

IMPLICIT PDES WITH A LINEAR CONSTRAINT

LAURA POGGIOLINI*

Abstract. We study implicit differential systems with a linear constraint on the gradient variable and we prove the existence of infinitely many Lipschitz continuous solutions. The result is obtained by a density argument in a suitable complete metric space.

Key words. quasi-convexity, almost everywhere solutions, systems of p.d.e.

AMS subject classifications. 35G30

1. Introduction

We deal with the existence of Lipschitz continuous solutions to a first order differential system of the following kind:

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0 \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ L(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0 \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u \in \phi + W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where the function F satisfies a certain coercivity condition with respect to the gradient variable, while the function L is *quasi-affine* on the same variable. The boundary datum ϕ is affine on Ω .

Differential systems of this type, without the quasi-linear constraint $L = 0$, have been recently introduced and investigated by Dacorogna and Marcellini in the context of *implicit* partial differential equations and systems [1]. The book (and the related wide bibliography) gives conditions (in particular a compatibility condition on the boundary datum) in order to obtain a Lipschitz solution to the system. Several extensions are also considered. However, the constrained problem (1.1) did not enter in the results in [1], and in fact in Section 1.5.7 the authors posed the constrained problem as an open one.

Problems with quasi-affine constraints were first studied by Müller and Sverak [4] and by Dacorogna and Tanteri [2], with two different approaches. In such papers $L = L(Du) = \det(Du)$.

Here we assume that L is any linear function of Du , i.e. $L(Du) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} u_{x_j}^i$ for some matrix (α_{ij}) and, by some algebraic manipulations of the involved matrices, in particular by some product decomposition (see the book [3] by G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan), we obtain existence of $W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ solutions to (1.1).

As a particular case of our general results, we will prove for example that the differential problem

$$\begin{cases} F(Du(x)) = 0 & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ L(Du(x)) = \ell & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u = \phi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

has a $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ solution under the assumption that F is a continuous coercive quasi-convex function and $L = L(\xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \xi_{ij}$ is any linear function. Moreover

*Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata “G. Sansone”, via S. Marta, 3, I-50139, Firenze, Italy (poggiolini@dma.unifi.it).

we emphasize that the method of proof in the two cases, respectively L linear function of Du and L the determinant of the matrix Du , is different and doesn't allow us to treat at the same time any quasi-affine function L of Du .

A wide bibliography on implicit partial differential equations can be found in [1].

2. The approximation lemma

In this section we give a technical lemma which is the main tool to prove the existence Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded set. Let $\phi: \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an affine function such that $D\phi(x) = tA + (1-t)B$, where $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are such that $\text{rank}(A - B) = 1$ i.e. there exist $\mu, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|v\| = 1$ such that $A - B = \mu \otimes v$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. Let $L: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $L: \xi = (\xi_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n} \rightarrow \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \xi_{ij}$ be a linear operator, $\mathcal{L} = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ a given matrix. Let us assume $L(A) = L(B)$ and $\mathcal{L}v \neq 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $u \in \phi + W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and an open set $\Omega_\varepsilon \Subset \Omega$ with the following properties:*

$$\begin{cases} |\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon| < \varepsilon \\ Du(x) \in \{A, B\} & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \\ L(Du(x)) = L(D\phi(x)) = L(A) = L(B) & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ \text{dist}(Du(x), \text{Rco}\{A, B\}) < \varepsilon & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ \|u - \phi\|_\infty < \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.2. *Since L is a linear operator, $L(A) = L(B)$ is equivalent to $L(A - B) = 0$ and to $L(D\phi) = L(A)$. In Section 4 we will discuss the assumption $\mathcal{L}v \neq 0$ in Lemma 2.1.*

Proof. Step 1 Let us assume $A - B = \mu \otimes e_1$, where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the first element of the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathcal{L} is an upper triangular matrix of the following kind i.e.

$$\mathcal{L} = (\alpha_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1r} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} \\ 0 & \alpha_{22} & 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \alpha_{rr} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

with $r = \text{rank } \mathcal{L}$ (i.e. $\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_{ii} \neq 0$). $\mathcal{L}e_1 \neq 0$ is equivalent to $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$, since $L(A - B) = \alpha_{11}\mu_1$ this implies $\mu_1 = 0$.

Without loss of generality we can assume $\Omega = (0, 1)^n$. If this is not the case we know that Ω can be covered with a finite family $\{\Omega_k\}$ of subsets homotetics to $(0, 1)^n$ and a set of small measure. We solve the problem in each set Ω_k and we are done.

We are given a linear operator

$$L(X) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_{ij} X_{ij}, \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

We want to find a linear differential functional $\Phi: C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$L(D\Phi(v)) \equiv 0 \quad \forall v \in C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.2)$$

We will not define the differential operator Φ explicitly, but only prove that an operator Φ and a function $w = w(x_1)$ with the required properties exist. Let us call Φ_s , $s = 1, \dots, n$ its components. Φ_s will be of the following kind

$$\Phi_s(v) = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n a_{lm}^s v_{x_m}^l$$

Condition (2.2) gives us for any $s = 1, \dots, n$ the following linear algebraic system in the unknowns a_{sk}^i where $k, i = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\alpha_{11} a_{s1}^1 = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

$$\alpha_{1k} a_{sk}^1 + \alpha_{kk} a_{sk}^k = 0 \quad \forall k = 2, \dots, r \quad (2.4)$$

$$\alpha_{11} a_{sk}^1 = -\alpha_{1k} a_{s1}^1 - \alpha_{kk} a_{sm}^k \quad \forall k = 2, \dots, r \quad (2.5)$$

$$\alpha_{1m} a_{sk}^1 + \alpha_{mm} a_{sk}^m = -\alpha_{1k} a_{sm}^1 - \alpha_{kk} a_{sm}^k \quad \forall k = 3, \dots, r, \quad \forall m = 2, \dots, k-1 \quad (2.6)$$

$$\alpha_{1k} a_{sk}^1 = 0 \quad \forall k = r+1, \dots, n \quad (2.7)$$

$$\alpha_{11} a_{sk}^1 = -\alpha_{1k} a_{s1}^1 \quad \forall k = r+1, \dots, n \quad (2.8)$$

$$\alpha_{1m} a_{sk}^1 + \alpha_{mm} a_{sk}^m = -\alpha_{1k} a_{sm}^1 \quad \forall k = r+1, \dots, n, \quad \forall m = 2, \dots, r \quad (2.9)$$

$$\alpha_{1m} a_{sk}^1 = -\alpha_{1k} a_{sm}^1 \quad \forall k = r+2, \dots, n, \quad \forall m = r+1, \dots, k-1 \quad (2.10)$$

Of course all these systems admit the trivial solution $a_{sk}^i = 0$, $\forall s, k, i = 1, \dots, n$, but this is not what we want. For some reason we will see later on we want the matrix $(a_{s1}^i)_{s,i=2,\dots,n}$ to be non singular. Let us consider the equations where **only** the unknowns a_{s1}^i appear. We have only equation (2.3). Since we assume $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$ we have $a_{s1}^1 = 0 \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, n$ but this is not important since we are interested only in $(a_{s1}^i)_{s,i=2,\dots,n}$.

From now on we shall fix s and solve the system by induction on the index $k = 2, \dots, n$. Let us assume $\alpha_{22} \neq 0$ and let us consider the equations where a_{s2}^i appear and the other a_{sk}^i appear only if $k = 1$. They are

$$(2.4)_{k=2} \quad \alpha_{12} a_{s2}^1 + \alpha_{22} a_{s2}^2 = 0$$

$$(2.5)_{k=2} \quad \alpha_{11} a_{s2}^1 = -\alpha_{22} a_{s2}^1$$

It is a diagonal system in the unknown a_{s2}^1, a_{s2}^2 and the determinant of the incomplete matrix associated to the system is $\alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} \neq 0$ so we can solve it for any value of the right hand side. Let us assume we solved the subsystem of equations where $a_{s,k}^i$ appears only if $k \leq K-1 < r$.

Let us consider the equations where a_{sK}^i appear and the other a_{sk}^i appear only if $k \leq K-1$. They are

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4)_{k=K} \quad & \alpha_{1K} a_{sK}^1 + \alpha_{KK} a_{sK}^K = 0 \\
(2.6)_{k=K, m=K-1} \quad & \alpha_{1, K-1} a_{sK}^1 + \alpha_{K-1, K-1} a_{sK}^K - 1 = -\alpha_{1K} a_{s, K-1}^1 - \alpha_{KK} a_{s, K-1}^K \\
& \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\
(2.5)_{k=K} \quad & \alpha_{11} a_{sK}^1 = -\alpha_{1K} a_{s1}^1 - \alpha_{KK} a_{s1}^K
\end{aligned}$$

It is a triangular system in the unknown a_{sk}^i , $i = 1, \dots, K$ and the determinant of the incomplete matrix associated to the system is $\prod_{i=1}^K \alpha_{ii} \neq 0$ so we can solve it for any value of the right hand side.

Note that at each step k the unknown a_{sk}^i , $i > k$ remain undetermined.

Now we want to show that we can solve the sub-system of equations where the unknown $a_{s, r+1}^i$ appear and the other a_{sk}^i appear only if $k \leq r$. It is given by the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7)_{k=r+1} \quad & \alpha_{1, r+1} a_{s, r+1}^1 = 0 \\
(2.9)_{k=r+1} \quad & \alpha_{1m} a_{s, r+1}^1 + \alpha_{r+1, r+1} a_{s, r+1}^m = -\alpha_{1, r+1} a_{s, m}^1 \quad \forall m = 2, \dots, r \\
(2.8)_{k=r+1} \quad & \alpha_{11} a_{s, r+1}^1 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{cases} a_{s, r+1}^1 = 0 \\ a_{s, r+1}^m = \frac{-\alpha_{1, r+1} a_{s, m}^1}{\alpha_{mm}} \quad \forall m = 2, \dots, r \end{cases}$$

while $a_{s, r+1}^{r+1}$ remains undetermined.

Now let us assume we solved the system up to step $k = K-1$ with $K-1 > r-1$ and that in doing so we determined the following coefficients:

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{s1}^1 = 0 & & & \\
a_{s2}^1 & & & \\
\vdots & & & \\
a_{sr}^1 & a_{sr}^1 & \cdots & a_{sr}^r \\
a_{s, r+1}^1 = 0 & a_{s, r+1}^2 & \cdots & a_{s, r+1}^r \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{s, K-1}^1 = 0 & a_{s, K-1}^2 & \cdots & a_{s, K-1}^r
\end{array}$$

Using this induction hypothesis the system at step K becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7)_{k=K} \quad & \alpha_{1K} a_{sK}^1 = 0 \\
(2.8)_{k=K} \quad & \alpha_{11} a_{sK}^1 = 0 \\
(2.9)_{k=K} \quad & \alpha_{1m} a_{s, K}^1 + \alpha_{KK} a_{s, K}^m = -\alpha_{1K} a_{s, m}^1 \quad \forall m = 2, \dots, r \\
(2.10)_{k=K} \quad & \alpha_{1m} a_{s, K}^1 = 0 \quad \forall m = r+1, \dots, K-1
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{cases} a_{sK}^1 = 0 \\ a_{sK}^m = \frac{-\alpha_{1K} a_{s, m}^1}{\alpha_{mm}} \quad \forall m = 2, \dots, r \end{cases}$$

while $a_{s,K}^{r+1}, \dots, a_{s,K}^K$ remain undetermined.

In this way we solve the systems for any prescribed a_{s1}^i , $s, i = 2, \dots, n$ and we choose these in such a way that $(a_{s1}^i)_{s,i=2,\dots,n}$ is a non singular matrix.

Now, let $w = w(x_1)$, we want $D\Phi(w)(x) \in \{-t\mu \otimes e_1, (1-t)\mu \otimes e_1\}$ a.e. $x_1 \in (0, 1)$.

But $w = w(x_1)$ implies $\Phi_s(w) = \sum_{l=1}^n a_{l1}^s w_l'(x_1)$ We choose $w_1(x_1) \equiv 0$. Since $a_{s1}^1 = 0 \forall s = 1, \dots, n$, $\frac{\partial \Phi(w)}{\partial x_1} = 0$ is satisfied (we have $\mu_1 = 0$). So we need

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=2}^n a_{l1}^s w_l''(x_1) &= -t\mu_s \quad \forall s = 2, \dots, n \\ \text{or } \sum_{l=2}^n a_{l1}^s w_l''(x_1) &= (1-t)\mu_s \quad \forall s = 2, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

This can be done since by construction $\det(a_{l1}^s)_{l,s=2,\dots,n} \neq 0$.

Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$ we can choose w such that $\|w\|_\infty < \varepsilon$, $\|w'\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. So just call

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \left\{ x_1 \in (0, 1) : \sum_{l=2}^n a_{l1}^s w_l''(x_1) = -t\mu_s \quad \forall s = 2, \dots, n \right\} \\ J &= \left\{ x_1 \in (0, 1) : \sum_{l=2}^n a_{l1}^s w_l''(x_1) = (1-t)\mu_s \quad \forall s = 2, \dots, n \right\} \end{aligned}$$

We can assume I and J to be the union of pairwise disjoint intervals of $(0, 1)$ such that $I \cap J = \emptyset$, $\bar{I} \cup \bar{J} = [0, 1]$.

Let $\Omega_\varepsilon \Subset \Omega$ such that $|\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon| < \varepsilon$ and let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\eta|_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \equiv 1 \quad |D\eta| < \frac{L}{\varepsilon}$$

Define

$$u(x) = \phi(x) + \Phi(\eta(x)w(x_1))$$

We want to show that u is the function we are looking for. Near $\partial\Omega$ $\eta \equiv 0$ hence $u = \phi$. In Ω_ε $\eta \equiv 1$ so $u = \phi + \Phi(w)$ and $Du = D\phi + D\Phi(w)$. We now want to evaluate $D\Phi(w)$. Define

$$\Omega_A = \{x \in \Omega_\varepsilon : x_1 \in J\} \quad \Omega_B = \{x \in \Omega_\varepsilon : x_1 \in I\}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Du &= B + t\mu \otimes e_1 - t\mu \otimes e_1 = B \quad \forall x \in \Omega_B \\ Du &= B + t\mu \otimes e_1 + (1-t)\mu \otimes e_1 = A \quad \forall x \in \Omega_A \end{aligned}$$

Moreover for any $x \in \Omega$ we have $L(Du) = L(D\phi) + L(D\Phi(\eta w)) = L(D\phi) = L(A)$ by definition of Φ .

We only have to evaluate $\text{dist}(Du, \text{Rco}A, B)$ and $\|u - \phi\|_\infty$. Let us consider $\Phi(\eta w) = \eta\Phi(w) + G(D\eta w)$ where G is a linear function. So

$$\|u - \phi\|_\infty \leq \|\Phi(w)\|_\infty + \|G(D\eta w)\|_\infty \leq C \max\{\delta, \delta\varepsilon^{-1}\} < \varepsilon$$

if we choose a small enough δ .

With further computation we get

$$Du = D\phi + \eta D\Phi(w) + H(D\eta w, D^2\eta w)$$

where H is a linear function. Since $D\phi + \eta D\Phi(w) \in \text{Rco}\{A, B\}$ we have

$$\text{dist}(Du, \text{Rco}\{A, B\}) \leq C \max\{\delta\varepsilon^{-1}, \delta\varepsilon^{-2}\} < \varepsilon$$

if we choose δ small enough.

Step 2 Now let us assume we are in a slightly more general situation; we assume $A - B = \mu \otimes e_1$ and $\alpha_{i1} = 0 \ \forall i = 2, \dots, n$. Hence $\mathcal{L}e_1 \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j=2,\dots,n}$. We know (SVD decomposition, see [3], pages 16-20) that there exist \widehat{V}, \widehat{U} , both in $SO(n-1)$ such that

$$\widehat{U}^t \widehat{\mathcal{L}} \widehat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & & \\ & & \sigma_r & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 & \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \widehat{V} & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \widehat{U} & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $U^t \mathcal{L} V$ is as in (2.1). Let $\psi: V^t \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\psi: y \rightarrow U^t \phi(Vy)$, then

$$\phi(x) = U\psi(V^t x), \quad \phi_{x_j}^i = \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{ik} \psi_{y_l}^k v_{jl}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(D\phi) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^n \alpha_{ij} u_{ik} \psi_{y_l}^k v_{jl} = \sum_{k,l=1}^n \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{ik} \alpha_{ij} v_{jl} \right) \psi_{y_l}^k \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^n (U^t \mathcal{L} V)_{kl} \psi_{y_l}^k \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} D\psi &= U^t D\phi V = U^t (B + t\mu \otimes e_1) V = U^t B V + t(U^t \mu) \otimes (V^t e_1) \\ &= U^t B V + t(U^t \mu) \otimes e_1 \end{aligned}$$

From step 1 we can solve the problem for ψ in $V^t \Omega$ with respect to $U^t \mathcal{L} V$. Let $u_\psi: V^t \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function with the required properties, with its associated sets Ω_{AV} and Ω_{BV} , then $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $u: x \rightarrow U^t u_\psi(Vx)$ and $\Omega_A \equiv V^t \Omega_{AV}$ and $\Omega_B \equiv V^t \Omega_{BV}$ are a solution to our problem.

Step 3 Now let us assume we are in the general situation $A - B = \mu \otimes v$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $v \in S^{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{L} = (\alpha_{ij})_{ij}$ is such that $\mathcal{L}v \neq 0$. Since $v \in S^{n-1}$, there exists $R \in SO(n)$ such that $R^t v = e_1$. Moreover there exists a symmetric matrix $P \in SO(n)$ (actually P is an Householder matrix, see [3], page. 38) such that the first column of $P\mathcal{L}R$ is parallel to e_1 . Let $\theta: R^t\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\theta: y \rightarrow P\phi(Ry)$.

$$\phi(x) = P\theta(R^t x), \quad \phi_{x_j}^i = \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_{ik} \theta_{y_l}^k r_{jl}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(D\phi) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^n \alpha_{ij} p_{ik} \theta_{y_l}^k r_{jl} = \sum_{k,l=1}^n \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n p_{ki} \alpha_{ij} r_{jl} \right) \theta_{y_l}^k \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^n (P\mathcal{L}R)_{kl} \theta_{y_l}^k \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} D\theta &= PD\phi RV = P(B + t\mu \otimes v)R = PBV + t(P\mu) \otimes (R^t v) \\ &= PBV + t(P\mu) \otimes e_1 \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to step 2 we can solve the problem for θ in $R^t\Omega$ with respect to the matrix $P\mathcal{L}R$. Let $u_\theta: R^t\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a solution with the required properties and let Ω_{AR} and Ω_{BR} its associated sets, then $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $u: x \rightarrow Pu_\theta(R^t x)$ and $\Omega_A \equiv R\Omega_{AR}$ and $\Omega_B \equiv R\Omega_{BR}$ are a solution to our problem. \square

3. Existence

In this section we define the relaxation property and through the approximation Lemma 2.1 we prove the existence theorem.

Definition 3.1 (RELAXATION PROPERTY). Let $L: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear operator, and for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\mathcal{L}_\ell = \{\eta: L(\eta) = \ell\}$. We say that a set $K \subset \mathcal{L}_\ell$ has the relaxation property with respect to a set $E \subset \mathcal{L}_\ell$ if $\forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open bounded subset, $\forall u_\xi$ affine in Ω such that $\xi \equiv Du_\xi \in \text{int} K$ (the interior is relative to the affine manifold \mathcal{L}_ℓ) there exists a sequence $u_\nu \in W_\theta$, $\theta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\nu &= u_\xi \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\ u_\nu &\xrightarrow{*} u_\xi \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ Du_\nu &\in E \cup \text{int} K \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ \int_\Omega \text{dist}(Du_\nu(x), E) dx &\rightarrow 0 \text{ when } \nu \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W_\theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in C_{\text{piec}}^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n): \exists \Omega_\theta \subset \Omega \text{ open} \\ |\Omega \setminus \Omega_\theta| < \theta \text{ and } u \text{ is piecewise affine in } \Omega_\theta \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open. Let $F_i: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $i = 1, \dots, I$ be continuous, quasi-convex functions, $L: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $L: \xi = (\xi_{ij}) \rightarrow \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \xi_{ij}$ a linear operator and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ a given number. Let

$$E = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}: F_i(\xi) = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, I, \quad L(\xi) = \ell \}$$

Let us assume that $\text{Rco}E$ has the relaxation property with respect to E and is compact. Let ϕ be a piecewise affine function such that $D\phi(x) \in E \cup \text{int Rco}E$. Then there exists $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} F_i(Du) = 0 & \forall i = 1, \dots, I \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ L(Du) = \ell & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u = \phi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Proof. Without any loss of generality we can assume that Ω is bounded. Define

$$V_0 = \{u \in W_\theta : u = \phi \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad Du(x) \in E \cup \text{int Rco}E\}$$

and let V be its closure in the $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ weak* topology.

The functions F_i are quasi-convex hence

$$V \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in \phi + W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ F_i(Du(x)) \leq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, I \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ L(Du(x)) = \ell \end{array} \right\}$$

Define $\mathcal{F}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{\Omega} F_i(Du(x)) dx$. The functions F_i are quasi-convex therefore

$\forall u \in V \quad \liminf_{\substack{u_s \xrightarrow{*} u \\ u_s \in V}} \mathcal{F}(u_s) \geq \mathcal{F}(u)$. If $u \in V$ then $\mathcal{F}(u) = 0$ if and only if $Du(x) \in E$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Define $V^k = \left\{ u \in V : \mathcal{F}(u) > \frac{-1}{k} \right\}$. V^k is open since the functions F_i are quasi-convex. It suffices to show that it is also dense in V .

Let $u \in V$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we have to show that there exists $u_\varepsilon \in V^k$ such that $\|u - u_\varepsilon\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. It suffices to show that this property holds for any $u \in V_0$. Since $u \in V_0$ we also have $u \in W_\theta$. Define $u_\varepsilon = u$ in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_\theta$. $\Omega_\theta = \cup \Omega_\theta^i$ and $Du(x) = \xi_i \in E \cup \text{int Rco}E$ in Ω_θ^i . If $\xi_i \in E$ we are done, if $\xi_i \in \text{int Rco}E$ we use the relaxation property. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let F be a continuous, coercive rank one convex function. Define

$$E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : F(\xi) = 0, \quad L(\xi) = \ell\}$$

Then

$$\text{Rco}E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : F(\xi) \leq 0, \quad L(\xi) = \ell\}$$

and the relaxation property holds.

Proof. Define $X \equiv \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : F(\xi) \leq 0, \quad L(\xi) = \ell\}$. Since $E \subset X$, and X is rank one convex $\text{Rco}E \subset X$.

We have to prove that $X \subset \text{Rco}E$. Let $\xi \in X$: if $F(\xi) = 0$ there is nothing left to prove: $\xi \in E \subset \text{Rco}E$.

If $F(\xi) < 0$: let $\eta = a \otimes b$ with $\mathcal{L}b \neq 0$ and $L(\eta) = 0$ (as previously remarked it suffices to choose $a \perp \mathcal{L}b$). F is coercive therefore there exist $t_1 < 0 < t_2$ such that

$$\begin{cases} F(\xi + t\eta) < 0 & \forall t \in (t_1, t_2) \\ F(\xi + t_i\eta) = 0 & \forall i = 1, 2 \\ L(\xi + t_i\eta) = L(\xi) = \ell & \forall i = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

Since $\xi = \frac{t_2}{t_2 - t_1}(\xi + t_1\eta) + \frac{-t_1}{t_2 - t_1}(\xi + t_2\eta)$ and $\xi + t_i\eta \in E \quad \forall i = 1, 2$ we have shown that $\xi \in \text{Rco}E$.

Let us show that the relaxation property holds. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded set, and let u_ξ be affine in Ω such that $Du_\xi = \xi \in \text{Rco}E$.

We have to find a sequence $u_\nu \in W_\theta$, $\theta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_\nu = u_\xi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ u_\nu \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} u_\xi & \text{in } W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ Du_\nu \in \text{int } \text{Rco}E & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ \int_\Omega F(Du_\nu(x)) dx \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } \nu \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases}$$

If $F(\xi) = 0$ we let $u_\nu = u_\xi$; if $F(\xi) < 0$, $L(\xi) = \ell$, consider a rank one matrix $\eta = a \otimes b$ such that $\mathcal{L}b \neq 0$ and $L(\eta) = 0$: there exist $t_1 < 0 < t_2$ such that

$$\begin{cases} F(\xi + t\eta) < 0 & \forall t \in (t_1, t_2) \\ F(\xi + t_i\eta) = 0 & \forall i = 1, 2 \\ L(\xi + t_i\eta) = L(\xi) = \ell & \forall i = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

Now it suffices to apply the approximation lemma to $A = \xi + (t_1 + \varepsilon)\eta$, $B = \xi + (t_2 - \varepsilon)\eta$ and $\xi = \frac{t_2 - \varepsilon}{t_2 - t_1 - 2\varepsilon}A + \frac{-(t_1 + \varepsilon)}{t_2 - t_1 - 2\varepsilon}B$. \square

We now prove that if we have only one equation $F(Du) = 0$, with suitable compatibility conditions then a Lipschitz solution to (1.2) exists (actually infinitely many solutions exist).

Corollary 3.4 (EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR ONE EQUATION). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous, coercive, quasi-convex function, let $L: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $L: \xi = (\xi_{ij}) \rightarrow \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \xi_{ij}$ be a linear operator and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ a given real number. Let ϕ be a piecewise affine function such that $F(D\phi(x)) \leq 0$ and $L(D\phi(x)) = \ell$. Then there exists $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} F(Du(x)) = 0 & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ L(Du(x)) = \ell & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u = \phi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.5. *The coercivity hypothesis on F can be weakened. Let us give the following definition:*

Definition 3.6. *We say that a function $F: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is coercive in a rank one direction $\eta = a \otimes b$ if for any bounded subset B of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ there exist $m, q \in \mathbb{R}$, with $m > 0$ such that*

$$F(\xi + t\eta) \geq m|t| - q \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \xi \in B.$$

It suffices F to be coercive in a rank one direction $\eta = a \otimes b$ such that $\mathcal{L}b \neq 0$ and $L(\eta) = 0$. Our chances of finding such an η increase when the rank of $\mathcal{L} = (\alpha_{ij})$ increases.

4. A note on the approximation lemma

Here we want to prove that in Lemma 2.1 the hypothesis $\mathcal{L}v \neq 0$ cannot be removed. In fact we show that a certain Dirichlet problem does not admit a solution, and that, if the lemma held even if $\mathcal{L}v = 0$ we could show the existence of solutions.

Let $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. The Dirichlet problem we consider is as follows. We want to find $u = (u_1, u_2) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} = 0 & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \right| - 1 = 0 & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Let (u_1, u_2) be a solution to this problem, then $u_1 = u_1(x_1)$ and $u_1'(x_1) = \pm 1$ a.e. $x_1 \in (0, 1)$. Hence the boundary condition $u_1 = 0$ cannot be fulfilled and the problem doesn't admit any Lipschitz solution.

Let us consider $F(\xi) = |\xi_{11}| - 1$. It is coercive in the rank-1 direction $\Lambda = (a_1, a_2) \otimes (b_1, b_2)$ if and only if $a_1 b_1 \neq 0$. In this problem $\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ therefore

$\mathcal{L} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $L(\Lambda) = a_1 b_2$. So the only rank-1 directions Λ such that F is coercive in the direction Λ and $L(\Lambda) = 0$ are those which satisfy $\begin{cases} a_1 b_1 \neq 0 \\ a_1 b_2 = 0 \end{cases}$

i.e. those $\Lambda = (a_1, a_2) \otimes (b_1, b_2)$ such that $\begin{cases} b_2 = 0 \\ a_1 b_1 \neq 0 \end{cases}$. For all such directions

$\mathcal{L} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. So we can always assume $\Lambda = (a_1, a_2) \otimes (1, 0)$ with $a_1 \neq 0$. Now let us assume Lemma 2.1 holds even if $\mathcal{L}v = 0$. We want to show that in such case we could prove this Dirichlet problem admits a solution.

Let

$$E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} : L(\xi) = 0, F(\xi) = 0\} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} : \xi_{12} = 0, |\xi_{11}| = 1\},$$

$$X = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} : L(\xi) = 0, F(\xi) \leq 0\} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} : \xi_{12} = 0, |\xi_{11}| \leq 1\}.$$

Step 1 (RcoE = X): X is rank-1 convex, $E \subset X$ hence $\text{Rco}E \subset X$. The reverse inequality holds: let $\xi \in X$: if $|\xi_{11}| = 1$, $\xi \in E \in \text{Rco}E$ and there is nothing left to prove. If $|\xi_{11}| < 1$, let $\Lambda = (a_1, a_2) \otimes (b_1, b_2)$ be a rank-1 matrix such that $L(\Lambda) = 0$ and F is coercive in the direction Λ . We have shown that we must have $\Lambda = (a_1, a_2) \otimes (1, 0)$ with $a_1 \neq 0$.

Since F is coercive in the direction Λ , there exist $t_1 < 0 < t_2$ such that

$$\begin{cases} F(\xi + t\Lambda) = 0 & \forall t \in (t_1, t_2) \\ F(\xi + t_1\Lambda) = F(\xi + t_2\Lambda) = 0 \\ L(\xi + t\Lambda) = L(\xi) + tL(\Lambda) = 0 & \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

We may write $\xi = \frac{t_2}{t_2 - t_1}(\xi + t_1\Lambda) + \frac{-t_1}{t_2 - t_1}(\xi + t_2\Lambda)$ hence $\xi \in \text{Rco}E$.

Step 2 (the relaxation property holds): Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open bounded set, let u_ξ be an affine function on Ω such that $Du_\xi = \xi \in \text{Rco}E$. We must find a sequence

of positive real numbers, $\{\theta_n\}$, decreasing to 0 and a sequence of functions $\{u_n\}$, $u_n \in C_{\text{piec}}^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{cases} \exists \Omega_n \subset \Omega, \text{ open subset such that } |\Omega \setminus \Omega_n| < \theta_n \\ u_n \text{ is piecewise affine in } \Omega_n \\ u_n = u_\xi \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\ u_n \xrightarrow{*} u_\xi \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2) \\ Du_n \in \int \text{Rco} E \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ \int_{\Omega} F(Du_n(x)) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

If $F(\xi) = 0$ there is nothing to be done, if $F(\xi) < 0$, with the same Λ , t_1 and t_2 of the previous step and $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$A = \xi + (t_1 + \varepsilon)\Lambda, \quad B = \xi + (t_2 - \varepsilon)\Lambda.$$

We may write

$$\xi = \frac{t_2 - \varepsilon}{t_2 - t_1 - 2\varepsilon} A + \frac{-(t_1 + \varepsilon)}{t_2 - t_1 - 2\varepsilon} B.$$

If we could apply the approximation lemma to these matrixes we would have proved that the relaxation property holds, and therefore the problem would admit a solution, see Corollary 3.4 and Remark 3.5.

5. Acknowledgments

This research started during a visit at the EPFL (École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne) working with Professor Bernard Dacorogna, supported by INDAM (Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica “Francesco Severi”). I thank Prof. Dacorogna for his help both in mathematics and in my staying at EPFL. I also thank Prof. Paolo Marcellini for his continuous encouragement.

References

- [1] B. DACOROGNA AND P. MARCELLINI, *Implicit partial differential equations*, Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [2] B. DACOROGNA AND C. TANTERI, *Implicit partial differential equations and the constraints of non linear elasticity*, to appear.
- [3] G. H. GOLUB AND C. F. V. LOAN, *Matrix Computations*, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1983.
- [4] S. MÜLLER AND V. SVĚRAK, *Convex integration with constraints and applications to phase transitions and partial differential equations*, J. Eur. Math. Soc, 1 (1999), pp. 393–422.