \rho_m(r) r^{N-1} dr = \lim_{m \to \infty} p(1-s_m) \int_{r_{\Omega}}^{2r_{\Omega}} \frac{\psi_0(r)}{r^{ps_m+1-p}} dr \le C \lim_{m \to \infty} 1 - s_m = 0.$$

In a similar fashion, for any $\delta > 0$, there holds

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \rho_m(r) r^{N-1} dr \le C \lim_{m \to \infty} p(1-s_m) \int_{\delta}^{2r_\Omega} \frac{1}{t^{ps_m+1-p}} dt = 0.$$

Then Theorem 1.1 follows directly from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 using ρ_m as in (4.3). We first need the following

Lemma 4.4. Let $p \ge 1$. Then, for every $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$ it holds

(4.4)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| v \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_p^p \rho_m(h) dh = p Q_{p,N} |v|_p^p.$$

Proof. First of all we observe that, due to symmetry reasons, $Q_{p,N}$ is *independent* of the choice of the direction $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$. We prove that (4.4) easily follows assuming (4.4) with $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then

(4.5)
$$\left| v \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_{p}^{p} = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} v_{j} \frac{h_{j}}{|h|} \right|_{p}^{p} = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Re v_{j} \frac{h_{j}}{|h|} + i \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Im v_{j} \frac{h_{j}}{|h|} \right|_{p}^{p}$$
$$= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Re v_{j} \frac{h_{j}}{|h|} \right|^{p} + \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Im v_{j} \frac{h_{j}}{|h|} \right|^{p} = \left| \Re v \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|^{p} + \left| \Im v \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|^{p},$$

where we denoted by $\Re v = (\Re v_1, \ldots, \Re v_N)$ and $\Im v = (\Im v_1, \ldots, \Im v_N)$. Using (4.5) we get

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| v \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_p^p \rho_m(h) dh = \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \Re v \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|^p \rho_m(h) dh + \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \Im v \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|^p \rho_m(h) dh$$
$$= pQ_{p,N} \left(|\Re v|^p + |\Im v|^p \right) = pQ_{p,N} |v|_p^p.$$

In order to prove (4.4) with $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we apply co-area formula, a change of variable and (4.1), getting

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| v \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|^p \rho_m(h) dh = \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \int_{\{|h|=R\}} \left| v \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|^p \rho_m(h) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(h) dR$$
$$= \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \rho_m(R) R^{N-1} dR \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |v \cdot h|^p d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(h)$$
$$= |v|^p \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \left| \frac{v}{|v|} \cdot h \right|^p d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(h) = |v|^p \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot h|^p d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(h) = pQ_{p,N} |v|^p,$$

for an arbitrarily fixed $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$. This concludes the proof.

Let now $\{\rho_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ be as in Theorem 4.1. The following is the main result for smooth functions.

Proposition 4.5 (Smooth case). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded set and $A \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)|_p^p}{|x-y|^p} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy = pQ_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - \mathrm{i}Au|_p^p dx,$$

for every $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$ and for every $p \geq 1$. In particular, if p = 1 then

(4.6)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy = Q_{1,N} |Du|_A(\Omega).$$

Proof. Let $p \ge 1$. If we set $\varphi(y) := e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)$, since

$$\nabla_y \varphi(y) = e^{\mathbf{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} \Big(\nabla_y u(y) - \mathbf{i} A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) u(y) + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} u(y)(x-y) \cdot \nabla_y A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \Big),$$

if $x, y \in \Omega$, since $u, A \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, by Taylor's formula we get (for $y \in B(x, \rho) \subset \Omega$)

$$\frac{u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)}{|x-y|} = \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{|x-y|} = (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} + \mathcal{O}(|x-y|).$$

Then, taking into account (*ii*) of Lemma 5.1 below, applied with $T(x) := \nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)$ we get

$$\left|\frac{u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)}{|x-y|}\right|_p^p = \left| (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \right|_p^p + \mathcal{O}(|x-y|).$$

For $x \in \Omega$, if we set $R_x = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$, then we get for some positive constant C

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{m}(x) &:= \int_{\Omega} \Big| \frac{|u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)|_{p}^{p} - |(\nabla u(x) - \mathrm{i}A(x)u(x)) \cdot (x-y)|_{p}^{p}}{|x-y|} \rho_{m}(x-y) \Big| dy \\ &= \int_{B(x,R_{x})} \Big| \Big| \frac{u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)}{|x-y|} \Big|_{p}^{p} - \Big| (\nabla u(x) - \mathrm{i}A(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \Big|_{p}^{p} \Big| \rho_{m}(x-y) dy \\ &+ \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x,R_{x})} \Big| \Big| \frac{u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)}{|x-y|} \Big|_{p}^{p} - \Big| (\nabla u(x) - \mathrm{i}A(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \Big|_{p}^{p} \Big| \rho_{m}(x-y) dy \\ &\leq C \int_{B(x,R_{x})} |x-y|\rho_{m}(x-y)dy + C \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x,R_{x})} \rho_{m}(x-y)dy \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{R_{x}} \rho_{m}(r)r^{N}dr + C \int_{R_{x}}^{\infty} \rho_{m}(r)r^{N-1}dr, \end{split}$$

where to handle the second integral we used that

$$\left|\left|\frac{u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)}{|x-y|}\right|_p^p - \left|\left(\nabla u(x) - \mathrm{i}A(x)u(x)\right) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\right|_p^p\right| \le C, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \Omega.$$

Letting $m \to \infty$ and recalling (4.2) and Remark 4.3 we get $\Psi_m(x) \to 0$ for every $x \in \Omega$. Since

$$|\Psi_m(x)| \le C \int_{\Omega} \rho_m(x-y) dy \le C \int_0^\infty \rho_m(r) r^{N-1} dr \le C,$$

the Dominated Convergence Theorem yields $\Psi_m \to 0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $m \to \infty$. Then, to get the assertion, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|(\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot (x - y)|_p^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_m(x - y) dy dx = pQ_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - iAu|_p^p dx.$$

Fixed $x \in \Omega$, by virtue of formula (4.4), we can write

$$pQ_{p,N} |\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)|_p^p = \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \Big|_p^p \rho_m(h) dh \right|$$
$$= \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left| (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} \Big|_p^p \rho_m(x - y) dy \right|$$
$$+ \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \left| (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} \Big|_p^p \rho_m(x - y) dy \right|$$

To conclude the proof it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \left| (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} \right|_p^p \rho_m(x - y) dy dx = 0.$$

For every $\lambda > 0$, we denote

 $\Omega_{\lambda} := \{ x \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) > \lambda \},\$

and $M := \|\nabla u - iAu\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \left| (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} \right|_p^p \rho_m(x - y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega) \cap B(x,\lambda)} \left| (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} \right|_p^p \rho_m(x - y) dy dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega) \cap B(x,\lambda)^c} \left| (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} \right|_p^p \rho_m(x - y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\lambda} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega) \cap B(x,\lambda)^c} \left| (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} \right|_p^p \rho_m(x - y) dy dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega) \cap B(x,\lambda)^c} \left| (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} \right|_p^p \rho_m(x - y) dy dx \\ &\leq M \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\lambda} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega) \cap B(x,\lambda)} \rho_m(x - y) dx dy + M \int_{\Omega} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega) \cap B(x,\lambda)^c} \rho_m(x - y) dy dx \\ &\leq M |\Omega \setminus \Omega_\lambda| \int_{\{|h| \le \lambda\}} \rho_m(h) dh + M |\Omega| \int_{\{|h| > \lambda\}} \rho_m(h) dh, \end{split}$$

the assertion follows by letting $m \to \infty$, recalling formula (4.2), and finally letting $\lambda \to 0$. If p = 1the thesis follows recalling Lemma 3.4.

5. Proof of Theorem 4.2

We state in the following a few elementary inequalities concerning the norm introduced in (1.4).

Lemma 5.1. The following properties of $|\cdot|_p$ are true:

- (i) Let m = N or m = 1. There exists a positive constant C = C(p, N) such that $|z \cdot w|_p \leq C(p, N)$ $C |z|_p |w|_p, \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^m, w \in \mathbb{C}^N.$ (ii) If $T : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is a C^1 function, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left| \left| T(x) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} + \mathcal{O}(|x-y|) \right|_p^p - \left| T(x) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \right|_p^p \right| \le C|x-y|,$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$, where $\mathcal{O}(|x-y|)$ denotes any continuous function $R : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $|R(x,y)|_p |x-y|^{-1}$ is bounded in $\Omega \times \Omega$.

Proof. To prove (i) we proceed as follows: let $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$,

$$\begin{aligned} |z \cdot w|_p^p &= \Big| \sum_{j=1}^N z_j w_j \Big|_p^p = \Big(\Big| \sum_{j=1}^N \Re z_j \Re w_j - \Im z_j \Im w_j + i \Big(\Re z_j \Im w_j + \Im z_j \Re w_j \Big) \Big|_p \Big)^p \\ &= \Big| \sum_{j=1}^N \Re z_j \Re w_j - \Im z_j \Im w_j \Big|^p + \Big| \sum_{j=1}^N \Re z_j \Im w_j + \Im z_j \Re w_j \Big|^p \\ &\leq C(p) \Big(\Big| \sum_{j=1}^N \Re z_j \Re w_j \Big|^p + \Big| \sum_{j=1}^N \Im z_j \Im w_j \Big|^p + \Big| \sum_{j=1}^N \Re z_j \Im w_j \Big|^p + \Big| \sum_{j=1}^N \Im z_j \Re w_j \Big|^p \Big) \\ &\leq C(p) \left(|\Re z|^p |\Re w|^p + |\Im z|^p |\Im w|^p + |\Re z|^p |\Im w|^p + |\Im z|^p |\Re w|^p \right) \\ &= C |z|_p^p |w|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

The case m = 1, i.e. $z \in \mathbb{C}$, works in a similar way. To prove (*ii*), it is sufficient to combine the inequality $|b^p - a^p| \le M(a^{p-1} + b^{p-1})|b - a|$ for

$$a := \left| T(x) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} + \mathcal{O}(|x-y|) \right|_p, \qquad b := \left| T(x) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \right|_p,$$

with the triangular inequality

$$\left| \left| T(x) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} + \mathcal{O}(|x-y|) \right|_p - \left| T(x) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \right|_p \right| \le |\mathcal{O}(|x-y|)|_p \le C|x-y|,$$

taking into account that a, b are bounded in Ω .

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be locally bounded. Then, for any compact $V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\Omega \Subset V$, there exists C = C(A, V) > 0 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y+h) - e^{ih \cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)} u(y)|_p^p dy \le C|h|^p ||u||_{W^{1,p}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p,$$

for all $u \in W^{1,p}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that u = 0 on V^c and any $h \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|h| \leq 1$.

Proof. Assume first that $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with u = 0 on V^c . Fix $y, h \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and define

$$\varphi(t) := e^{\mathrm{i}(1-t)h \cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)}u(y+th), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Then we have $u(y+h) - e^{ih \cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)}u(y) = \int_0^1 \varphi'(t)dt$, and since

$$\varphi'(t) = e^{\mathrm{i}(1-t)h \cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)} h \cdot \left(\nabla_y u(y+th) - \mathrm{i}A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)u(y+th)\right),$$

by Hölder inequality and recalling that $|e^{i(1-t)h\cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)}|_p \leq 2$ we get

$$|u(y+h) - e^{ih \cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)}u(y)|_{p}^{p} \le 2|h|^{p} \int_{0}^{1} \left|\nabla_{y}u(y+th) - iA\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)u(y+th)\right|_{p}^{p} dt.$$

Therefore, integrating with respect to y over \mathbb{R}^N and using Fubini's Theorem, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y+h) - e^{\mathrm{i}h \cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)} u(y)|_p^p dy &\leq 2|h|^p \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \nabla_y u(y+th) - \mathrm{i}A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right) u(y+th) \right|_p^p dy \\ &= 2|h|^p \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \nabla_z u(z) - \mathrm{i}A\left(z+\frac{1-2t}{2}h\right) u(z) \right|_p^p dz \\ &\leq C|h|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla_z u(z) - \mathrm{i}A\left(z\right) u(z)|_p^p dz \\ &+ C|h|^p \int_V \left| A\left(z+\frac{1-2t}{2}h\right) - A(z) \right|_p^p |u(z)|_p^p dz. \end{split}$$

Then, since A is bounded on the set V, we have for some constant C > 0

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y+h) - e^{\mathrm{i}h \cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)} u(y)|_p^p dy &\leq C|h|^p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_z u(z) - \mathrm{i}A\left(z\right) u(z)|_p^p dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(z)|_p^p dz \right) \\ &= C|h|^p ||u||_{W_A^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p. \end{split}$$

When dealing with a general u we can argue by a density argument [27, Theorem 7.22].

Lemma 5.3. Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be locally bounded. Let $u \in W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)$ and $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\rho \ge 0$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{y-1}{2}\right)} u(y)|_{p}^{p}}{|x-y|^{p}} \rho(x-y) \, dx \, dy \leq C \|\rho\|_{L^{1}} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}_{A}(\Omega)}^{p}$$

where C depends only on Ω and A.

Proof. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a fixed compact set with $\Omega \in V$. Given $u \in W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)$, there exists $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,p}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\tilde{u} = u$ on Ω and $\tilde{u} = 0$ on V^c (see e.g. [37, Lemma 2.2]). By Lemma 5.2, we obtain

(5.1)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}(y+h) - e^{ih \cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)} \tilde{u}(y)|_p^p dy \le C|h|^p \|\tilde{u}\|_{W^{1,p}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \le C|h|^p \|u\|_{W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)}^p$$

for some positive constant C depending on Ω and A. Then, in light of (5.1), we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y)|_{p}^{p}}{|x-y|^{p}} \rho(x-y) \, dx dy &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \rho(h) \frac{|\tilde{u}(y+h) - e^{ih \cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)} \tilde{u}(y)|_{p}^{p}}{|h|^{p}} dy dh \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\rho(h)}{|h|^{p}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\tilde{u}(y+h) - e^{ih \cdot A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right)} \tilde{u}(y)|_{p}^{p} dy \Big) dh \\ &\leq C \|\rho\|_{L^{1}} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}_{A}(\Omega)}^{p}, \end{split}$$

concluding the proof.

We can now conclude the proof of Theorem 4.2. Setting

$$F_m^u(x,y) := \frac{u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y)}{|x-y|} \rho_m^{1/p}(x-y), \quad x, y \in \Omega, \ m \in \mathbb{N},$$

by virtue of Lemma 5.3, for all $u, v \in W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)$, we have (recall that ρ_m fulfills condition (4.1))

$$\left| \|F_m^u\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)} - \|F_m^v\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)} \right| \le \|F_m^u - F_m^v\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)} \le C \|u - v\|_{W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)},$$

for some C > 0 depending on Ω and A. This allows to prove the assertion for functions $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ since for every $u \in W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)$ there is a sequence $\{u_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $\|u_j - u\|_{W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)} \to 0$. Therefore, the assertion follows by Proposition 4.5.

6. Proof of Theorem 4.1

We first state a technical lemma.

Lemma 6.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be open and bounded and $A \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and R > 0. For $x, y \in \Omega$ let $\psi(z) := e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)}, \quad z \in B(0, R).$

Then there exist positive constants $D_1 = D_1(A, \Omega)$ and $D_2 = D_2(A, \Omega, R)$ such that

(6.1)
$$|\psi(z) - \psi(0)|_1 \le D_1 |z| |x - y| + D_2 |z|^2 |x - y|,$$

for every $z \in B(0, R)$. Moreover, $\limsup_{R \to 0} D_2 < \infty$.

Proof. Recalling (1.4), we can prove (6.1) separately for the real part $\Re \psi$ and the imaginary part $\Im \psi$. To simplify the notation, for fixed $x, y \in \Omega$, let us denote

$$\vartheta(z) := (x - y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x + y}{2} + z\right), \quad z \in B(0, R).$$

Therefore,

$$\psi(z) = \Re \psi(z) + \mathrm{i} \, \Im \psi(z) = \cos(\vartheta(z)) + \mathrm{i} \, \sin(\vartheta(z)), \quad z \in B(0, R).$$

We start considering first the real part $\Re\psi$. By Taylor's formula with Lagrange's rest, we have

(6.2)
$$\Re\psi(z) - \Re\psi(0) = \nabla\Re\psi(0) \cdot z + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\Re\psi(\bar{t}z)z \cdot z,$$

for some $\bar{t} \in [0, 1]$, where $\nabla^2 \Re \psi$ stands for the Hessian matrix of $\Re \psi$. A simple computation gives

$$\partial_{z_j} \Re \psi(z) = -\sin(\vartheta(z)) \,\partial_{z_j} \vartheta(z) = -\sin(\vartheta(z)) \,\sum_{k=1}^N (x_k - y_k) \partial_{z_j} A^{(k)} \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right)$$

for every $j = 1, \ldots, N$. Therefore, we have

(6.3)
$$\nabla \Re \psi(0) = -\sin\left((x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right)(x-y)\nabla A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right),$$

where ∇A denotes the Jacobian matrix of A. Another quite simple computation yields

(6.4)

$$\left(\nabla^2 \Re \psi(z) \right)_{h,j} = -\left[\cos(\vartheta(z)) \left((x-y) \cdot \partial_{z_h} A \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z \right) \right) \left((x-y) \cdot \partial_{z_j} A \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z \right) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \sin(\vartheta(z))(x-y) \cdot \partial_{z_h} \partial_{z_j} A \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z \right) \right],$$

for every $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$. Now, using (6.2) and (6.3) we get

-

(6.5)
$$|\Re\psi(z) - \Re\psi(0)| \le \left|\nabla A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right| |z||x-y| + \frac{1}{2}|z|^2|\nabla^2\Re\psi(\bar{t}z)|, \quad \text{for some } \bar{t} \in [0,1].$$

On the other hand, by (6.4) we get

$$\left|\nabla^2 \Re \psi(\bar{t}z)\right| \le |x-y| \left(C|x-y| \left| \nabla A \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \bar{t}z \right) \right|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \nabla^2 A^{(k)} \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \bar{t}z \right) \right| \right).$$

Therefore, (6.1) for $\Re \psi$ follows taking

$$D_1 := \sup_{x,y \in \Omega} \left| \nabla A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right| < \infty$$

and

$$D_2 := \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \Omega \\ z \in B(0,R)}} \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \nabla^2 A^{(k)} \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \bar{t}z \right) \right| + C|x-y| \left| \nabla A \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \bar{t}z \right) \right|^2 < \infty.$$

The fact that $\limsup_{R\to 0} D_2 < \infty$ follows observing that D_2 decreases as R decreases. Since a similar argument holds for $\Im \psi$, we get the assertion.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and $A \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$. Let $u \in L^1(\Omega)$. Denote by u_{ε} its regularization as defined in (3.2). Define

$$\Omega_r := \{ x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \partial \Omega) > r \}, \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Then, for all r > 0 and $\varepsilon \in (0, r)$ there holds

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega_r} \int_{\Omega_r} \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u_{\varepsilon}(y)|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2}+z)} u(y) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y) \right|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz. \end{split}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)} u(y) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y) \right|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz = 0.$$

Proof. Let us extend u to the whole of \mathbb{R}^N by zero. To simplify the notation, let us still denote by u its extension. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\varepsilon}(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u_{\varepsilon}(y) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(u(x-z) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y-z)\right)dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N}}\int_{B(0,\varepsilon)}\eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(u(x-z) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y-z)\right)dz. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, r)$, there holds

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega_r} \int_{\Omega_r} \frac{\left| u_{\varepsilon}(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u_{\varepsilon}(y) \right|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{\Omega_r} \int_{\Omega_r} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\left| u(x-z) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y-z) \right|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dz dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2}+z)} u(y) \right|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz \leq \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)\right|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)\right|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{II} := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2}+z)} u(y) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y) \right|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz.$$

Define $\psi(z) := e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)}$. Then $|\psi(z)|_1 \le 2$ for all $z \in B(0,\varepsilon)$ and by Lemma 6.1

$$|\psi(z) - \psi(0)|_1 \le D_1 |z| |x - y| + D_2 |z|^2 |x - y| \qquad \forall x, y \in \Omega, z \in B(0, \varepsilon),$$

for some $D_1 = D_1(A, \Omega)$ and $D_2 = D_2(A, \Omega, \varepsilon)$ which is bounded as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{II} &\leq \frac{D_1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u(y)|_1 \, |z| \, \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz + \\ &+ \frac{D_2}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u(y)|_1 \, |z|^2 \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz. \end{split}$$

We have

since

$$\begin{split} \frac{D_2}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u(y)|_1 |z|^2 \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz \\ &\leq \frac{D_2}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) |z|^2 dz \int_{\Omega} |u(y)|_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} \rho_m(x-y) dx\right) dy \leq 2D_2 |\mathbb{S}^{N-1}| ||u||_{L^1(\Omega)} \varepsilon^2, \\ &\int_{\Omega} \rho_m(x-y) dx \leq |\mathbb{S}^{N-1}| \int_0^\infty \rho_m(r) r^{N-1} dr \leq 2 |\mathbb{S}^{N-1}|, \text{ in view of } (4.1). \text{ Analogously, we have} \\ &\quad \frac{D_1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u(y)|_1 |z| \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz \leq 2D_1 |\mathbb{S}^{N-1}| ||u||_{L^1(\Omega)} \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{II} = 0,$$

and the thesis follows.

Lemma 6.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open and bounded set. Denote by $xy_t := tx + (1-t)y$ with $t \in [0,1]$ the linear combination of $x, y \in \Omega$. There exists a positive constant $C = C(N, \Omega, A)$ such that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \left(e^{\mathrm{i}(1-t)(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} - 1 \right) \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \cdot \left(\nabla_{y} u(xy_{t}) - \mathrm{i}A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) u(xy_{t}) \right) \right|_{1} \rho_{m}(x-y) dt dx dy \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{BV_{A}(W)} \Big(\int_{0}^{1} r^{N} \rho_{m}(r) dr + \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{N-1} \rho_{m}(r) dr \Big), \end{split}$$

for every open set $W \ni \Omega$ and for every $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ such that u = 0 on W^c .

Proof. It is readily seen that there exists a positive constant $C = C(A, \Omega)$ such that

(6.6)
$$\left| e^{i(1-t)(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} - 1 \right|_1 \le C|x-y|, \text{ for all } x, y \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in [0,1].$$

Then, by (i) of Lemma 5.1 with p = 1 and by (6.6), we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \left(e^{\mathrm{i}(1-t)(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} - 1 \right) \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \cdot \left(\nabla_{y} u(xy_{t}) - \mathrm{i}A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) u(xy_{t}) \right) \right|_{1} \rho_{m}(x-y) dt dx dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \left| e^{\mathrm{i}(1-t)(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} - 1 \right|_{1} \left| \nabla_{y} u(xy_{t}) - \mathrm{i}A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) u(xy_{t}) \right|_{1} \rho_{m}(x-y) dt dx dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} |x-y| \rho_{m}(x-y) \left| \nabla_{y} u(xy_{t}) - \mathrm{i}A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) u(xy_{t}) \right|_{1} dt dx dy \leq \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I} \end{split}$$

where we have set

ave set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &:= C \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} |x - y| \rho_m(x - y) \Big| \nabla_y u(xy_t) - \mathrm{i}A(xy_t) \, u(xy_t) \Big|_1 dt dx dy, \\ \mathcal{II} &:= C \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} |x - y| \rho_m(x - y) \Big| A\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) - A(xy_t) \Big| \, |u(xy_t)|_1 \, dt dx dy \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant $C = C(A, \Omega)$. Then we get

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I} &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{B(y,1)\cap\Omega} |x-y|\rho_m(x-y) \left(\int_0^1 \left| \nabla_y u(xy_t) - \mathbf{i}A\left(xy_t\right) u(xy_t) \right|_1 dt \right) dx \right) dy \\ &+ C \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{B(y,1)^c\cap\Omega} \rho_m(x-y) \left(\int_0^1 \left| \nabla_y u(xy_t) - \mathbf{i}A\left(xy_t\right) u(xy_t) \right|_1 dt \right) dx \right) dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\int_{B(0,1)} |z|\rho_m(z) \left(\int_0^1 \left| \nabla_y u(y+tz) - \mathbf{i}A\left(y+tz\right) u(y+tz) \right|_1 dt \right) dz \right) dy \\ &+ C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\int_{B(0,1)^c} \rho_m(z) \left(\int_0^1 \left| \nabla_y u(y+tz) - \mathbf{i}A\left(y+tz\right) u(y+tz) \right|_1 dt \right) dz \right) dy \\ &\leq C \int_{B(0,1)} |z|\rho_m(z) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^1 \left| \nabla_y u(y+tz) - \mathbf{i}A\left(y+tz\right) u(y+tz) \right|_1 dt dy \right) dz \\ &+ C \int_{B(0,1)^c} \rho_m(z) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^1 \left| \nabla_y u(y+tz) - \mathbf{i}A\left(y+tz\right) u(y+tz) \right|_1 dt dy \right) dz \\ &\leq C \left(\int_W \left| \nabla_y u(z) - \mathbf{i}A\left(z\right) u(z) \right|_1 dz \right) \left(\int_0^1 r^N \rho_m(r) dr + \int_1^\infty r^{N-1} \rho_m(r) dr \right), \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality we used

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^1 \left| \nabla_y u(y+tz) - iA(y+tz) u(y+tz) \right|_1 dt dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \nabla_y u(z) - iA(z) u(z) \right|_1 dz$$

as well as

$$\int_{W} \left| \nabla_{y} u(z) - iA(z) u(z) \right|_{1} dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left| \nabla_{y} u(z) - iA(z) u(z) \right|_{1} dz.$$

On the other hand, denoting by $\mathrm{Conv}(\Omega)$ the convex hull of $\Omega,$ and arguing in a similar fashion, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{II} &\leq C \|A\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{Conv}(\Omega))} \left(\int_{W} \left| u(z) \right|_{1} dz \right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{N} \rho_{m}(r) dr + \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{N-1} \rho_{m}(r) dr \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{W} \left| u(z) \right|_{1} dz \right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{N} \rho_{m}(r) dr + \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{N-1} \rho_{m}(r) dr \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant $C = C(A, \Omega)$. The desired assertion finally follows by combining the above inequalities and then using Lemma 3.4.

The following lemma is an adaptation to our case of [20, Lemma 3] and [34, Lemma 5.2].

Lemma 6.4. Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be locally Lipschitz and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open and bounded set. Then there exists a positive constant $C = C(\Omega, A)$ such that for all $r, m > 0, W \supseteq \Omega$ (i.e. Ω is compactly contained in W) and $u \in BV_A(\Omega)$, denoting by $\overline{u} \in BV_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ an extension of u to \mathbb{R}^N such that $\overline{u} = 0$ in W^c , the following inequality holds

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)|_{1}}{|x-y|} \rho_{m}(x-y) dx dy \\ &\leq Q_{1,N} |D\overline{u}|_{A}(E_{r}') \int_{0}^{r} \rho_{m}(s) s^{N-1} ds + \frac{\operatorname{Lip}(A, E_{r}') ||\overline{u}||_{L^{1}(W)}}{2} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N} \rho_{m}(s) ds \\ &+ C ||\overline{u}||_{BV_{A}(W')} \Big(\int_{0}^{1} s^{N} \rho_{m}(s) ds + \int_{1}^{\infty} s^{N-1} \rho_{m}(s) ds \Big) \\ &+ \frac{C ||\overline{u}||_{L^{1}(W)}}{r} \int_{r}^{\infty} s^{N-1} \rho_{m}(s) ds, \end{split}$$

where $E_r := \Omega + B(0, r), W'$ (resp. E'_r) is any bounded open set with $W' \supseteq W$ (resp. $E'_r \supseteq E_r$).

Proof. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, r)$, let $\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}$ be as in formula (3.2) for $\overline{u} : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$. By a change of variables, Fubini's Theorem and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y)|_{1}}{|x-y|} \rho_{m}(x-y) dx dy \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \Big(\int_{\Omega \cap B(y,r)} \frac{|\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y)|_{1}}{|x-y|} \rho_{m}(x-y) dx \Big) dy + \frac{C \|\overline{u}\|_{L^{1}(W)}}{r} \int_{B(0,r)^{c}} \rho_{m}(h) dh, \end{split}$$

where C = C(N) > 0. Let us now define $\psi(t) := e^{i(1-t)(x-y)\cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(tx+(1-t)y), t \in [0,1]$. Then

$$\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y) = \psi(1) - \psi(0) = \int_0^1 \psi'(t) dt,$$

and since

$$\psi'(t) = e^{\mathrm{i}(1-t)(x-y)\cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}(x-y)\cdot \left(\nabla_y \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(tx+(1-t)y) - \mathrm{i}A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(tx+(1-t)y)\right),$$

we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \Big(\int_{\Omega \cap B(y,r)} \frac{|\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y)|_{1}}{|x-y|} \rho_{m}(x-y) dx \Big) dy \leq \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I},$$

where we have set

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I} &:= \int_{\Omega} \Big(\int_{\Omega \cap B(y,r)} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \cdot \left(\nabla_{y} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(xy_{t}) - \mathrm{i}A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(xy_{t}) \right) \right|_{1} \rho_{m}(x-y) dt dx \Big) dy \\ \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I} &:= \Big| \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap B(y,r)} \int_{0}^{1} \left| e^{\mathrm{i}(1-t)(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \cdot \left(\nabla_{y} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(xy_{t}) - \mathrm{i}A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(xy_{t}) \right) \right|_{1} \rho_{m}(x-y) dt dx dy \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap B(y,r)} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \cdot \left(\nabla_{y} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(xy_{t}) - \mathrm{i}A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(xy_{t}) \right) \right|_{1} \rho_{m}(x-y) dt dx dy \Big|. \end{split}$$

Let $W_{\varepsilon} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : d(x, W) < \varepsilon\}$, we have $\overline{u}_{\varepsilon} = 0$ on W_{ε}^c and by Lemmas 6.3 and 3.13

(6.7)
$$\mathcal{II} \leq C \|\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{BV_{A}(W_{\varepsilon})} \left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{N} \rho_{m}(r) dr + \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{N-1} \rho_{m}(r) dr \right)$$
$$\leq C \left(\|\overline{u}\|_{BV_{A}(W')} + \varepsilon \operatorname{Lip}(A, W') \|\overline{u}\|_{L^{1}(W')} \right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{N} \rho_{m}(r) dr + \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{N-1} \rho_{m}(r) dr \right),$$

for an arbitrary open set $W' \supseteq W$ and for some positive constant $C = C(N, \Omega, A).$ On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I} &\leq \int_{B(0,r)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} \left| \left(\nabla_{y} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y+th) - \mathrm{i}A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y+th) \right) \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_{1} \rho_{m}(h) dy dt dh \\ &\leq \int_{B(0,r)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} \left| \left(\nabla_{y} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y+th) - \mathrm{i}A\left(y+th\right) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y+th) \right) \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_{1} \rho_{m}(h) dy dt dh \\ &+ \int_{B(0,r)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} \left| \left(\mathrm{i}A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y+th) - \mathrm{i}A\left(y+th\right) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y+th) \right) \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_{1} \rho_{m}(h) dy dt dh \\ &\leq \int_{B(0,r)} \int_{E_{r}} \left| \left(\nabla_{y} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(z) - \mathrm{i}A\left(z\right) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(z) \right) \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_{1} \rho_{m}(h) dz dh \\ &+ \int_{B(0,r)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} \left| \left(A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right) - A\left(y+th\right) \right) \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_{1} |\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y+th)|_{1} \rho_{m}(h) dy dt dh \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{r} \int_{E_{r}} \left(\int_{S^{N-1}} \left| \left(\nabla_{y} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(z) - \mathrm{i}A\left(z\right) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(z) \right) \cdot w \right|_{1} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(w) \right) s^{N-1} \rho_{m}(s) dz ds \\ &+ \int_{B(0,r)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} \left| \left(A\left(y+\frac{h}{2}\right) - A\left(y+th\right) \right) \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_{1} |\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y+th)|_{1} \rho_{m}(h) dy dt dh. \end{split}$$

Taking into account that (see the final lines of the proof of Lemma 4.4)

$$\int_{S^{N-1}} |\xi \cdot w|_1 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(w) = Q_{1,N} |\xi|_1, \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{C}^N,$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I} &\leq Q_{1,N} \int_0^r \int_{E_r} \left| \nabla_y \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(z) - \mathrm{i}A\left(z\right) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(z) \right|_1 s^{N-1} \rho_m(s) ds dz \\ &+ \int_{B(0,r)} \int_0^1 \int_\Omega \left| \left(A\left(y + \frac{h}{2}\right) - A\left(y + th\right) \right) \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_1 \left| \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y + th) \right|_1 \rho_m(h) dy dt dh. \end{split}$$

Whence, taking into account Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.13, we finally get

$$(6.8) \qquad \mathcal{I} \leq Q_{1,N} \left(\int_{E_r} |\nabla_y \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(z) - iA(z) \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(z)|_1 dz \right) \int_0^r \rho_m(s) s^{N-1} ds + \int_{B(0,r)} \int_0^1 \int_\Omega \left| \left(A\left(y + \frac{h}{2}\right) - A(y + th) \right) \cdot \frac{h}{|h|} \right|_1 |\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y + th)|_1 \rho_m(h) dy dt dh \leq Q_{1,N} \left(|D\overline{u}|_A(E_r') \int_{B(0,r)} \rho_m(h) dh + \varepsilon \operatorname{Lip}(A, E_r') ||\overline{u}||_{L^1(E_r')} \right) + \frac{\operatorname{Lip}(A, E_r') ||\overline{u}||_{L^1(W)}}{2} \int_{B(0,r)} |h| \rho_m(h) dh,$$

where in the last inequality we used Lemma 3.13. Putting together (6.8) and (6.7) we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{2})}\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(y)|_{1}}{|x-y|} \rho_{m}(x-y)dxdy \\ &\leq Q_{1,N}\Big(|D\overline{u}|_{A}(E_{r}')\int_{0}^{r}\rho_{m}(s)s^{N-1}ds + \varepsilon \mathrm{Lip}(A,E_{r}')\|\overline{u}\|_{L^{1}(E_{r}')}\Big) + \frac{\mathrm{Lip}(A,E_{r}')\|\overline{u}\|_{L^{1}(W)}}{2}\int_{0}^{r}s^{N}\rho_{m}(s)ds \\ &+ C\left(\|\overline{u}\|_{BV_{A}(W')} + \varepsilon \mathrm{Lip}(A,W')\|\overline{u}\|_{L^{1}(W')}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{1}s^{N}\rho_{m}(s)ds + \int_{1}^{\infty}s^{N-1}\rho_{m}(s)ds\right) \\ &+ \frac{C\|\overline{u}\|_{L^{1}(W)}}{r}\int_{r}^{\infty}s^{N-1}\rho_{m}(s)ds. \end{split}$$

The conclusion follows letting $\varepsilon \to 0^+$.

• Proof of Theorem 4.1 concluded. Fix r > 0, $W \supseteq \Omega$ and let $\overline{u} = Eu \in BV_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be an extension of u such that $\overline{u} = 0$ in W^c and $|D\overline{u}|_A(\partial\Omega) = 0$, according to Lemma 3.12. Using Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.4 for every $0 < \varepsilon < r$ we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega_r \cap B(0,1/r)} \int_{\Omega_r \cap B(0,1/r)} \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u_{\varepsilon}(y)|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2}+z)} u(y) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)\right|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz \\ &\leq Q_{1,N} |D\overline{u}|_A(E'_r) \int_0^r \rho_m(s) s^{N-1} ds + \frac{\mathrm{Lip}(A,E'_r) ||\overline{u}||_{L^1(W)}}{2} \int_0^r s^N \rho_m(s) ds \\ &+ C ||\overline{u}||_{BV_A(W')} \left(\int_0^1 s^N \rho_m(s) ds + \int_1^\infty s^{N-1} \rho_m(s) ds\right) + \frac{C ||\overline{u}||_{L^1(W)}}{r} \int_r^\infty s^{N-1} \rho_m(s) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2}+z)} u(y) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)\right|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dx dy dz. \end{split}$$

Letting $m \to \infty$, using (4.6), (4.1) and (4.2) we get

$$\begin{split} Q_{1,N}|Du_{\varepsilon}|_{A}(\Omega_{r}\cap B(0,1/r)) &\leq \lim_{m\to\infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)|_{1}}{|x-y|}\rho_{m}(x-y)dxdy \\ &+ \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N}} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2}+z)}u(y) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)\right|_{1}}{|x-y|}\rho_{m}(x-y)dxdydz \\ &\leq Q_{1,N}|D\overline{u}|_{A}(E_{r}') \\ &+ \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N}} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2}+z)}u(y) - e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)\right|_{1}}{|x-y|}\rho_{m}(x-y)dxdydz \end{split}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, using the lower semi-continuity of the total variation and Lemma 6.2 we have

$$Q_{1,N}|Du|_A(\Omega_r \cap B(0,1/r)) \le \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - e^{\mathbf{i}(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)|_1}{|x-y|} \rho_m(x-y) dxdy$$
$$\le Q_{1,N}|D\overline{u}|_A(E'_r),$$

the assertion follows letting $r \searrow 0$ and observing that

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} |Du|_A(\Omega_r \cap B(0, 1/r)) = \lim_{r \to 0^+} |D\overline{u}|_A(E'_r) = |Du|_A(\Omega).$$

Indeed, since $|Du|_A(\cdot)$ is a Radon measure, then by inner regularity

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} |Du|_A(\Omega_r \cap B(0, 1/r)) = |Du|_A(\Omega)$$

and by outer regularity

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} |D\overline{u}|_A(E'_r) = |Du|_A(\overline{\Omega}) = |Du|_A(\Omega).$$

This concludes the proof.

References

- L. Ambrosio, Metric space valued functions of bounded variation, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 17 (1990), 405–425. 5
- [2] L. Ambrosio, G. De Philippis, L. Martinazzi, Γ-convergence of nonlocal perimeter functionals, Manuscripta Math. 134 (2011), 377-403. 2
- [3] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, D. Pallara, Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. 5, 9, 13
- [4] G. Anzellotti, M. Giaquinta, BV functions and traces, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 60 (1978), 1–21. 10
- [5] G. Arioli, A. Szulkin, A semilinear Schrödinger equation in the presence of a magnetic field, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 170 (2003), 277–295. 2
- [6] J. Avron, I. Herbst, B. Simon, Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields. I. General interactions, Duke Math. J. 45 (1978), 847–883. 2
- [7] D. Barbieri, Approximations of Sobolev norms in Carnot groups, Comm. Contemp. Math. 13 (2011), 765–794.
- [8] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, Another look at Sobolev spaces, in Optimal Control and Partial Differential Equations. A Volume in Honor of Professor Alain Bensoussan's 60th Birthday (eds. J. L. Menaldi, E. Rofman and A. Sulem), IOS Press, Amsterdam, 2001, 439–455. 1, 2, 3
- [9] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, Limiting embedding theorems for W^{s,p} when s ↑ 1 and applications, J. Anal. Math. 87 (2002), 77–101.
- [10] L. Brasco, E. Parini, M. Squassina, Stability of variational eigenvalues for the fractional p-Laplacian, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A 36 (2016), 1813–1845. 1
- [11] H. Brezis, How to recognize constant functions. Connections with Sobolev spaces, Russian Mathematical Surveys 57 (2002), 693–708. 3
- [12] H. Brezis, New approximations of the total variation and filters in imaging, Rend Accad. Lincei 26 (2015), 223-240. 2
- H. Brezis, Hoai-Minh Nguyen, Non-local functionals related to the total variation and connections with Image Processing, preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.08204 2
- [14] H. Brezis, Hoai-Minh Nguyen, The BBM formula revisited, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., to appear. http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.05518 2
- [15] H. Brezis, Hoai-Minh Nguyen, Two subtle convex nonlocal approximations of the BV-norm, Nonlinear Anal. 137 (2016), 222-245. 2
- [16] L. Caffarelli, J. -M. Roquejoffre, O. Savin, Nonlocal minimal surfaces, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 63 (2010), 1111–1144. 2
- [17] L. Caffarelli, E. Valdinoci, Regularity properties of nonlocal minimal surfaces via limiting arguments, Adv. Math. 248 (2013), 843–871.
- [18] X. Cui, N. Lam, G. Lu, New characterizations of Sobolev spaces in the Heisenberg group, J. Funct. Anal. 267 (2014), 2962–2994. 2

29

- [19] P. d'Avenia, M. Squassina, Ground states for fractional magnetic operators, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., to appear. 2
- [20] J. Davila, On an open question about functions of bounded variation, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 15 (2002), 519–527. 2, 3, 26
- [21] L.C. Evans and R.F. Gariepy, Measure theory and fine properties of functions, Chapman and Hall/CRC; Revised ed. edition, 2015. 5, 6, 10, 13
- [22] S. Dipierro, A. Figalli, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, Asymptotics of the s-perimeter as $s \to 0$, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **33** (2013), 2777–2790. 2
- [23] F. Ferrari, A. Pinamonti, Characterization by asymptotic mean formulas of p-harmonic functions in Carnot groups, Potential Anal. 42 (2015), 203–227. 2
- [24] T. Ichinose, Magnetic relativistic Schrödinger operators and imaginary-time path integrals, Mathematical physics, spectral theory and stochastic analysis, 247–297, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 232, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2013. 2
- [25] G. Leoni, D. Spector, Characterization of Sobolev and BV spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), 2926–2958. 2
- [26] G. Leoni, D. Spector, Corrigendum to "Characterization of Sobolev and BV spaces", J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014), 1106–1114. 2
- [27] E. Lieb and M. Loss, Analysis, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 14, 2001. 2, 21
- [28] M. Ludwig, Anisotropic fractional Sobolev norms, Adv. Math. 252 (2014), 150–157. 2
- [29] M. Ludwig, Anisotropic fractional perimeters, J. Differential Geom. 96 (2014), 77–93. 2
- [30] V. Maz'ya and T. Shaposhnikova, On the Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 195 (2002), 230–238. 1
- [31] V. Maz'ya and T. Shaposhnikova, Erratum to: "On the Bourgain, Brezis and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces", J. Funct. Anal. 201 (2003), 298–300. 1
- [32] H.-M. Nguyen, Some new characterizations of Sobolev spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 237 (2006), 689–720. 1
- [33] A. Pinamonti, M. Squassina, E. Vecchi, The Maz'ya-Shaposhnikova limit in the magnetic setting, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 449 (2017), 1152–1159. 2
- [34] A. Ponce, A new approach to Sobolev spaces and connections to Γ-convergence, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 19 (2004), 229–255. 2, 3, 26
- [35] A. Ponce, D. Spector, On formulae decoupling the total variation of BV functions, Nonlinear Anal., to appear http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2016.08.028 2
- [36] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics, I, Functional analysis, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1980 2
- [37] M. Squassina, B. Volzone, Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu formula for magnetic operators, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 354 (2016), 825–831. 1, 2, 3, 21
- [38] W. P. Ziemer, Weakly Differentiable Functions. Sobolev Spaces and Functions of Bounded Variation. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. New York, NY, 1989. 5

(A. Pinamonti) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA

UNIVERSITÀ DI TRENTO, VIA SOMMARIVE 14, 38050 POVO (TRENTO), ITALY *E-mail address*: andrea.pinamonti@unitn.it

(M. Squassina) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE, VIA DEI MUSEI 41, I-25121 BRESCIA, ITALY *E-mail address*: marco.squassina@dmf.unicatt.it

(E. Vecchi) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA

UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA, PIAZZA DI PORTA S. DONATO 5, 40126, BOLOGNA, ITALY *E-mail address*: eugenio.vecchi2@unibo.it