

A STAMPACCHIA-TYPE INEQUALITY FOR A FOURTH-ORDER ELLIPTIC OPERATOR ON KÄHLER MANIFOLDS AND APPLICATIONS

LUCA LUSSARDI

Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Torino
c.so Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino (Italy)
email: luca.lussardi@polito.it

ABSTRACT. In this paper we will prove an integral inequality of Stampacchia-type for a fourth-order elliptic operator on complete and connected Kähler manifolds. Our inequality implies a Hodge-Kodaira orthogonal decomposition for the Sobolev-type space $W^{p,q}(X)$. In particular we will be able to prove, under suitable topological conditions on the manifold X , the existence of an isomorphism between the Aeppli groups $\Lambda^{p,q}(X)$ and the groups $H^{p,q}(X)$ of all global harmonic forms of bidegree (p, q) .

Keywords: Harmonic forms, Stampacchia-type inequality, Hodge-Kodaira decomposition, Aeppli groups.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C55, 14F25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex manifold, and let $p, q \geq 1$ integers. The Aeppli groups, even called $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology groups, defined for the first time by Aeppli in [1] and studied, principally, by Bigolin in [10] and in [11], were introduced in order to study cycles of algebraic manifolds (see [8]). More recently the Aeppli groups are under consideration in order to investigate integral transformations (see [17]), properties of balanced manifolds (see [2],[5],[6]) and properties of 1-convex manifolds (see [3],[7],[4]). The Aeppli groups were originally defined in [1] by

$$\Lambda^{p,q}(X) = \frac{\text{Ker}\{A^{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} A^{p+1,q}(X) \oplus A^{p,q+1}(X)\}}{\partial\bar{\partial}A^{p-1,q-1}(X)}$$

$$V^{p,q}(X) = \frac{\text{Ker}\{A^{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial\bar{\partial}} A^{p+1,q+1}(X)\}}{\partial A^{p-1,q}(X) + \bar{\partial}A^{p,q-1}(X)}$$

where $A^{p,q}(X)$ denotes the space of all (p, q) -differential forms with coefficients in $C^\infty(X)$ and with complex values. If X is a Stein manifold then Aeppli, in [1], proves that the Aeppli groups are isomorphic to the complex De Rham cohomology: more precisely $\Lambda^{p,q}(X)$ and $V^{p,q}(X)$ are isomorphic, respectively, to the spaces $H^{p+q}(X)$ and $H^{p+q+1}(X)$, where $H^r(X)$ denotes the space of all global harmonic r -forms. The result of Aeppli gives a characterization of the De Rham cohomology for Stein manifolds. If the manifold X is Kähler and compact then Bigolin, in [10], proves, as a consequence of an orthogonal decomposition for the space of all $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms, that both $V^{p,q}(X)$ and $\Lambda^{p,q}(X)$ are isomorphic to $H^{p,q}(X)$, where $H^{p,q}(X)$ denotes the space of all forms in $H^{p+q}(X)$ of bidegree (p, q) ; moreover in the same paper some results proved by Aeppli in [1] for Stein manifolds are recovered. If we remove the compactness assumption on the manifold X then, at the moment, it is unknown the relation between Aeppli groups and $H^{p,q}(X)$. In this paper we study the non-compact case. We will be able to prove, under a technical topological condition on X (see assumption (5.1)), that the Aeppli groups $\Lambda^{p,q}(X)$ are isomorphic to $H^{p,q}(X)$ whenever X is a connected and complete Kähler manifold. The main tool for the proof of our result is a suitable Hodge-Kodaira orthogonal decomposition. More precisely denoting by $D^{p,q}(X)$ the space

of all forms in $A^{p,q}(X)$ with compact support in X , we can consider, on $D^{p,q}(X)$, the standard complex scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$ of L^2 -type and the complex scalar product

$$(u, v)_{1,X} := (u, v)_X + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}v)_X + (\vartheta u, \vartheta v)_X$$

where ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ are the classical complex differential operators and $\bar{\vartheta}$ and ϑ are their adjoints, respectively. Then if we denote by $W^{p,q}(X)$ the completion of $D^{p,q}(X)$ with respect to the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{1,X}$, in §4 we will be able to prove that on Kähler manifolds the following Hodge-Kodaira decomposition holds:

$$(1.1) \quad W^{p,q}(X) = [\partial\bar{\partial}D^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1 \oplus_{\perp} [\vartheta D^{p,q+1}(X) + \bar{\vartheta} D^{p+1,q}(X)]_1 \oplus_{\perp} \text{Ker } \square \cap W^{p,q}(X)$$

where the square brackets with subscript 1 stands for the closure in $W^{p,q}(X)$ and \oplus_{\perp} says that the direct sum is orthogonal in the sense of the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{1,X}$. The proof of (1.1), in the absence of compactness, requires an integral inequality of ‘‘Stampacchia-type’’ for a suitable elliptic operator, and such a inequality is the crucial point. Let us briefly recall the history of Stampacchia-type inequalities.

Let X be a complete and connected hermitian manifold. The classical Stampacchia inequality is an integral inequality which involves the complex Laplace operator \square ; Andreotti and Vesentini proved it in [9] in order to obtain applications to the study of vanishing theorems by means of an extension of a Kodaira theorem ([13]). More precisely if $L^{p,q}(X)$ denotes the completion of $D^{p,q}(X)$ with respect to the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$ and if B_r denotes the ball of radius r and centered in a fixed point $0 \in X$, then for any $r, R, \sigma > 0$, with $r < R$, it holds

$$(1.2) \quad (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_{B_r} + (\vartheta u, \vartheta u)_{B_r} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{c}{(R-r)^2} \right) (u, u)_{B_R} + \sigma(\square u, \square u)_{B_R}$$

for all $u \in A^{p,q}(X)$, where $c > 0$ is a constant which depends only by the complex dimension of X . In particular it descends the following characterization of the square-summable harmonic forms on X :

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Ker } \square \cap L^{p,q}(X) = \{u \in A^{p,q}(X) \cap L^{p,q}(X) : \bar{\partial}u = \vartheta u = 0\}.$$

A real version of inequality (1.2) was proved by Vesentini, with the same technique, in [18]: if M denotes a complete and connected riemannian manifold then for any $r, R, \sigma > 0$, with $r < R$, it holds

$$(1.4) \quad (du, du)_{B_r} + (\delta u, \delta u)_{B_r} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{c}{(R-r)^2} \right) (u, u)_{B_R} + \sigma(\Delta u, \Delta u)_{B_R}$$

for any $u \in A^p(M)$, where $c > 0$ is a constant which depends only by the dimension of M . The Stampacchia-type inequality (1.4) implies that

$$\text{Ker } \Delta \cap L^p(M) = \{u \in A^p(M) \cap L^p(M) : du = \delta u = 0\}$$

from which it follows the Hodge-Kodaira decomposition of $L^p(M)$:

$$(1.5) \quad L^p(M) = [dD^{p-1}(M)]_{L^p(M)} \oplus_{\perp} [\delta D^{p+1}(M)]_{L^p(M)} \oplus_{\perp} \text{Ker } \Delta \cap L^p(M).$$

In this this paper we will prove a Stampacchia-type inequality like (1.2) for the fourth-order elliptic operator \mathcal{D} given by

$$\mathcal{D} = \partial\bar{\partial}\vartheta\bar{\vartheta} + \vartheta\bar{\vartheta}\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\vartheta}\bar{\partial}\vartheta\partial + \vartheta\partial\bar{\vartheta}\bar{\partial} + \bar{\vartheta}\partial + \vartheta\bar{\partial}$$

which was first considered by Kodaira and Spencer in [15] for the study of the stability of Kähler manifolds under small deformations (see moreover the important book of Morrow and Kodaira [16], Ch. 4, § 4). Such a operator \mathcal{D} was also considered by Bigolin [10] in the compact case. More precisely in § 3, following the same technique of Andreotti and Vesentini, we will prove that there exist four positive constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 , eventually depending only by the complex dimension of X , such that for any $r, R, \sigma > 0$, with $r < R$, it holds

$$(1.6) \quad (\square u, \square u)_{B_r} + (\vartheta\bar{\vartheta}u, \vartheta\bar{\vartheta}u)_{B_r} + (\partial\bar{\partial}u, \partial\bar{\partial}u)_{B_r} + (\vartheta\partial u, \vartheta\partial u)_{B_r} + (\bar{\vartheta}\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\vartheta}\bar{\partial}u)_{B_r} + (\partial u, \partial u)_{B_r} + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_{B_r} \leq \left(\frac{c_1}{(R-r)^2} + \frac{c_2}{(R-r)^4} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) (u, u)_{B_R} +$$

$$+ \frac{c_3}{(R-r)^2} ((\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_{B_R} + (\vartheta u, \vartheta u)_{B_R}) + c_4 \sigma(\mathcal{D}u, \mathcal{D}u)_{B_R}$$

for any $u \in A^{p,q}(X)$. By means of inequality (1.6) we will be able to prove the decomposition (1.1) and then, in the last section, we will apply such a decomposition in order to study a relation between the Aeppli cohomology and classical De Rham cohomology.

2. RIEMANNIAN AND HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS

2.1. Riemannian manifolds. For a thorough treatment of the argument we refer the reader to [12]. Let M be a n -dimensional orientable complete riemannian manifold. Let $g_{\alpha\beta}$ be the metric tensor on M and let $g^{\alpha\beta}$ be the inverse of $g_{\alpha\beta}$; we also denote by $g = \det g_{\alpha\beta}$. For any positive integer p , with $p \leq n$, we will denote by $K^p(M)$ the space of all currents on M of degree p ; the subspace $A^p(M)$ will denote the space of all p -differential forms with C^∞ -coefficients and real values. In this setting it is well defined the volume form $e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$. Given $u \in A^p(M)$ the adjoint of u is the form given, in local coordinates, by $*u_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-p}} = e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-p}} u^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}$. The operator $*$: $A^p(M) \rightarrow A^{n-p}(M)$ can be extended to a unique operator $*$: $K^p(M) \rightarrow K^{n-p}(M)$. On the subspace $D^p(M)$ given by all forms in $A^p(M)$ which have compact support in M the operator $*$ permits us to define the real scalar product given by

$$(u, v)_M := \int_M u \wedge *v.$$

We will denote by $L^p(M)$ the completion of the space $D^p(M)$ with respect to the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_M$. It turns out that $L^p(M)$ is an Hilbert space. Let $d: K^p(M) \rightarrow K^{p+1}(M)$ be the exterior differential and let $\delta: K^p(M) \rightarrow K^{p-1}(M)$ its formal adjoint, i.e. $\delta = (-1)^{np+n+1} * d*$; it is well known that $d^2 = \delta^2 = 0$. The laplacian of a current $T \in K^p(M)$ is given by $\Delta T = d\delta T + \delta dT$; the currents belong to $\text{Ker } \Delta$ are called harmonic currents, and the forms belong to $\text{Ker } \Delta$ are called harmonic forms. By ellipticity it turns out that if $T \in \text{Ker } \Delta$ then actually $T \in A^p(M)$.

2.2. Hermitian manifolds. For a thorough treatment of the subject we refer the reader to [16] and [19]. Let X be a complete hermitian manifold of complex dimension n , let $g_{\alpha\beta}$ be the hermitian metric on X , and let $g^{\alpha\beta}$ be its inverse; as in the real case we denote by $g = \det g_{\alpha\beta}$. For any positive integers p, q , with $p, q \leq n$, we will denote by $K^{p,q}(X)$ the space of all currents on X of bidegree (p, q) ; the subspace $A^{p,q}(X)$ will denote the space of all (p, q) -differential forms with C^∞ -coefficients and complex values. Associated to an hermitian metric we have the fundamental real form $\omega = ig_{\alpha\beta} dz^\alpha d\bar{z}^\beta$; X is a Kähler manifold if $d\omega = 0$. Let, in local coordinates, $e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \beta_1 \dots \beta_n} dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{\alpha_n} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_n}$ be the volume form on X . Given $u \in A^{p,q}(X)$ the adjoint of u is the form given, in local coordinates, by $*u_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-q} \nu_1 \dots \nu_{n-p}} = e_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-q} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_q \nu_1 \dots \nu_{n-p} \beta_1 \dots \beta_p} u^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_q \beta_1 \dots \beta_p}$. The operator $*$: $A^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow A^{n-q, n-p}(X)$ can be extended to a unique operator $*$: $K^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow K^{n-q, n-p}(X)$. As in the riemannian case on the subspace $D^{p,q}(X)$ given by all forms in $A^{p,q}(X)$ which have compact support in X the operator $*$ permits us to define a complex scalar product given by

$$(u, v)_X := \int_X u \wedge *\bar{v}.$$

We will denote by $L^{p,q}(X)$ the completion of $D^{p,q}(X)$ with respect to the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$. It turns out that $L^{p,q}(X)$ is an Hilbert space. Let $\partial: K^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow K^{p+1,q}(X)$ and $\bar{\partial}: K^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow K^{p,q+1}(X)$ be the classical complex differential operators. It is well known that $\partial^2 = \bar{\partial}^2 = 0$ and $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$. The operators $\vartheta: K^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow K^{p,q-1}(X)$ and $\bar{\vartheta}: K^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow K^{p-1,q}(X)$ can be defined by setting $\vartheta = - * \partial *$ and $\bar{\vartheta} = - * \bar{\partial} *$, and we get $\vartheta^2 = \bar{\vartheta}^2 = 0$. Let us now recall the following useful formulas: If at least one form between u and v belong to $D^{p,q}(X)$ then

$$(2.1) \quad (\bar{\partial}u, v)_X = (u, \vartheta v)_X \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial u, v)_X = (u, \bar{\vartheta} v)_X;$$

moreover it holds $\partial \bar{\partial} = -\bar{\partial} \partial$ and $\vartheta \bar{\vartheta} = -\bar{\vartheta} \vartheta$. If X is a Kähler manifold then it is well known that

$$(2.2) \quad \partial \vartheta + \vartheta \partial = 0, \quad \bar{\partial} \bar{\vartheta} + \bar{\vartheta} \bar{\partial} = 0, \quad \bar{\partial} \vartheta + \vartheta \bar{\partial} = \partial \bar{\vartheta} + \bar{\vartheta} \partial.$$

We recall that the complex laplacian $\square: K^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow K^{p,q}(X)$ is defined by $\square = \bar{\partial}\partial + \partial\bar{\partial}$; the currents belong to $\text{Ker } \square$ are called harmonic currents, and the forms belong to $\text{Ker } \square$ are called harmonic forms. On Kähler manifolds by (2.2) it descends

$$\square = \bar{\square} := \partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial.$$

By ellipticity it turns out that if $T \in \text{Ker } \square$ then actually $T \in A^{p,q}(X)$. On Kähler manifolds it holds $\square = \frac{1}{2}\Delta$. Finally we will denote by $W^{p,q}(X)$ the Sobolev-type space given by the completion of $D^{p,q}(X)$ with respect to the scalar product

$$(u, u)_{1,X} := (u, u)_X + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_X + (\partial u, \partial u)_X.$$

It turns out that $W^{p,q}(X)$ is an Hilbert space.

3. A STAMPACCHIA-TYPE INEQUALITY FOR THE OPERATOR \mathcal{D}

In the rest of the paper X will denote a complete and connected Kähler manifold of complex dimension n . Let $p, q \leq n$ be positive integers. Consider the fourth-order operator $\mathcal{D}: K^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow K^{p,q}(X)$ given by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{D} = \partial\bar{\partial}\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial\bar{\partial}\partial + \bar{\partial}\partial + \partial\bar{\partial}$$

Remark 3.1. *An easy application of formulas (2.2) shows that*

$$(3.2) \quad \square^2 = \partial\bar{\partial}\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial\bar{\partial}\partial$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial\bar{\partial}\partial = \partial\bar{\partial}\partial\bar{\partial} + \partial\bar{\partial}\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial\partial\bar{\partial} + \partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\partial.$$

In [15] Kodaira and Spencer show that \mathcal{D} (they used, for the principal part of \mathcal{D} , the form given by the right-hand side of (3.3)) is an elliptic operator, since its principal part is given by

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} g^{\beta\alpha} g^{\delta\gamma} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta \partial z^\gamma \partial \bar{z}^\delta}$$

in any local coordinates system. For any $u \in A^{p,q}(X)$ let

$$(u, u)_{2,X} := (\partial\bar{\partial}u, \partial\bar{\partial}u)_X + (\bar{\partial}\partial u, \bar{\partial}\partial u)_X + (\partial u, \partial u)_X + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_X.$$

Let $0 \in X$ be a fixed point; for any $r > 0$ we will denote by B_r the ball centered in 0 with radius r . For the sake of simplicity we will use the notation $(\cdot, \cdot)_r$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{2,r}$ respectively for the quantities $(\cdot, \cdot)_{B_r}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{2,B_r}$. Notice that the completeness of X ensures that the generic ball B_r is relatively compact in X , by Hopf-Rinow theorem; in particular all quantities $(u, u)_r$ and $(u, u)_{2,r}$ are finite. The fundamental result of this section is an integral inequality of Stampacchia-type for the operator \mathcal{D} .

Theorem 3.2. (Stampacchia-type inequality) *For every $R, r, \sigma > 0$ with $r < R$ it holds*

$$(3.4) \quad (\square u, \square u)_r + (u, u)_{2,r} + (\partial u, \partial u)_r + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_r \leq \\ \leq \left(\frac{c_1}{(R-r)^4} + \frac{c_2}{(R-r)^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) (u, u)_R + \frac{c_3}{(R-r)^2} ((\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_R + (\partial u, \partial u)_R) + c_4 \sigma (\mathcal{D}u, \mathcal{D}u)_R$$

for any $u \in A^{p,q}(X)$, with c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 positive constants eventually depending only by the complex dimension n .

Proof. Using the same argument of Lemma 6 in [9] we can construct a function $\varphi: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\varphi = 1$ on B_r , $\varphi = 0$ on $X \setminus B_R$ such that there exist two positive constants M_1 and M_2 , depending only by n , with

$$(3.5) \quad (L\varphi \wedge u, L\varphi \wedge u)_R \leq \frac{M_1}{(R-r)^2} (u, u)_R, \quad (N\varphi \wedge u, N\varphi \wedge u)_R \leq \frac{M_2}{(R-r)^4} (u, u)_R$$

for any $u \in A^{p,q}(X)$, whenever $L \in \{\partial, \bar{\partial}, \partial\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}\partial\}$ and $N \in \{\partial\bar{\partial}, \partial\bar{\partial}, \partial\bar{\partial}\partial, \bar{\partial}\partial\bar{\partial}\}$. Let $u \in A^{p,q}(X)$; then $\varphi^m u$ has support in B_R for any positive integer m . Now we divide the proof in two steps; first we collect some useful estimates for the first and the second order terms that appears in \mathcal{D} , and then

we will prove (3.4).

Step 1. Let us consider the first order terms. We have

$$\partial(\varphi^4 u) = 4\varphi^3 \partial\varphi \wedge u + \varphi^4 \partial u$$

and then

$$(\partial u, \partial(\varphi^4 u))_R = (\varphi^2 \partial u, 4\varphi \partial\varphi \wedge u + \varphi^2 \partial u)_R = 4(\varphi^2 \partial u, \varphi \partial\varphi \wedge u)_R + (\varphi^2 \partial u, \varphi^2 \partial u)_R.$$

Taking into account formulas (2.1) we deduce that

$$(3.6) \quad (\varphi^2 \partial u, \varphi^2 \partial u)_R = (\vartheta \partial u, \varphi^4 u)_R - 4(\varphi^2 \partial u, \varphi \partial\varphi \wedge u)_R.$$

By applying the same argument we get

$$(3.7) \quad (\varphi^2 \bar{\partial} u, \varphi^2 \bar{\partial} u)_R = (\vartheta \bar{\partial} u, \varphi^4 u)_R - 4(\varphi^2 \bar{\partial} u, \varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R.$$

Let us now consider the second order terms. We easily have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^4 u) &= \vartheta(2\varphi^3 \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u + \varphi^2 \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u)) = \\ &= 6\varphi^2 \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u + 2\varphi^3 \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u + (-1)^{p+q} 2\varphi^3 \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u + 2\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u) + \varphi^2 \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u) = \\ &= 10\varphi^2 \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u + 2\varphi^3 \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u + (-1)^{p+q} 2\varphi^3 \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u + 2\varphi^3 \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u + \varphi^2 \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u) \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(\vartheta \bar{\partial} u, \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^4 u))_R = \\ &= (\varphi^2 \vartheta \bar{\partial} u, 10\vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u + 2\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u + (-1)^{p+q} 2\varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u + 2\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u + \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u))_R = \\ &= (\vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u) - 2\vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u - 2\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u - (-1)^{p+q} 2\varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u - 2\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u, 10\vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u + \\ &\quad + 2\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u + (-1)^{p+q} 2\varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u + 2\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u + \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u))_R. \end{aligned}$$

Then taking into account (2.1) we get

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u), \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u))_R = (\vartheta \bar{\partial}\vartheta \bar{\partial} u, \varphi^4 u)_R - 10(\vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u), \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R + \\ &\quad + 20(\vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u, \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R + 20(\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u, \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R + \\ &\quad + 20(-1)^{p+q}(\varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u, \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R + 20(\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u, \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R + \\ &\quad - 2(\vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u), \varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R + 4(\vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u, \varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R + 4(\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u, \varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R + \\ &\quad + 2(-1)^{p+q}(\varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u, \varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R + 4(\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u, \varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u)_R - 2(-1)^{p+q}(\vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u), \varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u)_R + \\ &\quad + 4(-1)^{p+q}(\vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u, \varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u)_R + 4(-1)^{p+q}(\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u, \varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u)_R + \\ &\quad + 4(\varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u, \varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u)_R + 4(-1)^{p+q}(\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u, \varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u)_R - 2(\vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u), \varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u)_R + \\ &\quad + 4(\vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u, \varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u)_R + 4(\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u, \varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u)_R + 4(-1)^{p+q}(\varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u, \varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u)_R + \\ &\quad + 4(\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u, \varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u)_R + 2(\vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u, \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u))_R + 2(\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge u, \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u))_R + \\ &\quad + 2(-1)^{p+q}(\varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \vartheta u, \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u))_R + 2(\varphi \vartheta \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u, \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u))_R. \end{aligned}$$

After the same computation we can obtain a similar identity for the term $(\bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2 u), \bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2 u))_R$.

Step 2. Now we will prove (3.4). By taking the sum of (3.6),(3.7),(3.8) and the similar identity for the term $(\bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2 u), \bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2 u))_R$, taking into account the very definition of \mathcal{D} , Young inequality and (3.5) we easily obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u), \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u))_R + (\bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2 u), \bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2 u))_R + (\varphi^2 \partial u, \varphi^2 \partial u)_R + (\varphi^2 \bar{\partial} u, \varphi^2 \bar{\partial} u)_R \leq \\ &\leq |(\mathcal{D}u, \varphi^4 u)_R| + \frac{1}{2}[(\vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u), \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u))_R + (\bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2 u), \bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2 u))_R + (\varphi^2 \partial u, \varphi^2 \partial u)_R + (\varphi^2 \bar{\partial} u, \varphi^2 \bar{\partial} u)_R] + \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha}{(R-r)^2} + \frac{\beta}{(R-r)^4} \right) (u, u)_R + \frac{\gamma}{(R-r)^2} ((\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_R + (\vartheta u, \vartheta u)_R) \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants α, β, γ depending only on the complex dimension n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u), \vartheta \bar{\partial}(\varphi^2 u))_R + (\bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2 u), \bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2 u))_R + (\varphi^2 \partial u, \varphi^2 \partial u)_R + (\varphi^2 \bar{\partial} u, \varphi^2 \bar{\partial} u)_R \leq \\ &\leq 2|(\mathcal{D}u, \varphi^4 u)_R| + \left(\frac{2\alpha}{(R-r)^2} + \frac{2\beta}{(R-r)^4} \right) (u, u)_R + \frac{2\gamma}{(R-r)^2} ((\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_R + (\vartheta u, \vartheta u)_R). \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that

$$(\vartheta\bar{\partial}(\varphi^2u), \vartheta\bar{\partial}(\varphi^2u))_R + (\bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2u), \bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2u))_R = (\square(\varphi^2u), \square(\varphi^2u))_R$$

and, at the same time, applying (3.3),

$$(\vartheta\bar{\partial}(\varphi^2u), \vartheta\bar{\partial}(\varphi^2u))_R + (\bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2u), \bar{\partial}\vartheta(\varphi^2u))_R = (\varphi^2u, \varphi^2u)_{2,R}.$$

Thus, since $\varphi = 1$ on B_r , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (\square u, \square u)_r + (u, u)_{2,r} + (\partial u, \partial u)_r + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_r &\leq \left(\frac{4\alpha}{(R-r)^2} + \frac{4\beta}{(R-r)^4} \right) (u, u)_R + \\ &+ \frac{4\gamma}{(R-r)^2} ((\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_R + (\vartheta u, \vartheta u)_R) + 4|(\mathcal{D}u, \varphi^4u)_R|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, applying again Young inequality, we obtain, for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\square u, \square u)_r + (u, u)_{2,r} + (\partial u, \partial u)_r + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_r &\leq \left(\frac{4\alpha}{(R-r)^2} + \frac{4\beta}{(R-r)^4} + \frac{4}{\eta} \right) (u, u)_R + \\ &+ \frac{4\gamma}{(R-r)^2} ((\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_R + (\vartheta u, \vartheta u)_R) + 4\eta|(\mathcal{D}u, \mathcal{D}u)_R| \end{aligned}$$

which is, up to constants, inequality (3.4). \square

4. A HODGE-KODAIRA DECOMPOSITION FOR THE SPACE $W^{p,q}(X)$

This section is devoted to the proof of a Hodge-Kodaira orthogonal decomposition for the space $W^{p,q}(X)$.

Proposition 4.1. *It holds*

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Ker } \square \cap W^{p,q}(X) = \text{Ker } \mathcal{D} \cap W^{p,q}(X) = \{u \in A^{p,q}(X) \cap W^{p,q}(X) : \vartheta\bar{\partial}u = \partial u = \bar{\partial}u = 0\}.$$

Proof. Let $u \in W^{p,q}(X)$ with $\mathcal{D}u = 0$. Then inequality (3.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\vartheta\bar{\partial}u, \vartheta\bar{\partial}u)_r + (\partial u, \partial u)_r + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_r &\leq \left(\frac{c_1}{(R-r)^4} + \frac{c_2}{(R-r)^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) (u, u)_X + \\ &+ \frac{c_3}{(R-r)^2} ((\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_X + (\vartheta u, \vartheta u)_X) \end{aligned}$$

for any $R, r, \sigma > 0$ with $r < R$. Observe that since X is connected we get

$$(\vartheta\bar{\partial}u, \vartheta\bar{\partial}u)_r + (\partial u, \partial u)_r + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_r \rightarrow (\vartheta\bar{\partial}u, \vartheta\bar{\partial}u)_X + (\partial u, \partial u)_X + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_X$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Choosing $r = R/2$ and by taking the limsup as $R, \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ we deduce that $(\vartheta\bar{\partial}u, \vartheta\bar{\partial}u)_X = (\partial u, \partial u)_X = (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_X = 0$. Then $\vartheta\bar{\partial}u = \partial u = \bar{\partial}u = 0$. Conversely if $u \in A^{p,q}(X)$ and if $\vartheta\bar{\partial}u = \partial u = \bar{\partial}u = 0$ then recalling (3.3) we immediately have $\mathcal{D}u = 0$. Then

$$\text{Ker } \mathcal{D} \cap W^{p,q}(X) = \{u \in A^{p,q}(X) \cap W^{p,q}(X) : \vartheta\bar{\partial}u = \partial u = \bar{\partial}u = 0\}.$$

Now if $u \in A^{p,q}(X) \cap W^{p,q}(X)$ and $\square u = 0$ then applying (1.2) and the same for $\bar{\square}$ we get $\partial u = \bar{\partial}u = \vartheta u = \bar{\vartheta}u = 0$, and thus $\mathcal{D}u = 0$. Conversely if $u \in A^{p,q}(X) \cap W^{p,q}(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}u = 0$ then by (3.4) we have

$$(\square u, \square u)_r \leq \left(\frac{c_1}{(R-r)^4} + \frac{c_2}{(R-r)^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) (u, u)_X + \frac{c_3}{(R-r)^2} ((\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u)_X + (\vartheta u, \vartheta u)_X).$$

Reasoning as before we conclude. \square

Lemma 4.2. *If at least one form between u and v belong to $D^{p,q}(X)$ then*

$$(4.2) \quad (\partial u, v)_{1,X} = (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_{1,X} \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{\partial}u, v)_{1,X} = (u, \vartheta v)_{1,X}.$$

Proof. By direct computation we have, since (2.1) and (2.2) hold,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial u, v)_{1,X} &= (\partial u, v)_X + (\bar{\partial}\partial u, \bar{\partial}v)_X + (\vartheta\partial u, \vartheta v)_X = (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + (\vartheta\bar{\partial}\partial u, v)_X + (\bar{\partial}\vartheta\partial u, v)_X = \\ &= (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + ((\vartheta\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\vartheta)\partial u, v)_X = (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + ((\partial\bar{\vartheta} + \bar{\vartheta}\partial)\partial u, v)_X = \\ &= (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + (\partial\bar{\vartheta}\partial u, v)_X = (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + (\bar{\vartheta}\partial u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_{1,X} &= (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + (\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}\bar{\vartheta}v)_X + (\vartheta u, \vartheta\bar{\vartheta}v)_X = \\ &= (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + (\vartheta\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + (\bar{\partial}\vartheta u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X = (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + (\vartheta\bar{\partial}u + \bar{\partial}\vartheta u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X = \\ &= (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + (\partial\bar{\vartheta}u + \bar{\vartheta}\partial u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X = (u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X + (\bar{\vartheta}\partial u, \bar{\vartheta}v)_X. \end{aligned}$$

The other one is similar. \square

Theorem 4.3. (Hodge-Kodaira decomposition) *The following Hodge-Kodaira orthogonal decomposition holds:*

$$(4.3) \quad W^{p,q}(X) = [\partial\bar{\partial}D^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1 \oplus_{\perp} [\vartheta D^{p,q+1}(X) + \bar{\vartheta}D^{p+1,q}(X)]_1 \oplus_{\perp} \text{Ker } \square \cap W^{p,q}(X).$$

Proof. Taking into account (4.1) it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} W^{p,q}(X) &= [\partial\bar{\partial}D^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1 \oplus_{\perp} [\vartheta D^{p,q+1}(X) + \bar{\vartheta}D^{p+1,q}(X)]_1 \oplus_{\perp} \\ &\oplus_{\perp} \{u \in A^{p,q}(X) \cap W^{p,q}(X) : \vartheta\bar{\vartheta}u = \partial u = \bar{\partial}u = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1. First we prove that the subspaces

$$[\partial\bar{\partial}D^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1 \quad \text{and} \quad [\vartheta D^{p,q+1}(X) + \bar{\vartheta}D^{p+1,q}(X)]_1$$

are orthogonal in the space $W^{p,q}(X)$. Let $u = \partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{u}$ for some $\tilde{u} \in D^{p-1,q-1}(X)$ and let $v = \vartheta\tilde{v}_1 + \bar{\vartheta}\tilde{v}_2$ for some $\tilde{v}_1 \in D^{p,q+1}(X)$ and $\tilde{v}_2 \in D^{p+1,q}(X)$. Then taking into account (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v)_{1,X} &= (\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{u}, \vartheta\tilde{v}_1)_{1,X} + (\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{u}, \bar{\vartheta}\tilde{v}_2)_{1,X} = -(\bar{\partial}\partial\tilde{u}, \vartheta\tilde{v}_1)_{1,X} + (\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{u}, \bar{\vartheta}\tilde{v}_2)_{1,X} = \\ &= -(\partial\tilde{u}, \vartheta^2\tilde{v}_1)_{1,X} + (\bar{\partial}\tilde{u}, \bar{\vartheta}^2\tilde{v}_2)_{1,X} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the closures in $W^{p,q}(X)$ we conclude.

Step 2. Taking into account *Step 1* and applying the projection theorem in an Hilbert space we obtain the orthogonal decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} W^{p,q}(X) &= [\partial\bar{\partial}D^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1 \oplus_{\perp} [\vartheta D^{p,q+1}(X) + \bar{\vartheta}D^{p+1,q}(X)]_1 \oplus_{\perp} \\ &\oplus_{\perp} [\partial\bar{\partial}D^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1^{\perp} \cap [\vartheta D^{p,q+1}(X) + \bar{\vartheta}D^{p+1,q}(X)]_1^{\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same argument as before we easily get

$$\begin{aligned} \{u \in A^{p,q}(X) \cap W^{p,q}(X) : \vartheta\bar{\vartheta}u = \partial u = \bar{\partial}u = 0\} &\subseteq \\ &\subseteq [\partial\bar{\partial}D^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1^{\perp} \cap [\vartheta D^{p,q+1}(X) + \bar{\vartheta}D^{p+1,q}(X)]_1^{\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $u \in [\partial\bar{\partial}D^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1^{\perp} \cap [\vartheta D^{p,q+1}(X) + \bar{\vartheta}D^{p+1,q}(X)]_1^{\perp}$ then for each $v \in D^{p-1,q-1}(X)$, $w \in D^{p,q+1}(X)$ and $z \in D^{p+1,q}(X)$ we have

$$(4.4) \quad (\partial\bar{\partial}v, u)_{1,X} = (\vartheta w + \bar{\vartheta}z, u)_{1,X} = 0.$$

Let $\bar{u} \in D^{p,q}(X)$; then considering (3.3) we have

$$(\mathcal{D}\bar{u}, u)_{1,X} = (\partial\bar{\partial}\omega_1, u)_{1,X} + (\vartheta\omega_2 + \bar{\vartheta}\omega_3, u)_{1,X}$$

where

$$\omega_1 = \vartheta\bar{\vartheta}\bar{u} \in D^{p-1,q-1}(X), \quad \omega_2 = \bar{\partial}\vartheta\bar{u} \in D^{p,q+1}(X), \quad \omega_3 = \bar{\vartheta}\partial\bar{u} + \partial\bar{\vartheta}\bar{u} \in D^{p+1,q}(X)$$

and thus from (4.4) we deduce that $(\mathcal{D}\bar{u}, u)_X = 0$. Then u is a weak solution of the equation $\mathcal{D} = 0$; since \mathcal{D} is an elliptic operator we get $u \in A^{p,q}(X)$. By (4.2) we finally obtain

$$(v, \vartheta\bar{\vartheta}u)_{1,X} = (w, \bar{\partial}u)_{1,X} = (z, \partial u)_{1,X} = 0$$

for all $v \in D^{p-1,q-1}(X)$, $w \in D^{p,q+1}(X)$ and $z \in D^{p+1,q}(X)$. Therefore $\vartheta\bar{\vartheta}u = \partial u = \bar{\partial}u = 0$, and this concludes the proof of (4.3). \square

5. APPLICATIONS TO THE STUDY OF AEPPLI GROUPS

Let $p, q \geq 1$ integers. As recalled in the Introduction, the Aeppli groups $\Lambda^{p,q}$ were originally defined by

$$\Lambda^{p,q} = \frac{\text{Ker}\{A^{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} A^{p+1,q+1}(X)\}}{\partial\bar{\partial}A^{p-1,q-1}(X)}.$$

Bigolin, in [11], proves, using certain resolutions of the sheaf of germs of $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed functions, that there exists an algebraic isomorphism between $\Lambda^{p,q}$ and

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^{p,q} := \frac{\text{Ker}\{K^{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} K^{p+1,q+1}(X)\}}{\partial\bar{\partial}K^{p-1,q-1}(X)}.$$

First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *The natural map*

$$\frac{[\text{Ker}\{D^{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} D^{p+1,q}(X) \oplus D^{p,q+1}(X)\}]_1}{[\partial\bar{\partial}D^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1} \rightarrow \frac{[\text{Ker}\{D^{p+q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} D^{p+q+1}(X)\}]_{L^{p+q}}}{[dD^{p+q-1}(X)]_{L^{p+q}}}.$$

is injective.

Proof. Using the same argument of the proof of theorem 4.3 it is possible to show that

$$[\text{Ker}\{D^{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} D^{p+1,q}(X) \oplus D^{p,q+1}(X)\}]_1 = [\vartheta D^{p,q+1}(X) + \bar{\vartheta} D^{p+1,q}(X)]_1^\perp.$$

Taking into account the Hodge-Kodaira decomposition (4.3) we deduce that

$$\frac{[\text{Ker}\{D^{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} D^{p+1,q}(X) \oplus D^{p,q+1}(X)\}]_1}{[\partial\bar{\partial}D^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ker } \square \cap W^{p,q}(X)$$

are isomorphic. Now $\text{Ker } \square \cap W^{p,q}(X) \subseteq \text{Ker } \Delta \cap L^{p+q}(X)$. Since

$$[\text{Ker}\{D^{p+q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} D^{p+q+1}(X)\}]_{L^{p+q}(X)} = [\delta D^{p+1}(X)]_{L^p(X)}^\perp$$

then, by the classical Hodge-Kodaira decomposition (1.5),

$$\text{Ker } \Delta \cap L^{p+q}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{[\text{Ker}\{D^{p+q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} D^{p+q+1}(X)\}]_{L^{p+q}(X)}}{[dD^{p+q-1}(X)]_{L^{p+q}(X)}}$$

are isomorphic, and this concludes the proof. \square

In order to prove the main theorem of this section, i.e. a characterization of the Aeppli groups $\Lambda^{p,q}(X)$, we have to assume a technical topological condition on the manifold X . More precisely we will assume that

$$(5.1) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}K^{p-1,q-1}(X) \quad \text{is weakly closed in } K^{p,q}(X)$$

where the weak topology on $K^{p,q}(X)$ is the usual weak topology of distributions (recall that $K^{p,q}(X)$ is the dual space of $D^{p,q}(X)$). It is well known that compact manifolds and Stein manifolds are examples of manifolds satisfying condition (5.1), so that our result extends the results contained in [1] and [10]. Moreover we point out that condition (5.1) is a necessary condition in order to prove only the next theorem: all the rest of the paper holds independently from this assumption; in particular the Stampacchia-type inequality (3.4) and the Hodge-Kodaira decomposition (4.3) hold for any connected and complete Kähler manifolds.

Theorem 5.2. *Let us assume (5.1). Then the Aeppli group $\Lambda^{p,q}(X)$ is isomorphic to the group $H^{p,q}(X)$, where we recall that $H^{p,q}(X)$ denotes the space of all global harmonic $(p+q)$ -forms of bidegree (p, q) .*

Proof. Since

$$\mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X) \simeq \frac{\text{Ker}\{\mathbb{K}^{p+q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{K}^{p+q+1}(X)\}}{d\mathbb{K}^{p+q-1}(X)}$$

and since the image of the natural map

$$i: \frac{\text{Ker}\{\mathbb{K}^{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{K}^{p+1,q+1}(X)\}}{\partial\bar{\partial}\mathbb{K}^{p-1,q-1}(X)} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Ker}\{\mathbb{K}^{p+q}(X) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{K}^{p+q+1}(X)\}}{d\mathbb{K}^{p+q-1}(X)}$$

is exactly $\mathbb{H}^{p,q}(X)$, then it is sufficient to show that i is injective. Let $T \in \mathbb{K}^{p,q}(X)$ with $T = dS$ for some $S \in \mathbb{K}^{p+q-1}(X)$. Then we have to show that there exists $R \in \mathbb{K}^{p-1,q-1}(X)$ such that $T = \partial\bar{\partial}R$. Since $\mathbb{D}^{p+q-1}(X)$ is dense in $\mathbb{K}^{p+q-1}(X)$ then there exists a sequence $(S_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{D}^{p+q-1}(X)$ with $S_h \rightarrow S$ as $h \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $dS_h \rightarrow T$ and we can suppose, without loss of generality, that $dS_h \in \mathbb{D}^{p,q}(X)$. Let $T_h = dS_h$. Taking into account lemma 5.1 we get

$$T_h \in [\partial\bar{\partial}\mathbb{D}^{p-1,q-1}(X)]_1$$

so that

$$T_h = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} T_h^k$$

with $T_h^k = \partial\bar{\partial}U_h^k$ for some $U_h^k \in \mathbb{D}^{p-1,q-1}(X)$. Since we are assuming $\partial\bar{\partial}\mathbb{K}^{p-1,q-1}(X)$ weakly closed in $\mathbb{K}^{p,q}(X)$ then

$$T_h = \partial\bar{\partial}R_h$$

for some $R_h \in \mathbb{K}^{p-1,q-1}(X)$, and then $T = \partial\bar{\partial}R$ for some $R \in \mathbb{K}^{p-1,q-1}(X)$, which ends the proof. \square

Remark 5.3. *One can repeat all the considerations on the operator*

$$\mathcal{D}^* = \vartheta\bar{\partial}\vartheta\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\vartheta\bar{\partial}\vartheta + \bar{\partial}\vartheta + \partial\bar{\partial}$$

and in particular we get the Hodge-Kodaira orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{W}^{p,q}(X) = [\vartheta\bar{\partial}\mathbb{D}^{p+1,q+1}(X)]_1 \oplus_{\perp} [\partial\mathbb{D}^{p-1,q}(X) + \bar{\partial}\mathbb{D}^{p,q-1}(X)]_1 \oplus_{\perp} \text{Ker } \square \cap \mathbb{W}^{p,q}(X)$$

which permits to study the Aeppli groups $\mathbb{V}^{p,q}(X)$ reasoning as in lemma 5.1 and in theorem 5.2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to Bruno Bigolin for providing much of the inspiration behind this paper during a seminar of Real and Complex Geometry delivered at the Catholic University of Brescia during the years 2006–2008. Moreover the author thanks Antonio J. Di Scala for many helpful suggestions and remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aeppli: On the cohomology structure of Stein manifolds. *Proc. Conf. Compl. Anal.*, Minneapolis (1964) 58-70.
- [2] L. Alessandrini, G. Bassanelli: The Class of Compact Balanced Manifolds Is Invariant Under Modifications. *Complex analysis and geometry (Trento)*, (1993), 1-17.
- [3] L. Alessandrini, G. Bassanelli: Metric properties of manifolds bimeromorphic to compact Kähler spaces. *J. Differential Geometry* **37**, (1993), 95-121.
- [4] L. Alessandrini, G. Bassanelli: Transforms of currents by modifications and 1-convex manifolds. *Osaka J. Math.* **40**, (2003), 717-740.
- [5] L. Alessandrini, G. Bassanelli: A class of balanced manifolds. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **80**(1), (2004), 6-7.
- [6] L. Alessandrini, G. Bassanelli: Wedge product of positive currents and balanced manifolds. *Tohoku Math. J.* **60**, (2008), 123-134.
- [7] L. Alessandrini, G. Bassanelli, M. Leoni: 1-convex manifolds are p -Kähler. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **72**, (2002), 255-268.
- [8] A. Andreotti, F. Norguet: Cycles of algebraic manifolds and $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology. *Ann. Scuola Normale Sup. Pisa* **25**(3), (1971), 29-114.
- [9] A. Andreotti, E. Vesentini: Sopra un Teorema di Kodaira. *Ann. Scuola Normale Sup. Pisa* **15**(4), (1961), 283-309.
- [10] B. Bigolin: Gruppi di Aeppli. *Ann. Scuola Normale Sup. Pisa* **23**(2), (1969), 259-287.
- [11] B. Bigolin: Osservazioni sulla coomologia del $\partial\bar{\partial}$. *Ann. Scuola Normale Sup. Pisa* **24**(3), (1970), 571-583.
- [12] G. De Rham: Variétés différentiables. Hermann, Paris, 1955.

- [13] K. Kodaira: On Kähler varieties of restricted type. *Ann. Math.* **60**, (1954), 28-48.
- [14] K. Kodaira, D.C. Spencer: On deformations of complex analytic structures, I and II. *Ann. Math.* **62**, (1958), 328-466.
- [15] K. Kodaira, D.C. Spencer: On deformations of complex analytic structures, III. Stability theorems for complex structures. *Ann. Math.* **71**, (1960), 43-76.
- [16] J. Morrow, K. Kodaira: Complex manifolds. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., Washington (1971).
- [17] S. Ofman: Solutions of differential equations systems in integral geometry. *Sem. Geom. Univ. Bologna* (1997), 155-167.
- [18] E. Vesentini: Sulla coomologia delle varietà differenziabili. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano* **34**, (1964), 1-14.
- [19] A. Weil: Introduction à l'étude des variétés kählériennes. Hermann, Paris, 1958.