

STRONG SOLUTIONS OF A CONTINUUM MODEL FOR EPITAXIAL GROWTH WITH ELASTICITY ON VICINAL SURFACES

XIN YANG LU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we analyze the parabolic equation

$$u_t = - \left[H(u_x) + \log(u_{xx} + a) + (u_{xx} + a)^2/2 \right]_{xx}, \quad u(0) = u^0, \quad (1)$$

where $a > 0$ is a given parameter, and H denotes the Hilbert transform. Equation (1) arises from a continuum model for heteroepitaxial growth organizing according to misfit elasticity forces, derived by Xiang (SIAM J. Appl. Math. 63:241–258, 2002), and subsequently studied by Dal Maso, Fonseca and Leoni (Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 212: 1037–1064, 2014). Then, it was proven by Fonseca, Leoni and the author, that (1) admits a unique weak solution, which is also Lipschitz regular in time (Commun. Part. Diff. Eq. 40(10):1942–1957, 2015). The aim of this paper is to prove existence, uniqueness and regularity of strong solutions of (1).

Keywords: epitaxial growth, vicinal surfaces, evolution equations, Hilbert transform, monotone operators

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K55, 35K67, 44A15, 74K35

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study existence, uniqueness, and regularity of strong solutions of

$$u_t = - \left[H(u_x) + \Phi'_a(u_{xx}) \right]_{xx}, \quad u(0) = u^0, \quad (2)$$

where u^0 is the initial datum, $a > 0$ is a given constant, Φ_a is defined by

$$\Phi_a(\xi) := \Phi(\xi + a),$$

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow (-\infty, +\infty], \quad \Phi(\xi) := \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \xi < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi = 0, \\ \xi \log \xi + \xi^3/6 & \text{if } \xi > 0, \end{cases}$$

and H denotes the Hilbert transform. That is,

$$H(f)(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int_I \frac{f(x-y)}{\tan(y/2)} dy, \quad I := (-\pi, \pi),$$

with PV denoting the Cauchy principal value. Equation (2) arises in the context of heteroepitaxial growth. It was proven, by Dal Maso, Fonseca and Leoni in [7], that (2) is equivalent to

$$h_t = - \left[H(h_x) + \left(\frac{1}{h_x} + h_x \right) h_{xx} \right]_{xx}. \quad (3)$$

The latter is (upon space inversion) the continuum variant derived by Xiang in [14] of the discrete models describing heteroepitaxial growth proposed by Duport, Politi and Villain in [8], and by Tersoff, Phang, Zhang and Lagally in [13]. We refer the interested reader to the related works by Xiang and E [15], and by Xu and Xiang [16].

The choice (in [7]) to study (2) on $I = (-\pi, \pi)$, and then extend to \mathbb{R} by periodicity, is based on the fact that only the function u and its derivatives (and never the space coordinate x alone) appear explicitly in (2). The main advantage to study (2) on $I = (-\pi, \pi)$ is the ability to use Poincaré's inequality.

It was also proven by Dal Maso, Fonseca and Leoni ([7, Theorems 1 and 2]) that (2) admits a weak solution u satisfying some particular variational inequalities. Subsequently, the existence of a Lipschitz regular weak solution of (2) was proven by Fonseca, Leoni and the author [9]. More precisely, [9, Theorem 1] states that given $T, a > 0$, and $u^0 \in W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,2}(I)$ (defined in (6) below) satisfying

- there exists $z^0 \in L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I)$ such that

$$\int_I [z^0 v - H(u_{xx}^0) v_x + \Phi_a(v_{xx}) - \Phi_a(u_{xx}^0)] dx \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

for any $v \in W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,3}(I)$,

then there exists a unique solution u of (2) in the sense that

$$\int_0^T \int_I u_t(t) \varphi(t) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_I [H(u_{xx}(t)) \varphi_x(t) - \Phi_a'(u_{xx}(t)) \varphi_{xx}(t)] dx dt \quad (5)$$

for any test function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty((0, T) \times I; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the solution u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L^\infty(0, T; W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,3}(I)) \cap C^0([0, T]; L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I)), \\ u_t &\in L^\infty(0, T; L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I)), \quad u(0) = u^0. \end{aligned}$$

Here, and for future reference, given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, +\infty]$, $W_{\text{per}_0}^{k,p}(I)$ and $L_{\text{per}_0}^p(I)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{per}_0}^{k,p}(I) &:= \left\{ f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}) : f \text{ is } 2\pi\text{-periodic and } \int_I f dx = 0 \right\}, \quad (6) \\ L_{\text{per}_0}^p(I) &:= \left\{ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}) : f \text{ is } 2\pi\text{-periodic and } \int_I f dx = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and endowed with the standard norm of $W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R})$ and $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R})$ respectively. Moreover, it can be shown, by straightforward computation, that $W_{\text{per}_0}^{k,p}(I)$ and $L_{\text{per}_0}^p(I)$ are reflexive for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (1, +\infty)$.

It has been suggested by Leoni that, for sufficiently regular initial datum, equation (2) should admit a strong solution. The aim of this paper is to study existence, uniqueness and regularity of strong solutions of (2). The main results are:

Theorem 1. (*Existence and regularity*) *Given $T, a > 0$, an initial datum $u^0 \in W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,2}(I)$ such that*

$$H(u_x^0)_{xx} + \Phi'_a(u_{xx}^0)_{xx} \in L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I), \quad (7)$$

then there exists a strong solution

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,2}(I)) \cap C^0([0, T]; L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I)), \quad u_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I)),$$

such that

$$u_t(t) = -H(u_x(t))_{xx} - \Phi'_a(u_{xx}(t))_{xx} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T], \quad u(0) = u^0, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\|u_t\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I))} \leq \|H(u_x^0)_{xx} + \Phi'_a(u_{xx}^0)_{xx}\|_{L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I)}. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 2. (*Uniqueness and stability*) *Under the same hypotheses of Theorem 1, the strong solution given by Theorem 1 is unique.*

Moreover, denote by u the unique strong solution of (2), and let

$$D := \{z \in L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I) : H(z_x)_{xx} + \Phi'_a(z_{xx})_{xx} \in L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I)\}, \quad (10)$$

$$Y := L^2(0, T; W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,2}(I)) \cap C^0([0, T]; L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I)),$$

$$\|\cdot\|_Y := \|\cdot\|_{L^2(0, T; W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,2}(I))} + \|\cdot\|_{C^0([0, T]; L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I))}.$$

Then the function

$$\sigma : (D, \|\cdot\|_{L^2(I)}) \longrightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y), \quad \sigma(u^0) := u, \quad (11)$$

which maps an initial datum u^0 into the solution u of (2), is 2-Lipschitz continuous.

Corollary 3. (*Exponential decay for speed*) *Under the same hypotheses of Theorem 1, denote by u the unique solution of (2). Then, setting,*

$$B : W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,2}(I) \longrightarrow (W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,2}(I))', \quad Bu := H(u_x)_{xx} + \Phi'_a(u_{xx})_{xx}, \quad (12)$$

it holds

$$\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(I)} \leq e^{-t} \|Bu^0\|_{L^2(I)}.$$

The theory for evolution equations governed by symmetric maximal monotone operators (see Definition 4 below) is quite rich (see for instance Brézis [2] and references therein). Similarly, the theory for evolution equations governed by accretive operators (see Definition 5 below) is also quite rich (see for instance Barbu [1], Crandall and Liggett [5], Crandall and Pazy [6]). However, there are essentially two main difficulties in our analysis:

- (1) first, the operator B governing (2) is not symmetric, unbounded, and not accretive.
- (2) Second, the domain of B is *not* the entire space $W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,2}(I)$, due to the non-definition of Φ on $(-\infty, 0)$.

To overcome these issues, we will exploit heavily the variational structure of (2), and the monotonicity of B . Crucial steps are Lemma 6 and Proposition 7.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The main aim of this section is to present the setting of our problem, and to prove the crucial estimates in Lemma 6 and Proposition 7. As done by Dal Maso, Fonseca and Leoni in [7], and by Fonseca, Leoni and the author in [9], we will study (2) on the space domain $I = (-\pi, \pi)$ (and then extend by periodicity).

Let

$$V := W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,2}(I), \quad U := L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I).$$

Endow U with the standard inner product of $L^2(I)$

$$\langle u^*, u \rangle_{U',U} := \int_I u^* u \, dx, \quad u^* \in U', \, u \in U,$$

and identify U with its dual U' . Endow V with the norm $\|v\|_V := \|v_{xx}\|_{L^2(I)}$. It is straightforward to check that U, V are reflexive. The duality pairing on V will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V',V}$. More explicitly, given $v^* \in V', v \in V$, it holds

$$\langle v^*, v \rangle_{V',V} = \int_I v^* v \, dx.$$

Note that the embeddings $V \hookrightarrow U \hookrightarrow V'$ are compact, hence (V, U, V') is a Gelfand triple. Since the underlying space V is reflexive, it is straightforward to check (by direct computation, without using Aubin-Lions lemma) that the embeddings

$$L^2(0, T; V) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T; U) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T; V')$$

are also continuous. For future references, given a Banach space X and an operator $A : X \rightarrow X'$, $\text{dom}_X(A)$ denotes the “domain” of A in X . That is,

$$\text{dom}_X(A) := \{x \in X : Ax \in X'\}.$$

We recall the following classical definitions (see for instance [1]).

Definition 4. Given a Banach space X , denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X', X}$ the duality pairing between X' and X . A single-valued operator $A : X \rightarrow X'$ is:

(1) **monotone** if for any $u, v \in \text{dom}_X(A)$, it holds

$$\langle Au - Av, u - v \rangle_{X', X} \geq 0.$$

Similarly, a set $G \subseteq X \times X'$ is “monotone” if for any pair (u, u') , $(v, v') \in G$, it holds

$$\langle u' - v', u - v \rangle_{X', X} \geq 0;$$

(2) **maximal monotone** if the graph

$$\Gamma_A := \{(u, Au) : u \in X\} \subseteq X \times X'$$

is not a proper subset of any monotone set;

(3) **hemi-continuous** if for any $u, v, w \in X$ the mapping

$$t \mapsto \langle A(u + tv), w \rangle_{X', X}$$

is continuous.

Definition 5. Given a Banach space X , a single-valued operator $\tilde{A} : X \rightarrow X$, its graph $\Gamma_{\tilde{A}}(X) := \{(x, \tilde{A}x) : x \in X \text{ such that } \tilde{A}x \in X\}$ is:

(1) **accretive** if for any couple $(x, \tilde{A}x)$, $(y, \tilde{A}y)$, there exists an element $z \in J_X(x - y)$ such that $\langle z, \tilde{A}x - \tilde{A}y \rangle_{X', X} \geq 0$, where $J_X : X \rightarrow X'$ denotes the duality mapping;

(2) **demi-closed** if for any sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$, such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ strongly in X , and $\tilde{A}x_n \rightarrow \xi \in X$, it holds $(x, \xi) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{A}}(X)$.

The next lemma proves some key properties of B .

Lemma 6. The operator $B : V \rightarrow V'$ satisfies the following properties:

- (i) B is maximal monotone,
- (ii) (coercivity) for any $u, v \in \text{dom}_V(B)$ it holds

$$\langle Bu - Bv, u - v \rangle_{V', V} \geq \|u - v\|_V^2,$$

- (iii) the graph of B is demi-closed in $V \times V'$. That is, given a sequence $(x_k) \subseteq V$ such that $x_k \rightarrow x$ strongly in V , $Bx_k \rightarrow y$ in V' , then (x, y) belongs to the graph of B , and $y = Bx$.

Proof. To prove (i) and (ii), we use the same arguments from [9, Lemma 6]. For completeness, we report the proof. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B} : V &\longrightarrow V', & \langle \tilde{B}u, v \rangle_{V',V} &:= \int_I [2u_{xx}v_{xx} - H(u_{xx})v_x] dx, \\ \Psi_a : \mathbb{R} &\longrightarrow (-\infty + \infty], & \Psi_a(\xi) &:= \Phi_a(\xi) - \xi^2, \\ \psi : V &\longrightarrow (-\infty + \infty], & \psi(u) &:= \begin{cases} \int_I \Psi_a(u_{xx}) dx & \text{if } u \in V, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Direct computation gives $B = \tilde{B} + \partial\psi$. Here, and for future reference, “ ∂ ” denotes the sub-gradient operator. More precisely, it is easily checked (by direct computation) that $\partial\psi(z) = \{\Psi'_a(z_{xx})_{xx}\}$ for all $z \in \text{dom}_V(\partial\psi)$. Note also that $\text{dom}_V(\partial\psi) \subseteq \text{dom}_V(\psi) \subseteq V$. Since

$$\Psi_a''(\xi) = \xi + a + \frac{1}{\xi + a} - 2 \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } \xi > -a,$$

Ψ_a is convex on $(-a, +\infty)$. Consequently, ψ is convex. By construction $B = \tilde{B} + \partial\psi$ is hemi-continuous. To prove monotonicity, note that [4, Proposition 9.1.9] and $\int_I u_{xx} dx = 0$ give

$$\|H(u_{xx})\|_U = \|u_{xx}\|_U + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_I u_{xx} dx \right)^2 = \|u_{xx}\|_U, \quad (13)$$

while $\|u_x\|_U \leq \|u_{xx}\|_U$ holds in view of [10, Section 7.7]. Hence

$$\|H(u_{xx})\|_U \|u_x\|_U \leq \|u_{xx}\|_U^2, \quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{B}(u-v), u-v \rangle_{V',V} &= \int_I (2|u_{xx} - v_{xx}|^2 - H(u-v)_{xx}(u-v)_x) dx \\ &= 2\|u_{xx} - v_{xx}\|_U^2 - \int_I H(u-v)_{xx}(u-v)_x dx \\ &\geq 2\|u_{xx} - v_{xx}\|_U^2 - \|H(u-v)_{xx}\|_U \|(u-v)_x\|_U \\ &\stackrel{(14)}{\geq} \|u_{xx} - v_{xx}\|_U^2. \end{aligned}$$

As ψ is convex (hence $\partial\psi$ is monotone), combining (14) and (13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Bu - Bv, u - v \rangle_{V',V} &= \langle \tilde{B}(u-v), u-v \rangle_{V',V} + \langle \partial\psi(u) - \partial\psi(v), u-v \rangle_{V',V} \\ &\geq \|u - v\|_V^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus B is monotone and hemi-continuous, hence (by [3, Theorem 1.2]) maximal monotone.

Statement (iii) follows from the well-known result stating that the graph of any maximal monotone operator is demi-closed. For further details, we refer to [12, Theorem 1, Remarks 3-4]. \square

3. AN EXISTENCE RESULT

The next proposition is a refinement of the existence result from [11, Section 5]. Due to its relevance to our arguments, we dedicate an entire section to its proof.

Proposition 7. *Let \tilde{B} and ψ be the functionals from Lemma 6. Let $u^0 \in \text{dom}_U(B)$ be a given initial datum, satisfying*

$$u^0 \in \text{dom}_U(B), \quad Bu^0 \in U. \quad (15)$$

Then there exists a function

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; V) \cap C^0([0, T]; U), \quad u_t \in L^\infty(0, T; U) \quad (16)$$

such that $u(0) = u^0$ and

$$\langle u_t(t), v - u(t) \rangle_{U', U} + \langle \tilde{B}u(t), v - u(t) \rangle_{V', V} + \psi(v) - \psi(u(t)) \geq 0 \quad (17)$$

for a.e. time $t \in (0, T)$, and all $v \in V$. Moreover, it holds

$$\|u_t\|_{L^\infty(0, T; U)} \leq \|Bu^0\|_U. \quad (18)$$

Remark. The main improvement is that we only assume that the initial datum u^0 satisfies (15), instead of

- “ $u^0 \in W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,2}(I)$ and there exists $z^0 \in L_{\text{per}_0}^2(I)$ satisfying

$$\int_I [z^0 v - H(u_{xx}^0) v_x + \Phi_a(v_{xx}) - \Phi_a(u_{xx}^0)] dx \geq 0$$

for any $v \in W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,3}(I)$ ”.

We note that such functions u^0 satisfying (15) exist: for instance, since

$$Bu^0 = H(u_x^0)_{xx} + [\log(u_{xx}^0 + a) + (u_{xx}^0 + a)^2/2]_{xx},$$

all the functions of the form $u^0(x) := b \sin x$, with $|b| < a$, satisfy (15).

Proof. (of **Proposition 7**) The proof is essentially divided into three steps:

- (1) first, using the classic method of time discretization, we construct a sequence of piece-wise linear approximate solutions $u^\varepsilon : [0, T] \rightarrow V$;
- (2) then we prove that $(u^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; V) \cap W^{1,\infty}([0, T]; U)$, and we obtain a (weak) limit function

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; V) \cap C^0([0, T]; U), \quad u_t \in L^\infty(0, T; U);$$

- (3) finally, we prove that such u is solution of (17).

Step 1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Consider the partition

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{n_\varepsilon-1} < t_{n_\varepsilon} \leq T \leq t_{n_\varepsilon} + \varepsilon, \\ t_j - t_{j-1} = \varepsilon, \quad j = 1, \dots, n_\varepsilon := \lfloor T/\varepsilon \rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integer part mapping. Construct the recursive sequence $(u_{\varepsilon,i})$ in the following way: $u_{\varepsilon,0} := u^0$, and given $u_{\varepsilon,i-1} \in V$, let $u_{\varepsilon,i} \in V$ be a solution of

$$\left\langle \frac{u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}}{t_i - t_{i-1}} + Bu_{\varepsilon,i}, v - u_{\varepsilon,i} \right\rangle_{V',V} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

Observe that this is equivalent to find $u_{\varepsilon,i} \in V$ such that

$$\langle (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)u_{\varepsilon,i}, v - u_{\varepsilon,i} \rangle_{V',V} \geq \langle u_{\varepsilon,i-1}, v - u_{\varepsilon,i} \rangle_{V',V} \quad \text{for all } v \in V. \quad (19)$$

Since B is maximal monotone, $\text{id} + \varepsilon B : \text{dom}_U(B) \rightarrow U'$ is surjective for all $\varepsilon > 0$, hence there exists $u_{\varepsilon,i} \in \text{dom}_U(B) \subseteq V$ (since \tilde{B} – from Lemma 6 – is bounded and linear, and $\partial\psi$ is well-defined only on V) such that $u_{\varepsilon,i-1} = (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)u_{\varepsilon,i}$. Moreover, $\text{id} + \varepsilon B$ is also injective since B is monotone, hence $u_{\varepsilon,i} = (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1}u_{\varepsilon,i-1}$ is unique. Thus $u_{\varepsilon,i} \in \text{dom}_U(B) \subseteq V$ is solution of (19). Define the piece-wise linear functions u^ε satisfying

$$u^\varepsilon : [0, T] \rightarrow V, \quad u^\varepsilon(k\varepsilon) := u_{\varepsilon,k}, \quad k = 0, \dots, \lfloor T/\varepsilon \rfloor.$$

Step 2. By construction, $u_{\varepsilon,i} = (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1}u_{\varepsilon,i-1}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1} &= (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1}u_{\varepsilon,i-1} - (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1}u_{\varepsilon,i-2} \\ &\implies \|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U \leq \|u_{\varepsilon,i-1} - u_{\varepsilon,i-2}\|_U, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

since $(\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1} : U \rightarrow \text{dom}_U(B)$ is non-expansive as B is maximal monotone. Note that, by construction $u_{\varepsilon,1} = (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1}u^0$, and we get

$$u_{\varepsilon,1} - u^0 = (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1}u^0 - (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1}(\text{id} + \varepsilon B)u^0,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon,1} - u^0\|_U &= \|(\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1}u^0 - (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1}(\text{id} + \varepsilon B)u^0\|_U \\ &\leq \|u^0 - (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)u^0\|_U = \varepsilon \|Bu^0\|_U, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn gives

$$\frac{\|u_{\varepsilon,1} - u^0\|_U}{\varepsilon} \leq \|Bu^0\|_U. \quad (21)$$

Combining (20) and (21) gives

$$\frac{\|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U}{\varepsilon} \leq \|Bu^0\|_U \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, \lfloor T/\varepsilon \rfloor.$$

Since

$$\frac{\|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U}{\varepsilon} = \|u_t^\varepsilon\|_U \quad \text{for } t \in ((i-1)\varepsilon, i\varepsilon),$$

it follows

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_U \leq \|Bu^0\|_U \implies \sup_\varepsilon \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^0\|_U \right) \leq T \|Bu^0\|_U. \quad (22)$$

To estimate $\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_V$, note that $(\text{id} + \varepsilon B)u_{\varepsilon, i} = u_{\varepsilon, i-1}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon, i}\|_V^2 &\leq \left| \langle Bu_{\varepsilon, i}, u_{\varepsilon, i} \rangle_{V', V} \right| = \left| \left\langle \frac{u_{\varepsilon, i} - u_{\varepsilon, i-1}}{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon, i} \right\rangle_{V', V} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\|u_{\varepsilon, i} - u_{\varepsilon, i-1}\|_U}{\varepsilon} \|u_{\varepsilon, i}\|_U \\ &\leq \|Bu^0\|_U (T \|Bu^0\|_U + \|u^0\|_U) \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\sup_\varepsilon \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_V^2 \right) \leq \|Bu^0\|_U (T \|Bu^0\|_U + \|u^0\|_U). \quad (23)$$

Step 3. Consider an arbitrary sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. In view of (22) and (23), there exists (upon subsequence, which we do not relabel) a function $u \in L^\infty(0, T; V)$ such that

$$u^{\varepsilon_n} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} u \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad u_t^{\varepsilon_n} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} u_t \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; U), \quad (24)$$

where “ $\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup}$ ” denotes the convergence in the weak-* topology. Combining (22), (23) and (24) gives (16) and (18).

Fix an arbitrary $p \in (2, +\infty)$. In view of (24), we have

$$u^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^p(0, T; V), \quad u_t^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup u_t \text{ in } L^p(0, T; U),$$

In particular, $u^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^p(t_1, t_2; V)$ and $u_t^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup u_t$ in $L^p(t_1, t_2; U)$ for any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$. The main advantage of working with $p \in (2, +\infty)$ (instead of $p = \infty$) is that the functional ψ is weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous. This will be crucial for the proof of (27) below.

By construction, each u^{ε_n} satisfies

$$\langle u_t^{\varepsilon_n}(t) + Bu^{\varepsilon_n}(t), v - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \rangle_{V', V} \geq 0 \quad (25)$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and all $v \in V$. Since $B = \tilde{B} + \partial\psi$, with \tilde{B} and ψ from Lemma 6, and ψ is convex, (25) gives

$$\left\langle u_t^{\varepsilon_n}(t) + \tilde{B}u^{\varepsilon_n}(t), v - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \right\rangle_{V', V} + \psi(v) - \psi(u^{\varepsilon_n}(t)) \geq 0$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and all $v \in V$. Integrating on an arbitrary time set (t_1, t_2) with $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$ gives

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\left\langle u_t^{\varepsilon_n}(t) + \tilde{B}u^{\varepsilon_n}(t), v - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \right\rangle_{V', V} + \psi(v) - \psi(u^{\varepsilon_n}(t)) \right] dt \geq 0 \quad (26)$$

for all $v \in V$. Next, we claim

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \psi(u^{\varepsilon_n}(t)) dt \leq - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \psi(u(t)) dt, \quad (27)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \tilde{B}u^{\varepsilon_n}(t), v - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \rangle_{V',V} dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \tilde{B}u(t), v - u(t) \rangle_{V',V} dt, \quad (28)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle u_t^{\varepsilon_n}(t), v - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \rangle_{V',V} dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle u_t(t), v - u(t) \rangle_{V',V} dt. \quad (29)$$

To prove (27), it suffices to note that $-\psi$ is concave, hence weak upper-semicontinuous, and $u^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^p(t_1, t_2; V)$.

Substep 3.1: proof of (28). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \tilde{B}u^{\varepsilon_n}(t), v - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \rangle_{V',V} dt &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \tilde{B}u^{\varepsilon_n}(t), v - u(t) \rangle_{V',V} dt \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \tilde{B}u^{\varepsilon_n}(t), u(t) - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \rangle_{V',V} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \tilde{B}u^{\varepsilon_n}(t), v - u(t) \rangle_{V',V} dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \tilde{B}u(t), v - u(t) \rangle_{V',V} dt \quad (30)$$

due to the boundedness and linearity of \tilde{B} . To prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \tilde{B}u^{\varepsilon_n}(t), u(t) - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \rangle_{V',V} dt = 0, \quad (31)$$

observe that

$$u^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^p(0, T; V), \quad u_t^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup u_t \text{ in } L^p(0, T; U),$$

and the embeddings $V \hookrightarrow W_{\text{per}_0}^{1,2}(I) \hookrightarrow U$ are all compact. Thus Aubin-Lions lemma gives that $u^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^p(0, T; W_{\text{per}_0}^{1,2}(I))$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\langle \tilde{B}u^{\varepsilon_n}(t), u(t) - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \rangle_{V',V}| dt \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_I |Hu_{xx}^{\varepsilon_n}(t, x)(u_x(t, x) - u_x^{\varepsilon_n}(t, x))| dx dt \\ &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|Hu_{xx}^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_U \|u_x(t) - u_x^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_U dt \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_{xx}^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_U \|u_x(t) - u_x^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_U dt \\ &\leq \|u^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0, T; V)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_x(t) - u_x^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_U dt \\ &\leq \|u^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0, T; V)} |t_2 - t_1|^{1-1/p} \|u_x(t) - u_x^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_{L^p(0, T; U)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (31) is proven. Combining (30) and (31) gives (28).

Substep 3.2: proof of (29). Since $(u_t^{\varepsilon_n})_n$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; U)$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\langle u_t^{\varepsilon_n}(t), u(t) - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \rangle_{V', V}| dt \\ & \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_U \|u(t) - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_U dt \\ & \leq \|u_t^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0, T; U)} |t_2 - t_1|^{1-1/p} \|u(t) - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_{L^p(0, T; U)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle u_t^{\varepsilon_n}(t), v - u(t) \rangle_{V', V} dt \rightarrow \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle u_t(t), v - u(t) \rangle_{V', V} dt. \quad (33)$$

Combining (32) and (33) gives (29).

Combining (27), (28) and (29) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\langle u_t(t) + \tilde{B}(t), v - u(t) \rangle_{V', V} + \psi(v) - \psi(u(t)) \right] dt \\ & \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\langle u_t^{\varepsilon_n}(t) + \tilde{B}u^{\varepsilon_n}(t), v - u^{\varepsilon_n}(t) \rangle_{V', V} + \psi(v) - \psi(u^{\varepsilon_n}(t)) \right] dt \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The arbitrariness of t_1, t_2 gives (17), concluding the proof. \square

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Now we are ready to prove that the function u given by Proposition 7 is the desired solution.

The proof of Theorem 1 uses some ideas from [1]. However, it is noted that $B : V \rightarrow V'$ is not accretive, thus crucial monotonicity estimates have to be achieved differently.

Proof. (of **Theorem 1**) Let u be a solution of (17) given by Proposition 7. Since, in Proposition 7, u_t was a (weak-*) limit $L^\infty(0, T; U)$ of $u_t^{\varepsilon_n}$ satisfying $\sup_n \|u_t^{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; U)} \leq \|Bu^0\|_U$, it follows

$$\|u_t\|_{L^\infty(0, T; U)} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_t^{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; U)} \leq \|Bu^0\|_U,$$

which proves (9). By construction, u satisfies also

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; V) \cap C^0([0, T]; U), \quad u_t \in L^\infty(0, T; U). \quad (34)$$

We need to check that such u satisfies

$$u_t(t) = -Bu(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T], \quad u(0) = u^0. \quad (35)$$

Consider $t > 0$ such that

$$u(t-h) = u(t) - hu_t(t) - hg(h), \quad h > 0, \quad (36)$$

for some function $g(h)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|g(h)\|_U = 0. \quad (37)$$

In view of (34), the set of times such that (36) holds for some g satisfying (37) has full measure. Since $\text{id} + hB : U \rightarrow U$ is bijective, we define

$$x^h := (\text{id} + hB)^{-1}u(t-h) \in \text{dom}_U(B).$$

Thus we get

$$u(t) - x^h = h[Bx^h + u_t(t) + g(h)]. \quad (38)$$

Multiplying both sides by $u(t) - x^h$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u(t) - x^h, u(t) - x^h \rangle_{V',V} \\ &= h \langle Bx^h + u_t(t), u(t) - x^h \rangle_{U',U} + h \langle g(h), u(t) - x^h \rangle_{V',V}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Next, we claim

$$\langle Bx^h + u_t(t), u(t) - x^h \rangle_{U',U} \leq 0. \quad (40)$$

Since u is a solution of (17), taking $v = x^h$ gives

$$\langle u_t(t), x^h - u(t) \rangle_{U',U} + \langle \tilde{B}u(t), x^h - u(t) \rangle_{V',V} + \psi(x^h) - \psi(u(t)) \geq 0,$$

hence, due to the convexity of ψ and the monotonicity of \tilde{B} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle u_t(t), x^h - u(t) \rangle_{U',U} + \langle \tilde{B}u(t) + \partial\psi(x^h), x^h - u(t) \rangle_{V',V} \\ &= \langle u_t(t), x^h - u(t) \rangle_{U',U} + \langle \tilde{B}x^h + \partial\psi(x^h), x^h - u(t) \rangle_{V',V} \\ &\quad + \langle \tilde{B}u(t) - \tilde{B}x^h, x^h - u(t) \rangle_{V',V} \\ &\leq \langle u_t(t), x^h - u(t) \rangle_{U',U} + \langle \tilde{B}x^h + \partial\psi(x^h), x^h - u(t) \rangle_{V',V} \\ &= \langle u_t(t), x^h - u(t) \rangle_{U',U} + \langle Bx^h, x^h - u(t) \rangle_{V',V}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (40). Thus (39) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u(t) - x^h, u(t) - x^h \rangle_{V',V} &= h \langle Bx^h + u_t(t) + g(h), u(t) - x^h \rangle_{V',V} \\ &\leq h \langle g(h), u(t) - x^h \rangle_{V',V}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\|u(t) - x^h\|_U/h \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Note that, by construction, we have

$$Bx^h = \frac{u(t-h) - x^h}{h} = \frac{u(t) - x^h}{h} + \frac{u(t-h) - u(t)}{h},$$

hence

$$Bx^h = \frac{u(t-h) - x^h}{h} = \frac{u(t) - x^h}{h} + \frac{u(t-h) - u(t)}{h} \rightarrow -u_t(t),$$

strongly in U . Summing up, we proved that $x^h \rightarrow u(t)$, $Bx^h \rightarrow -u(t)$, and

$$\{(w, Bw) : w \in \text{dom}_U(B), Bw \in U\}$$

is demi-closed in $U \times U$, thus we infer (by [12, Theorem 1, Remarks 3-4]) $Bu(t) = -u(t)$. Since this argument holds for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, (35) is proven. \square

Proof. (of **Theorem 2**) From [10, Section 7.7] we get $\|v\|_U \leq \|v\|_V$ for all $v \in V$. Consider initial data $u^{0,1}, u^{0,2} \in D$ (with D defined in (10)), and let u^1, u^2 be corresponding solutions to (2) given by Theorem 1. Therefore, it holds

$$u_t^1(t) + \tilde{B}u^1(t) + \partial\psi(u^1(t)) = u_t^2(t) + \tilde{B}u^2(t) + \partial\psi(u^2(t)) = 0$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, hence

$$u_t^1(t) - u_t^2(t) + \tilde{B}(u^1(t) - u^2(t)) + \partial\psi(u^1(t)) - \partial\psi(u^2(t)) = 0$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Multiplying both sides by $u^1(t) - u^2(t)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_t^1(t) - u_t^2(t), u^1(t) - u^2(t) \rangle_{V',V} + \langle \tilde{B}(u^1(t) - u^2(t)), u^1(t) - u^2(t) \rangle_{V',V} \\ + \langle \partial\psi(u^1(t)) - \partial\psi(u^2(t)), u^1(t) - u^2(t) \rangle_{V',V} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_t^1(t) - u_t^2(t), u^1(t) - u^2(t) \rangle_{V',V} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_U^2, \\ \langle \tilde{B}(u^1(t) - u^2(t)), u^1(t) - u^2(t) \rangle_{V',V} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_V^2, \\ \langle \partial\psi(u^1(t)) - \partial\psi(u^2(t)), u^1(t) - u^2(t) \rangle_{V',V} &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$0 \geq \frac{d}{dt} \|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_U^2 + \|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_V^2, \quad (41)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_U^2 &\leq -\|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_V^2 \leq -\|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_U^2 \\ \implies \|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_U^2 &\leq e^{-t} \|u^{0,1} - u^{0,2}\|_U^2. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Integrating (41) on $[0, s]$ (for arbitrarily chosen $s \in (0, T]$) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s \|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_V^2 dt &\leq - \int_0^s \frac{d}{dt} \|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_U^2 dt \\ &= \|u^{0,1} - u^{0,2}\|_U^2 - \|u^1(s) - u^2(s)\|_U^2 \\ &\leq \|u^{0,1} - u^{0,2}\|_U^2. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Choosing $u^{0,1} = u^{0,2}$ proves that the solution given by Theorem 1 is unique.

Given $u^0 \in D$, a sequence $(u^{0,n})_n \subseteq D$ such that $u^{0,n} \rightarrow u^0$ strongly in U , estimate (42) gives

$$u^n \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } C^0([0, T]; U),$$

while (43) gives

$$u^n \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; V),$$

where u^n (resp. u) denotes the (unique) solution of (2) associated to the initial datum $u^{0,n}$ (resp. u^0). Thus the map σ defined in (11) is continuous. Combining (42) and (43), and setting

$$u^1 := \sigma(u^{0,1}), \quad u^2 := \sigma(u^{0,2}),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L^2(0, T; V)} + \|u^1 - u^2\|_{C^0([0, T]; U)} \\ &= \left(\int_0^T \|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_V^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u^1(t) - u^2(t)\|_U \\ &\leq 2\|u^{0,1} - u^{0,2}\|_U, \end{aligned}$$

thus σ is 2-Lipschitz continuous, concluding the proof. \square

Remark. Theorems 1 and 2 give the existence and uniqueness of a strong solution $u : [0, T] \rightarrow V$. In particular, it is also a solution in the weak sense, i.e.

$$\int_0^T \int_I u_t(t) \varphi(t) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_I [H(u_{xx}(t)) \varphi_x(t) - \Phi'_a(u_{xx}(t)) \varphi_{xx}(t)] dx dt \quad (44)$$

for any test function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty((0, T) \times I; \mathbb{R})$. Thus, if the initial datum u^0 satisfies the variational inequality (4) for some $z^0 \in U$, then by [9, Theorem 1], the following (stronger) regularity result holds:

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; W_{\text{per}_0}^{2,3}(I)) \cap C^0([0, T]; U), \quad u_t \in L^\infty(0, T; U).$$

Proof. (of **Corollary 3**) Let u be the (unique) strong solution given by Theorem 1. Recall that, in the proof of Proposition 7, the sequence u^ε was defined as the unique piece-wise linear function with nodes $u_{\varepsilon,i}$, $i = 0, \dots, \lfloor T/\varepsilon \rfloor$, such that $u_{\varepsilon,i} = (\text{id} + \varepsilon B)^{-1} u_{\varepsilon,i-1}$. In particular, we get

$$\langle u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1} + \varepsilon B u_{\varepsilon,i}, v - u_{\varepsilon,i} \rangle_{V', V} \geq 0, \quad (45)$$

$$\langle u_{\varepsilon,i-1} - u_{\varepsilon,i-2} + \varepsilon B u_{\varepsilon,i-1}, v - u_{\varepsilon,i-1} \rangle_{V', V} \geq 0, \quad (46)$$

for all $v \in V$. Choosing $v = u_{\varepsilon,i-1}$ in (45) and $v = u_{\varepsilon,i}$ in (46) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1} + \varepsilon B u_{\varepsilon,i}, u_{\varepsilon,i-1} - u_{\varepsilon,i} \rangle_{V', V} \geq 0, \\ & \langle u_{\varepsilon,i-1} - u_{\varepsilon,i-2} + \varepsilon B u_{\varepsilon,i-1}, u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1} \rangle_{V', V} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and summing both sides gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U^2 &\leq \varepsilon \langle Bu_{\varepsilon,i} - Bu_{\varepsilon,i-1}, u_{\varepsilon,i-1} - u_{\varepsilon,i} \rangle_{V',V} \\ &\quad + \langle u_{\varepsilon,i-1} - u_{\varepsilon,i-2}, u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1} \rangle_{V',V} \\ &\leq -\varepsilon \|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U^2 + \|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U \|u_{\varepsilon,i-2} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U \leq -\varepsilon \|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U + \|u_{\varepsilon,i-2} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U,$$

which gives

$$\|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \|u_{\varepsilon,i-2} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U. \quad (47)$$

Taking $i = 1$, $v = u^0$ in (45) yields

$$\langle u_{\varepsilon,1} - u^0 + \varepsilon Bu_{\varepsilon,1}, u^0 - u_{\varepsilon,1} \rangle_{V',V} \geq 0,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon,1} - u^0\|_U^2 &\leq \varepsilon \langle Bu_{\varepsilon,1}, u^0 - u_{\varepsilon,1} \rangle_{V',V} \\ &= \varepsilon \langle Bu_{\varepsilon,1} - Bu^0, u^0 - u_{\varepsilon,1} \rangle_{V',V} + \varepsilon \langle Bu^0, u^0 - u_{\varepsilon,1} \rangle_{V',V} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|Bu^0\|_U \|u_{\varepsilon,1} - u^0\|_U, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\|u_{\varepsilon,1} - u^0\|_U \leq \varepsilon \|Bu^0\|_U$. Combining with (47) gives

$$\frac{\|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U}{\varepsilon} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-(i-1)} \|Bu^0\|_U. \quad (48)$$

By construction it holds $\|u_t^\varepsilon(s)\|_U = \|u_{\varepsilon,i} - u_{\varepsilon,i-1}\|_U / \varepsilon$ for every $s \in ((i-1)\varepsilon, i\varepsilon)$. Thus, for $t \in [0, T]$ such that $t/\varepsilon \notin \mathbb{N}$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t^\varepsilon(t)\|_U &= \frac{\|u_{\varepsilon, \lfloor t/\varepsilon \rfloor + 1} - u_{\varepsilon, \lfloor t/\varepsilon \rfloor}\|_U}{\varepsilon} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-\lfloor t/\varepsilon \rfloor} \|Bu^0\|_U \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{1-t/\varepsilon} \|Bu^0\|_U. \end{aligned}$$

Since (upon subsequence) $u_t^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{*} u_t$ in $L^\infty(t - \delta, t + \delta; U)$ for any $\delta > 0$, we get

$$\operatorname{esssup}_{s \in (t-\delta, t+\delta)} \|u_t(s)\|_U \leq \|Bu^0\|_U \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1 + \varepsilon)^{1-(t-\delta)/\varepsilon} = e^{-t+\delta} \|Bu^0\|_U,$$

and we conclude by the arbitrariness of δ . \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Professors Irene Fonseca and Giovanni Leoni for useful comments and suggestions, and the Center for Nonlinear Analysis (NSF PIRE Grant No. OISE-0967140), where part of this research was carried out. The author acknowledges the support by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology) through the Carnegie Mellon-Portugal Program under Grant SFRH/BD/35695/2007.

Part of this research was carried out when the author was affiliated with Carnegie Mellon University.

REFERENCES

- [1] BARBU V.: *Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces*, Noordhoff, Leydon, 1976
- [2] BRÉZIS H.: *Opérateurs maximaux monotones dans les espaces de Hilbert et équations d'évolution*, Lecture Notes 5, North Holland, 1972
- [3] BROWDER F.E.: *Multivalued monotone nonlinear mappings and duality mappings in Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 118, pp. 338–351, 1965
- [4] BUTZER P.L. and NESSEL R.J.: *Fourier analysis and approximation. Volume 1: one-dimensional theory*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1971
- [5] CRANDALL M.G. and LIGGETT T.M.: *Generation of semigroups of nonlinear transformations in general Banach spaces*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 93, pp. 265–298, 1971
- [6] CRANDALL M.G. and PAZY A.: *Nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math., vol. 11(1), pp. 57–94, 1972
- [7] DAL MASO G., FONSECA I. and LEONI G.: *Analytical validation of a continuum model for epitaxial growth with elasticity on vicinal surfaces*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., vol. 212, pp. 1037–1064, 2014
- [8] DUPOURT C., POLITI P. and VILLAIN J.: *Growth instabilities induced by elasticity in a vicinal surface*, J. Phys., vol. 1(5), pp. 1317–1350, 1995
- [9] FONSECA I., LEONI G. and LU X.Y.: *Regularity in time for weak solutions of a continuum model for epitaxial growth with elasticity on vicinal surfaces*, Commun. Part. Diff. Eq., vol. 40(10), pp. 1942–1957, 2015
- [10] HARDY G.H., LITTLEWOOD J.E. and PÓLYA G.: *Inequalities*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge, 1988
- [11] KAČUR J.: *Method of Rothe in evolution equations*, Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1985
- [12] SURYANARAYANA M.B.: *Monotonicity and upper semicontinuity*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 82(6), pp. 936–938, 1976
- [13] TERSOFF J., PHANG Y.H., ZHANG Z. and LAGALLY M.G.: *Step-bunching instability of vicinal surfaces under stress*, Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 75, pp. 2730–2733, 1995
- [14] XIANG Y.: *Derivation of a continuum model for epitaxial growth with elasticity on vicinal surface*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., vol. 63, pp. 241–258, 2002
- [15] XIANG Y. and E W.: *Misfit elastic energy and a continuum model for epitaxial growth with elasticity on vicinal surfaces*, Phys. Rev. B, vol. 69, pp. 035409-1–035409-16, 2004
- [16] XU H. and XIANG Y.: *Derivation of a continuum model for the long-range elastic interaction on stepped epitaxial surfaces in 2+1 dimensions*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., vol. 69(5), pp. 1393–1414, 2009

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BURNSIDE HALL, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, 805 SHERBROOKE STREET WEST, H3A0B9 MONTRÉAL, CANADA

E-mail address: xinyang.lu@mcgill.ca