## LINEAR MODELS FOR COMPOSITE THIN-WALLED BEAMS BY Γ–CONVERGENCE. PART I: OPEN CROSS-SECTIONS

## CESARE DAVINI, LORENZO FREDDI, AND ROBERTO PARONI

ABSTRACT. We consider a beam whose cross-section is a tubular neighborhood, with thickness scaling with a parameter  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ , of a simple curve  $\gamma$  whose length scales with  $\varepsilon$ . To model a thin-walled beam we assume that  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$  goes to zero faster than  $\varepsilon$ , and we measure the rate of convergence by a slenderness parameter  $\mathfrak{s}$  which is the ratio between  $\varepsilon^2$  and  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ . In this Part I of the work we focus on the case where the curve is open.

Under the assumption that the beam has a linearly elastic behavior, for  $\mathfrak{s} \in \{0, 1\}$  we derive two one-dimensional  $\Gamma$ -limit problems by letting  $\varepsilon$  go to zero. The limit models are obtained for a fully anisotropic and inhomogeneous material, thus making the theory applicable for composite thin-walled beams. The approach recovers in a systematic way, and gives account of, many features of the beam models in the theory of Vlasov.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Composite, *i.e.*, anisotropic and inhomogeneous, thin-walled beams have been extensively studied by the engineering community. We refrain from citing the abundant literature on the subject; we quote, instead, the opening lines of the abstract of [12]: "There is no lack of composite beam theories. Quite to the contrary, there might be too many of them. Different approaches, notation, etc., are used by authors of those theories, so it is not always straightforward to compare the assumptions made and to assess the quantitative consequences of those assumptions." This excerpt well describes the status of the research on composite thin-walled beams. To shed some light on the huge variety of models present in the literature, it is necessary to overtake a rigorous approach, possibly free of assumptions. The aim of this paper is to derive mechanical models for composite thin-walled beams by  $\Gamma$ -convergence (see [2]), starting from the three-dimensional theory of linear elasticity.

This line of research essentially started in [6] where a mechanical model was obtained for an isotropic homogeneous and linearly elastic thin-walled beam with rectangular cross section. In that paper the long side of the rectangle scaled with a small parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$  and the other with  $\varepsilon^2$ . This "double" scaling was chosen so to model a thin-walled beam. One of the main results was a compactness theorem in

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Architettura, via Cotonificio 114, 33100 Udine, Italy, email: davini@uniud.it .

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, via delle Scienze 206, 33100 Udine, Italy, email: lorenzo.freddi@uniud.it .

DADU, Università degli Studi di Sassari, Palazzo del Pou Salit, Piazza Duomo, 07041 Alghero, Italy, email: paroni@uniss.it.

which different orders of convergence for the various components of the displacement were established. Namely, a sequence of displacements with equi-bounded energy is such that:

- i) the component parallel to the short side of the rectangle is bounded in  $H^1$ ;
- *ii*) the component parallel to the long side of the rectangle divided by  $\varepsilon$  is bounded in  $H^1$ ;
- iii) the component parallel to the axis of the beam divided by  $\varepsilon^2$  is bounded in  $H^1$ .

Thin-walled beams with a multi-rectangular cross section were studied in [7]. Each rectangle composing the cross-section had sides that scaled with  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon^2$ , respectively. The analysis was carried on by "splitting" the beam in different rectangular thin-walled beams, so to use the compactness theorem of [6], and then "recomposing" the beam by means of appropriate junction conditions. This procedure circumvented the necessity to prove a compactness theorem specific for the type of beams considered.

The assumptions of homogeneity and isotropy were completely removed in [8], where, still for a rectangular thin-walled beam, very detailed convergence results for the displacements were obtained.

In [4, 5] a hierarchy of models for homogeneous anisotropic thin-walled beams with a rectangular cross sections have been deduced starting from the three-dimensional theory of nonlinear elasticity. In the nonlinear setting the scaling of the energy determines the limit model: for "very small" energy a linear model is obtained while for "large" energies different nonlinear limit models are deduced. Some of the compactness results used in the present paper were inspired by the nonlinear counterpart studied in [4, 5].

In this paper we consider a fully anisotropic and inhomogeneous thin-walled beam with arbitrary geometry of the cross-section clamped at one of its bases. More precisely, the cross-section we take into consideration is a tubular neighborhood, whose thickness scales with a parameter  $\delta_{\varepsilon} > 0$ , of a simple planar curve  $\gamma$  whose length scales with  $\varepsilon$ . The curve  $\gamma$  can be either open or closed, but not completely straight. As in [4, 5], the thinness of the "wall" is characterized by the assumption that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

By allowing the thickness of the wall to scale with  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ , instead of simply  $\varepsilon^2$ , we can study beams with cross-section having different degrees of *slenderness*. We measure this quantity by means of a parameter  $\mathfrak{s}$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{s} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}.$$

Without loss of generality, it suffices to consider three cases  $\mathfrak{s} \in \{0, 1, +\infty\}$ . In this paper we consider only  $\mathfrak{s} \in \{0, 1\}$ . As in the case of rectangular cross-sections, our analysis is based on a compactness theorem. Roughly, it establishes that a sequence of displacements with equi-bounded energy is such that:

- i) the projection on the plane of the cross-section divided by  $\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon$  is bounded in  $H^1$ ;
- ii) the component parallel to the axis of the beam divided by  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$  is bounded in  $H^1$ .

In the case of rectangular thin-walled beams the compactness theorem follows directly from a "rescaled" Korn's inequality. For beams with a curved cross-section, treated in this paper, it follows from a rescaled Korn's inequality and a detailed study of the sequence of the strain components in the direction of the axis of the beam and in the direction tangent to the midline curve  $\gamma$  of the cross-section (see Theorem 5.8). The argument used in the proof works only if the curve  $\gamma$  is not completely straight, thus our result is complementary to that derived in [6] for rectangular thin-walled beams.

Recently Davoli [3] generalized the works [4, 5] by studying thin-walled beams with both open and curved cross-section, starting from the three-dimensional theory of non-linear elasticity. Her impressive work does not contain a compactness theorem as detailed as ours and thus the  $\Gamma$ -convergence analysis is carried on in terms of strains and not, as customary, in terms of displacements.

A very important kinematical parameter in any beam model is the twist of the cross-section, hereafter denoted by  $\vartheta$ . This parameter is "generated by" a sequence, whose terms involve derivatives of the displacement, bounded in  $L^2$  (see *i*) of Corollary 5.4 for a precise definition). Thus, a priori  $\vartheta$  is only an  $L^2$ -function. We show that for  $\mathfrak{s} = 0$  the twist  $\vartheta$  is an  $H^1$ -function and in the case  $\mathfrak{s} = 1$  it is even an  $H^2$ -function. This augmented regularity of the twist is essentially a consequence of the "structure" of the limit displacements.

All the compactness results presented up to Section 6 hold for beams with open and closed cross-sections, but clearly, a closed cross-section imposes more constraints on the limiting displacements than an open. Even if these constraints will be explored in the second part of this paper, it is worth to mention a few results. For a closed cross-section it will be proved that  $\vartheta$  is identically equal to zero. This result essentially states that the sequence that generates the twist in the case of open cross-sections is too "crude" and needs to be refined and further rescaled in the case of closed cross-sections. As it will be shown in Part II, such a refined sequence strongly depends on the geometry of the cross-section. Moreover, still in the case of closed cross-sections, further constraints on the limit strains will emerge and these will drastically affect the  $\Gamma$ -limit.

As already stated, in this paper we consider a fully anisotropic and inhomogeneous material. This generality makes part of the analysis quite involved, particuarly the proof of the so-called "recovery sequence" condition. Contrary to what is customary, we do not construct a recovery sequence but instead prove that there exists one. In order to do this, we set up a sequence of "auxiliary" minimization problems and show that the sequence of minimizers is indeed a recovery sequence. This procedure allows us to circumvent awkward constructions of the full recovery sequence and to limit ourselves to produce only few partial and simple "recoveries", that are needed in order to show that the sequence of minimizers is indeed a recovery sequence.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define the geometry of the cross-section, with the relative scaling parameters, and we set up the problem. The curved cross-section is, by a change of variables, "straightened" in Section 3, while in Section 4 is "rescaled" to a fixed domain, *i.e.*, independent of  $\varepsilon$ . In the same Section we define a system of curvilinear components that will be used throughout the paper. Several compactness results are proved in Section 5. In particular, in

Subsection 5.1 we obtain compactness results for appropriately rescaled components of the displacement, while in Subsection 5.2 correspondent results for strains are proved. Section 7 is devoted to the characterization of the  $\Gamma$ -limit, while Section 6 anticipates the energy density of the limit problem and studies some of its properties. The proof of the two compactness theorems is given in the Appendix.

## Notation

Throughout this article, and unless otherwise stated, we index vector and tensor components as follows: Greek indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  take values in the set  $\{1,2\}$ and Latin indices i, j, k, l in the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ . With  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  we shall denote the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .  $L^p(A; B)$  and  $H^s(A; B)$  are the standard Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces of functions defined on the domain A and taking values in B. When  $B = \mathbb{R}$ , or when the target set B is clear from the context, we will simply write  $L^{p}(A)$  or  $H^{s}(A)$ ; also in the norms we shall systematically drop the target set. Convergence in the norm, that is the so-called strong convergence, will be denoted by  $\rightarrow$  while weak convergence is denoted with  $\rightarrow$ . With a little abuse of language, and because this is a common practice and does not give rise to any confusion, we use to call "sequences" even those families indicized by a continuous parameter  $\varepsilon$ which, throughout the whole paper, will be assumed to belong to the interval (0, 1]. Throughout the paper, the constant C may change from expression to expression (and even in the same line). The scalar product between vectors or tensors is denoted by  $\therefore \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}_{\text{skw}}$  denotes the vector space of skew-symmetric  $3 \times 3$  real matrices. For  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$  we denote the Euclidean norm (with the summation convention) by  $|A| = \sqrt{A \cdot A} = \sqrt{tr(AA^T)} = \sqrt{a_{ij}a_{ij}}$ . Whenever we write a matrix by means of its columns we separate the columns with vertical bars  $(\cdot | \cdot | \cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ .  $\partial_i$  stands for the distributional derivative  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . For every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$  we denote by  $a \odot b := \frac{1}{2}(a \otimes b + b \otimes a)$  the symmetrized diadic product, where  $(a \otimes b)_{ij} = a_i b_j$ .

From Section 5 on, when a function of three variables is independent of one or two of them we consider it as a function of the remaining variables only. This means, for instance, that a function  $u \in H^1((0,\ell) \times (0,L);\mathbb{R}^m)$  will be identified with a corresponding  $u \in H^1((0,\ell) \times (-h/2,h/2) \times (0,L); \mathbb{R}^m)$  such that  $\partial_2 u = 0$ and a function  $v \in H^1((0,L);\mathbb{R}^m)$  will be identified with a corresponding  $v \in$  $H^1((0,\ell) \times (-h/2,h/2) \times (0,L); \mathbb{R}^m)$  such that  $\partial_1 v = \partial_2 v = 0$ . The notation da stands for the area element  $dx_1 dx_2$ . As usual,  $\oint$  denotes the integral mean value.

### 2. Setting of the problem

We consider a sequence of thin-walled beams whose cross-section is a tubular neighborhood, with thickness scaling with a parameter  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ , of a simple curve  $\gamma$ whose length scales with  $\varepsilon$ , as detailed below.

Let  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$  two positive parameters converging to zero. We consider a simple curve  $\gamma \in W^{3,\infty}(I; \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\})$ , where I is an interval of length  $\ell > 0$ , for two distinct instances which are:

- $I = (0, \ell)$ , with  $\lim_{s \to \ell} \gamma'(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} \gamma'(s)$  if  $\lim_{s \to \ell} \gamma(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} \gamma(s)$ ;  $I = [0, \ell]$ , with  $\gamma(\ell) = \gamma(0)$  and  $\gamma'(\ell) = \gamma'(0)$ .

In the former case we will say that the curve is open; in the latter that it is closed. We assume  $\gamma$  to be parameterized by the arclength parameter  $s \in [0, \text{lenght}(\gamma)]$ , so that  $t := \gamma'$  is a unit tangent vector contained in the plane spanned by  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . We denote by  $n := e_3 \wedge t$  the unit normal to  $\gamma$  and by  $\kappa := t' \cdot n$  its curvature, so that  $t' = \kappa n$  and  $n' = -\kappa t$ . We assume that  $\kappa$  is not identically equal to zero.

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ ,  $\tilde{I}_{\varepsilon} := \varepsilon I$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}^{\varepsilon} : \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$  be the map defined by  $\tilde{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}(s) := \varepsilon \gamma(s/\varepsilon)$ . We set  $\tilde{t}^{\varepsilon} = \tilde{\gamma}^{\varepsilon'}$ ,  $\tilde{n}^{\varepsilon} = e_3 \wedge \tilde{t}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}^{\varepsilon} = \tilde{t}^{\varepsilon'} \cdot \tilde{n}^{\varepsilon}$ , so that  $\tilde{t}^{\varepsilon}(s) = t(s/\varepsilon)$ ,  $\tilde{n}^{\varepsilon}(s) = n(s/\varepsilon)$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}^{\varepsilon}(s) = \kappa(s/\varepsilon)/\varepsilon$ .

Let h > 0. We consider a beam with cross section of diameter that scales with  $\varepsilon$  and constant thickness  $\delta_{\varepsilon}h$ . To deal with thin-walled beams, we assume that

(1) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

To define the region occupied by the beam in the reference configuration we set

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \tilde{x}_1 \in \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon} \text{ and } \tilde{x}_2 \in \left( -\frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}h}{2}, \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}h}{2} \right) \right\}, \qquad \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} := \tilde{\omega}_{\varepsilon} \times (0, L),$$

where L > 0 denotes the length of the beam, and we consider the map  $\tilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon} : \tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by

(2) 
$$\tilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon}(\tilde{x}) := \tilde{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}(\tilde{x}_1) + \tilde{x}_2 \tilde{n}^{\varepsilon}(\tilde{x}_1) + \tilde{x}_3 e_3.$$



FIGURE 1. The domains  $\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$ , and  $\Omega$ .

The region occupied by the beam in the reference configuration is

(3) 
$$\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} := \widetilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}).$$

We note that  $\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$  is an open set independently of the fact that the curve  $\gamma$  is open or closed. If the curve  $\gamma$  is open (closed) we say that the thin-walled beam has an open (closed) cross-section (see Figure 2).

Henceforth we denote by

(4) 
$$E\hat{u}(\hat{x}) := \operatorname{sym}(\nabla\hat{u}(\hat{x})) := \frac{\nabla\hat{u}(\hat{x}) + \nabla\hat{u}(\hat{x})^T}{2},$$

the strain corresponding to the displacement  $\hat{u}: \widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ .

In what follows we consider an inhomogeneous linear hyper-elastic material with elasticity tensor  $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}$ , whose components  $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}_{ijkl} \in L^{\infty}(\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$  satisfy the major and minor



FIGURE 2. Two cross sections with  $\lim_{s\to 0} \gamma(s) = \lim_{s\to \ell} \gamma(s)$  but one closed and the other open.

symmetries, *i.e.*,  $\mathbb{C}_{ijkl}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbb{C}_{ijlk}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbb{C}_{klij}^{\varepsilon}$ . We further suppose  $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}$  to be uniformly positive definite, that is: there exists c > 0 such that

(5) 
$$\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}(\hat{x})M \cdot M \ge c|M|^2$$

for almost every  $\hat{x}$  and for all symmetric matrices M.

We assume the beam to be clamped at  $x_3 = 0$ , and we denote by

$$H^1_{dn}(\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}; \mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ \hat{u} \in H^1(\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}; \mathbb{R}^3) : \hat{u} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \cap \{ x_3 = 0 \} \right\}.$$

The energy functional of the beam  $\hat{\mathscr{F}}_{\varepsilon} : H^1_{dn}(\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}; \mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathbb{R}$  is given by

(6) 
$$\hat{\mathscr{F}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{u}) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon} E \hat{u} \cdot E \hat{u} \, d\hat{x} - \hat{\mathscr{L}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{u}),$$

where  $\hat{\mathscr{L}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{u})$  denotes the work done by the loads on the displacements  $\hat{u}$ . Our analysis will focus on the asymptotic behavior of the elastic energy; the work done by the loads will be considered only in Remark 7.3.

# 3. Representation of the deformation gradient and the strains as fields on $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$

We shall use the curvilinear coordinates  $\{\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3\}$  and the natural basis  $(\tilde{g}_1^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{g}_2^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{g}_3^{\varepsilon})$ , where the bases vectors are defined by

$$\tilde{g}_i^\varepsilon := \frac{\partial \tilde{\chi}^\varepsilon}{\partial \tilde{x}_i}.$$

A simple computation yields

$$\tilde{g}_1^{\varepsilon} = (1 - \tilde{x}_2 \tilde{\kappa}^{\varepsilon}) \tilde{t}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \tilde{g}_2^{\varepsilon} = \tilde{n}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \tilde{g}_3^{\varepsilon} = e_3.$$

The dual basis  $(\tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^1, \tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^2, \tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^3)$ , defined by the set of equations  $\tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^i \cdot \tilde{g}_j^{\varepsilon} = \delta_j^i$  with  $\delta_j^i$  the Kronecker's symbols, turns out to be

$$\tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^{1} = \frac{\tilde{t}^{\varepsilon}}{1 - \tilde{x}_{2}\tilde{\kappa}^{\varepsilon}}, \quad \tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^{2} = \tilde{n}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^{3} = e_{3}.$$

Since

$$\det \nabla \tilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon}(\tilde{x}) = 1 - \tilde{x}_2 \tilde{\kappa}^{\varepsilon}(\tilde{x}_1) > 1 - \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \frac{h|\kappa(\tilde{x}_1/\varepsilon)|}{2} > 0$$

for any  $\varepsilon$  small enough, due to assumption (1), then  $\tilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon}$  is locally invertible and, in fact, it is a diffeomorphism, up to a set of measure zero in the case of closed cross-sections, between  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\hat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$ . For every  $\hat{u}: \widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ , let  $\tilde{u}: \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be defined by

$$\tilde{u} := \hat{u} \circ \tilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon},$$

so that  $\hat{u}(\hat{x}) = \tilde{u}(\tilde{x})$  where  $\hat{x} = \tilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon}(\tilde{x})$ . By the chain rule we find

(7) 
$$\widetilde{H}^{\varepsilon}\widetilde{u} := \nabla \widetilde{u} (\nabla \widetilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon})^{-1} = (\nabla \widehat{u}) \circ \widetilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon}.$$

We set

(8) 
$$\widetilde{E}^{\varepsilon}\widetilde{u} := \operatorname{sym}\widetilde{H}^{\varepsilon}\widetilde{u} = (E\widehat{u}) \circ \widetilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon}.$$

We refrain from writing the energy on  $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$  since it will have no use in our analysis.

## 4. PROBLEM ON A FIXED DOMAIN

In order to rewrite the problem on a domain which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ , let us introduce the notation:  $\omega := \tilde{\omega}_1$  and  $\Omega := \tilde{\Omega}_1$ , and consider the rescaling map  $r^{\varepsilon} : \Omega \to \tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$  defined by

$$r^{\varepsilon}(x) := (\varepsilon x_1, \delta_{\varepsilon} x_2, x_3).$$

Let  $g_i^{\varepsilon} := \tilde{g}_i^{\varepsilon} \circ r^{\varepsilon}, \, g_{\varepsilon}^i := \tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^i \circ r^{\varepsilon}, \, i.e.,$ 

$$g_1^{\varepsilon} = \left(1 - \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa\right) t, \quad g_{\varepsilon}^1 = \left(1 - \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} x_2 \kappa\right)^{-1} t, \quad g_2^{\varepsilon} = g_{\varepsilon}^2 = n, \quad g_3^{\varepsilon} = g_{\varepsilon}^3 = e_3.$$

Accordingly, we associate to  $\tilde{u}: \tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}^3$  the function  $u: \Omega_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by

$$u:=\tilde{u}\circ r^{\varepsilon},$$

so that  $u(x) = \tilde{u}(\tilde{x})$ , where  $\tilde{x} = r^{\varepsilon}(x)$ . By the chain rule we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_1 u | \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_2 u | \partial_3 u\right) = (\nabla \tilde{u}) \circ r^{\varepsilon}$$

Observing that

(9) 
$$\nabla \tilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon} = \left( \tilde{g}_{1}^{\varepsilon} | \tilde{g}_{2}^{\varepsilon} | \tilde{g}_{3}^{\varepsilon} \right), \qquad \left( \nabla \tilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon} \right)^{-1} = \left( \tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^{1} | \tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^{2} | \tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^{3} \right)^{T},$$

then we have

(10) 
$$H^{\varepsilon}u := \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_1 u | \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_2 u | \partial_3 u\right) \left(g_{\varepsilon}^1 | g_{\varepsilon}^2 | g_{\varepsilon}^3\right)^T = \left(\widetilde{H}^{\varepsilon} \widetilde{u}\right) \circ r^{\varepsilon},$$

and

(11) 
$$E^{\varepsilon}u := \operatorname{sym} H^{\varepsilon}u = (E^{\varepsilon}\tilde{u}) \circ r^{\varepsilon}.$$

We note that (10) implies

(12) 
$$\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_1 u | \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_2 u | \partial_3 u\right) = H^{\varepsilon} u \left(g_1^{\varepsilon} | g_2^{\varepsilon} | g_3^{\varepsilon}\right),$$

that is

(13) 
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_1 u = H^{\varepsilon} u g_1^{\varepsilon}, \qquad \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_2 u = H^{\varepsilon} u g_2^{\varepsilon}, \qquad \partial_3 u = H^{\varepsilon} u g_3^{\varepsilon}.$$

Let  $\chi^{\varepsilon} := \tilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon} \circ r^{\varepsilon}$ . By means of (8) we may rewrite (11) as

$$E^{\varepsilon}u = (\widetilde{E}^{\varepsilon}\widetilde{u}) \circ r^{\varepsilon} = (E\widehat{u}) \circ \chi^{\varepsilon}.$$

Then, by setting  $\mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}(u) := \hat{\mathscr{L}}_{\varepsilon}(u \circ \chi^{\varepsilon-1})/(\varepsilon \delta_{\varepsilon})$  and  $\sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} := 1 - (\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon)x_2\kappa$ , we get

(14) 
$$\frac{\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{u})}{\varepsilon\delta_{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}E^{\varepsilon} u \cdot E^{\varepsilon} u \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx - \mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}(u) =: \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u)$$

where we have assumed that  $\mathbb{C} := \mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon} \circ \chi^{\varepsilon}$  is, in fact, independent of  $\varepsilon$ . The functional  $\mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}$  will be discussed in Remark 7.3. The domain of the energy functional  $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$  becomes

$$H^1_{dn}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ u \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3) : u = 0 \text{ on } \tilde{\omega} \times \{0\} \right\}$$

for an open cross-section, and

$$H^1_{\#dn}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ u \in H^1_{dn}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3) : u(0,\cdot,\cdot) = u(\ell,\cdot,\cdot) \right\}$$

for a closed cross-section.

From the assumptions made on the elasticity tensor  $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}$  (see (5)) it follows that  $\mathbb{C}_{ijkl} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , that  $\mathbb{C}_{ijkl} = \mathbb{C}_{ijlk} = \mathbb{C}_{klij}$ , and that there exists c > 0 such that

(15) 
$$\mathbb{C}(x)M \cdot M \ge c|M|^2$$

for almost every x and for all symmetric matrices M.

For later use we write

$$(H^{\varepsilon}u)_{ij} := g_i^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon}u \, g_j^{\varepsilon}$$

which give the components of  $H^{\varepsilon}u$  in the local basis  $\{g_1^{\varepsilon}, g_2^{\varepsilon}, g_3^{\varepsilon}\}$ . From (13), they are

$$(H^{\varepsilon}u)_{11} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_1 u, \qquad (H^{\varepsilon}u)_{12} = \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_2 u, \qquad (H^{\varepsilon}u)_{13} = g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_3 u,$$
  
(16) 
$$(H^{\varepsilon}u)_{21} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_1 u, \qquad (H^{\varepsilon}u)_{22} = \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_2 u, \qquad (H^{\varepsilon}u)_{23} = g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_3 u,$$

$$(H^{\varepsilon}u)_{31} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g_3^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_1 u, \qquad (H^{\varepsilon}u)_{32} = \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}g_3^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_2 u, \qquad (H^{\varepsilon}u)_{33} = g_3^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_3 u.$$

We also note that

(17) 
$$(E^{\varepsilon}u)_{ij} := g_i^{\varepsilon} \cdot E^{\varepsilon}u \, g_j^{\varepsilon} = \frac{(H^{\varepsilon}u)_{ij} + (H^{\varepsilon}u)_{ji}}{2}$$

## 5. KINEMATIC RESULTS

Throughout the section we consider a sequence of functions  $u^{\varepsilon} \in H^1_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

(18) 
$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \| E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} < +\infty.$$

**Theorem 5.1.** There exists a sequence  $\{W^{\varepsilon}\} \subseteq H^1((0,\ell) \times (0,L); \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}_{skw})$  such that

- $i) \ \|H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} W^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \le C\delta_{\varepsilon},$
- $ii) \ \|W^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \|\partial_{3}W^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \le C,$
- *iii*)  $\|\partial_1 W^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon$ ,

for a suitable C > 0 and every  $\varepsilon$  small enough. Moreover, there exists  $W \in H^1_{dn}((0,L); \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}_{skw})$  such that, up to a subsequence,

(19) 
$$W^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup W$$

in  $H^1((0, \ell) \times (0, L); \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}_{skw}).$ 

PROOF. Given in appendix (Section 8).

**Theorem 5.2.** If  $\{u^{\varepsilon}\} \subseteq H^{1}_{\#dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3})$  is a sequence for which (18) holds, then the conclusions of Theorem 5.1 hold for a sequence  $\{W^{\varepsilon}\}$  such that  $W^{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) = W^{\varepsilon}(\ell, \cdot)$  for every  $\varepsilon$ .

PROOF. Given in appendix (Section 8).

For the rest of this section  $W^{\varepsilon}$  and W will be as in Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 5.3. The following propositions hold up to subsequences.

- i)  $H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} \to W$  in  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$ ,
- *ii*) there exists  $u \in H^1_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $u^{\varepsilon} \to u$  in  $H^1_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ .

**PROOF.** Item *i*) follows from (19), Rellich's compactness theorem, and part *i*) of Theorem 5.1. From (13) and the definition of  $g_i^{\varepsilon}$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} &\leq \| \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_{1} u^{\varepsilon} | \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \partial_{2} u^{\varepsilon} | \partial_{3} u^{\varepsilon} \right) \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = \left\| H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} \left( g_{1}^{\varepsilon} | g_{2}^{\varepsilon} | g_{3}^{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \left\| H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence ii) follows from i).

Hereafter we set  $\vartheta := n \cdot Wt$ . By the regularity of  $\gamma$  we have  $\vartheta \in H^1_{dn}(0, L)$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** There exists  $B \in L^2((0, \ell) \times (0, L); \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}_{skw})$  such that, up to subsequences,

(20) 
$$\frac{\partial_1 W^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup B$$

in  $L^2((0,\ell) \times (0,L); \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}_{skw})$ . Then

i)  $W_{21}^{\varepsilon} := g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot W^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \vartheta \text{ in } H_{dn}^1((0,\ell) \times (0,L)),$ ii)  $W = \vartheta(n \otimes t - t \otimes n),$ iii)  $Be_3 = \partial_3 \vartheta n,$ iv) u = 0.

PROOF. The existence of B is a consequence of iii) of Theorem 5.1.

Item i) follows from (19) and the uniform convergence of  $g_1^{\varepsilon}$  and  $g_2^{\varepsilon}$  to t and n, respectively.

Let  $W_{13} := t \cdot We_3$  and  $W_{23} := n \cdot We_3$ . From (16) and i - ii) of Corollary 5.3 we deduce that

(21) 
$$W_{13} = t \cdot \partial_3 u = \partial_3 (u \cdot t), \qquad W_{23} = n \cdot \partial_3 u = \partial_3 (u \cdot n).$$

We now claim that

$$Be_3 = \partial_3 Wt.$$

Indeed, let  $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ . By *i*) of Theorem 5.1, *i*) of Corollary 5.3, and taking into account (12) we have that

$$0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} e_{3}}{\varepsilon} - \frac{W^{\varepsilon} e_{3}}{\varepsilon} \right) \partial_{1} \psi \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial_{3} u^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} - \frac{W^{\varepsilon} e_{3}}{\varepsilon} \right) \partial_{1} \psi \, dx$$
$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial_{1} u^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \partial_{3} \psi + \frac{\partial_{1} W^{\varepsilon} e_{3}}{\varepsilon} \psi \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_{1}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{3} \psi + \frac{\partial_{1} W^{\varepsilon} e_{3}}{\varepsilon} \psi \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} W t \partial_{3} \psi + B e_{3} \psi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (-\partial_{3} W t + B e_{3}) \psi \, dx,$$

from which we get (22). Since B is a skew-symmetric matrix field we have that  $e_3 \cdot Be_3 = 0$ , and hence by (22) it follows that

$$0 = -e_3 \cdot \partial_3 W t = t \cdot \partial_3 W e_3.$$

Since t is not constant and also  $e_3 \cdot \partial_3 W e_3 = 0$ , it follows that

$$\partial_3 W e_3 = 0.$$

Thus  $We_3 = 0$ , because  $We_3 = 0$  at  $\{x_3 = 0\}$  as  $W \in H^1_{dn}((0, \ell) \times (0, L); \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}_{skw})$ . Hence,  $W_{13} = W_{23} = 0$  and this implies *ii*).

From (22) it follows that  $t \cdot Be_3 = 0$ , since W is a skew-symmetric matrix field, and still from (22) we have that  $n \cdot Be_3 = \partial_3(n \cdot Wt) = \partial_3\vartheta$ . Hence also *iii*) has been proved.

We finally prove iv). Since  $W_{13} = W_{23} = 0$  and  $u \in H^1_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , from (21) we deduce that  $u \cdot t = u \cdot n = 0$ . Therefore, to conclude the proof it suffices to show that  $u_3 = 0$ . Indeed, from (16), i) of Theorem 5.1, and since  $W^{\varepsilon}_{33} = 0$ , it follows that  $\partial_3 u_3^{\varepsilon} \to 0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Hence, by ii) of Corollary 5.3, we have  $\partial_3 u_3 = 0$  which implies that  $u_3 = 0$ , since  $u_3 = 0$  on  $\{x_3 = 0\}$ .

**Remark 5.5.** The regularity  $W^{3,\infty}$  of the curve  $\gamma$  is fully exploited in *i*) of Corollary 5.4. Indeed,  $\partial_1 W_{21}^{\varepsilon}$  involves  $\partial_1 g_1^{\varepsilon}$  which, in turn, involves the derivative of the curvature  $\kappa$  of  $\gamma$ . Thus, under the  $W^{3,\infty}$  regularity of the curve  $\gamma$  we have that  $\partial_1 g_1^{\varepsilon}$  is in  $L^{\infty}$  and  $\partial_1 W_{21}^{\varepsilon}$  is an  $L^2$  function.

If the regularity of the curve  $\gamma$  were assumed to be  $W^{2,\infty}$ , we could only claim that  $W_{21}^{\varepsilon}$  weakly converges in  $L^2((0,\ell); H^1(0,L))$ . Thus, a weakening of the regularity of  $\gamma$  weakens also the convergence of  $W_{21}^{\varepsilon}$ .

5.1. Limit of rescaled displacements. Since the limit of  $u^{\varepsilon}$  is equal to zero, we look at the following rescaled components of  $u^{\varepsilon}$ :

(23) 
$$\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} := \frac{u^{\varepsilon} - u_3^{\varepsilon} e_3}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon}, \qquad v_3^{\varepsilon} := \frac{u_3^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}.$$

To measure the slenderness of the cross-section we introduce the parameter  $\mathfrak s$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{s} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},$$

where we have assumed that the above limit exists. Without loss of generality, it suffices to consider three cases  $\mathfrak{s} \in \{0, 1, +\infty\}$ . In this paper we consider only the cases

$$\mathfrak{s} \in \{0,1\}.$$

Let

$$\gamma_G := \int_0^\ell \gamma(x_1) \, dx_1.$$

**Lemma 5.6.** Up to a subsequence we have

$$\frac{W^{\varepsilon}e_3}{\varepsilon} - \int_0^\ell \frac{W^{\varepsilon}e_3}{\varepsilon} \, dx_1 \rightharpoonup \partial_3 \vartheta e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma_G)$$

in  $H^1((0, \ell); L^2((0, L); \mathbb{R}^3))$ .

PROOF. Let

$$\mathfrak{b}^{\varepsilon} := \frac{W^{\varepsilon}e_3}{\varepsilon} - \int_0^\ell \frac{W^{\varepsilon}e_3}{\varepsilon} \, dx_1$$

By Poincare's inequality and *iii*) of Theorem 5.1 it follows that  $\mathfrak{b}^{\varepsilon}$  is bounded in  $H^1((0,\ell); L^2(0,L))$ . Thus, there exists a  $\mathfrak{b} \in H^1((0,\ell); L^2((0,L); \mathbb{R}^3))$  such that

$$\mathfrak{b}^arepsilon 
ightarrow \mathfrak{b}$$

in  $H^1((0, \ell); L^2((0, L); \mathbb{R}^3))$ , and

(24) 
$$\int_0^\ell \mathfrak{b} \, dx_1 = 0.$$

Since  $\partial_1 \mathfrak{b}^{\varepsilon} = \partial_1 W^{\varepsilon} e_3 / \varepsilon$ , from (20) we deduce that  $\partial_1 \mathfrak{b} = B e_3$ . Thus, by *iii*) of Corollary 5.4 we have that

$$\partial_1 \mathfrak{b} = \partial_3 \vartheta n = \partial_3 \vartheta e_3 \wedge t = \partial_1 (\partial_3 \vartheta e_3 \wedge \gamma),$$

and from this identity and (24) we find  $\mathfrak{b} = \partial_3 \vartheta e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma_G)$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** For  $\mathfrak{s} \in \{0, 1\}$ , we have that

$$\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} - \int_{\omega} \bar{v}^{\varepsilon} \, da \rightharpoonup \mathfrak{s} \, \vartheta e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma_G)$$

in  $H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ .

**PROOF.** By i) of Theorem 5.1 we have that

(25) 
$$\left\|\frac{H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}e_{3}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} - \frac{W^{\varepsilon}e_{3}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \le C\varepsilon,$$

and by Jensen's inequality we then have that

(26) 
$$\left\| \int_{\omega} \frac{H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} e_3}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} - \frac{W^{\varepsilon} e_3}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} \, da \right\|_{L^2(0,L)} \le C\varepsilon.$$

Since

$$(27) \qquad \frac{\partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} - \int_{\omega} \frac{\partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} \, da = \frac{H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}e_{3}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} - \int_{\omega} \frac{H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}e_{3}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} \, da \\ = \frac{H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}e_{3}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} - \frac{W^{\varepsilon}e_{3}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} - \int_{\omega} \frac{H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}e_{3}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} - \frac{W^{\varepsilon}e_{3}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} \, da \\ + \left(\frac{W^{\varepsilon}e_{3}}{\varepsilon} - \int_{\omega} \frac{W^{\varepsilon}e_{3}}{\varepsilon} \, da\right) \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},$$

by taking into account (18), (23), (25), (26), and Lemma 5.6 we deduce that

$$\partial_3 \big( \bar{v}^{\varepsilon} - \int_{\omega} \bar{v}^{\varepsilon} \, da \big) \rightharpoonup \mathfrak{s} \partial_3 \big( \vartheta e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma_G) \big)$$

in  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . In particular, it follows that the sequence  $\check{v}^{\varepsilon} := \bar{v}^{\varepsilon} - \int_{\omega} \bar{v}^{\varepsilon} da$  is bounded in  $H^1((0,L); L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3))$ , hence  $\check{v}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \check{v}$  in  $H^1((0,L); L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3))$ , up to a subsequence. Since  $\partial_3(\check{v} - \mathfrak{s}\vartheta e_3 \land (\gamma - \gamma_G)) = 0$  and  $\check{v} - \mathfrak{s}\vartheta e_3 \land (\gamma - \gamma_G) = 0$  on  $\{x_3 = 0\}$ , it follows that  $\check{v} = \mathfrak{s}\vartheta e_3 \land (\gamma - \gamma_G)$ .

To conclude the proof it suffices to show that the sequences  $\{\partial_1 \check{v}^{\varepsilon}\}$  and  $\{\partial_2 \check{v}^{\varepsilon}\}$  are bounded in  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . By means of (13) we find

$$(H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} - W^{\varepsilon})g_{1}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_{1}u^{\varepsilon} - W^{\varepsilon}g_{1}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_{1}(u^{\varepsilon} - \int_{\omega}u^{\varepsilon}\,da) - W^{\varepsilon}g_{1}^{\varepsilon},$$

thus, by the definition of  $\check{v}^{\varepsilon}$ , (23), (12), and from i) -ii) of Theorem 5.1 we find

$$\|\partial_1 \check{v}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} (\|(H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} - W^{\varepsilon})g_1^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|W^{\varepsilon}g_1^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \le C \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},$$

which is bounded for  $\mathfrak{s} \in \{0, 1\}$ . Similarly we find

$$\|\partial_2 \check{v}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \varepsilon (\|(H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} - W^{\varepsilon})g_2^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|W^{\varepsilon}g_2^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \le C\varepsilon.$$

**Theorem 5.8.** Let  $\mathfrak{s} \in \{0,1\}$ . There exists  $\overline{m} \in H^1_{dn}((0,L);\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that

$$\int_{\omega} \bar{v}^{\varepsilon} \, da \rightharpoonup \bar{m}$$

in  $H^1_{dn}((0,L);\mathbb{R}^3)$ , up to a subsequence. Moreover, there exists  $m_3 \in L^2(0,L)$  such that, setting

(28) 
$$\bar{v} := \bar{m} + \mathfrak{s}\vartheta e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma_G),$$

(29) 
$$v_3 := m_3 - \partial_3 \bar{m} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G) + \mathfrak{s} \, \partial_3 \vartheta \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot n \, ds,$$

up to a subsequence we have

i) 
$$\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$$
 in  $H^1_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ ,

ii) 
$$v_3^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v_3$$
 in  $H_{dn}^1(\Omega)$ .

**PROOF.** Since

$$+\infty>\sup_{\varepsilon}\left\|\frac{(E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{33}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\sup_{\varepsilon}\left\|\frac{\partial_{3}u_{3}^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\sup_{\varepsilon}\left\|\partial_{3}v_{3}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)},$$

by Poincaré inequality we have that

(30) 
$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \|v_3^{\varepsilon}\|_{H^1((0,L);L^2(\omega))} < +\infty,$$

and there exists  $v_3 \in H^1((0,L); L^2(\omega))$  such that, up to a subsequence,  $v_3^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup v_3$  in  $H^1((0,L); L^2(\omega))$ . Also, by (16) and (17) we have

$$\partial_2 v_3^{\varepsilon} = g_3^{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\partial_2 u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} = (H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{32} = 2(E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{32} - (H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{23}.$$

By (18), i) of Corollary 5.3, and ii) of Corollary 5.4, this implies that

$$\partial_2 v_3^{\varepsilon} \to W_{23} = 0$$
 in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

Thus

(31)  $v_3^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup v_3$ in  $H^1((-h/2, h/2) \times (0, L); L^2(0, \ell))$  and (32)  $\partial_2 v_3 = 0.$ 

Let

$$\bar{m}^{\varepsilon} := \int_{\omega} \bar{v}^{\varepsilon} \, da$$

From (16) and (17) we have that

$$(33) \qquad \frac{2(E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{13}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}g_{3}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{1}u^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon}g_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon} = \partial_{1}v_{3}^{\varepsilon} + g_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{3}\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} = \partial_{1}v_{3}^{\varepsilon} + g_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{3}\bar{m}^{\varepsilon} + g_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{3}(\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} - \bar{m}^{\varepsilon}).$$

Let

$$h^{\varepsilon} := \frac{2(E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{13}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} - g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_3(\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} - \bar{m}^{\varepsilon}) = \partial_1 v_3^{\varepsilon} + g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_3 \bar{m}^{\varepsilon}$$

and note that, by (18) and Lemma 5.7, we have that

(34) 
$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \|h^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} < +\infty.$$

Let  $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(0,\ell)$ , let  $\varphi \in L^2(0,L)$  with  $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(0,L)} \leq 1$ , and denote by

$$M_{\varphi}^{\varepsilon} := \int_{0}^{L} \partial_{3} \bar{m}^{\varepsilon} \varphi \, dx_{3}.$$

We then have

$$\int_{\Omega} h^{\varepsilon} \psi \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \partial_1 v_3^{\varepsilon} \psi \varphi + g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_3 \bar{m}^{\varepsilon} \psi \varphi \, dx$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega} v_3^{\varepsilon} \partial_1 \psi \varphi \, dx + \int_{\omega} M_{\varphi}^{\varepsilon} \cdot g_1^{\varepsilon} \psi \, da$$

and therefore

$$\left|\int_{\omega} M_{\varphi}^{\varepsilon} \cdot g_1^{\varepsilon} \psi \, da\right| \leq \|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(0,\ell)} (\|h^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_3^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}).$$

Since

$$\int_{\omega} M_{\varphi}^{\varepsilon} \cdot g_1^{\varepsilon} \psi \, da = M_{\varphi}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \int_{\omega} g_1^{\varepsilon} \psi \, da = M_{\varphi}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \int_{\omega} t \psi \, da,$$

we find that

$$\left|M_{\varphi}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \int_{\omega} t\psi \, da\right| \leq \|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(0,\ell)} (\|h^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \|v_{3}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}).$$

Since t is not constant, by choosing two appropriate functions  $\psi$  one can show that

 $|M_{\varphi}^{\varepsilon}| \le C(||h^{\varepsilon}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + ||v_{3}^{\varepsilon}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}),$ 

which implies that

$$\|\partial_3 \bar{m}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,L)} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{L^2(0,L)} \le 1} |M_{\varphi}^{\varepsilon}| \le C(\|h^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_3^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}).$$

Thus, taking into account that  $\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} \in H^1_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and using (30) and (34), we deduce that  $\sup_{\varepsilon} \|\bar{m}^{\varepsilon}\|_{H^1(0,L)} < +\infty$ , and hence, up to a subsequence,

$$\bar{m}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \bar{m}$$

in  $H^1_{dn}(0,L)$ , which is the first part of the statement. By Lemma 5.7 then we have

(35) 
$$\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \bar{m} + \mathfrak{s} \vartheta e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma_G)$$

in  $H^1_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , which proves i). By (33) it follows that

(36) 
$$\partial_1 v_3^{\varepsilon} = \frac{2(E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{13}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon} - g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_3 \bar{v}^{\varepsilon}$$

Hence, also  $\partial_1 v_3^{\varepsilon}$  is bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and the convergence in (31) is, in fact, weak in  $H^1(\Omega)$ , as stated in *ii*). By using (18) and (35) to take the limit in (36) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 v_3 &= -t \cdot \partial_3 (\bar{m} + \mathfrak{s} \,\vartheta e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma_G)) &= -t \cdot \partial_3 \bar{m} + \mathfrak{s} \partial_3 \vartheta (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot n \\ &= \partial_1 \big( -\partial_3 \bar{m} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G) + \mathfrak{s} \,\partial_3 \vartheta \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot n \, ds \big). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (32), we conclude that

(37) 
$$v_3 = m_3 - \partial_3 \bar{m} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G) + \mathfrak{s} \, \partial_3 \vartheta \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot n \, ds,$$

with  $m_3 \in L^2(0, L)$ .

In fact, (28) and (29) imply further regularity on  $m_3$ ,  $\bar{m}$  and  $\vartheta$ .

**Theorem 5.9.** Let  $\mathfrak{s}$ ,  $m_3$ ,  $\overline{m}$  and  $\vartheta$  be as in Theorem 5.8. Then,

- i)  $m_3 \in H^1_{dn}(0,L)$ ,
- *ii*)  $\bar{m} \in H^2_{dn}(0,L;\mathbb{R}^3) := \{z \in H^2(0,L;\mathbb{R}^3) : z(0) = \partial_3 z(0) = 0\},\$
- iii) if  $\mathfrak{s} = 1$  then  $\vartheta \in H^2_{dn}(0,L)$ . In particular, the displacement  $\bar{v}$  defined in (28) belongs to the space  $H^2((0,\ell) \times (0,L); \mathbb{R}^3)$ .

PROOF. Let us consider the case  $\mathfrak{s} = 0$ , first. To prove that  $m_3 \in H^1_{dn}(0,L)$  it is enough to take the integral over  $(0,\ell)$  with respect to the variable  $x_1$  in (29). In this way we get  $m_3 = \int_0^\ell v_3 dx_1$ , which implies  $m_3 \in H^1_{dn}(0,L)$  because  $v_3 \in H^1_{dn}(\Omega)$ . Statement *ii*) can be proved similarly. Indeed, since the curve  $\gamma$  is  $W^{3,\infty}$  and not a straight line, then there exist  $x'_1, x''_1 \in (0,\ell)$  such that the vectors  $\int_0^{x'_1} (\gamma - \gamma_G) ds$ and  $\int_0^{x''_1} (\gamma - \gamma_G) ds$  are linearly independent and can be used as a basis in the plane  $x_3 = 0$ . Then *ii*) follows by integrating (29) with respect to  $x_1$  over the intervals  $(0, x'_1)$  and  $(0, x''_1)$  and by taking a linear combination.

Consider now the case  $\mathfrak{s} = 1$ . Without loss of generality we may take the origin of the axes coincident with  $\gamma_G$ , that is  $\gamma_G = 0$ , and rotate axes  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , if necessary, so that they coincide with the principal axes of inertia of the curve  $\gamma$ :

$$\int_0^\ell \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \, dx_1 = 0.$$

We also introduce a point  $\gamma_{SC}$  in the plane of the cross-section and a scalar c to be chosen in the sequel. Then, by means of the identity

(38) 
$$\int_0^{x_1} \gamma \cdot n \, ds = \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma - \gamma_{SC}) \cdot n \, ds + \gamma_{SC} \cdot e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma(0)),$$

the displacement v can be written as

(39) 
$$\bar{v} = \bar{\xi} + \vartheta e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma_{SC}), \quad v_3 = \xi_3 - \partial_3 \bar{\xi} \cdot \gamma + \psi \partial_3 \vartheta.$$

where

(40) 
$$\psi := c + \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma - \gamma_{SC}) \cdot n \, ds,$$

(41) 
$$\bar{\xi} := \bar{m} + \vartheta e_3 \wedge \gamma_{SC} \in H^1_{dn}((0,L);\mathbb{R}^3),$$

(42) 
$$\xi_3 := m_3 - \partial_3 \vartheta \left( c + e_3 \wedge \gamma(0) \cdot \gamma_{SC} \right) \in L^2(0, L).$$

By means of (38) it can be shown that  $\gamma_{SC}$  and c are uniquely determined by the requirements

(43) 
$$\int_{\omega} \psi \, da = \int_{\omega} \psi \gamma_1 \, da = \int_{\omega} \psi \gamma_2 \, da = 0.$$

For such a choice of  $\gamma_{SC}$  and c, from (39) and (43) we deduce that

$$\int_{\omega} \psi v_3 \, da = \int_{\omega} \psi^2 \, da \, \partial_3 \vartheta,$$

hence  $\vartheta \in H^2_{dn}(0,L)$ , and that

$$\int_{\omega} v_3 \, da = \xi_3.$$

Thence  $\xi_3 \in H^1_{dn}(0, L)$ , since the left hand side of the above equality is in  $H^1_{dn}(0, L)$ . By (42), this implies in particular that  $m_3 \in H^1_{dn}(0, L)$ . Finally, we deduce from (39) that  $\bar{\xi} \in H^2_{dn}((0, L); \mathbb{R}^3)$ . The claimed regularity of  $\bar{m}$  follows from (41).  $\Box$ 

In the technical literature the point  $\gamma_{SC}$  defined by (43) is called the *shear center* and plays a special role in the uncoupling of the torsional and flexural effects. Also,  $\psi$  describes the warping of the cross-section, and the scalar *c* introduced in (40) defines the warping at point  $x_1 = 0$  on the curve  $\gamma$ .

5.2. Limit of rescaled strains. From (18) it follows that there exists  $E \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})$  such that

(44) 
$$\frac{E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \rightharpoonup E \text{ in } L^{2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}).$$

up to a subsequence. The following lemmas give a characterization of some components of E.

**Lemma 5.10.** Let  $E_{33} := e_3 \cdot Ee_3$ . Then

 $E_{33} = \partial_3 v_3.$ 

PROOF. Indeed, from (16), (17), and (23), we have

$$\frac{(E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{33}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} = \frac{g_3^{\varepsilon}\cdot\partial_3 u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} = \partial_3 v_3^{\varepsilon}$$

and the thesis follows by applying Theorem 5.8.

**Lemma 5.11.** Let  $E_{13} := t \cdot Ee_3$ . Then

$$E_{13} = -x_2 \,\partial_3 \vartheta + \eta_2,$$

where  $\eta_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\partial_2 \eta_2 = 0$ .

PROOF. Let

$$\vartheta^{\varepsilon} := \frac{(H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{21} - (H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{12}}{2}.$$

We claim that

(45) 
$$\partial_3 \vartheta^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_1 (E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{23} - \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \partial_2 (E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{13},$$

in the sense of distributions. Assuming this, note that

$$\vartheta^{\varepsilon} = (H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{21} - (E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{21} \to \vartheta \text{ in } L^2(\Omega),$$

by (18), i) of Corollary 5.3, and the definition of  $\vartheta$  (see Corollary 5.4). Thus, using (44) to take the limit in  $H^{-1}$  in (45), we find

$$\partial_3 \vartheta = -\partial_2 E_{13}.$$

The statement of the lemma follows from the equality above.

Let us now prove (45). Since

$$2\vartheta^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g_2^{\varepsilon}\cdot\partial_1 u^{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}g_1^{\varepsilon}\cdot\partial_2 u^{\varepsilon},$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_{3}\vartheta^{\varepsilon} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g_{2}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{1}\partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}g_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{2}\partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon} \\ &= \partial_{1}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}g_{2}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon}) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_{1}g_{2}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon} - \partial_{2}(\frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}g_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_{2}g_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\partial_2 g_1^{\varepsilon} = (\delta_{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon) \partial_1 g_2^{\varepsilon}$ , then

$$\begin{split} 2\partial_{3}\vartheta^{\varepsilon} &= \partial_{1}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}g_{2}^{\varepsilon}\cdot\partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon}) - \partial_{2}(\frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}g_{1}^{\varepsilon}\cdot\partial_{3}u^{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_{1}(H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{23} - \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_{2}(H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{13} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_{1}(2E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{23} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_{1}(H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{32} - \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_{2}(2E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{13} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_{2}(H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{31} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_{1}(2E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{23} - \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_{2}(2E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{13} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_{2}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}g_{3}^{\varepsilon}\cdot\partial_{1}u^{\varepsilon}) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_{1}(\frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}g_{3}^{\varepsilon}\cdot\partial_{2}u^{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_{1}(2E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{23} - \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_{2}(2E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})_{13}, \end{split}$$

and hence (45) has been proved.

**Lemma 5.12.** Let  $E_{11} := t \cdot Et$ . Then,

(46) 
$$E_{11} = x_2 \eta_3 + \eta_1,$$

with  $\eta_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\eta_3 = t \cdot Bn$ , and  $\partial_2 \eta_1 = \partial_2 \eta_3 = 0$ .

**PROOF.** Note that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_2(H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}g_1^{\varepsilon}) &=& \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\partial_2\frac{\partial_1u^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_1\frac{\partial_2u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_1(H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}g_2^{\varepsilon}) \\ &=& \partial_1\Big(\frac{H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\Big)g_2^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\partial_1g_2^{\varepsilon}, \end{split}$$

and by means of this identity we have that

$$\begin{array}{lll} \partial_2 \frac{(E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{11}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} & = & \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \partial_2 (g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \partial_2 g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_2 (H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon}) \\ & = & \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \partial_2 g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon} + g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_1 \Big( \frac{H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \Big) g_2^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} \partial_1 g_2^{\varepsilon}. \end{array}$$

Since

$$\partial_1 g_2^\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_\varepsilon} \partial_2 g_1^\varepsilon = -\frac{\kappa}{1 - (\delta_\varepsilon / \varepsilon) x_2 \kappa} g_1^\varepsilon,$$

we deduce that

(47) 
$$\partial_2 \frac{(E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{11}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} = g_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_1 \frac{H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} g_2^{\varepsilon} - \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \frac{2\kappa}{1 - (\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon) x_2 \kappa} \frac{(E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{11}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}.$$

From i) of Theorem 5.1 and (20) it follows that

$$\partial_1 \frac{H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \to B \text{ in } H^{-1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}),$$

and from (44) we have

$$\partial_2 \frac{(E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon})_{11}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \rightharpoonup \partial_2 E_{11} \text{ in } H^{-1}(\Omega).$$

Hence from (47) we deduce that

$$\partial_2 E_{11} = t \cdot Bn.$$

Since B, t and n do not depend on  $x_2$  we have the claim.

## 6. Reduced energy densities for open cross-sections

In this section we introduce and study some properties of two reduced energy densities that will appear in the  $\Gamma$ -convergence result presented in the next section.

Lemmas 5.10, 5.11, and 5.12, give a partial characterization of the components  $E_{33} = e_3 \cdot Ee_3$ ,  $E_{13} = t \cdot Ee_3$ , and  $E_{11} = t \cdot Et$  of the limit strain E defined by (44). No information is instead given on the components  $E_{12} = t \cdot En$ ,  $E_{22} = n \cdot En$ , and  $E_{23} = n \cdot Ee_3$ . Motivated by this fact, with

$$f(x, M) := \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C}(x)M \cdot M, \quad M \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}_{\text{sym}}$$

we define

(48)  
$$f_0(x, M_{11}, M_{13}, M_{33}) := \min_{A_{ij}} f(x, M_{11}t(x_1) \odot t(x_1) + 2A_{12}t(x_1) \odot n(x_1) + 2M_{13}t(x_1) \odot e_3 + A_{22}n(x_1) \odot n(x_1) + 2A_{23}n(x_1) \odot e_3 + M_{33}e_3 \odot e_3).$$

That is,  $f_0$  is obtained from f by keeping fixed the components that have been partially characterized in Section 5.2 and by minimizing over the remaining components.

For open cross-sections by Lemmas 5.10, 5.11, and 5.12, we have that

 $E_{11} = \eta_1 + x_2 \eta_3, \quad E_{13} = -x_2 \partial_3 \vartheta + \eta_2, \quad E_{33} = \partial_3 v_3,$ 

where  $\eta_i \in L^2(\Omega)$ , i = 1, 2, 3, are functions of  $(x_1, x_3)$  that have not been characterized in terms of  $v_3$  and  $\vartheta$ . This leads us to a second minimization and hence to the definition of a second reduced energy density. In contrast to the minimization performed in (48), the minimization over  $\eta_i$  is not completely local because of the presence of the variable  $x_2$  in  $E_{11}$  and  $E_{13}$ . For  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  fixed and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\eta_i^{\text{opt}} = \eta_i^{\text{opt}}(x_1, x_3, a, b)$  be the minimizers of

(49) 
$$\inf_{\eta_i \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f_0(x, \eta_1 + x_2\eta_3, -x_2a + \eta_2, b) \, dx_2.$$

In Section 6.1 it is proved that  $\eta_i^{\text{opt}}$ , for i = 1, 2, 3, are unique and that they can be written as a linear combination of a and b with  $L^{\infty}$ -coefficients. In particular, the maps  $\eta_i^{\text{opt}} : (0, \ell) \times (0, L) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$(x_1, x_3, a, b) \mapsto \eta_i^{\text{opt}}(x_1, x_3, a, b)$$

are measurable. Then we can define the function  $f_{00}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  as

(50) 
$$f_{00}(x,a,b) := f_0(x,\eta_1^{\text{opt}} + x_2\eta_3^{\text{opt}}, -x_2a + \eta_2^{\text{opt}}, b)$$

where the  $\eta_i^{\text{opt}}$  are evaluated in  $(x_1, x_3, a, b)$ .

From the definition of 
$$f_0$$
 it follows that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

(51) 
$$f_0(x, M_{11}, M_{13}, M_{33}) \ge c \left(M_{11}^2 + 2M_{13}^2 + M_{33}^2\right).$$

and from this inequality and the definition of  $f_{00}$  we deduce that there exists a constant c > 0 such that

(52) 
$$\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f_{00}(x,a,b) \, dx_2 \ge c \, (a^2 + b^2).$$

The given definitions are sufficient to prove the so called *liminf inequality* (see Theorem 7.1). On the other hand, to provide the so called *recovery sequence* (see Theorem 7.2) several properties of  $f_0$ ,  $f_{00}$  and their minimizers are needed. These properties will be determined in the next lemmas.

In order to keep the notation compact we shall not use the components of E, as done in (48) and (50), but work with tensors. For fixed  $x_1$ , let

$$\mathcal{S}(x_1) := \operatorname{span}\{t(x_1) \odot n(x_1), n(x_1) \odot n(x_1), n(x_1) \odot e_3\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^{\perp}(x_1) := \operatorname{span}\{t(x_1) \odot t(x_1), t(x_1) \odot e_3, e_3 \odot e_3\},\$$

so that

$$\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}_{\text{sym}} = \mathcal{S}(x_1) \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}(x_1).$$

Thus any tensor  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}_{\text{sym}}$  can be uniquely written as

$$M = M^{\mathcal{S}} + M^{\perp},$$

with

$$M^{\mathcal{S}} := 2(t \cdot Mn) t \odot n + (n \cdot Mn) n \odot n + 2(n \cdot Me_3) n \odot e_3 \in \mathcal{S}(x_1)$$

and

$$M^{\perp} := (t \cdot Mt) t \odot t + 2(t \cdot Me_3) t \odot e_3 + (e_3 \cdot Me_3) e_3 \odot e_3 \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}(x_1).$$

The decomposition of E, as given by (44), is such that  $E^{\perp}$  contains the components of the strain E that have been partially characterized by Lemmas 5.10, 5.11 and 5.12, and  $E^{\mathcal{S}}$  contains the remaining components. With a slight abuse of notation we may write

(53) 
$$f_0(x, M^{\perp}) = \min_{M^{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}(x_1)} f(x, M^{\mathcal{S}} + M^{\perp}).$$

We shall denote by  $\mathbb{E}_0 M^{\perp}$  the "full" tensor achieving the (unique) minimum in (53), *i.e.*,

(54) 
$$\mathbb{E}_0 M^{\perp} := M_0^{\mathcal{S}} + M^{\perp}$$
 for  $f(x, M_0^{\mathcal{S}} + M^{\perp}) = \min_{M^{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}(x_1)} f(x, M^{\mathcal{S}} + M^{\perp}).$ 

Hence  $\mathbb{E}_0$  maps the fixed part of the strain  $M^{\perp}$  to the "full" minimizer of (53) as stated by (54). Since f is a quadratic function we have that  $\mathbb{E}_0$  ia a linear operator from  $S^{\perp}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}_{\text{sym}}$ . We also have

(55) 
$$f_0(x, M^{\perp}) = f(x, \mathbb{E}_0 M^{\perp}),$$

and

(56) 
$$(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})\mathbb{E}_0 M^\perp = M^\perp$$

for every  $M^{\perp} \in S^{\perp}$ , since  $(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})M^{S} = 0$  for every  $M^{S} \in S$ . To write the mapping  $\mathbb{E}_{0}$  explicitly, and in compact form, we introduce the projection operator

(57) 
$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(x_1): & \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}_{\text{sym}} \to \mathcal{S}(x_1) \\ & M \to & \mathbb{P}M := M^{\mathcal{S}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $M^{\perp} = (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})M$ , where  $\mathbb{I}$  denotes the identity.

**Lemma 6.1.** The mapping  $\mathbb{E}_0$  defined by (54) is given by

(58) 
$$\mathbb{E}_0 = \mathbb{I} - (\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P})^{-1}\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}$$

Moreover, for every  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}_{Sym}$  we have that

(59) 
$$\mathbb{PC}M = 0 \text{ if and only if } M = \mathbb{E}_0 M^{\perp}.$$

and

(60) 
$$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 M^{\perp} \cdot B^{\perp} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 M^{\perp} \cdot \mathbb{E}_0 B^{\perp} \text{ for every } B^{\perp} \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$$

PROOF. Set  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0 := \mathbb{I} - (\mathbb{PCP})^{-1}\mathbb{PC}$ . We first prove that (59) holds with  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0$  in place of  $\mathbb{E}_0$ . Note that  $\mathbb{PCP} : S \to S$  is an invertible operator, since

$$\mathbb{PCP}U^{\mathcal{S}} \cdot U^{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}U^{\mathcal{S}}) \cdot (\mathbb{P}U^{\mathcal{S}}) = \mathbb{C}U^{\mathcal{S}} \cdot U^{\mathcal{S}} \ge c|U^{\mathcal{S}}|^{2},$$

where we used the facts  $\mathbb{P}U^{\mathcal{S}} = U^{\mathcal{S}}$  for every  $U^{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathbb{P}U \cdot V = \mathbb{P}V \cdot U$  for every  $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}_{\text{sym}}$ . Since  $M = \mathbb{P}M + M^{\perp}$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}M = 0$  holds if and only if

$$\mathbb{PC}(\mathbb{P}M + M^{\perp}) = 0$$

that is

$$\mathbb{PCP}(\mathbb{P}M) = -\mathbb{PC}M^{\perp},$$

or

$$\mathbb{P}M = -(\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P})^{-1}\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}M^{\perp},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}M + M^{\perp} = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0 M^{\perp}$$

Thus, (59) holds for  $\mathbb{E}_0$  in place of  $\mathbb{E}_0$ .

Let  $M_0^{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}(x_1)$  be the minimizer of (53), *i.e.*,

$$f(x, M_0^{\mathcal{S}} + M^{\perp}) = \min_{M^{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}(x_1)} f(x, M^{\mathcal{S}} + M^{\perp}).$$

The minimality conditions for (53) are

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{C}(M_0^S + M^{\perp}) \cdot t \odot n = 0, \\ \mathbb{C}(M_0^S + M^{\perp}) \cdot n \odot n = 0, \\ \mathbb{C}(M_0^S + M^{\perp}) \cdot n \odot e_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

that is  $\mathbb{PC}(M_0^{\mathcal{S}} + M^{\perp}) = 0$ . Thus, by (59) with  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0$  in place of  $\mathbb{E}_0$ , as proved above, we deduce that

$$M_0^{\mathcal{S}} + M^{\perp} = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0 M^{\perp},$$

and hence, from (54), we conclude that  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0 = \mathbb{E}_0$ . To prove (60) note that

$$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0M^{\perp}\cdot B^{\perp} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0M^{\perp}\cdot (\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{P})\mathbb{E}_0B^{\perp} = (\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{P})\mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0M^{\perp}\cdot \mathbb{E}_0B^{\perp} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0M^{\perp}\cdot \mathbb{E}_0B^{\perp},$$

where the first identity follows from (56) while the last one has been obtained by applying (59).  $\Box$ 

The previous lemma characterizes the minimizer of  $f_0$ . We now study the minimizers of  $f_{00}$ .

6.0.1. Properties of  $f_{00}$  for beams with an open cross-section. Let E be the limit strain defined by (44). Then

$$E^{\perp} = (\eta_1 + x_2\eta_3)t \odot t + 2\eta_2 t \odot e_3 + E^K(\partial_3\vartheta, \partial_3v_3),$$

where  $E^{K}(\partial_{3}\vartheta, \partial_{3}v_{3})$  is the part of  $E^{\perp}$  that is known in terms of  $v_{3}$  and  $\vartheta$ , that is

(61) 
$$E^{K}(a,b) := -2x_{2}a \, t \odot e_{3} + b \, e_{3} \odot e_{3},$$

for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . By means of the minimizers  $\eta_i^{\text{opt}}$  of (49) we define the mapping  $\mathbb{E}_{00}$  by

(62) 
$$\mathbb{E}_{00}E^{K}(a,b) := (\eta_{1}^{\text{opt}} + x_{2}\eta_{3}^{\text{opt}})t \odot t + 2\eta_{2}^{\text{opt}}t \odot e_{3} + E^{K}(a,b),$$

so to rewrite (50), with the slight abuse of notation introduced in (53), as

(63) 
$$f_{00}(x,a,b) = f_0(x,\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(a,b)).$$

Thus  $\mathbb{E}_{00}$  essentially associates to the known part of the strain the "full" minimizer of (49) as stated by (63).

Thus the mappings  $\mathbb{E}_0$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{00}$  allows us to rewrite the densities  $f_0$  and  $f_{00}$  in terms of f

(64) 
$$f_{00}(x,a,b) = f_0(x,\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(a,b)) = f(x,\mathbb{E}_0\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(a,b)),$$

as follows from (55) and (63).

Hereafter, still to keep the notation compact, we denote by

$$\langle \cdot \rangle := \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \cdot \, dx_2$$

the average over the  $x_2$  variable.

**Lemma 6.2.** For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}_{sym}$  be a tensor field such that

(65) 
$$M^{\perp} = (\eta_1 + x_2\eta_3)t \odot t + 2\eta_2 t \odot e_3 + E^K(a,b)$$

where  $\eta_i = \eta_i(x_1, x_3, a, b)$  and  $E^K$  is defined by (61). Then,

(66) 
$$M^{\perp} = \mathbb{E}_{00} E^{K}(a, b) \text{ (i.e., } \eta_{i} = \eta_{i}^{\text{opt}}) \iff \begin{cases} \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_{0}M^{\perp} \rangle \cdot t \odot t = 0, \\ \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_{0}M^{\perp} \rangle \cdot t \odot e_{3} = 0, \\ \langle x_{2}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_{0}M^{\perp} \rangle \cdot t \odot t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if  $M^{\perp} = \mathbb{E}_{00}E^{K}(a, b)$  then

(67) 
$$\langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(a,b) \cdot E^K(c,d) \rangle = \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(a,b) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(c,d) \rangle$$
  
for every  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ .

PROOF. Let us denote by  $E^U(\eta_i) := (\eta_1 + x_2\eta_3)t \odot t + 2\eta_2 t \odot e_3$  so that  $E^{\perp} = E^U(\eta_i) + E^K(a, b)$ . By (55) we may rewrite the minimization problem (49) as

$$\langle f(x, \mathbb{E}_0(E^U(\eta_i^{\text{opt}}) + E^K(a, b)) \rangle = \inf_{\eta_i \in \mathbb{R}} \langle f(x, \mathbb{E}_0(E^U(\eta_i) + E^K(a, b)) \rangle$$

whose minimality condition is

$$\langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0(E^U(\eta_i^{\text{opt}}) + E^K(a, b)) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0 E^U(\xi_i) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \xi_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, since f is convex and  $\mathbb{E}_0$  is a linear operator, we have that

$$\eta_i = \eta_i^{\text{opt}} \iff \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0(E^U(\eta_i) + E^K(a, b)) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0 E^U(\xi_i) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \xi_i \in \mathbb{R},$$

which, by (60), is equivalent to

$$\eta_i = \eta_i^{\text{opt}} \iff \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0(E^U(\eta_i) + E^K(a, b)) \cdot E^U(\xi_i) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \xi_i \in \mathbb{R},$$

and this, in turn, is equivalent to (66).

To prove (67) note that

$$\langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(a,b) \cdot E^K(c,d) \rangle = \\ = \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(a,b) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(c,d) \rangle = \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(a,b) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(c,d) \rangle,$$
  
the first equality follows from (66) and the last from (60).  $\Box$ 

where the first equality follows from (66) and the last from (60).

**Remark 6.3.** By taking a = c and b = d in (67) and using that  $\mathbb{C}$  is positive definite, we deduce that there exists a constant  $C \ge 0$  such that

$$|\mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(a, b)| \le C |E^K(a, b)|$$

for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

6.1. Computation of the reduced energy densities. In this subsection we outline the computation of the energy densities. Let

$$E_{11} := t \cdot Et, \quad E_{13} := t \cdot Ee_3, \quad E_{33} := e_3 \cdot Ee_3.$$

From (55) we find

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x, E_{11}, E_{13}, E_{33}) &= f(x, \mathbb{E}_0 E^{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E}_0 E^{\perp} \cdot \mathbb{E}_0 E^{\perp} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E}_0(E_{11} t \odot t + 2E_{13} t \odot e_3 + E_{33} e_3 \odot e_3) \\ & \cdot \mathbb{E}_0(E_{11} t \odot t + 2E_{13} t \odot e_3 + E_{33} e_3 \odot e_3) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{c}_{11}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{12}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{13}(x) \\ \mathfrak{c}_{12}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{22}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{23}(x) \\ \mathfrak{c}_{13}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{23}(x) & \mathfrak{c}_{33}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} \\ E_{13} \\ E_{33} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} \\ E_{13} \\ E_{33} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{ij}$  are given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{c}_{11} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0(t\odot t) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0(t\odot t), & \mathfrak{c}_{22} = 4\mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0(t\odot e_3) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0(t\odot e_3), \\ \mathfrak{c}_{12} = 4\mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0(t\odot t) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0(t\odot e_3), & \mathfrak{c}_{23} = 4\mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0(t\odot e_3) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0(e_3\odot e_3), \\ \mathfrak{c}_{13} = 2\mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0(t\odot t) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0(e_3\odot e_3), & \mathfrak{c}_{33} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0(e_3\odot e_3) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0(e_3\odot e_3). \end{array}$$

The  $\eta_i^{\rm opt}$  may be computed by solving the system

$$(69) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle & \langle \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle \\ \langle \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle \mathfrak{c}_{22} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle \\ \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle x_2^2 \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1^{\text{opt}} \\ \eta_2^{\text{opt}} \\ \eta_3^{\text{opt}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle a - \langle \mathfrak{c}_{13} \rangle b \\ \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{22} \rangle a - \langle \mathfrak{c}_{23} \rangle b \\ \langle x_2^2 \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle a - \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{13} \rangle b \end{pmatrix},$$

since (69) is equivalent to (66). Indeed, let  $E^{\perp}$  be as in (65) with  $\eta_i = \eta_i^{\text{opt}}$ , then by means of (60) and (68) we have

$$0 = \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 E^{\perp} \rangle \cdot t \odot t = \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 E^{\perp} \cdot t \odot t \rangle = \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 E^{\perp} \cdot \mathbb{E}_0(t \odot t) \rangle$$
$$= \langle \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle \eta_1^{\text{opt}} + \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle \eta_3^{\text{opt}} + \langle \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle \eta_2^{\text{opt}} - \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle a + \langle \mathfrak{c}_{13} \rangle b,$$

and hence the first equation of (69) is equivalent to the first equation of (66). The equivalence of the other equations is proved similarly.

We note that (69), and hence (66), has a unique solution since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle & \langle \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle \\ \langle \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle \mathfrak{c}_{22} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle \\ \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle & \langle x_2 \mathfrak{c}_{12} \rangle & \langle x_2^2 \mathfrak{c}_{11} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{c}_{11} & \mathfrak{c}_{12} \\ \mathfrak{c}_{12} & \mathfrak{c}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + x_2 a_3 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + x_2 a_3 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} dx_2$$

$$\geq c \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \left| \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + x_2 a_3 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 dx_2 \geq c (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2)$$

for every  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . The first inequality above is a consequence of (51).

**Remark 6.4.** From (69) we also deduce that the maps  $\eta_i^{\text{opt}}$  are measurable. Indeed, from the measurability of  $\mathbb{C}$  and the Lipschitz continuity of the projection  $\mathbb{P}$  we deduce the measurability of  $\mathbb{E}_0$  thanks to (6.1). Then, from (68) it follows immediately that the coefficients  $\mathfrak{c}_{ij}$  are measurable.

7. Γ-LIMIT FOR BEAMS WITH AN OPEN CROSS-SECTION

Let 
$$J_{\varepsilon}: H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$
 be defined by

(70) 
$$J_{\varepsilon}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} E^{\varepsilon} u \cdot E^{\varepsilon} u \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} dx & \text{if } u \in H^{1}_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3}), \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in H^{1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3}) \setminus H^{1}_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3}). \end{cases}$$

In this section we shall prove the  $\Gamma$ -convergence of the functional  $J_{\varepsilon}/\delta_{\varepsilon}^2$  under an appropriate topology. In order to define the  $\Gamma$ -limit we set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}} := \{ (v, \vartheta) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times H^{1+\mathfrak{s}}_{dn}(0, L) : \exists \bar{m} \in H^2_{dn}(0, L; \mathbb{R}^3), \exists m_3 \in H^1_{dn}(0, L)$$
  
such that  $v = \bar{v} + v_3 e_3$ , where  $\bar{v} = \bar{m} + \mathfrak{s} \vartheta e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma_G)$ ,

and 
$$v_3 = m_3 - \partial_3 \bar{m} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G) + \mathfrak{s} \partial_3 \vartheta \int_0^{\omega_1} (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot n \, ds \}.$$

The  $\Gamma$ -limit will be the functional  $J_0: H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1(0, L) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  defined by

$$J_0(v,\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \int_\Omega f_{00}(x,\partial_3\vartheta,\partial_3v_3) \, dx & \text{if } (v,\vartheta) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We split the  $\Gamma$ -convergence analysis in two parts. In the next theorem we study the limit inequality.

**Theorem 7.1** (limit inequality). For every sequence  $\{u_{\varepsilon}\} \subseteq H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and every  $(v, \vartheta) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1(0, L)$  such that

$$\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} + v_3^{\varepsilon} e_3 \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3),$$

and

$$g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \vartheta \text{ in } H^1(\Omega),$$

where

$$\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{u^{\varepsilon} - u_3^{\varepsilon} e_3}{\delta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon}, \qquad v_3^{\varepsilon} = \frac{u_3^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \qquad g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_1 u^{\varepsilon},$$

we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{J_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2} \ge J_0(v, \vartheta).$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{J_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{J_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2} < +\infty,$$

since otherwise the claim is trivially satisfied. By (5), it follows that the sequence  $\{u^{\varepsilon}\}$  satisfies (18) and hence all the theorems contained in Section 5 hold. In particular, by Theorems 5.8 and 5.9 we have that  $(v, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ . From (44), the convexity of f, and (48) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{J_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{2}} &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} f(x, \frac{E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}) \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega} f(x, E) \, dx \\ &\ge \int_{\Omega} f_{0}(x, E_{11}, E_{13}, E_{33}) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_{0}(x, \eta_{1} + x_{2}\eta_{3}, -x_{2}\partial_{3}\vartheta + \eta_{2}, \partial_{3}v_{3}) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality has been obtained by means of Lemmas 5.10, 5.11, and 5.12. Here  $\eta_i$  do not depend on  $x_2$  and have the regularity prescribed in the lemmas just quoted. Thus from (49) and (50) we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{J_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{2}} &\geq \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{\ell} \inf_{\eta_{i}(x_{1},x_{3})} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f_{0}(x,\eta_{1}(x_{1},x_{3})+x_{2}\eta_{3}(x_{1},x_{3}), \\ &-x_{2}\partial_{3}\vartheta + \eta_{2}(x_{1},x_{3}), \partial_{3}v_{3}) \, dx_{2}dx_{1}dx_{3} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_{00}(x,\partial_{3}\vartheta,\partial_{3}v_{3}) \, dx = J_{0}(v,\vartheta), \end{split}$$

and hence the theorem is proved.

We now prove the existence of a recovery sequence.

**Theorem 7.2** (recovery sequence). For every  $(v, \vartheta) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1(0, L)$  there exists a sequence  $\{u_{\varepsilon}\} \subseteq H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  such that

$$\begin{split} \bar{v}^{\varepsilon} + v_3^{\varepsilon} e_3 &\rightharpoonup v \text{ in } H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \\ g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon} &\rightharpoonup \vartheta \text{ in } H^1(\Omega), \end{split}$$

where

$$\bar{v}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{u^{\varepsilon} - u_3^{\varepsilon} e_3}{\delta_{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon}, \qquad v_3^{\varepsilon} = \frac{u_3^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \qquad g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_1 u^{\varepsilon},$$

and

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{J_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2} \le J_0(v, \vartheta).$$

PROOF. Let  $(v, \vartheta) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1(0, L)$  be given. To avoid trivial cases we assume that  $J_0(v, \vartheta) < +\infty$ . Thence  $(v, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ . Let

(71) 
$$E^{K}(\partial_{3}\vartheta,\partial_{3}v_{3}) := -2x_{2}\partial_{3}\vartheta t \odot e_{3} + \partial_{3}v_{3}e_{3} \odot e_{3},$$

and

(72) 
$$E^{\text{opt}} := \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3 \vartheta, \partial_3 v_3).$$

At the end of Section 6 we have remarked that the maps  $\eta_i^{\text{opt}}$  are measurable. From (62) it follows that  $\mathbb{E}_0$  and hence  $E^{\text{opt}}$  are measurable. Moreover, from Remark 6.3 it follows immediately that  $E^{\text{opt}} \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})$ .

Define  $\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}: H^1_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \left( \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} - E^{\mathrm{opt}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} - E^{\mathrm{opt}} \right) dx.$$

It follows that for each  $\varepsilon$  the functional  $\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$  has a minimizer. Let  $u^{\varepsilon}$  be the minimizer, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}) = \inf_{u} \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(u).$$

By (15), we trivially find that  $||E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\delta_{\varepsilon}$ , and hence from Corollary 5.4 and Theorem 5.8 we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \bar{v}^{\varepsilon} + v_3^{\varepsilon} e_3 &\rightharpoonup \check{v} + \check{v}_3 e_3 =: \check{v} \text{ in } H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \\ g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon} &\rightharpoonup \check{\vartheta} \text{ in } H^1(\Omega). \end{split}$$

Moreover, we also have

$$\frac{E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \rightharpoonup \check{E} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}).$$

We split the proof into several claims.

CLAIM 1.: 
$$\check{E} = \mathbb{E}_0 \check{E}^{\perp}$$
, thus  $f_0(x, \check{E}^{\perp}) = f(x, \check{E})$ ;  
CLAIM 2.:  $\check{E}^{\perp} = \mathbb{E}_{00} \check{E}^K (\partial_3 \check{\vartheta}, \partial_3 \check{v}_3)$ , thus  $f_{00}(x, \partial_3 \check{\vartheta}, \partial_3 \check{v}_3) = f_0(x, \check{E}^{\perp})$ ;  
CLAIM 3.:  $\check{\vartheta} = \vartheta$ , and  $\check{v} = v$ .

Assuming that the claims hold we easily conclude the proof. Indeed, from Claim 3 we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \bar{v}^{\varepsilon} + v_3^{\varepsilon} e_3 &\rightharpoonup v \text{ in } H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \\ g_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} g_1^{\varepsilon} &\rightharpoonup \vartheta \text{ in } H^1(\Omega), \end{split}$$

and from the three claims it follows that

$$\check{E} = \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3 \vartheta, \partial_3 v_3) = E^{\text{opt}},$$

where the last identity is a consequence of (72).

The minimizer  $u^{\varepsilon}$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies the following problem

(73) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \left( \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} - E^{\text{opt}} \right) \cdot \frac{E^{\varepsilon} \psi}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \, dx = 0 \text{ for every } \psi \in H^{1}_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3}).$$

For later use we note that this problem is equivalent to

(74) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}\left(\frac{E^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} - E^{\text{opt}}\right) \cdot \frac{H^{\varepsilon}\psi}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \, dx = 0 \text{ for every } \psi \in H^{1}_{dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3}).$$

By taking  $\psi = u^{\varepsilon}$  in (73) we find

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \cdot E^{\text{opt}} \, dx$$

and hence, by (64),

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\varepsilon} \frac{J_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{2}} &= \lim_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \, dx \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \frac{E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \cdot E^{\text{opt}} \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \check{E} \cdot E^{\text{opt}} \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E}_{0} \mathbb{E}_{00} E^{K}(\partial_{3}\vartheta, \partial_{3}v_{3}) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0} \mathbb{E}_{00} E^{K}(\partial_{3}\vartheta, \partial_{3}v_{3}) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f(x, \mathbb{E}_{0} \mathbb{E}_{00} E^{K}(\partial_{3}\vartheta, \partial_{3}v_{3})) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f_{00}(x, \partial_{3}\vartheta, \partial_{3}v_{3}) \, dx, \\ &= J_{0}(v, \vartheta) \end{split}$$

which is the thesis of the theorem. We now prove the claims.

PROOF OF CLAIM 1. With 
$$\varphi_i \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$$
, for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , let  

$$\psi = \delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \Big( \int_{-h/2}^{x_2} \varphi_1(x_1, \zeta, x_3) \, d\zeta \, t + \int_{-h/2}^{x_2} \varphi_2(x_1, \zeta, x_3) \, d\zeta \, n + \int_{-h/2}^{x_2} \varphi_3(x_1, \zeta, x_3) \, d\zeta \, e_3 \Big).$$
Then

$$\frac{H^{\varepsilon}\psi}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \to \varphi_1 t \otimes n + \varphi_2 n \otimes n + \varphi_3 e_3 \otimes n$$

uniformly. From (74) we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}(\check{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \cdot (\varphi_1 t \otimes n + \varphi_2 n \otimes n + \varphi_3 e_3 \otimes n) \, dx = 0,$$

which implies that

(75) 
$$\mathbb{PC}(\check{E} - E^{\mathrm{opt}}) = 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  is defined in (57). Since, by (59),  $\mathbb{PC}E^{\text{opt}} = \mathbb{PC}\mathbb{E}_0\mathbb{E}_{00}E^K(\partial_3\vartheta,\partial_3v_3) = 0$ it follows that

(76) 
$$\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}\check{E} = 0.$$

Hence, Claim 1 follows from (59).

PROOF OF CLAIM 2. Let

$$\psi = \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \Big( \int_0^{x_1} \varphi_1(\zeta, x_3) t(\zeta) \, d\zeta \, + \int_0^{x_1} \varphi_2(\zeta, x_3) \, d\zeta \, e_3 \Big),$$

with  $\varphi_i \in C_0^{\infty}((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$  for i = 1, 2. Then  $\frac{H^{\varepsilon}\psi}{dt} \to \varphi_i t \otimes t + \varphi_i$ 

$$\frac{H^{\varepsilon}\psi}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \to \varphi_1 t \otimes t + \varphi_2 e_3 \otimes t$$

uniformly. By passing to the limit in (74) we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}(\check{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \cdot (\varphi_1 t \otimes t + \varphi_2 e_3 \otimes t) \, dx = 0,$$

and hence

(77) 
$$\begin{cases} \langle \mathbb{C}(\check{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \rangle \cdot t \odot t = 0, \\ \langle \mathbb{C}(\check{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \rangle \cdot t \odot e_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

We now take

$$\psi = \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon x_2 \varphi t - \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{x_1} \varphi n \, dx_1,$$

with  $\varphi \in C^{\infty}((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$  and  $\varphi = 0$  nearby  $x_3 = 0$ .

After some calculations we can check that

$$\frac{E^{\varepsilon}\psi}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \to x_2 \partial_1 \varphi t \otimes t$$

uniformly. By passing to the limit in (73) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}(\check{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \cdot x_2 \partial_1 \varphi t \otimes t \, dx = 0.$$

Let  $\varphi := \int_0^{x_1} \phi(\zeta, x_3) d\zeta$ , with  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}((0, \ell) \times (0, L))$ . Then, the above equation implies that

(78) 
$$\langle x_2 \mathbb{C}(\check{E} - E^{\mathrm{opt}}) \rangle \cdot t \odot t = 0.$$

Since  $E^{\text{opt}} = \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3 \vartheta, \partial_3 v_3)$ , and by Claim 1 we have that  $\check{E} = \mathbb{E}_0 \check{E}^{\perp}$ , from (77), (78) we deduce that

$$\begin{cases} \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0\check{E}^{\perp}\rangle\cdot t\odot t=0,\\ \langle \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0\check{E}^{\perp}\rangle\cdot t\odot e_3=0,\\ \langle x_2\mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0\check{E}^{\perp}\rangle\cdot t\odot t=0 \end{cases}$$

since the part involving  $E^{\text{opt}}$  in (77) and (78) disappears by applying Lemma 6.2. Thus, still by Lemma 6.2, it follows that

$$\check{E}^{\perp} = \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3 \check{\vartheta}, \partial_3 \check{v}_3).$$

Proof of Claim 3. Let  $\bar{\zeta} \in H^2_{dn}(0,L;\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\zeta_3 \in H^1_{dn}(0,L)$ , and  $\phi \in H^2_{dn}(0,L)$ . Set

$$\bar{\psi} := \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \bar{\zeta} + \varepsilon \phi e_3 \wedge (\gamma - \gamma_G) - \delta_{\varepsilon} \phi x_2 t$$

and

$$\psi_3 := \delta_{\varepsilon} \zeta_3 - \delta_{\varepsilon} \partial_3 \bar{\zeta} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G + \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} x_2 n) + \varepsilon^2 \partial_3 \phi \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot n \, ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \delta \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \delta \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \delta \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \delta \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \delta \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \delta \partial_3 \phi x_2 (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot t ds - \delta_{\varepsilon} \delta \partial_3$$

With  $\psi := \bar{\psi} + \psi_3 e_3$  we have

$$\frac{E^{\varepsilon}\psi}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} = -2x_2\partial_3\phi\big(1 - \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{2\varepsilon}\kappa x_2\big)g_1^{\varepsilon}\odot e_3 + \frac{\partial_3\psi_3}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}e_3\odot e_3,$$

and hence

$$\frac{E^{\varepsilon}\psi}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \to -2x_2\partial_3\phi t \odot e_3 + \left(\partial_3\zeta_3 - \partial_3\partial_3\bar{\zeta} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G) + \mathfrak{s}\partial_3\partial_3\phi \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot n \, ds\right) e_3 \odot e_3,$$

uniformly. By passing to the limit in (73) we deduce that

(79) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}(\check{E} - E^{\text{opt}}) \cdot (-2x_2 \partial_3 \phi t \otimes e_3 + \partial_3 w_3 e_3 \odot e_3) \, dx = 0,$$

where

$$w_3 := \zeta_3 - \partial_3 \bar{\zeta} \cdot (\gamma - \gamma_G) + \mathfrak{s} \partial_3 \phi \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma - \gamma_G) \cdot n \, ds$$

Equality (79) holds for every  $w_3$  as above and every  $\phi \in H^2_{dn}(0, L)$ . By density it also holds for every  $\phi \in H^{1+s}_{dn}(0, L)$ . In view of (72) and Claims 1 and 2, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3(\check{\vartheta} - \vartheta), \partial_3(\check{v}_3 - v_3)) \cdot E^K(\partial_3\phi, \partial_3w_3) \, dx = 0,$$

and by (67) it follows that also

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3(\check{\vartheta} - \vartheta), \partial_3(\check{v}_3 - v_3)) \cdot \mathbb{E}_0 \mathbb{E}_{00} E^K(\partial_3 \phi, \partial_3 w_3) \, dx = 0.$$

By taking  $\phi = \check{\vartheta} - \vartheta$  and  $w_3 = \check{v}_3 - v_3$ , and by using (64) we deduce

(80) 
$$\int_{\Omega} f_{00}(x,\partial_3(\check{\vartheta}-\vartheta),\partial_3(\check{v}_3-v_3)) \, dx = 0.$$

Thus (80) and (52) imply that

$$\partial_3(\check{\vartheta} - \vartheta) = 0, \quad \partial_3(\check{v}_3 - v_3) = 0.$$

Thus, using the boundary conditions,  $\check{\vartheta} = \vartheta$  and  $\check{v}_3 = v_3$ . From these equalities we also deduce that  $\check{\bar{v}} = \bar{v}$ .

**Remark 7.3.** The energy considered in (14) includes the work done by the loads, while the  $\Gamma$ -convergence analysis deals with the elastic energy only (see (70)). Let  $\mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})$  denotes the work of the loads rescaled by  $\varepsilon \delta_{\varepsilon}$ , as in (14), and assume that  $(1/\delta_{\varepsilon}^2)\mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})$  continuously converges to  $\mathscr{L}_0$  with respect to the convergence used in Theorems 7.1 and 7.2. Then, the  $\Gamma$ -limit of  $(1/\delta_{\varepsilon}^2)\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon} := (1/\delta_{\varepsilon}^2)(J_{\varepsilon} - \mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon})$  is  $\mathscr{F}_0 := J_0 - \mathscr{L}_0$ . For instance, the simplest case is

$$\mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}) = \int_{\Omega} b^{\varepsilon} \cdot u^{\varepsilon} \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx,$$

where

$$b_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} = \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \, b_{\alpha} \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad \text{and} \quad b_{3}^{\varepsilon} = \delta_{\varepsilon} \, b_{3}$$

with  $b_{\alpha}, b_3 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . By taking (23) into account we get

$$\mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}) = \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} (b_{1}u_{1}^{\varepsilon} + b_{2}u_{2}^{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} b_{3}u_{3}^{\varepsilon} \right) \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} dx$$
$$= \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{\Omega} (b_{1}v_{1}^{\varepsilon} + b_{2}v_{2}^{\varepsilon} + b_{3}v_{3}^{\varepsilon}) \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} dx,$$

and

(81) 
$$\mathscr{L}_0(v,\vartheta) = \int_{\Omega} b_1 v_1 + b_2 v_2 + b_3 v_3 \, dx,$$

where we have used the notation of Theorems 7.1 and 7.2. In the case  $\mathfrak{s} = 1$ , the right hand side of (81) could be written in terms of  $\overline{m}, m_3, \vartheta$ , and their derivatives. In the case  $\mathfrak{s} = 0$ , the dependence on  $\vartheta$  drops out unless a more general sequence of loads is considered.

## 8. Appendix - Proof of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2

The proofs given in this appendix freely use ideas introduced by Kohn and Vogelius [10], Anzellotti, Baldo, and Percivale [1], Friesecke, James, and Muller [9], Freddi, Mora, and Paroni [4].

In order to prove Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 it is useful to extend our functions and their domain of definition. The proof will be carried on for closed cross-section, since the proof for open cross-sections could be obtained by simplifying slightly that given for closed cross-section beams. We consider the extension

$$\Omega^e := \left(0, 2\ell\right) \times \left(-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right) \times \left(-L, L\right)$$

of the reference domain  $\Omega = (0, \ell) \times (-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}) \times (0, L)$ . The extension in the variable  $x_3$  will simplify the analysis of the boundary condition at  $x_3 = 0$ , while that in the first variable will be used to take into account the periodicity of displacements. We note, incidentally, that in the case of an open cross-section it would be enough to extend the domain in the  $x_3$  variable only.

Hereafter, we will consider, without mentioning it, the extension of some functions defined on  $\Omega$  to the domain  $\Omega^e$ .

First of all, we extend the base curve  $\gamma$  to the interval  $(0, 2\ell)$  by setting  $\gamma(x_1+\ell) = \gamma(x_1)$ . We also extend  $u^{\varepsilon}$  by translation in the variable  $x_1$ , while we set it equal to zero when  $x_3 \in (-L, 0)$ . By the boundary conditions at  $x_3 = 0$  then we have

$$u^{\varepsilon} \in H^1(\Omega^e; \mathbb{R}^3).$$

We wish to split the domain  $\Omega^e$  into small cube–like sub-domains whose edges have length approximately equal to  $\delta_{\varepsilon}h$ . To this aim, let the natural numbers

$$n_3^{\varepsilon} := \big[ \frac{L}{\delta_{\varepsilon} h} \big], \qquad n_1^{\varepsilon} := \big[ \frac{\varepsilon \ell}{\delta_{\varepsilon} h} \big],$$

be defined as the integer part of  $L/(\delta_{\varepsilon}h)$  and  $\varepsilon \ell/(\delta_{\varepsilon}h)$ , respectively, and subdivide  $\Omega^e$  into the  $2n_1^{\varepsilon} \times 2n_3^{\varepsilon}$  rectangular boxes

$$Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij} := \big(\frac{i\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}}, \frac{(i+1)\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}}\big) \times \big(-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\big) \times \big(\frac{jL}{n_3^{\varepsilon}}, \frac{(j+1)L}{n_3^{\varepsilon}}\big)$$

with  $i = 0, ..., 2n_1^{\varepsilon} - 1$  and  $j = -n_3^{\varepsilon}, ..., 0, ..., n_3^{\varepsilon} - 1$ . Then, the corresponding subdomains of  $\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}^{e}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} &:= \left\{ \hat{x} \quad : \quad \hat{x} = \varepsilon \gamma \left( \frac{i\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}} + y_1 \right) + \delta_{\varepsilon} y_2 n \left( \frac{i\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}} + y_1 \right) + \left( \frac{jL}{n_3^{\varepsilon}} + y_3 \right) e_3 \\ & \text{with} \quad y \in C_{\varepsilon} := \left( 0, \frac{\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}} \right) \times \left( -\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2} \right) \times \left( 0, \frac{L}{n_3^{\varepsilon}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The lengths of the edges of  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$  are  $\ell/n_1^{\varepsilon}$ , b and  $L/n_3^{\varepsilon}$  and they approximately correspond to the lengths indicated in Figure 3, as it is straightforward to check.



FIGURE 3. The domains  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$  and  $\widehat{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$ .

The following lemma states that there is a function that maps  $\widehat{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$  onto a fixed cube and whose gradient is arbitrarily close to a rescaled rotation.

**Lemma 8.1.** Let  $C = (0,1) \times (-1/2,1/2) \times (0,1)$ . For any pair of indices  $i \in \{0,...,2n_1^{\varepsilon}-1\}$  and  $j \in \{-n_3^{\varepsilon},...,0,...,n_3^{\varepsilon}-1\}$  there exists a diffeomorphism  $\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$  and a rotation  $R_0^{ij}$  such that

$$\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}: \widehat{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \to C$$

and

(82) 
$$|\delta_{\varepsilon} h R_0^{ijT} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}(\hat{x}) - I| \le c_{\varepsilon} \quad \forall \hat{x} \in \widehat{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \text{ with } c_{\varepsilon} \to 0.$$

PROOF. Write  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$  in the form

$$Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij} = \left\{ x : x = \left( i \frac{\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}}, 0, j \frac{L}{n_3^{\varepsilon}} \right) + y \text{ with } y \in C_{\varepsilon} \right\}$$

and define  $\phi_{\varepsilon}^{ij}: Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \to C$  by

$$\phi_{\varepsilon}^{ij}(x) := \left(\frac{n_1^{\varepsilon}}{\ell}x_1 - i, \frac{1}{b}x_2, \frac{n_3^{\varepsilon}}{L}x_3 - j\right),$$

and  $\hat{\psi}^{ij}_{\varepsilon}: \widehat{Q}^{ij}_{\varepsilon} \to C$  by

$$\hat{\varphi}^{ij}_{\varepsilon} := \phi^{ij}_{\varepsilon} \circ (\chi^{\varepsilon})^{-1},$$

where we recall that  $\chi^{\varepsilon}(x) := \tilde{\chi}^{\varepsilon} \circ r^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \gamma(x_1) + \delta_{\varepsilon} x_2 n(x_1) + x_3 e_3$ . Then, from  $\nabla \chi^{\varepsilon} = ((\varepsilon - \delta_{\varepsilon} \kappa x_2) t | \delta_{\varepsilon} n | e_3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} &= \nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \circ (\chi^{\varepsilon})^{-1} \nabla (\chi^{\varepsilon})^{-1} \\ &= \operatorname{diag} \left( \frac{n_{1}^{\varepsilon}}{\ell}, \frac{1}{h}, \frac{n_{3}^{\varepsilon}}{L} \right) \left( \frac{1}{(\varepsilon - \delta_{\varepsilon} \kappa x_{2})} t | \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} n | e_{3} \right)^{T} \\ &= \left( \frac{n_{1}^{\varepsilon}}{\ell(\varepsilon - \delta_{\varepsilon} x_{2} \kappa)} t | \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon} h} n | \frac{n_{3}^{\varepsilon}}{L} e_{3} \right)^{T}, \end{aligned}$$

where the intrinsic basis  $\{t, n, e_3\}$  is evaluated at  $x_1 = i\ell/n_1^{\varepsilon} + y_1$ .

By calling  $R_0(x_1)$  the rotation that transforms the local basis  $\{t(x_1), n(x_1), e_3\}$  into the Cartesian one  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ , that is  $R_0(x_1) := (t(x_1)|n(x_1)|e_3)^T$ , we have



FIGURE 4. The domains C,  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$ , and  $\widehat{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$ .

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \delta_{\varepsilon} h \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} - R_{0} \right| &= \left| \left( \left[ \frac{n_{1}^{\varepsilon} \delta_{\varepsilon} h}{\ell(\varepsilon - \delta_{\varepsilon} y_{2} \kappa)} - 1 \right] t |0| \left[ \frac{n_{3}^{\varepsilon} \delta_{\varepsilon} h}{L} - 1 \right] e_{3} \right) \right| \\ &\leq C \left( \left| \frac{n_{1}^{\varepsilon} \delta_{\varepsilon} h}{\ell(\varepsilon - \delta_{\varepsilon} y_{2} \kappa)} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{n_{3}^{\varepsilon} \delta_{\varepsilon} h}{L} - 1 \right| \right) \\ &\leq c_{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{\varepsilon}$  are constants independent of the pair (i, j) and such that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} c_{\varepsilon} = 0$ . Let now  $R_0^{ij} := R_0 \left( \frac{(i + \frac{1}{2})\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}} \right)$ . Since  $R_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(0, 2\ell; \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})$  it follows that  $|R_0(x_1) - R_0^{ij}| \le C \frac{\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}} \le C \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}$ 

for every  $x_1 \in \left(\frac{i\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}}, \frac{(i+1)\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}}\right)$ . Therefore, recalling that  $\frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we have  $|\delta_{\varepsilon}h\nabla\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} - R_0^{ij}| \le |\delta_{\varepsilon}h\nabla\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} - R_0| + |R_0 - R_0^{ij}| \to 0$ 

or, equivalently,

$$|\delta_{\varepsilon}h\nabla\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} - R_0^{ij}| \le c_{\varepsilon}.$$

Inequality (82) follows by recalling that  $|R_0^{ij}A| = |A|$ , since  $R_0^{ij}$  is orthogonal.  $\Box$ 

PROOF OF THEOREMS 5.1 AND 5.2. Setting

$$\hat{\phi}^{ij}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}) := \delta_{\varepsilon} h \, R_0^{ij^T} \hat{\psi}^{ij}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x})$$

we have that the diffeomorphism  $\hat{\phi}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}: \hat{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \to \delta_{\varepsilon} h R_0^{ij}{}^T C$  satisfies the inequality

$$|\nabla \hat{\phi}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} - I| \le c_{\varepsilon}$$

where  $c_{\varepsilon}$  is the same constant as in Lemma 8.1. Since  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} c_{\varepsilon} = 0$  together with the fact that Korn's constant is invariant under rotations and homogeneous dilations of the domain, imply that Korn's inequality holds true in the sets  $\widehat{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$  with a constant that does not depend either on  $\varepsilon$  or on  $\{i, j\}$  (see for a similar argument Pideri and Seppecher [11]). Hence, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $i = 0, ..., 2n_1^{\varepsilon} - 1$  and  $j = -n_3^{\varepsilon}, ..., n_3^{\varepsilon} - 1$  there exists a skew symmetric constant tensor  $W_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$  such that

$$\int_{\widehat{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}} |\nabla \hat{u}^{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon}^{ij}|^2 d\hat{x} \le C \int_{\widehat{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}} |E \hat{u}^{\varepsilon}|^2 d\hat{x}.$$

Or also, after a change of variables,

(83) 
$$\int_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}} |H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon}^{ij}|^2 \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx \le C \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}} |E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}|^2 \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx.$$

 $\operatorname{Set}$ 

$$\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}:=\sum_{ij}W^{ij}_{\varepsilon}\chi_{Q^{ij}_{\varepsilon}}$$

with  $\chi_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}}$  the characteristic function of  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$ . Then, from (83), we get

(84) 
$$\int_{\Omega^e} |H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}|^2 \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx \le C \int_{\Omega^e} |E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}|^2 \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx.$$

We notice that  $\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}$  could be identified with a function of  $(x_1, x_3)$  only. Hereafter we shall tacitly make this identification. Furthermore, the periodicity condition  $\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x_1 + \ell, \cdot) = \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x_1, \cdot)$  for every  $x_1 \in [0, \ell]$  holds true, and  $\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$  whenever  $x_3 \in (-L, 0)$ .

We need now to estimate the variation of  $\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}$  from the parallelepiped  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$  to the next one in  $\Omega^{e}$ . To this aim, for a same thin-walled beam occupying the physical domain  $\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$ , we consider different subdivisions of the set  $\Omega^{e}$  described below.

In place of the pair ij we use a multi-index

(85) 
$$\alpha := (\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_3) = \left( \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\right) \frac{\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}}, 0, \left(\frac{1}{2} + j\right) \frac{L}{n_3^{\varepsilon}} \right).$$

With this notation we set

$$Q_{\varepsilon}(\alpha) = \left\{ \left(\alpha_1 + \frac{\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}} y_1, h y_2, \alpha_3 + \frac{L}{n_3^{\varepsilon}} y_3\right) : y \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \right\},\$$

the parallelepiped centered in  $\alpha$  and with side lengths  $\ell/n_1^{\varepsilon}$ , h, and  $L/n_3^{\varepsilon}$ . Likewise, we denote by

$$Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha) = \left\{ \left( \alpha_1 + \frac{3\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}} y_1, h \, y_2, \alpha_3 + \frac{3L}{n_3^{\varepsilon}} y_3 \right) : y \in \left( -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)^3 \right\}$$

the parallelepiped with the same center and side lengths  $3\ell/n_1^{\varepsilon}$ , h, and  $3L/n_3^{\varepsilon}$ . We will denote by  $\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(\alpha)$  and  $\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}_{(3)}(\alpha)$  the rotations appearing in Korn's inequality (83) when  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}$  is replaced by  $Q_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)$  and  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha)$ , respectively.

By Korn's inequality, for any  $\varepsilon$  small enough there exists a skew symmetric constant tensor  $\overline{W}_{(3)}^{\varepsilon}(\alpha)$  such that

(86) 
$$\int_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha)} |\overline{W}_{(3)}^{\varepsilon}(\alpha) - H^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}|^2 \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx \le C \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha)} |E^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}|^2 \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx,$$

which holds whenever  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha) \subseteq \Omega^{e}$ .

Let now  $\beta = \alpha + \lambda$  with  $\alpha$  as in (85) for some *i* and *j*, and

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, 0, \lambda_3) \in \left\{ (0, 0, 0), -\left(\frac{\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}}, 0, \frac{L}{n_3^{\varepsilon}}\right), \left(\frac{\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}}, 0, \frac{L}{n_3^{\varepsilon}}\right) \right\}.$$

Since  $Q_{\varepsilon}(\beta) \subseteq Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_{\varepsilon}(\beta)| \, |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(\beta) - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}_{(3)}(\alpha)|^{2} &\leq 2 \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}(\beta)} |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(\beta) - H^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon}|^{2} \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx \\ &+ 2 \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha)} |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}_{(3)}(\alpha) - H^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon}|^{2} \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (83) and (86), we have that

(87) 
$$|Q_{\varepsilon}(\beta)| |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(\beta) - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}_{(3)}(\alpha)|^{2} \leq C \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha)} |E^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon}|^{2} \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} dx.$$

Since  $|\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(\alpha) - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(\beta)|^2 \leq 2(|\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(\alpha) - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}_{(3)}(\alpha)|^2 + |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(\beta) - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}_{(3)}(\alpha)|^2)$ , by (87) and its special case  $\alpha = \beta$  (that is  $\lambda = 0$ )

(88) 
$$|Q_{\varepsilon}(\beta)| |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(\alpha) - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(\beta)|^{2} \leq C \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha)} |E^{\varepsilon}v^{\varepsilon}|^{2} \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} dx,$$

which can also be written, being  $\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}$  piecewise constant,

$$\int_{S_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)} |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x'+\lambda') - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x')|^2 \, dx' \leq \frac{C}{h} \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha)} |E^{\varepsilon}v^{\varepsilon}|^2 \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx$$

where  $x' := (x_1, x_3), \lambda' := (\lambda_1, \lambda_3)$ , and

$$S_{\varepsilon}(\alpha) = \left\{ (\alpha_1 + \frac{\ell}{n_1^{\varepsilon}} y_1, \alpha_3 + \frac{L}{n_3^{\varepsilon}} y_3) : (y_1, y_3) \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^2 \right\}.$$

Then, for  $\eta' = (\eta_1, \eta_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $|\eta'|_{\infty} := \max\{|\eta_1|, |\eta_3|\} \leq \max(\ell/n_1^{\varepsilon}, L/n_3^{\varepsilon})$ , we get

$$\int_{S_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)} |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x'+\eta') - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x')|^2 \, dx' \leq \frac{C}{h} \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha)} |E^{\varepsilon}v^{\varepsilon}|^2 \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx$$

Let now V' be an open set compactly contained in  $V = (0, 2\ell) \times (-L, L)$  and consider a more general translation vector  $\eta' \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $|\eta'|_{\infty} < \operatorname{dist}(V', \partial V)$ . Let

$$N := \max\left\{ \left[\frac{|\eta_1|}{\ell/n_1^{\varepsilon}}\right], \left[\frac{|\eta_3|}{L/n_3^{\varepsilon}}\right] \right\}$$

and pick  $\eta'_0, ..., \eta'_{N+1}$  such that  $\eta'_0 = (0,0), \eta'_{N+1} = \eta', |\eta'_{k+1} - \eta'_k|_{\infty} \le \max(\ell/n_1^{\varepsilon}, L/n_3^{\varepsilon}).$ Then,

$$|\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x'+\eta')-\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x')|^{2} \leq (N+1)\sum_{k=0}^{N}|\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x'+\eta'_{k+1})-\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x'+\eta'_{k})|^{2}$$

and therefore

$$\int_{S_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)} |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x'+\eta') - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x')|^2 dx' \le \frac{C(N+1)}{h} \sum_{k=0}^N \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha+\eta_k)} |E^{\varepsilon}v^{\varepsilon}|^2 \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} dx$$

with  $\eta_k := (\eta_{k1}, 0, \eta_{k3})$ . Summing over all  $S_{\varepsilon}(\alpha) \cap V' \neq \emptyset$  and using the fact that each  $z \in \Omega^e$  is contained in at most N + 1 of the sets  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(\alpha + \eta_k)$  we deduce that

$$\int_{V'} |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x'+\eta') - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x')|^2 dx' \le C \left(\frac{\varepsilon |\eta_1|}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \vee \frac{|\eta_3|}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} + 1\right)^2 \int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} |E^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon}|^2 \sqrt{g^{\varepsilon}} \, dx.$$

By using assumption (18) it follows that

(89) 
$$\int_{V'} |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x'+\eta') - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(x')|^2 dx' \le C (\varepsilon |\eta_1| \lor |\eta_3| + \delta_{\varepsilon})^2$$

for any  $\eta' = (\eta_1, \eta_3)$  such that  $|\eta'|_{\infty} < \operatorname{dist}(V', \partial V)$ . Let us extend  $\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}$  to the whole of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by successive reflections. Let  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$  be any sequence of mollifiers which will be made precise in the following and define

$$W^{\varepsilon}(y') := \overline{W}^{\varepsilon} * \eta_{\varepsilon}(y') = \int \eta_{\varepsilon}(z') \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(y'-z') \, dz'.$$

Using the fact that  $\int \eta_{\varepsilon} = 1$  and Hölder's inequality, we observe that

(90)  
$$\|W^{\varepsilon} - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(V')}^{2} = \int_{V'} \left| \int \eta_{\varepsilon}(z') \left( \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(y' - z') - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(y') \right) dz' \right|^{2} dy' \\ \leq \int |\eta_{\varepsilon}(z')|^{2} dz' \int_{\operatorname{supp} \eta_{\varepsilon}} \int_{V'} |\overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(y' - z') - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}(y')|^{2} dy' dz'.$$

Let us now choose the sequence of mollifiers as follows. For i = 1, 3, let  $\eta_i \in$  $C_c^{\infty}(-1/2, 1/2), \, \eta_i \ge 0, \, \int \eta_i = 1$  and define

$$\eta_{\varepsilon}(z') := \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2} \eta_1(\frac{\varepsilon z_1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}) \eta_3(\frac{z_3}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}).$$

Then  $\eta_{\varepsilon} \in C_c^{\infty}((-\delta_{\varepsilon}/2\varepsilon, \delta_{\varepsilon}/2\varepsilon) \times (-\delta_{\varepsilon}/2, \delta_{\varepsilon}/2))$  and  $\int \eta_{\varepsilon} = 1$ . In particular, for any  $\varepsilon$  small enough, supp  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$  is contained into a ball with radius smaller than the distance from V' to  $\partial V$ . Therefore we can apply estimate (89) in (90) and substitute the expression of  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ , so obtaining

$$\begin{split} \|W^{\varepsilon} - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(V')}^{2} &\leq C \int |\eta_{\varepsilon}(z')|^{2} dz' \int_{\operatorname{supp}} \eta_{\varepsilon} \left( (\varepsilon|z_{1}| \vee |z_{3}|) + \delta_{\varepsilon} \right)^{2} dz_{1} dz_{3} \\ &\leq C \int |\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \eta(x')|^{2} \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon} dx' \int_{\operatorname{supp}} \eta_{\varepsilon} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} dz' \leq C \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \end{split}$$

which implies that

(91) 
$$\|W^{\varepsilon} - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(V)} \le C\delta_{\varepsilon},$$

since the constant C does not depend on the choice of V'. From (84) and (91) it follows that

$$\|H^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} - W^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(V)}^{2} \le C\delta_{\varepsilon}^{2}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality and proceeding as above, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{V'} |\partial_3 W^{\varepsilon}|^2 dy' &= \int_{V'} \left| \int \partial_3 \eta_{\varepsilon} \left( \overline{W}^{\varepsilon} (y' - z') - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon} (y') \right) dz' \right|^2 dy' \\ &\leq \int_{\operatorname{supp}} |\partial_3 \eta_{\varepsilon}|^2 dz' \int_{V'} \int_{\operatorname{supp}} \eta_{\varepsilon} \left| \overline{W}^{\varepsilon} (y' - z') - \overline{W}^{\varepsilon} (y') \right|^2 dz' dy' \\ &\leq C \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^4} \int_{\operatorname{supp}} \left( (\varepsilon |z_1| \lor |z_3|) + \delta_{\varepsilon} \right)^2 dz' \leq C \end{split}$$

which implies

(92) 
$$\|\partial_3 W^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(V)} \le C.$$

Analogously, it can be proved that

(93) 
$$\|\partial_1 W^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(V)} \le C\varepsilon.$$

By Poincaré inequality and (92) we have

(94) 
$$\|W^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(V)} \leq C \|\partial_{3}W^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(V)} \leq C$$

Thus, the sequence  $\{W^{\varepsilon}\}$  is bounded in  $H^1(V; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and thence there exists  $W \in H^1(V; \mathbb{R}^3)$  such that

(95) 
$$W^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup W \quad \text{in } H^1(V; \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Therefore the theorems are proved by taking the restrictions to  $\Omega$  (of the trivial extensions to  $\Omega^e$ ) of  $W^{\varepsilon}$  and W. In particular,

- *i*) of Theorem 5.1 follows from (84), (91) and (18),
- ii) of Theorem 5.1 follows from (94) and (92),
- *iii*) of Theorem 5.1 follows from (93),
- $W \in H^1_{dn}(0, L; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}_{skw})$ . In particular, it depends only on the variable  $x_3$  as a consequence of *iii*) and of the fact that  $W^{\varepsilon}$  is independent of  $x_2$ . The boundary condition follows instead from the convergence (95), since  $W^{\varepsilon}(x)$ is zero for  $x_3 \in (-L, -\delta_{\varepsilon}/2)$  and  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \delta_{\varepsilon} = 0$ .

This proves Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.2 follows by noticing that  $u^{\varepsilon} \in H^1_{\#dn}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  implies that  $W^{\varepsilon}(x_1 + \ell, \cdot) = W^{\varepsilon}(x_1, \cdot)$  for every  $x_1 \in [0, \ell]$ . In particular, we have that  $W^{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) = W^{\varepsilon}(\ell, \cdot)$  for every  $\varepsilon$ .

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the anonymous referee who pointed out a few issues that needed more careful attention in the first version of the present manuscript. RP is grateful to Regione Autonoma della Sardegna for the support given under the project "Modellazione Multiscala della Meccanica dei Materiali Compositi (M4C)".

#### References

- G. ANZELLOTTI, S. BALDO, AND D. PERCIVALE, Dimension reduction in variational problems, asymptotic development in Γ-convergence and thin structures in elasticity, Asymptotic Analysis, 9 (1994), pp. 61–100.
- [2] G. DAL MASO, An introduction to Γ-convergence, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 8, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [3] E. DAVOLI, Thin-walled beams with a cross-section of arbitrary geometry: derivation of linear theories starting from 3D nonlinear elasticity, Adv. Calc. Var., 6 (2013), pp. 33–91.
- [4] L. FREDDI, M. G. MORA, AND R. PARONI, Nonlinear thin-walled beams with a rectangular cross-section—Part I, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 22 (2012), pp. 1150016, 34.
- [5] —, Nonlinear thin-walled beams with a rectangular cross-section—Part II, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 23 (2013), pp. 743–775.
- [6] L. FREDDI, A. MORASSI, AND R. PARONI, Thin-walled beams: the case of the rectangular cross-section, J. Elasticity, 76 (2004), pp. 45–66 (2005).
- [7] —, Thin-walled beams: a derivation of Vlassov theory via Γ-convergence, J. Elasticity, 86 (2007), pp. 263–296.
- [8] L. FREDDI, F. MURAT, AND R. PARONI, Anisotropic inhomogeneous rectangular thin-walled beams, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 40 (2008/09), pp. 1923–1951.
- G. FRIESECKE, R. JAMES, AND S. MÜLLER, A theorem on geometric rigidity and the derivation of nonlinear plate theory from three-dimensional elasticity, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 55 (2002), pp. 1461–1506.
- [10] R. V. KOHN AND M. VOGELIUS, A new model for thin plates with rapidly varying thickness. II. A convergence proof, Quart. Appl. Math., 43 (1985), pp. 1–22.
- [11] C. PIDERI AND P. SEPPECHER, Asymptotics of a non-planar rod in non-linear elasticity, Asymptot. Anal., 48 (2006), pp. 33–54.

[12] V. VOLOVOI, D. HODGES, C. CESNIK, AND B. POPESCU, Assessment of beam modeling methods for rotor blade applications, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 33 (2001), pp. 1099– 1112.