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We consider the motion by curvature of networks of curves in the plane. This is clearly a (toy) model for the time evolution of the interfaces of a multiphase system where the energy is given only by the area of such interfaces. Even if it is still possible to use several ideas from the "parametric" classical approach to mean curvature flow (differential geometry/maximum principle), some extra variational methods are needed due to the presence of multi–points, that make the network a singular set (the simplest possible).
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We consider the motion by curvature of networks of curves in the plane.

This is clearly a (toy) model for the time evolution of the interfaces of a multiphase system where the energy is given only by the area of such interfaces.

Even if it is still possible to use several ideas from the “parametric” classical approach to mean curvature flow (differential geometry/maximum principle), some extra variational methods are needed due to the presence of multi–points, that make the network a singular set (the simplest possible).
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Larger regions “eat” smaller regions. More precisely, the area of a region bounded by more than 6 edges grows, less than 6 edges it decreases.
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Larger regions “eat” smaller regions. More precisely, the area of a region bounded by more than 6 edges grows, less than 6 edges it decreases.

If there is no vanishing of a region, there is a collapse of only two triple junctions (when the length of a curve goes to zero) producing a 4–point in the network. Immediately after such a collapse, the network becomes again regular (only triple junctions, with curves forming angles of 120 degrees): a new pair of triple junctions emerges from the 4–point (standard transition).
Regular networks

Let $\Omega$ be an open, regular and convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

**Definition**

A regular network $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^i([0, 1])$ in $\Omega$ is a connected set described by a finite family of curves $\sigma^i : [0, 1] \to \overline{\Omega}$ (sufficiently regular) such that:
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1. the curves cannot intersect each other or self-intersect in their “interior”, but they can meet only at the end-points;
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2. if a curve of the network “touches” the boundary of $\Omega$ at a fixed point $P \in \partial \Omega$, no other end–point of a curve can coincide with that point;
Regular networks

1. the curves cannot intersect each other or self–intersect in their “interior”, but they can meet only at the end–points;

2. if a curve of the network “touches” the boundary of $\Omega$ at a fixed point $P \in \partial \Omega$, no other end–point of a curve can coincide with that point;

3. the junctions points $O^1, O^2, \ldots, O^m \in \Omega$ have order three, considering $S$ as a planar graph, and at each of them the three concurring curves $\{\sigma^{pi}\}_{i=1,2,3}$ meet in such a way that the external unit tangent vectors $\tau^{pi}$ satisfy $\sum \tau^{pi} = 0$ (the curves form three angles of 120 degrees at $O^p$).
Examples: The triod and the spoon

A triod $\mathcal{T}$ is a network composed only by three regular, embedded curves $\gamma' : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}$. 
Examples: The triod and the spoon

A triod $\mathcal{T}$ is a network composed only by three regular, embedded curves $\gamma^i : [0, 1] \to \Omega$.

A spoon $\Gamma = \gamma^1([0, 1]) \cup \gamma^2([0, 1])$ is the union of two regular, embedded curves $\gamma^1, \gamma^2 : [0, 1] \to \Omega$. 
Examples: Networks with two triple junctions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End-points on $\partial \Omega$</th>
<th>0 closed curves</th>
<th>1 closed curve</th>
<th>2 closed curves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 end-points</td>
<td>Theta</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eyeglasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 end-points</td>
<td>Lens</td>
<td>Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 end-points</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Motion by curvature

Definition

We say that a network moves by curvature if each of its time–dependent curves \( \gamma^i : [0, 1] \times (0, T) \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) satisfies
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Motion by curvature

Definition

We say that a network moves by curvature if each of its time–dependent curves \( \gamma^i : [0, 1] \times (0, T) \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) satisfies

\[
\gamma^i_t(x, t) = k^i(x, t) = \left( \frac{\langle \gamma^i_{xx}(x, t) | \nu^i(x, t) \rangle}{|\gamma^i_x(x, t)|^2} \right) \nu^i(x, t) = \left( \frac{\gamma^i_{xx}(x, t)}{|\gamma^i_x(x, t)|^2} \right)^\perp.
\]

To be more precise, a family of networks \( S_t \) is a motion by curvature (in the maximal time interval \([0, T]\)) if the functions \( \gamma^i : [0, 1] \times [0, T) \to \Omega \) are at least \( C^2 \) in space and \( C^1 \) in time and satisfy the following system:

\[
\begin{cases}
\gamma^i_x(x, t) \neq 0 \\
\sum \tau^i(O, t) = 0 & \text{at every 3–point} \\
\gamma^i_t = k^i \nu^i + \lambda^i \tau^i & \text{for some continuous functions } \lambda^i
\end{cases}
\]

with fixed end–points on \( \partial\Omega \).
Motion by curvature

With the right choice of the tangential component of the velocity the problem becomes a non–degenerate system of quasilinear parabolic equations (with several geometric properties).

**Definition**

A curvature flow $\gamma^i$ for the initial, regular $C^2$ network $S_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma^i([0,1])$ which satisfies $\gamma^i_t = \frac{\gamma^i_{xx}}{|\gamma^i_x|^2}$ for every $t > 0$ will be called a special curvature flow of $S_0$. 
Short time existence

**Theorem**

For any initial, regular $C^{2+\alpha}$ triod $T_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{3} \sigma^i([0, 1])$, with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, which is $2$–compatible, there exists a unique special flow of class $C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}([0, 1] \times [0, T))$ in a maximal time interval $[0, T)$.

We say that a triod is $2$–compatible if

$$\frac{\sigma_{xx}^i(0)}{|\sigma_x^i(0)|^2} = \frac{\sigma_{xx}^j(0)}{|\sigma_x^j(0)|^2}$$

for every $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

which in particular implies

$$\sum k^i(O, t) = 0$$

at the $3$–point.
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\[ \Downarrow \]
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Theorem:
\[ S_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}, \ 2\text{–compatible} \]
‘general’ flow \[ \gamma_t = k\nu + \lambda\tau \]
\[ \Downarrow \]
there exists a solution
\[ S_t \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}([0, 1] \times [0, T)). \]

Theorem

For any initial network \[ S_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_i([0, 1]) \]
which is regular, \( C^{2+\alpha} \) with \( \alpha \in (0, 1), \ 2\text{–compatible}, \) there exists a \( C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}([0, 1] \times [0, T)) \)
curvature flow of \( S_0 \) in a maximal positive time interval \([0, T)). \)
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Geometric uniqueness = Uniqueness up to reparametrizations.

**Proposition**

For any initial network $S_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma^i([0, 1])$ which is regular, $C^{2+\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, geometrically 2–compatible, there exists a geometrically unique solution $\gamma^i$ of Problem ($\star$) in the class of maps $C^{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha}([0, 1] \times [0, T))$ in a maximal positive time interval $[0, T)$.

Theorem:

$S_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}$, 2–compatible

special flow $\gamma_t = \gamma_{xx}/|\gamma_x|^2$

there exists a unique solution

$S_t \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}([0, 1] \times [0, T))$.
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$S_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}$, geom. 2–compatible

‘general’ flow $\gamma_t = k\nu + \lambda\tau$

there exists a geom. unique solution

$S_t \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}([0, 1] \times [0, T))$. 
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How much one could weaken the hypotheses to still obtain existence and uniqueness of the flow?
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**Theorem**

For any initial $C^2$ regular network $S_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^i([0, 1])$ there exists a solution $\gamma^i$ of Problem (⋆) in a maximal time interval $[0, T)$.

Such flow $S_t = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \gamma^i([0, 1], t)$ is a smooth flow for every time $t > 0$. 

▶ The relevance of this theorem is that the initial network is not required to satisfy any additional condition (compatibility condition), but only to have angles of 120 degrees between the concurring curves at every 3–point, that is, to be regular. In particular, it is not necessary that the sum of the three curvatures at a 3–point is zero.

▶ The geometric uniqueness of the solution $\gamma^i$ found in this theorem is an open problem.
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**Theorem**

*For any initial $C^2$ regular network $S_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma^i([0, 1])$ there exists a solution $\gamma^i$ of Problem (∗) in a maximal time interval $[0, T)$. Such flow $S_t = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \gamma^i([0, 1], t)$ is a smooth flow for every time $t > 0$.*

- The relevance of this theorem is that the initial network is not required to satisfy any additional condition (compatibility condition), but only to have angles of 120 degrees between the concurring curves at every 3–point, that is, to be regular. In particular, it is not necessary that the sum of the three curvatures at a 3–point is zero.

- The geometric uniqueness of the solution $\gamma^i$ found in this theorem is an open problem.
Our aim is now to analyse the global (in time) behavior of the evolving networks, with particular attention to singularity formation.
Long time behavior

Our aim is now to analyse the global (in time) behavior of the evolving networks, with particular attention to singularity formation.

**Theorem**

*If \( T < +\infty \) is the maximal time interval of smooth existence of the curvature flow of a network \( S_t \), then at least one of the following holds:

1. the length of at least one curve of \( S_t \) goes to zero when \( t \to T \),
2. the curvature is not bounded as \( t \to T \).*
Evolution of a triod

Theorem

If none of the lengths of the three curves of the evolving triod goes to zero, the flow is smooth for all times and the triod converges (asymptotically) to the Steiner configuration connecting the three endpoints.
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If all the angles of the triangle with vertices the three end–points on the boundary are less than 120 degrees and the initial triod is contained in the triangle, then the triod converges in infinite time to the Steiner configuration connecting the three points.
Evolution of a triod

**Theorem**

*If none of the lengths of the three curves of the evolving triod goes to zero, the flow is smooth for all times and the triod converges (asymptotically) to the Steiner configuration connecting the three endpoints.*

If all the angles of the triangle with vertices the three end–points on the boundary are less than 120 degrees and the initial triod is contained in the triangle, then the triod converges in infinite time to the Steiner configuration connecting the three points.

If the fixed end–points on the boundary form a triangle with an angle of more than 120 degrees, then the length of a curve goes to zero in finite time.
Evolution of a spoon

**Theorem**

The maximal time of existence of a smooth evolution of a spoon is finite and one of the following situations occurs:

1. the closed loop shrinks to a point in a finite time (asymptotically approaching the shape of a Brakke spoon) and the maximum of the curvature of the network goes to $+\infty$, as $t \to T$;

2. the “open” curve vanishes and there is a 2–point formation on the boundary of the domain of evolution, but the curvature remains bounded.
Evolution of a Theta (double cell)

Theorem

The maximal time of existence of a smooth evolution of a Theta is finite and one of the following situations occurs:

1. the length of a curve that connects the two 3–points goes to zero as $t \to T$ and the curvature remains bounded;
2. the length of the curves composing one of the loops go to zero as $t \to T$ and the maximum of the curvature goes to $+\infty$.

In any case the network cannot completely vanish shrinking to a point as $t \to T$. 
Long time behavior

We have seen examples in which the length of at least a curve goes to zero and examples in which at the same time the length of a curve goes to zero and the curvature of the network is unbounded.
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BUT there are NO examples in which the lengths of all the curves of the network remain uniformly far away from zero during the evolution and the curvature is unbounded, as $t \to T$. 
Long time behavior

We have seen examples in which the length of at least a curve goes to zero and examples in which at the same time the length of a curve goes to zero and the curvature of the network is unbounded.

BUT there are NO examples in which the lengths of all the curves of the network remain uniformly far away from zero during the evolution and the curvature is unbounded, as $t \to T$.

Conjecture

*If no length of the curves of the network goes to zero as $t \to T$, then $T$ is not a singular time (maximal time of smooth existence).*
We are able to show the following:

**Theorem**

*If no length goes to zero and the "Multiplicity–One Conjecture" below is valid, then the curvature is bounded. Hence, $T$ is not a singular time.*

**“Multiplicity–One Conjecture” (M1)**

*Every possible limit of rescaled networks is a network with multiplicity one.*
We are able to show the following:

**Theorem**

*If no length goes to zero and the "Multiplicity–One Conjecture" below is valid, then the curvature is bounded. Hence, $T$ is not a singular time.*

**“Multiplicity–One Conjecture” (M1)**

*Every possible limit of rescaled networks is a network with multiplicity one.*

To explain where we need this conjecture, we give a sketch of the proof of this theorem. The strategy is based on a blow–up technique.
Huisken’s dynamical rescaling

We rescale the flow in its maximal time interval \([0, T)\) of smooth existence as follows:

**Definition**

*Fixed* \(x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2\), we define the rescaled flow of networks as

\[
\tilde{S}_{x_0,s} = \frac{S_{t(s)} - x_0}{\sqrt{2(T - t(s))}}
\]

*where* \(s \in [-1/2 \log T, +\infty)\) *and* \(s(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \log (T - t)\).
Rescaled monotonicity formula

Proposition

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, set $\tilde{\rho}(x) = e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$ and $\tilde{P}^r(s) = \frac{P^r - x_0}{\sqrt{2(T-t(s))}}$. For every $s \in [-1/2 \log T, +\infty)$ the following identity holds

$$
\frac{d}{ds} \int_{\tilde{S}_{x_0,s}} \tilde{\rho}(x) \, d\sigma = - \int_{\tilde{S}_{x_0,s}} |\tilde{k} + x^\perp|^2 \tilde{\rho}(x) \, d\sigma \hspace{1cm} + \sum_{r=1}^l \left[ \left\langle \tilde{P}^r(s) \left| \tau(P^r, t(s)) \right. \right\rangle - \tilde{\lambda}(P^r, s) \right] \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{P}^r(s)).
$$
Proposition

Let \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), set \( \tilde{\rho}(x) = e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} \) and \( \tilde{P}^r(s) = \frac{P^r - x_0}{\sqrt{2(T - t(s))}} \). For every \( s \in [-\frac{1}{2} \log T, +\infty) \) the following identity holds

\[
\frac{d}{ds} \int_{\tilde{S}_{x_0, s}} \tilde{\rho}(x) d\sigma = - \int_{\tilde{S}_{x_0, s}} |\tilde{k} + x_\perp|^2 \tilde{\rho}(x) d\sigma \\
+ \sum_{r=1}^l \left[ \left\langle \tilde{P}^r(s) \right| \tau(P^r, t(s)) \right \rangle - \tilde{\lambda}(P^r, s) \right] \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{P}^r(s)) ds.
\]

Integrating between \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) with \(-\frac{1}{2} \log T \leq s_1 \leq s_2 < +\infty \) we get

\[
\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\tilde{S}_{x_0, s}} |\tilde{k} + x_\perp|^2 \tilde{\rho}(x) d\sigma ds = \int_{\tilde{S}_{x_0, s_1}} \tilde{\rho}(x) d\sigma - \int_{\tilde{S}_{x_0, s_2}} \tilde{\rho}(x) d\sigma \\
+ \sum_{r=1}^l \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \left[ \left\langle \tilde{P}^r(s) \right| \tau(P^r, t(s)) \right \rangle - \tilde{\lambda}(P^r, s) \right] \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{P}^r(s)) ds.
\]
Sketch of the proof

Letting $s_1 = -1/2 \log T$ and $s_2 \to +\infty$ in the rescaled monotonicity formula, we get (the last term is uniformly bounded)

$$+\infty \int_{-1/2 \log T}^{+\infty} \int \left| \tilde{k} + x^\perp \right|^2 \tilde{\rho} \, d\sigma \, ds < +\infty,$$

which implies, for a subsequence of rescaled times $s_j$,

$$\lim_{j \to +\infty} \int_{\tilde{S}_{x_0,s_j}} \left| \tilde{k} + x^\perp \right|^2 \tilde{\rho} \, d\sigma = 0.$$

Then, by a standard compactness argument the sequence of rescaled networks $\tilde{S}_{x_0,s_j}$ (possibly after reparametrization) converges (up to subsequence) weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}$ and strongly in $C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}$, to a (possibly empty) limit $\tilde{S}_{\infty}$ (possibly with multiplicity) which satisfies the shrinkers equation

$$\tilde{k} + x^\perp = 0.$$
Shrinkers

**Definition**

A regular $C^2$ open network $\mathbb{S} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^i(l_i)$ is called a regular shrinker if at every point $x \in \mathbb{S}$ there holds

$$k + x^\perp = 0.$$  

This is called the shrinkers equation.

The name comes from the fact that if $\mathbb{S} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^i(l_i)$ is a shrinker, then the evolution given by $\mathbb{S}_t = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \gamma^i(l_i, t)$ where $\gamma^i(x, t) = \sqrt{-2t} \sigma^i(x)$ is a self–similarly shrinking curvature flow in the time interval $(-\infty, 0)$ with $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{-1/2}$. 
Sketch of the proof

Assuming that all lengths of the evolving network do not go to zero, then all the curves of $\tilde{S}_\infty$ have infinite length and must be pieces of straight lines from the origin. Hence there are only three possibilities for the blow–up limit $\tilde{S}_\infty$:

- a straight line (with multiplicity);
- an half line;
- an infinite flat triod.
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Sketch of the proof

Assuming that all lengths of the evolving network do not go to zero, then all the curves of $\tilde{S}_\infty$ have infinite length and must be pieces of straight lines from the origin. Hence there are only three possibilities for the blow–up limit $\tilde{S}_\infty$:

- a straight line (with multiplicity);
- an half line;
- an infinite flat triod.

Supposing that the Multiplicity–One Conjecture holds, then also the line has only multiplicity one. Applying

- White’s theorem in the case of a straight line;
- White’s theorem and a reflection argument in the case of an half line;
- the results by Magni – Mantegazza – Tortorelli or Ilmanen – Neves – Schulze for an infinite flat triod,

it follows that the original network $S_t$ has bounded curvature as $t \to T$. 
Sketch of the proof

Thanks to this previous

**Theorem**

If $T < +\infty$ is the maximal time interval of smooth existence of the curvature flow $S_t$, then:

1. either the length of at least one curve of $S_t$ goes to zero when $t \to T$,
2. or the curvature is not bounded as $t \to T$.

we conclude that $T$ cannot be a singular time and we are done.
Hence, assuming (from now on) the truth of the "Multiplicity–One Conjecture"

Every possible limit of rescaled networks is a network with multiplicity one.
Hence, assuming (from now on) the truth of the

“Multiplicity–One Conjecture”

*Every possible limit of rescaled networks is a network with multiplicity one.*

at a singular time $T < +\infty$ (the maximal time interval of smooth existence of the curvature flow $S_t$) the length of at least one curve of $S_t$ goes to zero, as $t \to T$. 
Hence, assuming (from now on) the truth of the

"Multiplicity–One Conjecture"

Every possible limit of rescaled networks is a network with multiplicity one.

at a singular time $T < +\infty$ (the maximal time interval of smooth existence of the curvature flow $S_t$) the length of at least one curve of $S_t$ goes to zero, as $t \to T$.

Then, there are two possible situations:

- The curvature stays bounded.
- The curvature is unbounded as $t \to T$. 
In the smooth case (curves, hypersurfaces) there are no singularities with bounded curvature. Indeed the maximum of curvature always satisfies $k_{\max}(t) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(T - t)}}$. 

Singularities are actually “classified” by the rate the curvature goes to $+\infty$, as $t \to T$:

▶ **Type I** – The maximum of the curvature is of order $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T - t}}$.

▶ **Type II** – The maximum of the curvature is of higher order (on a subsequence of times $t_i \to T$). 

For networks there are actually singularities (collapse of curves) with bounded curvature, **Type 0** singularities (copyright of Tom Ilmanen).
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The analysis consists in understanding the possible limit networks that can arise as $t \to T$ (and finding out how to continue the flow, if possible).

It can be shown that, as $t \to T$, such limit network, is unique. Anyway, it can be non–regular since multiple points can appear, even if the sum of the unit tangent vectors of the concurring curves at every multi–point still must be zero (Notice however that this implies that every triple junction satisfies the 120 degrees condition).

**Theorem**

*If M1 is true, every interior vertex of such limit network either is a regular triple junction or it is a 4–point where the four concurring curves have opposite unit tangents in pairs and form angles of 120/60 degrees among them.*

It is not possible that more than two triple junctions collide together at a single point. **This is a consequence of the Multiplicity–One Conjecture.**
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If the collapsing curve is one of the family containing the fixed boundary points (boundary curves), the flow stops (we really must “decide” whether/how to continue the flow).

If all the boundary curves do not collapse, is it possible to “restart” the flow?

Being the limit network possibly non–regular since it can have 4–junctions, the short time existence theorem does not apply.
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The second situation, when the curvature is unbounded and some curves are vanishing, can be again faced with the blow–up method, but in general, even if $M1$ is true, there can be several possible limits of rescaled networks.

As seen before, these are “shrinkers”, networks self–similarly shrinking moving by curvature, satisfying the equation

$$k + x^\perp = 0.$$ 

Then, the (local) structure (topology) of the evolving network plays an important role in the analysis.
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**Theorem**

*If M1 holds and the network is a tree (no loops), the curvature is uniformly bounded during the flow, hence the only “singularities” are given by the collapse of a curve with only two triple junctions going to collide.*

**Remarks:**

- Type 0 – singularities actually exist.
- This result can be localized (if the network is locally a tree around a singular point, the curvature is locally bounded).
- The curvature is unbounded if and only if a region is collapsing.
- Phenomenon of “propagation of estimates”.

This can happen if and only if the curvature is (locally) bounded.
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By the previous analysis, unbounded curvature as \( t \to T \) implies that in the blow–up limit we find a shrinker with regions. These regions are the “memory” of the collapsing region in the non–rescaled flow and the unbounded lines gives the limit tangents of the concurring curves at the point of collapse.

Unfortunately, in this case we are not able to show that we have a unique limit network as \( t \to T \) (not even a unique blow–up limit).

**Conjecture – WORK IN PROGRESS**

*If \( M1 \) holds, as \( t \to T \), there exists a unique limit non–regular network, with multiple points or with triple junctions not satisfying 120 degrees condition.*

**Conjecture – WORK IN PROGRESS**

*Such a unique limit non–regular network has bounded curvature.*
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Main Open Problem – “Multiplicity–One Conjecture” (M1)

Every possible limit of rescaled networks is a network with multiplicity one.

Theorem (CM, M. Novaga, A. Pluda – 2015)

▶ If during the flow the triple–junctions stay uniformly far each other, then M1 is true.
▶ If the initial network has at most two triple junctions, then M1 is true.

Analysis of singularity formation for some flows of networks with “few” triple junctions can then be made rigorous (under uniqueness of the limit hypothesis in some cases).
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Every possible limit of rescaled networks is a network with multiplicity one.

Theorem (CM, M. Novaga, A. Pluda – 2015)

- If during the flow the triple–junctions stay uniformly far each other, then M1 is true.
- If the initial network has at most two triple junctions, then M1 is true.

Analysis of singularity formation for some flows of networks with “few” triple junctions can then be made rigorous (under uniqueness of the limit hypothesis in some cases).
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The Triod – A. Magni, CM, M. Novaga, V. Tortorelli

The Spoon – A. Pluda
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The Eyeglasses and... the Broken Eyeglasses
2 triple junctions – CM, M. Novaga, A. Pluda

The “Steiner”, Theta, Lens and Island

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\gamma_1^1 \quad \gamma_1^5 \\
\gamma_2^2 \\
\gamma_3^3 \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\gamma_1^1 \\
\gamma_2^2 \\
\gamma_3^3 \\
\end{array} \}

\[ \begin{array}{c}
P_1 \\
P_2 \\
P_3 \\
P_4 \\
\end{array} \]
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Uniqueness issues (generic uniqueness of the flow).

Uniqueness of the (blow–up) limit network at a singular time.

Better estimates in the restarting theorem – more precise quantitative control on the curvature for $t > T$.

Finiteness of singular times.

Generic (stable) singularities.

- Standard transition or circle (a very special network) or only

\[\text{Generically only regions with at most three edges can collapse.}\]
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Open problems and research directions – Higher dimensions

Study of the motion by mean curvature of 2–dimensional interfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (double–bubble), for instance.

- Short time existence/uniqueness for special initial interfaces by Depner – Garcke – Kohsaka
- Short time existence/estimates for special initial interfaces by Schulze – White
- Basic computations for the geometric quantities and estimates with Bellettini – Magni – Novaga (*Work in progress*)
Thanks for your attention