

**LINEAR MODELS FOR COMPOSITE THIN-WALLED BEAMS
BY Γ -CONVERGENCE.
PART II: CLOSED CROSS-SECTIONS**

CESARE DAVINI, LORENZO FREDDI, AND ROBERTO PARONI

July 14, 2014

ABSTRACT. We consider a beam whose cross-section is a tubular neighborhood of a simple closed curve γ . We assume that the wall thickness, i.e., the size of the neighborhood, scales with a parameter δ_ε while the length of γ scales with ε . We characterize a thin-walled beam by assuming that δ_ε goes to zero faster than ε . Starting from the three dimensional linear theory of elasticity, by letting ε go to zero, we derive a one-dimensional Γ -limit problem for the case in which the ratio between ε^2 and δ_ε is bounded. The limit model is obtained for a fully anisotropic and inhomogeneous material, thus making the theory applicable for composite thin-walled beams. Our approach recovers in a systematic way, and gives account of, many features of the beam models in the theory of Vlasov.

1. INTRODUCTION

The attention to thin-walled beams has been motivated by their peculiar torsional behavior. The pioneering works by Prandtl (1903) and Timoshenko (1905), see [10] and [11], on the flexural-torsional instability of beams opened the way to an extensive study of the subject that has occupied a large part of the first half of the last century. A fundamental contribution was given by Vlasov, whose work became known in the west at the end of the '50s after the English translation of his monograph [12].

The high structural performances and the low weight make thin-walled structures of great interest for applications, especially in advanced technological fields. An account of the developments of the theory and of the problems arising in classical structural contexts is found in [8]. Advanced applications are met, for instance, in aeronautics and turbomachinery. In those fields dynamical and stability aspects become crucial and have urged research to explore novel areas and problems. In particular, it is common to use new and fiber reinforced materials, or to resort to special structural arrangements, in order to influence or control the dynamical behavior [9]. All this has contributed to the growth of an abundant literature and to a multitude of models that are often hardly comparable [13].

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile ed Architettura, via Cotonificio 114, 33100 Udine, Italy, email: davini@uniud.it .

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, via delle Scienze 206, 33100 Udine, Italy, email: freddi@dimi.uniud.it .

Dipartimento di Architettura e Pianificazione, Università degli Studi di Sassari, Palazzo del Pou Salit, Piazza Duomo, 07041 Alghero, Italy, email: paroni@uniss.it .

The various models are based on ad hoc kinematical assumptions. A different approach is taken in [5, 6], where the authors deduce an asymptotic model for thin-walled beams by starting from the three dimensional theory of elasticity and using the general framework of Γ -convergence. These papers deal with homogeneous isotropic elastic beams with rectangular cross-section. Namely, it is assumed that the long side of the cross-section scales with a small parameter ε , while the other with ε^2 . This different scaling leads to a compactness result in which the different components of the displacement scale differently. It is also noticeable that the kinematic restrictions on the limit displacement field, that are the starting point of many direct models, here follow by compactness.

There is now a wide literature on thin-walled beams with open cross-section via variational convergence. In particular, in [7] the authors consider the case of an inhomogeneous anisotropic rectangular cross-section, and in [3, 4] the analysis has been extended to the non linear context. Recently, Davoli [2] considered the case of homogeneous anisotropic beams with curved open cross-section within the framework of finite deformations. In that article, however, the analysis of Γ -convergence is carried on in terms of strains rather than displacements, as it is usually done. In [1] we have studied inhomogeneous anisotropic thin-walled beams with an open curved cross-section within the framework of linear elasticity. In particular, when applied to homogeneous and isotropic materials, our results validate Vlasov's theory.

Here we consider thin-walled beams with closed cross-section. As far as we know, this is the first paper that deals with this case within the framework of variational convergence.

The main difference between open and closed cross-sections is essentially due to the different torsional rigidity. The mechanical reason is that the flux of the shear stresses across the cords of a closed cross-section does not vanish, upraising by up to two orders of magnitude the contribution of the De Saint Venant's regime of stresses to the global torsional rigidity, see [8]. This fact implies that the effects of nonuniform torsion produced by loads applied to the ends of the beam die off much faster than for an open cross-section. Or, in other words, that the importance of "warping" is severely reduced.

In the present approach the difference between open and closed cross-sections emerges at the kinematic level and is related to the multiple connection of the cross-section. The requirement that the displacements fulfill periodicity conditions yields that additional information can be obtained from the compactness theorem. Accordingly, the kinematical analysis of [1] can be significantly enhanced. In particular, it is found that the twist used for an open cross-section, hereafter denoted by ϑ , is identically equal to zero. This result essentially states that the sequence that generates the twist in the case of open cross-sections is too rough and needs to be refined in the case of closed cross-sections. Indeed, by introducing a further rescaling it is possible to define a new quantity, Θ^ε , that describes the twist angle of the cross-section and that converges to a limit value Θ . It is worth saying that, by definition, Θ^ε and then Θ depend also on the shape of the cross section, reflecting a well known technical feature of torsion.

The periodicity of the displacements plays a crucial role and leads to new integral constraints on the potentials appearing in the limit strains. As a consequence, the definition of the limit energy density is much more involved with respect to the

case of an open cross-section. Within the framework of homogeneous and isotropic materials, one of these integral constraints leads to a formula upon which Vlasov's theory of sectorial areas for closed cross-sections is based on, see Remark 4.7 and equation (43).

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we introduce the problem and recall some useful formulas from [1]. After recalling the compactness results, in Section 4 we prove that the limit twist ϑ , as we defined it for the open cross-sections, vanishes and introduce a new measure of twist Θ^ε . We also update the representation formulae for the limit strains and deduce the integral constraints of the related potential functions. In Section 5 we compute a lower bound of the energy by minimizing the strain energy functional in the class of strains characterized in the previous sections. By this procedure we obtain a reduced form of the energy that will define the energy density of the Γ -limit. In Section 6 we find the Γ -limit through the usual sequential characterization and in the following section we prove that the Γ -limit has a local form. Namely, we give an explicit representation of the associated strain energy density.

We stress once more that our approach applies to generally inhomogeneous anisotropic thin-walled beams. The availability of an explicit representation formula for the strain energy density of the asymptotic model can be useful to discuss, for instance, the optimal arrangement of anisotropies in specific problems, or to suggest strategies for *structural tailoring* in advanced technological devices, see [9]. Finally, by the sake of exemplification, in the last section we calculate the Γ -limit for a homogeneous isotropic elastic thin-walled beam.

Notation

We adopt the same notation used in [1], which we recall hereafter for convenience of the reader. In the proofs, for brevity, we neglect to specify which identities hold only "almost everywhere", while we are explicit in the statements of the theorems.

Throughout the article, and unless otherwise stated, we index vector and tensor components as follows: Greek indices α, β and γ take values in the set $\{1, 2\}$ and Latin indices i, j, k, l in the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$. With (e_1, e_2, e_3) we shall denote the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . $L^p(A; B)$ and $H^s(A; B)$ are the standard Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces of functions defined on the domain A and taking values in B . When $B = \mathbb{R}$, or when the target set B is clear from the context, we will simply write $L^p(A)$ or $H^s(A)$; also in the norms we shall systematically drop the target set. Convergence in the norm, that is the so-called strong convergence, will be denoted by \rightarrow while weak convergence is denoted with \rightharpoonup . With a little abuse of language, and because this is a common practice and does not give rise to any confusion, we use to call "sequences" even those families indicized by a continuous parameter ε which, throughout the whole paper, will be assumed to belong to the interval $(0, 1]$. Throughout the paper, the constant C may change from expression to expression and, in some case, even in the same line. The scalar product between vectors or tensors is denoted by \cdot . $\mathbb{R}_{\text{skw}}^{3 \times 3}$ denotes the vector space of skew-symmetric 3×3 real matrices. For $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ we denote the Euclidean norm (with the summation convention) by $|A| = \sqrt{A \cdot A} = \sqrt{\text{tr}(AA^T)} = \sqrt{a_{ij}a_{ij}}$. Whenever we write a matrix by means of its columns we separate the columns with vertical bars $(\cdot | \cdot | \cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$. ∂_i stands for the distributional derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. For every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we denote by $a \odot b := \frac{1}{2}(a \otimes b + b \otimes a)$ the symmetrized diadic product, where

$(a \otimes b)_{ij} = a_i b_j$. When a function of three variables is independent of one or two of them we consider it as a function of the remaining variables only. This means, for instance, that a function $u \in H^1((0, \ell) \times (0, L); \mathbb{R}^m)$ will be identified with a corresponding $u \in H^1((0, \ell) \times (-h/2, h/2) \times (0, L); \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\partial_2 u = 0$ and a function $v \in H^1((0, L); \mathbb{R}^m)$ will be identified with a corresponding $v \in H^1((0, \ell) \times (-h/2, h/2) \times (0, L); \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\partial_1 v = \partial_2 v = 0$. The notation da stands for the area element $dx_1 dx_2$. As usual, \bar{f} denotes the integral mean value.

2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

We consider a sequence of thin-walled beams with closed cross-section $\hat{\omega}_\varepsilon$ that is an annular neighborhood of thickness $\delta_\varepsilon h$ of a curve $\varepsilon\gamma$. Precisely, let $\ell > 0$ and $I = [0, \ell] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed interval and $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ be a regular simple curve of length ℓ in the plane $x_3 = 0$. We shall assume that γ is of class $W^{3, \infty}$ on the torus $[0, \ell]$. Then, the cross-section $\hat{\omega}_\varepsilon$ consists of the set of points

$$(1) \quad \hat{x} = \varepsilon\gamma(x_1) + \delta_\varepsilon x_2 n(x_1), \quad x_1 \in I, \quad x_2 \in \left(-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right),$$

with $h > 0$. Here x_1 is the arc length of γ and x_2 measures the distance of point \hat{x} from the mean curve $\varepsilon\gamma$ along the normal $n(x_1)$. We denote by $t := \partial_1 \gamma$ the unit tangent to γ and by $n = e_3 \wedge t$ the unit normal. In particular, $\kappa := \partial_1 t \cdot n$ is the curvature of γ , and we have that $\partial_1 t = \kappa n$ and $\partial_1 n = -\kappa t$. Clearly, κ cannot be identically equal to zero because the curve is closed.

As in [1], we assume that the cross-section is thin in the sense established by

$$(2) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Let $\hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon := \hat{\omega}_\varepsilon \times (0, L)$ denote the domain occupied by the beam in the reference configuration. We indicate by

$$(3) \quad E\hat{u}(\hat{x}) := \text{sym}(\nabla \hat{u}(\hat{x})) := \frac{\nabla \hat{u}(\hat{x}) + \nabla \hat{u}(\hat{x})^T}{2},$$

the strain corresponding to the displacement $\hat{u} : \hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$.

We consider thin-walled beams made of an inhomogeneous linear hyper-elastic material characterized by an elasticity tensor \mathbb{C}^ε with components $\mathbb{C}_{ijkl}^\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon)$ and satisfying the major and minor symmetries, i.e., $\mathbb{C}_{ijkl}^\varepsilon = \mathbb{C}_{ijlk}^\varepsilon = \mathbb{C}_{klij}^\varepsilon$. The fourth order tensor \mathbb{C}^ε is assumed to be uniformly positive definite, that is: there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{C}^\varepsilon(\hat{x})E \cdot E \geq c|E|^2$$

for almost every \hat{x} , for all symmetric matrices E , and for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

We take the beam to be clamped at $x_3 = 0$, and denote by

$$(5) \quad H_{dn}^1(\hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ \hat{u} \in H^1(\hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3) : \hat{u} = 0 \text{ on } \hat{\omega}_\varepsilon \times \{0\} \right\}$$

the function space of the displacement fields. Then, the energy functional of the beam $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon : H_{dn}^1(\hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$(6) \quad \hat{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(\hat{u}) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} \mathbb{C}^\varepsilon E\hat{u} \cdot E\hat{u} \, d\hat{x} - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\varepsilon(\hat{u}),$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\varepsilon(\hat{u})$ denotes the work done by the loads on the displacements \hat{u} .

We aim to find a variational limit of the functionals $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, in order to get an asymptotic model for thin-walled beams with closed cross-section. The analysis will be focused on the asymptotic behavior of the elastic energy only, and the reader is referred back to the considerations made in [1], Remark 7.3, for what concerns the work done by the loads.

3. PROBLEM ON A FIXED DOMAIN

According to (1), let

$$(7) \quad \hat{x} = \chi_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon\gamma(x_1) + \delta_\varepsilon x_2 n(x_1) + x_3 e_3.$$

be the function that maps the fixed domain $[0, \ell] \times (-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}) \times (0, L)$ to the domain $\hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon$ occupied by the beam in the reference configuration.

By means of χ_ε it is possible to write the mechanical problem on a fixed domain by defining

$$u := \hat{u} \circ \chi_\varepsilon.$$

The chain rule yields then

$$(8) \quad \nabla u = \nabla \hat{u} \circ \chi_\varepsilon \nabla \chi_\varepsilon,$$

where $\nabla u = (\partial_1 u | \partial_2 u | \partial_3 u)$. From (7) it follows that

$$(9) \quad \nabla \chi_\varepsilon = (\varepsilon(1 - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa) t(x_1) | \delta_\varepsilon n(x_1) | e_3),$$

hence

$$(10) \quad \nabla \hat{u} = \nabla u (\nabla \chi_\varepsilon)^{-1} = \nabla u \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon(1 - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa)} t(x_1) | \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} n(x_1) | e_3 \right)^T.$$

It is convenient for the sequel to express the deformation gradient and the strain tensor in a local frame. To this aim, at the points of $\hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon$, or equivalently at the corresponding points of $[0, \ell] \times (-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}) \times (0, L)$, we introduce the basis

$$(11) \quad g_1^\varepsilon = (1 - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa) t, \quad g_2^\varepsilon = n, \quad g_3^\varepsilon = e_3,$$

and its dual one

$$g_\varepsilon^1 = \frac{t}{1 - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa}, \quad g_\varepsilon^2 = n, \quad g_\varepsilon^3 = e_3.$$

We write $H^\varepsilon u$ and $E^\varepsilon u$ respectively for the deformation gradient and the strain tensor when they are regarded as fields over the fixed domain, that is

$$(12) \quad H^\varepsilon u := \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_1 u | \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} \partial_2 u | \partial_3 u \right) (g_\varepsilon^1 | g_\varepsilon^2 | g_\varepsilon^3)^T,$$

whereas $E^\varepsilon u$ is given by

$$E^\varepsilon u := \text{sym} H^\varepsilon u.$$

We note that (12) implies that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_1 u | \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} \partial_2 u | \partial_3 u \right) = H^\varepsilon u (g_\varepsilon^1 | g_\varepsilon^2 | g_\varepsilon^3),$$

that is,

$$(13) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_1 u = H^\varepsilon u g_\varepsilon^1, \quad \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} \partial_2 u = H^\varepsilon u g_\varepsilon^2, \quad \partial_3 u = H^\varepsilon u g_\varepsilon^3.$$

We also notice that the components of $H^\varepsilon u$ in the local basis

$$(H^\varepsilon u)_{ij} := g_i^\varepsilon \cdot H^\varepsilon u g_j^\varepsilon$$

are given by

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} (H^\varepsilon u)_{11} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_1 u, & (H^\varepsilon u)_{12} &= \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_2 u, & (H^\varepsilon u)_{13} &= g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_3 u, \\ (H^\varepsilon u)_{21} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_2^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_1 u, & (H^\varepsilon u)_{22} &= \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} g_2^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_2 u, & (H^\varepsilon u)_{23} &= g_2^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_3 u, \\ (H^\varepsilon u)_{31} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_3^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_1 u, & (H^\varepsilon u)_{32} &= \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} g_3^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_2 u, & (H^\varepsilon u)_{33} &= g_3^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_3 u. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$(15) \quad (E^\varepsilon u)_{ij} := g_i^\varepsilon \cdot E^\varepsilon u g_j^\varepsilon = \frac{(H^\varepsilon u)_{ij} + (H^\varepsilon u)_{ji}}{2}.$$

By setting $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(u) := \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\varepsilon(\hat{u})/(\varepsilon\delta_\varepsilon)$ and $\sqrt{g^\varepsilon} := g_1^\varepsilon \cdot g_2^\varepsilon \times g_3^\varepsilon = 1 - (\delta_\varepsilon/\varepsilon)x_2\kappa$, from (6) we get

$$(16) \quad \frac{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(\hat{u})}{\varepsilon\delta_\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \mathbb{C} E^\varepsilon u \cdot E^\varepsilon u \sqrt{g^\varepsilon} dx - \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(u) =: \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u),$$

with $\Omega := (0, \ell) \times (-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}) \times (0, L)$ and $\mathbb{C} := \mathbb{C}^\varepsilon \circ \chi_\varepsilon$. Hereafter we assume that $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}(x)$ does not depend on ε . From (4) it follows that: there exists $c > 0$ with

$$(17) \quad \mathbb{C}(x)E \cdot E \geq c|E|^2$$

for almost every x and for all symmetric matrices E .

Let

$$H_{dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) := \{u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) : u = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times \{0\}\}$$

with $\omega := (0, \ell) \times (-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2})$. Then, by taking the periodicity of γ into account, (5) yields that the domain of the energy functional \mathcal{F}_ε is

$$H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) := \{u \in H_{dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) : u(0, \cdot, \cdot) = u(\ell, \cdot, \cdot)\}.$$

4. COMPACTNESS RESULTS

Throughout the section we consider a sequence of functions $u^\varepsilon \in H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$(18) \quad \sup_\varepsilon \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} \|E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < +\infty.$$

Since $H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \subset H_{dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ it follows that the compactness results proved in [1], for thin walled beams with open cross-sections, hold also in the present context. The following theorem summarizes some results proved in Section 5 of [1].

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a sequence $W^\varepsilon \in H^1((0, \ell) \times (0, L); \mathbb{R}_{\text{skw}}^{3 \times 3})$ such that $W^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) = W^\varepsilon(\ell, \cdot)$ and*

- i) $\|H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon - W^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\delta_\varepsilon,$
- ii) $\|W^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\partial_3 W^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C,$
- iii) $\|\partial_1 W^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon,$

for a suitable $C > 0$ and every ε small enough. Moreover, there exist $W \in H_{dn}^1((0, L); \mathbb{R}_{\text{skw}}^{3 \times 3})$ and $B \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L); \mathbb{R}_{\text{skw}}^{3 \times 3})$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$(19) \quad W^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup W \text{ in } H^1((0, \ell) \times (0, L); \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}),$$

$$(20) \quad \frac{\partial_1 W^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup B \text{ in } L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L); \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}),$$

and

$$u^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } H_{dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Since the limit of u^ε is equal to zero, the following rescaled components of u^ε were considered in [1]:

$$(21) \quad \boxed{\bar{v}^\varepsilon := \frac{u^\varepsilon - u_3^\varepsilon e_3}{\delta_\varepsilon / \varepsilon}, \quad v_3^\varepsilon := \frac{u_3^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon}.}$$

From (19) it follows that

$$(22) \quad W_{21}^\varepsilon = g_2^\varepsilon \cdot W^\varepsilon g_1^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup n \cdot W t =: \vartheta \text{ in } H^1((0, \ell) \times (0, L)),$$

with $\vartheta \in H_{dn}^1(0, L)$. In [1], for thin-walled beams with open cross-section, it was shown that ϑ represents the rotation of the cross-section around the x_3 -axis. It turns out that for closed cross-sections ϑ is equal to zero, see Theorem 4.4 below, hence the sequence $\{W_{21}^\varepsilon\}$ is too ‘crude’ to capture the rotation of the cross-section in the limit. A measure of the rotation suitable for closed cross-sections is instead given by

$$(23) \quad \boxed{\Theta^\varepsilon := \frac{-1}{\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1} \int_\omega \frac{g_1^\varepsilon \cdot u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} da.}$$

Since $n = e_3 \wedge t$, the quantity $\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1$ is equal to minus twice the area inside the curve γ , and hence it is different from zero. The quantities Θ^ε are in fact averages of the local rotations suitably rescaled at a finer scale, see Theorem 4.2 and Remark 4.3 below.

The following identity, which will be crucial in our analysis,

$$(24) \quad \int_\omega \frac{2(E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{13}}{\delta_\varepsilon} da = \partial_3 \int_\omega \frac{g_1^\varepsilon \cdot u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} da$$

can be deduced from

$$\int_\omega \frac{2(E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{13}}{\delta_\varepsilon} da = \frac{1}{\varepsilon \delta_\varepsilon} \int_\omega \partial_1 u_3^\varepsilon da + \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} \int_\omega g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_3 u^\varepsilon da$$

after noticing that the first integral on the right-hand side vanishes in the case of a closed cross-section.

Theorem 4.2. *There exists $\Theta \in H_{dn}^1(0, L)$ such that, up to a subsequence,*

$$(25) \quad \Theta^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \Theta \text{ in } H^1(0, L)$$

and

$$(26) \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1} \int_\omega g_2^\varepsilon \cdot W^\varepsilon g_1^\varepsilon \gamma \cdot n da \rightarrow \Theta \text{ in } L^2(0, L).$$

PROOF. From (18), (24), and since $u^\varepsilon \in H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; R^3)$, we immediately deduce that

$$\sup_\varepsilon \left\| \int_\omega \frac{g_1^\varepsilon \cdot u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} da \right\|_{H^1(0,L)} < +\infty$$

and hence $\sup_\varepsilon \|\Theta^\varepsilon\|_{H^1(0,L)} < +\infty$. Thus, statement (25) follows.

Since

$$\int_\omega \frac{g_1^\varepsilon \cdot u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} da = \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} \int_\omega u^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_1 \left(\gamma + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 n \right) da = -\frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} \int_\omega \partial_1 u^\varepsilon \cdot \left(\gamma + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 n \right) da,$$

by means of the identity, see (13),

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_1 u^\varepsilon = H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon g_1^\varepsilon,$$

we find that

$$\int_\omega \frac{g_1^\varepsilon \cdot u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} da = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \int_\omega W^\varepsilon g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \left(\gamma + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 n \right) da - \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \int_\omega (H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon - W^\varepsilon) g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \left(\gamma + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 n \right) da.$$

By using that $\gamma + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 n$ is orthogonal to e_3 and that $W^\varepsilon g_1^\varepsilon \cdot g_1^\varepsilon = 0$, since W^ε is skew symmetric, the above equation can be rewritten as

$$(27) \quad \int_\omega \frac{g_1^\varepsilon \cdot u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} da = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \int_\omega W^\varepsilon g_1^\varepsilon \cdot g_2^\varepsilon \left(\gamma \cdot n + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 \right) da \\ - \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \int_\omega (H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon - W^\varepsilon) g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \left(\gamma + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 n \right) da.$$

Dividing (27) by $-\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1$ and taking the limit, we get

$$\Theta^\varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1} \int_\omega g_2^\varepsilon \cdot W^\varepsilon g_1^\varepsilon \gamma \cdot n da \rightarrow \int_\omega W t \cdot n x_2 da \quad L^2(0,L)$$

where we have used *i*) of Theorem 4.1. Since $W t \cdot n$ is independent of x_2 it follows that the limit above is equal to zero and hence (26) follows from (25). \square

Remark 4.3. Theorem 4.2 illustrates the meaning of Θ^ε . It follows from (26) that the field Θ is the limit of a weighted average of the local infinitesimal rotations around the axis x_3 , which is represented by W_{21}^ε , scaled by $\varepsilon/\delta_\varepsilon$. In particular, we notice that the definition of the sequence of rotations Θ^ε does not involve the displacement u^ε only, as in the case of open cross-sections, but also the shape of the cross-section through the factor $(\gamma + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 n)$ which appears in the proof, see (27). This is in accordance with the fact that the torsional rigidity of a closed cross-section depends on its shape, a property which is well known to engineers. For instance, a circular cross-section has a torsional rigidity much larger than an ellipsoidal cross-section with the same length of γ but with a small ratio between the lengths of the axes.

Theorem 4.4. *Let ϑ be as in (22). Then, $\vartheta = 0$ almost everywhere in $(0, L)$.*

PROOF. By (22) we have that

$$\int_\omega W^\varepsilon g_1^\varepsilon \cdot g_2^\varepsilon \gamma \cdot n da \rightharpoonup \vartheta \int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1 \text{ in } H^1(0, L).$$

But from (26) we also have that

$$\oint_{\omega} W^\varepsilon g_1^\varepsilon \cdot g_2^\varepsilon \gamma \cdot n \, da \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(0, L)$$

and hence

$$\vartheta \int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n \, dx_1 = 0.$$

Recalling that the quantity $|\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n \, dx_1|$ is equal to twice the area contained in the simple closed curve γ , then we must have $\vartheta = 0$. \square

To characterize the limit of the sequence of rescaled displacements v^ε we need to assume the slenderness parameter

$$\mathfrak{s} := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta_\varepsilon}$$

to be finite. More precisely, throughout the paper, we shall assume that

$$\mathfrak{s} \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Taking into account that $\vartheta = 0$, we may rewrite Theorem 5.7 and Theorem 5.8 of [1] as follows.

Theorem 4.5. *Let*

$$\gamma_G := \int_0^\ell \gamma(x_1) \, dx_1.$$

There exist

$$\bar{m} \in H_{dn}^2(0, L; \mathbb{R}^3) := \{z \in H^2(0, L; \mathbb{R}^3) : z(0) = \partial_3 z(0) = 0\}$$

and $m_3 \in H_{dn}^1(0, L)$ such that, up to a subsequence, we have

- i) $\bar{v}^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \bar{m}$ in $H_{dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, with $\bar{m}_3 = 0$,*
- ii) $v_3^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup v_3 := m_3 - \partial_3 \bar{m} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G)$ in $H_{dn}^1(\Omega)$.*

We conclude the section by studying the limit behaviour of the rescaled strains. From (18) we deduce that there exists $E \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3})$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$(28) \quad \frac{E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup E \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}).$$

We recall from [1] that $E_{13} := t \cdot E e_3$ satisfies

$$(29) \quad \partial_2 E_{13} = 0$$

and

$$(30) \quad E_{11} := t \cdot E t = x_2 \eta_3 + \eta_1,$$

where $\eta_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\eta_3 = t \cdot B n$, and $\partial_2 \eta_1 = \partial_2 \eta_3 = 0$, with B defined in (20).

The next lemma integrates these results for closed cross-sections.

Lemma 4.6. *The following representations hold:*

- i) $E_{33} := e_3 \cdot E e_3 = \partial_3 v_3$,*

ii) there exists $\eta_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ with

$$(31) \quad \partial_2 \eta_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\ell \eta_2 dx_1 = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \left(-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right) \times (0, L)$$

such that

$$E_{13} = \eta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_3 \Theta \gamma \cdot n,$$

iii) there exist $\eta_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\eta_3 \in H^1(\Omega)$ with

$$\partial_2 \eta_1 = \partial_2 \eta_3 = 0,$$

$$(32) \quad \int_0^\ell \eta_3 dx_1 = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \left(-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right) \times (0, L),$$

$$\int_0^\ell t \int_0^s \eta_3 dx_1 ds = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, L),$$

such that

$$E_{11} = x_2 \eta_3 + \eta_1.$$

PROOF. *i)* follows by passing to the limit in

$$\frac{(E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{33}}{\delta_\varepsilon} = \frac{g_3^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_3 u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} = \partial_3 v_3^\varepsilon$$

and by applying *ii)* of Theorem 4.5.

As to point *ii)*, from (23), (24), (28) and (25), we deduce that

$$(33) \quad 2 \int_\omega E_{13} dx_1 = -\partial_3 \Theta \int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1.$$

Since, by (29), $\partial_2 E_{13} = 0$ we can write

$$(34) \quad E_{13} = \eta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_3 \Theta \gamma \cdot n, \quad \text{with } \eta_2 \in L^2(\Omega), \partial_2 \eta_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\ell \eta_2 dx_1 = 0,$$

which is *ii)*.

We now prove *iii)*. By (20) it follows that

$$(35) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^L \int_0^\ell \frac{\partial_1 W^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \cdot t \otimes n \psi(x_3) dx_1 dx_3 = \int_0^L \int_0^\ell B \cdot t \otimes n \psi(x_3) dx_1 dx_3$$

for all $\psi \in L^2(0, L)$. On the other hand, since W^ε is skew symmetric, the following identity holds

$$\partial_1 W^\varepsilon \cdot t \otimes n = \partial_1 (W^\varepsilon \cdot t \otimes n)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^L \int_0^\ell \frac{\partial_1 W^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \cdot t \otimes n \psi(x_3) dx_1 dx_3 \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^L \int_0^\ell \frac{\partial_1 (W^\varepsilon \cdot t \otimes n)}{\varepsilon} \psi(x_3) dx_1 dx_3 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $W^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) = W^\varepsilon(\ell, \cdot)$. Thus, from (35) we have

$$\int_0^L \psi(x_3) \int_0^\ell B \cdot t \otimes n dx_1 dx_3 = 0,$$

which implies

$$\int_0^\ell B \cdot t \otimes n \, dx_1 = 0.$$

From this identity and (30) it follows that $\int_0^\ell \eta_3 \, dx_1 = 0$.

Finally, in order to prove that $\int_0^\ell t \int_0^s \eta_3 \, dx_1 \, ds = 0$, we set

$$W_{tn}^\varepsilon := t \cdot W^\varepsilon n, \quad (H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{tn} := t \cdot H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon n,$$

and remark that, as before, we have

$$\partial_1 W_{tn}^\varepsilon = \partial_1 (t \cdot W^\varepsilon n) = t \cdot (\partial_1 W^\varepsilon) n$$

because W^ε is skew-symmetric. Hence, by (20) and (30) we get

$$(36) \quad \frac{\partial_1 W_{tn}^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup t \cdot Bn = \eta_3$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$. By a partial Poincaré inequality, see for instance [7] Theorem 4.1, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left\| \frac{W_{tn}^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} - \int_0^\ell \frac{W_{tn}^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \, dx_1 \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial_1 W_{tn}^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This, together with (36), implies

$$(37) \quad \frac{W_{tn}^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} - \int_0^\ell \frac{W_{tn}^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \, dx_1 \rightharpoonup \int_0^\ell \eta_3 \, dx_1 - \int_0^\ell \int_0^s \eta_3 \, dx_1 \, ds$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$. By *i*) of Theorem 4.1 and using the fact that $\delta_\varepsilon/\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that (37) still holds true if W^ε is replaced by $H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon$. Then, multiplying by $g_2^\varepsilon = n$ on both sides we have that

$$\frac{(H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{tn}}{\varepsilon} g_2^\varepsilon - \int_0^\ell \frac{(H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{tn}}{\varepsilon} \, dx_1 g_2^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup n \int_0^\ell \eta_3 \, dx_1 - n \int_0^\ell \int_0^s \eta_3 \, dx_1 \, ds$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$. By integrating with respect to x_1 on the interval $(0, \ell)$ and by taking into account that

$$\int_0^\ell g_2^\varepsilon \, dx_1 = \int_0^\ell n \, dx_1 = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\int_0^\ell \frac{(H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{tn}}{\varepsilon} g_2^\varepsilon \, dx_1 \rightharpoonup \int_0^\ell n \int_0^s \eta_3 \, dx_1 \, ds$$

in $L^2((-h/2, h/2) \times (0, L))$. Therefore, from

$$(H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{12} = g_1^\varepsilon \cdot H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon g_2^\varepsilon = \left(1 - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa\right) t \cdot H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon n = \left(1 - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa\right) (H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{tn},$$

we get

$$(38) \quad \int_0^\ell \frac{(H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{12}}{\varepsilon} g_2^\varepsilon \, dx_1 \rightharpoonup \int_0^\ell n \int_0^s \eta_3 \, dx_1 \, ds$$

in $L^2((-h/2, h/2) \times (0, L))$.

Let us write u^ε in the following form

$$u^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \delta_\varepsilon x_2 \int_0^{x_1} \eta_3 \, dx_1 t + \varepsilon \delta_\varepsilon \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon,$$

where the “remainder” \mathbf{r}^ε is defined by the equality above and thus satisfies the periodicity conditions: $\mathbf{r}^\varepsilon|_{x_1=0} = \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon|_{x_1=\ell} = 0$.

Since

$$\frac{(E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{11}}{\delta_\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon} (g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\partial_1 u^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}) = x_2 \eta_3 (1 - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa) + g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon,$$

by taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and recalling that $E_{11} = x_2 \eta_3 + \eta_1$ we obtain

$$(39) \quad g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \eta_1$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$. Furthermore, by observing that

$$\frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \frac{2(E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{12}}{\delta_\varepsilon} = (1 - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa) \int_0^{x_1} \eta_3 dx_1 + g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \kappa x_2 \int_0^{x_1} \eta_3 dx_1 + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} g_2^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon$$

and passing to the limit we get, thanks to (18), that

$$(40) \quad g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} g_2^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon \rightarrow - \int_0^{x_1} \eta_3 dx_1$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$. Since

$$\frac{(H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)_{12}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\partial_2 u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} = (1 - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa) \int_0^{x_1} \eta_3 dx_1 + \partial_2 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon \cdot g_1^\varepsilon,$$

if we multiply by g_ε^2 , integrate, pass to the limit, and recall (38), we obtain that

$$(41) \quad \int_0^\ell g_1^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon g_\varepsilon^2 dx_1 \rightarrow 0$$

in $L^2((-h/2, h/2) \times (0, L))$.

From the periodicity of \mathbf{r}^ε the following identity holds

$$0 = \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\ell \partial_1 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon dx_1.$$

By representing $\partial_1 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon$ in components with respect to the basis $(g_\varepsilon^1, g_\varepsilon^2, e_3)$ and adding and subtracting the quantity $(\partial_2 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon \cdot g_1^\varepsilon) g_\varepsilon^2$, we get

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\ell \partial_1 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon \cdot g_1^\varepsilon g_\varepsilon^1 dx_1 + \int_0^\ell \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \partial_1 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon \cdot g_2^\varepsilon g_\varepsilon^2 + \partial_2 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon \cdot g_1^\varepsilon g_\varepsilon^2 dx_1 \\ &\quad - \int_0^\ell \partial_2 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon \cdot g_1^\varepsilon g_\varepsilon^2 dx_1 + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\ell \partial_1 \mathbf{r}^\varepsilon \cdot e_3 e_3 dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

Only the second integral in the sum above has a non trivial limit. Indeed, the first tends to zero because of (39) and the fact that $\delta_\varepsilon/\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the third vanishes by (41) and the last one is null by the periodicity conditions. Thus, taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and recalling (40), we obtain

$$0 = \int_0^\ell n \int_0^s \eta_3 dx_1 ds.$$

The claimed result follows by observing that $n = e_3 \wedge t$. \square

Remark 4.7. It is worth noticing that part *ii*) of Lemma 4.6 can be written in an equivalent form. Let us set

$$\varphi := 2 \int_0^{x_1} \eta_2 dx_1 + c,$$

with c dependent on x_3 only. Then, part *ii*) can be restated as

ii) there exists $\varphi \in H^1((0, \ell); L^2(0, L))$, with $\varphi(0, x_3) = \varphi(\ell, x_3)$, such that

$$(43) \quad 2E_{13} = \partial_1 \varphi - \partial_3 \Theta \gamma \cdot n.$$

This equation evokes the classical formula for the *warping function* in Vlasov's theory, as better explained in Remark 8.1.

5. REDUCED ENERGY FOR CLOSED CROSS-SECTIONS

Here we introduce two reduced energy densities that will be used to compute the Γ -limit. The first of them is obtained by minimizing the energy with respect to the strain components that have not been characterized by the analysis of Section 4. The second, by a minimization with respect to the functions η_i introduced in Lemma 4.6. While in the former case the analysis is algebraic and coincides with that done in [1] for the open cross-sections, in the latter it is not simply algebraic because of the integral constraints on η_i .

Let

$$f(x, M) := \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(x) M \cdot M,$$

be the elastic energy density of the body, with M a symmetric 3×3 matrix and \mathbb{C} the elasticity tensor introduced in Section 2. We define

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} f_0(x, M_{11}, M_{13}, M_{33}) := \min_{D_{ij}} & f(x, M_{11}t(x_1) \odot t(x_1) + 2D_{12}t(x_1) \odot n(x_1) \\ & + 2M_{13}t(x_1) \odot e_3 + D_{22}n(x_1) \odot n(x_1) \\ & + 2D_{23}n(x_1) \odot e_3 + M_{33}e_3 \odot e_3), \end{aligned}$$

the function obtained from f by keeping fixed the components that have been partially characterized in Section 4 and by minimizing over the remaining components.

Let the space of symmetric tensors be decomposed in the direct sum of the subspaces

$$\mathcal{S}(x_1) := \text{span}\{t(x_1) \odot n(x_1), n(x_1) \odot n(x_1), n(x_1) \odot e_3\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^\perp(x_1) := \text{span}\{t(x_1) \odot t(x_1), t(x_1) \odot e_3, e_3 \odot e_3\},$$

so that any tensor $M \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3}$ can be uniquely written as

$$M = M^{\mathcal{S}} + M^\perp,$$

with

$$M^{\mathcal{S}} := 2(t \cdot Mn)t \odot n + (n \cdot Mn)n \odot n + 2(n \cdot Me_3)n \odot e_3 \in \mathcal{S}$$

and

$$M^\perp := M_{11}t \odot t + 2M_{13}t \odot e_3 + M_{33}e_3 \odot e_3 \in \mathcal{S}^\perp,$$

where

$$M_{11} := t \cdot Mt, \quad M_{13} := t \cdot Me_3, \quad M_{33} := e_3 \cdot Me_3.$$

The decomposition of E , as given by (28), is such that E^\perp contains the components of E that have been partially characterized in Lemma 4.6, while $E^{\mathcal{S}}$ contains the remaining ones.

As shown in Section 6 of [1], the minimization problem (44) defines a map

$$\mathbb{E}_0 : \mathcal{S}^\perp \mapsto \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^\perp$$

that sends every $M^\perp \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$ into the corresponding “full” strain $(M_0^S + M^\perp)$ that minimizes f :

$$(45) \quad f_0(x, M_{11}, M_{13}, M_{33}) = f(x, \mathbb{E}_0 M^\perp).$$

Hereafter, we find it convenient to use also the notation

$$f_0(x, M^\perp) := f_0(x, M_{11}, M_{13}, M_{33}),$$

so to have the more compact relation

$$f_0(x, M^\perp) = f(x, \mathbb{E}_0 M^\perp).$$

Other properties of \mathbb{E}_0 are proved in Lemma 6.1 of [1]. In particular, it is shown that $M \in \mathbb{E}_0 \mathcal{S}^\perp$ if and only if

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}M \cdot t \odot n &= 0, \\ \mathbb{C}M \cdot n \odot n &= 0, \\ \mathbb{C}M \cdot n \odot e_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that these conditions are equivalent to

$$(47) \quad \mathbb{C}M \cdot M^\perp = \mathbb{C}M \cdot \mathbb{E}_0 M^\perp \quad \forall M^\perp \in \mathcal{S}^\perp.$$

It turns out that $f_0(x, M^\perp)$ takes the form

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} f_0(x, M_{11}, M_{13}, M_{33}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_0^T \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E}_0 M^\perp \cdot M^\perp \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{c}_{11}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{12}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{13}(x) \\ \mathfrak{c}_{12}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{22}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{23}(x) \\ \mathfrak{c}_{13}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{23}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{33}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} \\ M_{13} \\ M_{33} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} \\ M_{13} \\ M_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where the reduced elasticity constants $\mathfrak{c}_{ij}(x)$ can be computed in terms of the original constants $\mathbb{C}_{ijk}(x)$, see equation (60) of [1].

Let us now consider the optimization with respect to the components of E^\perp . For any given pair of functions $(\partial_3 \Theta, \partial_3 v_3)$ with the features described by Theorems 4.2 and 4.5, let us consider the minimum problem

$$(49) \quad \min_{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3} \int_{\Omega} f_0(x, x_2 \eta_3 + \eta_1, \eta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_3 \Theta \gamma \cdot n, \partial_3 v_3) dx,$$

where η_i are as in Lemma 4.6.

The minimization is no longer algebraic, as was the case for the open cross-sections, but it turns out that a minimizing triad is uniquely determined and depends linearly upon the pair $(\partial_3 \Theta, \partial_3 v_3)$. A constructive characterization of the minimizing triad is provided in the next section.

Let \mathcal{E}^\perp be the set of all tensor fields

$$(50) \quad (x_2 \eta_3 + \eta_1) t \odot t + (2\eta_2 - a \gamma \cdot n) t \odot e_3 + b e_3 \odot e_3,$$

with $a \in L^2(0, L)$; b and $\eta_i \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$, $i = 1, 2, 3$; η_2, η_3 satisfying the constraints

$$(51) \quad \int_0^\ell \eta_2 dx_1 = \int_0^\ell \eta_3 dx_1 = \int_0^\ell t \int_0^s \eta_3 dx_1 ds = 0.$$

It can be checked that any tensor field in \mathcal{E}^\perp admits a unique representation in terms of $a, b, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$.

Let also \mathcal{E}^K be the set of all tensor fields

$$(52) \quad E^K(a, b) := -\gamma \cdot n a t \odot e_3 + b e_3 \odot e_3,$$

with $a \in L^2(0, L)$ and $b \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$.

Let $\mathbb{E}_0 : \mathcal{E}^K \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\perp$ be the map

$$(53) \quad E^K(a, b) \mapsto (x_2 \eta_3^{\text{opt}} + \eta_1^{\text{opt}}) t \odot t + (2\eta_2^{\text{opt}} - \gamma \cdot n a) t \odot e_3 + b e_3 \odot e_3$$

where $(\eta_1^{\text{opt}}, \eta_2^{\text{opt}}, \eta_3^{\text{opt}})$ denotes the triad that solves

$$(54) \quad \min_{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3} \int_{\Omega} f_0(x, x_2 \eta_3 + \eta_1, \eta_2 - \frac{1}{2} a \gamma \cdot n, b) dx,$$

where η_i are as in Lemma 4.6. It is worth to notice that this minimization problem is well posed since the integral functional is strictly convex, weakly coercive in L^2 , and the constraints are linear. Furthermore, it follows from the analysis in Section 7 that the η_i^{opt} are measurable, so that the function $f_0(x, x_2 \eta_3^{\text{opt}} + \eta_1^{\text{opt}}, \eta_2^{\text{opt}} - \frac{1}{2} a \gamma \cdot n, b)$ is also measurable, see also Remark 6.4 of [1].

The next lemma gives a characterization of the image of \mathbb{E}_0 .

Lemma 5.1. *$M \in \mathcal{E}^\perp$ is a solution of*

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 M \cdot ((x_2 \varphi_3 + \varphi_1) t \odot t + \varphi_2 t \odot e_3) dx = 0$$

for all $\varphi_i \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, with φ_2, φ_3 satisfying the constraints

$$(55) \quad \int_0^\ell \varphi_2 dx_1 = \int_0^\ell \varphi_3 dx_1 = \int_0^\ell t \int_0^s \varphi_3 dx_1 ds = 0 \text{ a.e. in } (0, L),$$

if and only if

$$M = \mathbb{E}_0 E^K(a, b)$$

for some $a \in L^2(0, L)$ and $b \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$.

PROOF. Let $M \in \mathcal{E}^\perp$. Then, it admits the representation (50) for some appropriate η_i , a , and b . It follows that $\eta_i = \eta_i^{\text{opt}}$, i.e., $M = \mathbb{E}_0 E^K(a, b)$, if and only if M is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation for the minimization problem (54) which, using (48), may be written as

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{E}_0^T \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 M \cdot ((x_2 \varphi_3 + \varphi_1) t \odot t + \varphi_2 t \odot e_3) dx = 0$$

for all $\varphi_i \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, with φ_2, φ_3 satisfying the constraints (55). The \mathbb{E}_0^T appearing in the equation above can be neglected thanks to (47). \square

By applying the lemma we deduce that

$$(56) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_0 E^K(a, b) \cdot E^K(c, d) dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_0 E^K(a, b) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_0 E^K(c, d) dx$$

for every $a, c \in L^2(0, L)$ and $b, d \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$. Indeed it suffices to notice that

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_0 E^K(a, b) \cdot (E^K(c, d) - \mathbb{E}_0 E^K(c, d)) dx = 0$$

and use (47).

We set $f_{00} : \Omega \times L^2(0, L) \times L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L)) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega)$ defined by

$$(57) \quad f_{00}(x, a, b) := f_0(x, \mathbb{E}_0 E^K(a, b)) = f(x, \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_0 E^K(a, b)),$$

or, equivalently,

$$(58) \quad f_{00}(x, a, b) := f_0(x, x_2 \eta_3^{\text{opt}} + \eta_1^{\text{opt}}, \eta_2^{\text{opt}} - \frac{1}{2} a \gamma \cdot n, b).$$

We close the section by noticing that by the definitions of f_0 and f_{00} we have that

$$(59) \quad f(x, M) \geq f_0(x, M^\perp)$$

holds for every symmetric matrix M , while

$$(60) \quad \int_{\Omega} f_0(x, M) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f_{00}(x, a, b) dx$$

holds for every $M \in \mathcal{E}^\perp$ with the representation given in (50).

6. Γ -LIMIT FOR CLOSED CROSS-SECTIONS

Let $J_\varepsilon : H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be defined by

$$(61) \quad J_\varepsilon(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} E^\varepsilon u \cdot E^\varepsilon u \sqrt{g^\varepsilon} dx & \text{if } u \in H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \setminus H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3). \end{cases}$$

In this section we characterize the Γ -limit of the sequence of functionals $J_\varepsilon/\delta_\varepsilon^2$ in an appropriate topology. In order to define the limit functional we set

$$(62) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\#} := \{(\Theta, v) \in H_{dn}^1(0, L) \times H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) : \exists \bar{m} \in H_{dn}^2(0, L; \mathbb{R}^3), \exists m_3 \in H_{dn}^1(0, L) \text{ such that } v = \bar{m} + v_3 e_3 \text{ and } v_3 = m_3 - \partial_3 \bar{m} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G)\}.$$

The Γ -limit will be the functional $J_{\#0} : H^1(0, L) \times H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$(63) \quad J_{\#0}(\Theta, v) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} f_{00}(x, \partial_3 \Theta, \partial_3 v_3) dx & \text{if } (\Theta, v) \in \mathcal{A}_{\#}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with f_{00} as in (57).

We start by proving the liminf inequality.

Theorem 6.1 (Liminf inequality). *For every sequence $\{u^\varepsilon\} \subset H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and every $(\Theta, v) \in H^1(0, L) \times H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that*

$$\bar{v}^\varepsilon + v_3^\varepsilon e_3 \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$$

and

$$\frac{-1}{\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1} \int_{\omega} \frac{g_1^\varepsilon \cdot u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} da \rightharpoonup \Theta \text{ in } H^1(0, L),$$

with $\bar{v}^\varepsilon := \frac{u^\varepsilon - u_3^\varepsilon e_3}{\delta_\varepsilon/\varepsilon}$ and $v_3^\varepsilon := \frac{u_3^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)}{\delta_\varepsilon^2} \geq J_{\#0}(\Theta, v).$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)}{\delta_\varepsilon^2} = \lim_{\varepsilon} \frac{J_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)}{\delta_\varepsilon^2} < +\infty,$$

since otherwise the claim is trivially satisfied. By the coercivity assumption (17) it follows that the sequence $\{u^\varepsilon\}$ satisfies (18) and hence all theorems contained

in Sections 4 hold true. In particular, by Theorems 4.2 and 4.5 we have that $(\Theta, v) \in \mathcal{A}_\#$. From the fact that $\frac{E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup E$ in L^2 and the convexity of f we find

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)}{\delta_\varepsilon^2} &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega f\left(x, \frac{E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon}\right) \sqrt{g^\varepsilon} \, dx \geq \int_\Omega f(x, E) \, dx \\ &\geq \int_\Omega f_0(x, E_{11}, E_{13}, E_{33}) \, dx \\ &\geq \int_\Omega f_{00}(x, \partial_3 \Theta, \partial_3 v_3) \, dx = J_{\#0}(\Theta, v), \end{aligned}$$

where the last two inequalities follow from (59), (60) and Lemma 4.6. \square

We now prove the existence of a recovery sequence.

Theorem 6.2 (Recovery sequence). *For every $(\Theta, v) \in H^1(0, L) \times H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ there exists a sequence $\{u^\varepsilon\} \subset H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that*

$$\bar{v}^\varepsilon + v_3^\varepsilon e_3 \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3),$$

$$\frac{-1}{f_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n \, dx_1} \int_\omega \frac{g_1^\varepsilon \cdot u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \, da \rightharpoonup \Theta \text{ in } H^1(0, L),$$

with $\bar{v}^\varepsilon := \frac{u^\varepsilon - u_3^\varepsilon e_3}{\delta_\varepsilon/\varepsilon}$ and $v_3^\varepsilon := \frac{u_3^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon}$, and

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)}{\delta_\varepsilon^2} \leq J_{\#0}(\Theta, v).$$

PROOF. If $(\Theta, v) \notin \mathcal{A}_\#$ there is nothing to prove. Let $(\Theta, v) \in \mathcal{A}_\#$ and set

$$(64) \quad E^{\text{opt}} := \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3 \Theta, \partial_3 v_3)$$

be the optimal strain associated to the pair (Θ, v) . Consider the functional

$$(65) \quad \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(u) := \int_\Omega \mathbb{C}(x) \left(\frac{E^\varepsilon u}{\delta_\varepsilon} - E^{\text{opt}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{E^\varepsilon u}{\delta_\varepsilon} - E^{\text{opt}} \right) \sqrt{g^\varepsilon} \, dx,$$

and let u^ε be the minimizer, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon) = \min_{u \in H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(u)$$

Then, u^ε satisfies the following problem

$$(66) \quad \int_\Omega \mathbb{C}(x) \left(\frac{E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} - E^{\text{opt}} \right) \cdot \frac{E^\varepsilon \psi^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \sqrt{g^\varepsilon} \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \psi^\varepsilon \in H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3).$$

By taking $\psi^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon$ into (66) and substituting the resulting equation into the expression of $\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)$ we find

$$\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon) = \int_\Omega \mathbb{C}(x) E^{\text{opt}} \cdot E^{\text{opt}} \sqrt{g^\varepsilon} \, dx - \int_\Omega \mathbb{C}(x) \frac{E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \sqrt{g^\varepsilon} \, dx,$$

from which we deduce that

$$(67) \quad \int_\Omega \mathbb{C}(x) E^{\text{opt}} \cdot E^{\text{opt}} \sqrt{g^\varepsilon} \, dx \geq \int_\Omega \mathbb{C}(x) \frac{E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \sqrt{g^\varepsilon} \, dx = 2 \frac{J_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)}{\delta_\varepsilon^2}.$$

Since the term on the left hand side is bounded, then the sequence on the right is also bounded. Therefore, by Theorems 4.2 and 4.5, we conclude that there is a subsequence (not relabelled) of u^ε such that

$$(68) \quad \bar{v}^\varepsilon + v_3^\varepsilon e_3 \rightharpoonup \tilde{v} \text{ in } H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3),$$

$$(69) \quad \frac{-1}{\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n \, dx_1} \int_\omega \frac{g_1^\varepsilon \cdot u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \, da \rightharpoonup \tilde{\Theta} \text{ in } H^1(0, L),$$

where the rescaled displacements v^ε are defined by means of (21) and $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{A}_\#$.

Also, there exists $\tilde{E} \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3})$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\frac{E^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \tilde{E} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}),$$

with \tilde{E} related to $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{v})$ as stated in Lemma 4.6.

We first prove that $\tilde{E} \in \mathbb{E}_0 \mathcal{S}^\perp$.

Let us choose

$$\psi^\varepsilon := \delta_\varepsilon^2 \left(\int_{-h/2}^{x_2} \varphi_1(x_1, \zeta, x_3) \, d\zeta \, t + \int_{-h/2}^{x_2} \varphi_2(x_1, \zeta, x_3) \, d\zeta \, n + \int_{-h/2}^{x_2} \varphi_3(x_1, \zeta, x_3) \, d\zeta \, e_3 \right)$$

with $\varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then, $\psi^\varepsilon \in H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$\frac{E^\varepsilon \psi^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \rightarrow \varphi_1 t \odot n + \varphi_2 n \odot n + \varphi_3 e_3 \odot n$$

uniformly. Thus, passing to the limit in (66), we get

$$\int_\Omega \mathbb{C}(x)(\tilde{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \cdot (\varphi_1 t \odot n + \varphi_2 n \odot n + \varphi_3 e_3 \odot n) \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3).$$

It follows that

$$(70) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}(x)(\tilde{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \cdot t \odot n &= 0, \\ \mathbb{C}(x)(\tilde{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \cdot n \odot n &= 0, \\ \mathbb{C}(x)(\tilde{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \cdot n \odot e_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (64) and using (46) twice we deduce that

$$(71) \quad \tilde{E} \in \mathbb{E}_0 \mathcal{S}^\perp, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \tilde{E} = \mathbb{E}_0 \tilde{E}^\perp$$

with $\tilde{E}^\perp \in \mathcal{E}^\perp$.

We now show that $\tilde{E} = \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3 \tilde{\Theta}, \partial_3 \tilde{v}_3)$. For any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_0^\infty((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ such that

$$(72) \quad \int_0^\ell \varphi_2 \, dx_1 = 0,$$

let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\varepsilon(x_1, x_3) &= \delta_\varepsilon \varepsilon \left[\left(\int_0^{x_1} \varphi_1(s, x_3) \, ds - x_1 \int_0^\ell \varphi_1(s, x_3) \, ds \right) t(x_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\ell \varphi_1(s, x_3) \, ds \, \gamma(x_1) + \int_0^{x_1} \varphi_2(s, x_3) \, ds \, e_3 \right], \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\psi^\varepsilon \in H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$\frac{E^\varepsilon \psi^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \rightarrow \left(\int_0^{x_1} \varphi_1(s, x_3) \, ds - x_1 \int_0^\ell \varphi_1(s, x_3) \, ds \right) \kappa t \odot n + \varphi_1 t \odot t + \varphi_2 t \odot e_3$$

uniformly. Thence, if we take account of (70)₁ and pass to the limit in (66), we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}(x)(\tilde{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \cdot (\varphi_1 t \odot t + \varphi_2 t \odot e_3) dx = 0$$

for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_0^\infty((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ with φ_2 satisfying the integral constraint (72). Recalling (64) and using Lemma 5.1 we find that

$$(73) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}(x)\tilde{E} \cdot (\varphi_1 t \odot t + \varphi_2 t \odot e_3) dx = 0$$

holds, by density, for every $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ with φ_2 satisfying the integral constraint (72).

Let us now take $\varphi_3 \in C_0^\infty((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ such that

$$(74) \quad \int_0^\ell \varphi_3 dx_1 = 0 \text{ and } \int_0^\ell n(x_1) \int_0^{x_1} \varphi_3(s, \cdot) ds dx_1 = 0$$

and set

$$\phi(x_1, x_3) := \int_0^{x_1} \varphi_3(s, x_3) ds,$$

and

$$\psi^\varepsilon := \delta_\varepsilon \varepsilon x_2 \phi t - \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{x_1} \phi n dx_1.$$

Then $\psi^\varepsilon \in H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and we have

$$\frac{E^\varepsilon \psi^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \rightarrow x_2 \partial_1 \phi t \odot t - \mathfrak{s} n \cdot \int_0^{x_1} \partial_3 \phi n dx_1 n \odot e_3$$

uniformly. By passing to the limit in (66) and using (70)₃ we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} x_2 \mathbb{C}(x)(\tilde{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \cdot \varphi_3 t \odot t dx = 0.$$

Again, recalling (64) and using Lemma 5.1 we find that

$$(75) \quad \int_{\Omega} x_2 \mathbb{C}(x)\tilde{E} \cdot \varphi_3 t \odot t dx = 0$$

holds, by density, for every $\varphi_3 \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ satisfying the constraints (74).

From (71), (73), (75), and Lemma 5.1 we deduce that

$$(76) \quad \tilde{E} = \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3 \tilde{\Theta}, \partial_3 \tilde{v}_3).$$

From (64), (76) and the linearity of $E^K(\cdot, \cdot)$ and of the operators \mathbb{E}_0 and \mathbb{E}_{00} it follows that

$$(77) \quad \tilde{E} - E^{\text{opt}} = \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3(\tilde{\Theta} - \Theta), \partial_3(\tilde{v}_3 - v_3)).$$

Finally, we show that $(\Theta, v) = (\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{v})$.

Let $\bar{\zeta} \in H_{dn}^2(0, L; \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\})$, $\zeta_3 \in H_{dn}^1(0, L)$ and $\phi \in H_{dn}^2(0, L)$. Set

$$\bar{\psi}^\varepsilon := \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \bar{\zeta} + \delta_\varepsilon \phi e_3 \wedge \gamma - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon} x_2 \phi t$$

and

$$\psi_3^\varepsilon := \delta_\varepsilon \zeta_3 - \delta_\varepsilon \partial_3 \bar{\zeta} \cdot (\gamma + \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} x_2 n) - \delta_\varepsilon^2 \partial_3 \phi x_2 t \cdot \gamma.$$

Setting $\psi^\varepsilon := \bar{\psi}^\varepsilon + \psi_3^\varepsilon e_3 \in H_{\#dn}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ we find that

$$\frac{E^\varepsilon \psi^\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \rightarrow -n \cdot \gamma \partial_3 \phi t \odot e_3 + \partial_3(\zeta_3 - \partial_3 \bar{\zeta} \cdot \gamma) e_3 \odot e_3$$

uniformly. Therefore, by passing to the limit in (66) and taking (77) into account we deduce that

$$(78) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3(\tilde{\Theta} - \Theta), \partial_3(\tilde{v}_3 - v_3)) \cdot (-n \cdot \gamma \partial_3 \phi t \odot e_3 + \partial_3 w_3 e_3 \odot e_3) dx = 0,$$

where

$$w_3 := \zeta_3 - \partial_3 \bar{\zeta} \cdot \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad w := \bar{\zeta} + w_3 e_3.$$

Equation (78) holds true for every w as above and, by density, for every $\phi \in H_{dn}^1(0, L)$, that is: it holds for every $(\phi, w) \in \mathcal{A}_{\#}$. Recalling the definition (52) of E^K , and using (56), we may rewrite (78) as

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3(\tilde{\Theta} - \Theta), \partial_3(\tilde{v}_3 - v_3)) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3 \phi, \partial_3 w_3) dx = 0.$$

Taking $\phi = \tilde{\Theta} - \Theta$ and $w_3 = \tilde{v}_3 - v_3$ we find

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3(\tilde{\Theta} - \Theta), \partial_3(\tilde{v}_3 - v_3)) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3(\tilde{\Theta} - \Theta), \partial_3(\tilde{v}_3 - v_3)) dx = 0,$$

from which we deduce that

$$(79) \quad \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3(\tilde{\Theta} - \Theta), \partial_3(\tilde{v}_3 - v_3)) = 0$$

since \mathbb{C} is positive definite. Observing that

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3(\tilde{\Theta} - \Theta), \partial_3(\tilde{v}_3 - v_3)) \cdot e_3 \otimes e_3 = \partial_3(\tilde{v}_3 - v_3),$$

from (79) it follows that $\partial_3(\tilde{v}_3 - v_3) = 0$. This, and the boundary condition $\tilde{v}_3 = v_3 = 0$ at $x_3 = 0$, imply that $\tilde{v}_3 = v_3$. By the definition (62) of $\mathcal{A}_{\#}$ we have also

$$(80) \quad \tilde{v} = v.$$

Indeed, by (62) the following relations hold true

$$\begin{cases} v = \bar{m} + v_3 e_3, \\ \tilde{v} = \tilde{m} + \tilde{v}_3 e_3, \\ v_3 = m_3 - \partial_3 \bar{m} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G), \\ \tilde{v}_3 = \tilde{m}_3 - \partial_3 \tilde{m} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G). \end{cases}$$

By integrating the last two in $x_1 \in [0, \ell]$ and using the fact that $\tilde{v}_3 = v_3$ we obtain $m_3 = \tilde{m}_3$, and hence

$$\partial_3 \bar{m} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G) = \partial_3 \tilde{m} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G).$$

Since γ is not a segment, and using the boundary conditions, it follows that $\bar{m} = \tilde{m}$, and hence (80).

From (53) and (79) we find

$$0 = \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3(\tilde{\Theta} - \Theta), \partial_3(\tilde{v}_3 - v_3)) \cdot t \odot e_3 = \xi - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot n \partial_3(\tilde{\Theta} - \Theta),$$

where $\xi \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ is such that $\int_0^\ell \xi dx_1 = 0$. Thus, integrating the above equation over $(0, \ell)$ we deduce that $\partial_3(\tilde{\Theta} - \Theta) = 0$ and, because of the boundary condition, that

$$(81) \quad \tilde{\Theta} = \Theta.$$

Since $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{v}) = (\Theta, v)$ we have that the sequence of minimizers u^ε generates two sequences, see (68) and (69), as in the statement of the theorem. Moreover from (67) we find

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)}{\delta_\varepsilon^2} \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \mathbb{C}(x) E^{\text{opt}} \cdot E^{\text{opt}} \sqrt{g^\varepsilon} dx = \int_\Omega f_{00}(x, \partial_3 \Theta, \partial_3 v_3) dx,$$

where we used (64) and (57). \square

7. THE REDUCED ENERGY DENSITY ASSOCIATED TO f_{00}

The aim of this section is to show that the Γ -limit functional admits a local representation, that is, we shall give a pointwise characterization of the map f_{00} introduced in (57). This will be achieved by localizing the stationarity conditions associated with the minimization problem (54).

Let $a \in L^2(0, L)$ and $b \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$. From Lemma 5.1 with $\varphi_2 = \varphi_3 = 0$ we find

$$(82) \quad \langle \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(a, b) \rangle \cdot t \otimes t = 0,$$

where, as in [1], we have denoted by

$$\langle \cdot \rangle := \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \cdot dx_2$$

the integral average over the x_2 variable. By means of (47), (48), and (53) we may rewrite (82) as

$$(83) \quad \langle \mathbf{c}_{11} \rangle \eta_1^{\text{opt}} + \langle \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle \eta_2^{\text{opt}} + \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{11} \rangle \eta_3^{\text{opt}} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle \gamma \cdot n a - \langle \mathbf{c}_{13} \rangle b.$$

Still from Lemma 5.1, by taking $\varphi_1 = \varphi_3 = 0$ we deduce that there exists a scalar function $q \in L^2(0, L)$ such that

$$\langle \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(a, b) \rangle \cdot t \otimes e_3 = q,$$

or, in expanded form,

$$(84) \quad \langle \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle \eta_1^{\text{opt}} + \langle \mathbf{c}_{22} \rangle \eta_2^{\text{opt}} + \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle \eta_3^{\text{opt}} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{c}_{22} \rangle \gamma \cdot n a - \langle \mathbf{c}_{23} \rangle b + q.$$

This equation is the consequence of a standard result recalled in the next lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $F \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ be such that*

$$\int_{(0, \ell) \times (0, L)} F \psi dx = 0$$

for every $\psi \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ with $\int_0^\ell \psi(x_1, x_3) dx_1 = 0$ for a.e. $x_3 \in (0, L)$.

Then, $\partial_1 F = 0$ in the sense of distributions.

PROOF. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ and $\psi := \partial_1 \varphi$. Then, ψ satisfies the constraint stated in the lemma and, in the sense of distributions,

$$\partial_1 F(\varphi) = - \int_{(0, \ell) \times (0, L)} F \psi dx = 0.$$

The claim follows by the arbitrariness of φ . \square

To characterize the stationarity condition with respect to η_3 , we use a Lagrange multipliers argument in order to handle the double constraint, see for instance [14], Section 4.14.

Let $\mathcal{F} : L^2((0, L); H_0^1(0, \ell)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{G} : L^2((0, L); H_0^1(0, \ell)) \rightarrow L^2((0, L); \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\})$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\Phi) := \int_{\Omega} f_0(x, x_2 \partial_1 \Phi + \eta_1^{\text{opt}}, \eta_2^{\text{opt}} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot n a, b) dx,$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}(\Phi) := \int_0^\ell \Phi t dx_1.$$

We note that with $\eta_3 = \partial_1 \Phi$ the constraints (51)_{2,3} hold if and only if $\mathcal{G}(\Phi) = 0$.

Let

$$\Phi^{\text{opt}}(x_1, x_3) := \int_0^{x_1} \eta_3^{\text{opt}} dx_1.$$

Since \mathcal{G} is continuous, differentiable and its Frechét derivative $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}$ is a surjective map from $L^2((0, L); H_0^1(0, \ell))$ onto $L^2((0, L); \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\})$, as is shown in the Appendix, it follows that there is a Lagrange multiplier y^* in the dual of $L^2((0, L); \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\})$ such that at the stationarity point we have

$$\mathcal{F}'(\Phi^{\text{opt}})[\delta\Phi] + y^* \mathcal{G}'[\delta\Phi] = 0 \quad \forall \delta\Phi \in L^2((0, L); H_0^1(0, \ell)).$$

By identifying the space $L^2((0, L); \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\})$ with its dual, this equation can be written as

$$\int_0^L \int_0^\ell \left\langle \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E_{11}} x_2 \right\rangle \partial_1 \delta\Phi + y^* \cdot t \delta\Phi dx_1 dx_3 = 0 \quad \forall \delta\Phi \in L^2((0, L); H_0^1(0, \ell)),$$

where $\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E_{11}}$ is evaluated in $(x, x_2 \partial_1 \Phi^{\text{opt}} + \eta_1^{\text{opt}}, \eta_2^{\text{opt}} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot n a, b)$. It follows that the stationarity condition is

$$\partial_1 \left\langle \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E_{11}} x_2 \right\rangle - y^* \cdot t = 0.$$

Recalling that $t = \partial_1 \gamma$ and y^* does not depend upon x_1 , by integrating we arrive at

$$(85) \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E_{11}} x_2 \right\rangle = y^* \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G) + c$$

with $y^* \in L^2((0, L); \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\})$ and $c \in L^2(0, L)$.

We may rewrite (83), (84), and (85) in expanded form as

$$(86) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{c}_{11} \rangle & \langle \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{11} \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle \mathbf{c}_{22} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle \\ \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{11} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle x_2^2 \mathbf{c}_{11} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1^{\text{opt}} \\ \eta_2^{\text{opt}} \\ \eta_3^{\text{opt}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle \gamma \cdot n a - \langle \mathbf{c}_{13} \rangle b \\ \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{c}_{22} \rangle \gamma \cdot n a - \langle \mathbf{c}_{23} \rangle b + q \\ \frac{1}{2} \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle \gamma \cdot n a - \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{13} \rangle b + k \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$(87) \quad k := y^* \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G) + c.$$

The matrix of coefficients in (86) is invertible, in fact uniformly positive definite, by the argument following equation (61) in [1]. Thus, system (86) provides $(\eta_1^{\text{opt}}, \eta_2^{\text{opt}}, \eta_3^{\text{opt}})$ in terms of (a, b, q, k) . By imposing the constraints (51) we then find q and k in terms of the pair (a, b) . To see this, let

$$r_0 := (\langle \mathbf{c}_{13} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{c}_{23} \rangle, \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{13} \rangle)^T, \quad s_0 := (\langle \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{c}_{22} \rangle, \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle)^T$$

and

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{c}_{11} \rangle & \langle \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{11} \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle \mathbf{c}_{22} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle \\ \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{11} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathbf{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle x_2^2 \mathbf{c}_{11} \rangle \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Then, (51)₁ and (51)₂ respectively require that

$$(88) \quad \int_0^\ell A_{22}q + A_{23}(c + (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot y^*) dx_1 = \int_0^\ell (Ar_0)_2 b - \frac{1}{2}(As_0)_2 \gamma \cdot n a dx_1,$$

$$\int_0^\ell A_{32}q + A_{33}(c + (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot y^*) dx_1 = \int_0^\ell (Ar_0)_3 b - \frac{1}{2}(As_0)_3 \gamma \cdot n a dx_1.$$

As a matter of fact, $(As_0)_2 = 1$ and $(As_0)_3 = 0$, because s_0 is the second column of the matrix A^{-1} . Thus, equations (88) take the form

$$(89) \quad \int_0^\ell A_{22}q + A_{23}(c + (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot y^*) dx_1 = \int_0^\ell (Ar_0)_2 b - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot n a dx_1,$$

$$\int_0^\ell A_{32}q + A_{33}(c + (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot y^*) dx_1 = \int_0^\ell (Ar_0)_3 b dx_1.$$

Moreover, from (86) we find

$$(90) \quad \eta_3^{\text{opt}} = -(Ar_0)_3 b + A_{32}q + A_{33}(c + (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot y^*).$$

Observing that $t = \partial_1(\gamma - \gamma_G)$, the constraint (51)₃ can be written as

$$\int_0^\ell \partial_1(\gamma - \gamma_G) \int_0^{x_1} \eta_3^{\text{opt}} ds dx_1 = 0.$$

Thus, after an integration by parts and taking account of (51)₂ we get

$$\int_0^\ell \eta_3^{\text{opt}}(\gamma - \gamma_G) dx_1 = 0.$$

Thence, by implementing (90) we obtain

$$(91) \quad \int_0^\ell A_{32}(\gamma - \gamma_G)q + A_{33}(\gamma - \gamma_G)(c + (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot y^*) dx_1 = \int_0^\ell (Ar_0)_3(\gamma - \gamma_G) b dx_1.$$

Equations (89) and (91) can be rewritten as

$$(92) \quad \mathcal{A}(q, c, y_1^*, y_2^*)^T = F(a, b),$$

where

$$(93) \quad \mathcal{A} := \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^\ell A_{22} dx_1 & \int_0^\ell A_{23} dx_1 & \int_0^\ell A_{23}(\gamma - \gamma_G)_1 dx_1 & \int_0^\ell A_{23}(\gamma - \gamma_G)_2 dx_1 \\ & \int_0^\ell A_{33} dx_1 & \int_0^\ell A_{33}(\gamma - \gamma_G)_1 dx_1 & \int_0^\ell A_{33}(\gamma - \gamma_G)_2 dx_1 \\ & & \int_0^\ell A_{33}(\gamma - \gamma_G)_1^2 dx_1 & \int_0^\ell A_{33}(\gamma - \gamma_G)_1(\gamma - \gamma_G)_2 dx_1 \\ \text{sym} & & & \int_0^\ell A_{33}(\gamma - \gamma_G)_2^2 dx_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$F(a, b) := \left(\int_0^\ell (Ar_0)_2 b - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot n a \, dx_1, \int_0^\ell (Ar_0)_3 b \, dx_1, \right. \\ \left. \int_0^\ell (Ar_0)_3 (\gamma - \gamma_G)_1 b \, dx_1, \int_0^\ell (Ar_0)_3 (\gamma - \gamma_G)_2 b \, dx_1 \right)^T.$$

The matrix \mathcal{A} is positive definite. Indeed, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^4$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}z \cdot z = \int_0^\ell \begin{pmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 + (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot z^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 + (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot z^* \end{pmatrix} dx_1,$$

where $z^* = (z_3, z_4)$. Since A is uniformly positive definite, there is a constant $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}z \cdot z &\geq \sigma \int_0^\ell \left| \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 + (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot z^* \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 dx_1 \\ &= \sigma \int_0^\ell z_1^2 + z_2^2 + |(\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot z^*|^2 dx_1 \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_0^\ell (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot z^* dx_1 = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}z \cdot z &\geq \sigma(\ell(z_1^2 + z_2^2) + \int_0^\ell (\gamma - \gamma_G) \otimes (\gamma - \gamma_G) dx_1 z^* \cdot z^*) \\ &\geq \sigma(\ell(z_1^2 + z_2^2) + I_{\min}|z^*|^2), \end{aligned}$$

where I_{\min} denotes the minimum eigenvalue of the tensor of inertia of the midline curve γ that appears in the first line.

From system (92) we deduce

$$(94) \quad (q, c, y_1^*, y_2^*)^T = \mathcal{A}^{-1}F(a, b),$$

and hence, from system (86) we find

$$(95) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_1^{\text{opt}} &= -(Ar_0)_1 b + A_{12}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}F(a, b))_1 + A_{13}k, \\ \eta_2^{\text{opt}} &= \frac{1}{2}\gamma \cdot n a - (Ar_0)_2 b + A_{22}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}F(a, b))_1 + A_{23}k, \\ \eta_3^{\text{opt}} &= -(Ar_0)_3 b + A_{32}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}F(a, b))_1 + A_{33}k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$k = (\mathcal{A}^{-1}F(a, b))_2 + (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot \left((\mathcal{A}^{-1}F(a, b))_3, (\mathcal{A}^{-1}F(a, b))_4, 0 \right).$$

These equations deliver the optimal η 's in terms of $a \in L^2(0, L)$ and $b \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$. It is possible to make these relations pointwise (local) by restricting b to the subclass of functions of $L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ having the same structure of $\partial_3 v_3$, that is those of the form

$$(96) \quad b = b_0 - (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot b^*, \quad b^* := (b_1, b_2, 0)$$

with $b_0, b_1, b_2 \in L^2(0, L)$. Indeed, we can define a function

$$g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

such that, for b as in (96), we can write (95) as

$$(97) \quad \eta_i^{\text{opt}} = g_i(x, a, b_0, b_1, b_2).$$

By means of this function g we define the energy density $\bar{f}_{00} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\bar{f}_{00}(x, z) := f_0(x, x_2 g_3(x, z) + g_1(x, z), g_2(x, z) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot n z_1, z_2 - (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot z^*),$$

with $z^* := (z_3, z_4, 0)$. Taking into account (58), we may rewrite the energy appearing in the Γ -limit as

$$(98) \quad f_{00}(x, \partial_3 \Theta, \partial_3 v_3) = \bar{f}_{00}(x, \partial_3 \Theta, \partial_3 m_3, \partial_3^2 \bar{m}_1, \partial_3^2 \bar{m}_2),$$

with

$$v_3 = m_3 - (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot \partial_3 \bar{m},$$

see (62).

8. THE CASE OF HOMOGENEOUS ISOTROPIC MATERIAL

We conclude with the computation of the strain energy functional of the asymptotic model for a thin-walled beam made of an isotropic and homogeneous elastic material, that is

$$\mathbb{C} \tilde{E} := 2\mu \tilde{E} + \lambda(\tilde{E} \cdot I)I,$$

for every symmetric matrix \tilde{E} , where λ and μ are the Lamé constants. In this case, from (44), the reduced elastic constants introduced in (48) can be computed as

$$(99) \quad \mathfrak{c}_{11} = \mathfrak{c}_{33} = 4\mu \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda + 2\mu}, \quad \mathfrak{c}_{22} = 4\mu, \quad \mathfrak{c}_{13} = \mathfrak{c}_{31} = 2 \frac{\lambda\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu},$$

all others being equal to zero. It follows that the matrix of coefficients in system (86) is diagonal, its inverse A being given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\mathfrak{c}_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\mathfrak{c}_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\langle x_2^2 \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

By choosing principal axes of inertia, also the matrix \mathcal{A} defined in (93) is diagonal and

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1} F(a, b) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{c}_{22} \int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1 a, 0, 0, 0 \right)^T.$$

From (95), by using this expression we may compute the optimal η 's, and then, from (97), find

$$(100) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_1^{\text{opt}} &= g_1 = -\nu(b_0 - (\gamma - \gamma_G)_1 b_1 - (\gamma - \gamma_G)_2 b_2), \\ \eta_2^{\text{opt}} &= g_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma \cdot n - \int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1) a, \\ \eta_3^{\text{opt}} &= g_3 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\nu := \frac{\lambda}{2(\lambda + \mu)}$$

is the Poisson ratio. The reduced energy density turns out to be

$$\bar{f}_{00}(\cdot, z) = \frac{1}{2} \mu \left(\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n dx_1 \right)^2 z_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} E_Y (z_2 - (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot (z_3, z_4, 0))^2$$

where

$$E_Y := \frac{\mu(2\mu + 3\lambda)}{\mu + \lambda}$$

is the Young modulus.

Let $(\Theta, v) \in \mathcal{A}_\#$. Then $\partial_3 v_3$ has the representation

$$\partial_3 v_3 = \partial_3 m_3 - (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot \partial_3^2 \bar{m},$$

and from (98) and (63) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\#0}(\Theta, v) &= \frac{1}{2} \mu \left(\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n \, dx_1 \right)^2 \int_\Omega (\partial_3 \Theta)^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} E_Y \int_\Omega (\partial_3 v_3)^2 \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mu h \ell \left(\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n \, dx_1 \right)^2 \int_0^L (\partial_3 \Theta)^2 \, dx_3 + \frac{1}{2} E_Y h \ell \int_0^L (\partial_3 m_3)^2 \, dx_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} E_Y h J_2 \int_0^L (\partial_3^2 \bar{m}_1)^2 \, dx_3 + \frac{1}{2} E_Y h J_1 \int_0^L (\partial_3^2 \bar{m}_2)^2 \, dx_3, \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$J_1 := \int_0^\ell (\gamma - \gamma_G)_2^2 \, dx_1, \quad J_2 := \int_0^\ell (\gamma - \gamma_G)_1^2 \, dx_1.$$

Remark 8.1. For the field $(\Theta, v) \in \mathcal{A}_\#$ as above, let the optimal strain be denoted by $E^{\text{opt}} := \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3 \Theta, \partial_3 v_3)$, see the proof of Theorem 6.2. Then, $\eta_i^{\text{opt}} = g_i(x, \partial_3 \Theta, \partial_3 m_3, \partial_3^2 \bar{m}_1, \partial_3^2 \bar{m}_2)$, from (100) and (53),

$$(101) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{11}^{\text{opt}} &= -\nu \partial_3 v_3, \\ E_{13}^{\text{opt}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n \, dx_1 \partial_3 \Theta, \\ E_{33}^{\text{opt}} &= \partial_3 v_3. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that component E_{11}^{opt} of the strain is proportional to E_{33}^{opt} (Poisson effect). From (43) and (101)₂ we find

$$\partial_1 \varphi - \gamma \cdot n \partial_3 \Theta = 2E_{13}^{\text{opt}} = -\int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n \, dx_1 \partial_3 \Theta$$

and, by integration, one obtains

$$\varphi = \left(\int_0^{x_1} \gamma \cdot n \, dx_1 - \frac{x_1}{\ell} \int_0^\ell \gamma \cdot n \, dx_1 \right) \partial_3 \Theta.$$

The expression within the square brackets is the sectorial area formula for the warping function in Vlasov's theory, see [8].

In Section 7 we used the theory of Lagrange multipliers with the proviso that the map $\mathcal{G}' : L^2((0, L); H_0^1(0, \ell)) \rightarrow L^2((0, L); \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}'(\Phi) := \int_0^\ell \Phi t \, dx_1$$

was surjective. Here we prove this statement.

It is possible to choose $\varphi^{(1)}, \varphi^{(2)} \in H_0^1(0, \ell)$ such that the vectors

$$v^{(1)} = \int_0^\ell \varphi^{(1)} t \, dx_1 \quad \text{and} \quad v^{(2)} = \int_0^\ell \varphi^{(2)} t \, dx_1$$

are linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$.

Let $y \in L^2((0, L); \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\})$. We look for a pair of functions $a_\alpha = a_\alpha(x_3)$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, such that for

$$\Phi = a_1 \varphi^{(1)} + a_2 \varphi^{(2)}$$

we have that

$$\int_0^\ell \Phi t dx_1 = y.$$

This is equivalent to require that a_1 and a_2 satisfy

$$a_1 v^{(1)} + a_2 v^{(2)} = y.$$

More explicitly, the above equation takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{(1)} & v_1^{(2)} \\ v_2^{(1)} & v_2^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the matrix of coefficients is invertible, we may write a_1 and a_2 as a linear combination of y_1 and y_2 . Thus $a_\alpha \in L^2(0, L)$ and hence $\Phi \in L^2((0, L); H_0^1(0, \ell))$.

Acknowledgements. The work of CD has been supported by a grant of the University of Udine on “Asymptotic methods and models in the mechanics of materials and structures”. The work of LF has been partially supported by the INDAM-GNAMPA project 2014 “Metodi asintotici per problemi di fratture, delaminazioni e giunzioni”. RP is grateful to Regione Autonoma della Sardegna for the support given under the project “Modellazione Multiscala della Meccanica dei Materiali Compositi (M4C)”.

REFERENCES

- [1] C DAVINI, L. FREDDI, AND R. PARONI, *Linear models for composite thin-walled beams by Γ -convergence. Part I: Open cross-sections*, (2014), accepted by SIAM J. Math. Anal.
- [2] E. DAVOLI, *Thin-walled beams with a cross-section of arbitrary geometry: derivation of linear theories starting from 3D nonlinear elasticity*, Adv. Calc. Var., 6 (2013), pp. 33–91.
- [3] L. FREDDI, M. G. MORA, AND R. PARONI, *Nonlinear thin-walled beams with a rectangular cross-section—Part I*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 22 (2012), pp. 1150016, 34.
- [4] L. FREDDI, M. G. MORA, AND R. PARONI, *Nonlinear thin-walled beams with a rectangular cross-section—Part II*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 23 (2013), pp. 743–775.
- [5] L. FREDDI, A. MORASSI, AND R. PARONI, *Thin-walled beams: the case of the rectangular cross-section*, J. Elasticity, 76 (2004), pp. 45–66 (2005).
- [6] L. FREDDI, A. MORASSI, AND R. PARONI, *Thin-walled beams: a derivation of Vlasov theory via Γ -convergence*, J. Elasticity, 86 (2007), pp. 263–296.
- [7] L. FREDDI, F. MURAT, AND R. PARONI, *Anisotropic inhomogeneous rectangular thin-walled beams*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 40 (2008/09), pp. 1923–1951.
- [8] C. F. KOLLBRUNNER, K. BASLER, (1969), *Torsion in structures*, Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- [9] L. LIBRESCU, (2006), *Thin-walled composite beams. Theory and applications*, in Solid Mechanics and Its Applications, G.M.L. Gladwell eds., Dordrecht: Springer-Verlag.
- [10] L. PRANDTL, *Zur Torsion von prismatischen Staben*, Phys. Z. 4 (1903), p. 758–770.
- [11] S. TIMOSHENKO AND J. M. GEER, *Theory of Elastic Stability*, McGraw-Hill Book, New York, (1961).
- [12] V. Z. VLASOV (1961). *Thin-Walled Elastic Beams*. Israel Program for Scientific Translations. Jerusalem.
- [13] V. VOLOVOI, D. HODGES, C. CESNIK, AND B. POPESCU, *Assessment of beam modeling methods for rotor blade applications*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 33 (2001), pp. 1099–1112.
- [14] E. ZEIDLER (1995). *Applied functional analysis: main principles and their applications*. Applied mathematical Sciences 109. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.